

Approximate Maximum Flow in Undirected Networks by Christiano, Kelner, Madry, Spielmann, Teng (STOC 2011)

Kurt Mehlhorn

Max Planck Institute for Informatics and Saarland University

September 28, 2011

The Result

- G = (V, E) undirected graph, s source, t sink.
- $u: E \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, edge capacities
- *ϵ* > 0

Can compute $(1 - \epsilon)$ -approximate maximum flow in time $\widetilde{O}(mn^{1/3}\epsilon^{-11/3})$.

- approximate minimum cut in similar time bound
- previous best: $\tilde{O}(m\sqrt{n}\epsilon^{-1})$ by Goldberg and Rao (98)
- uses electrical flows



Conversion to Integral Capacities

- $B = \max_{P \text{ is } s t \text{ path }} \min_{e \in P} u_e$
- max bottleneck path in time $O(m + n \log n)$
- $B \leq \max \text{ flow} \leq mB$.
- replace u_e by min(u_e, mB).
- removing all edges of capacity less than \(\epsilon B/(2m)\) changes max-flow by at most \(\epsilon B/2\).

• replace
$$u_e$$
 by $\left\lfloor \frac{u_e}{\epsilon B/2m} \right\rfloor$

integral capacities in $[1, 2m^2/\epsilon]$



A High-Level View of the Algorithm

 $F^* =$ value of maximum flow.

Do binary search on $[1, 2m^2/\epsilon]$. Let *F* be the current value of the search.

Have a subroutine Flow(F) which

- either finds a flow of value *F* that almost satisfies the capacity constraints or fails.
- if $F \leq F^*$, it is guaranteed to return a flow.

Subroutine is realized via a low-level subroutine flow(F, w), which we discuss first. Here, *w* is a weight function on the edges.



Electrical Flows and Capacities

Resistances can simulate capacities

Let Q^* be a maximum flow. Orient edges in the direction of the flow, sort the graph topologically, and set

 p_v = number of nodes after v in ordering.

For e = (u, v), set

$$R_e = Q_e^* / \Delta_e$$
.

Then Q^* is the resulting flow.



The Result o	High-Level View	Small Observations ○●	The Subroutines	Improvement

An Observation

Set $R_e = 1/u_e^2$ and let $F \leq F^*$. Let Q be an electrical flow of value F. Then

$$\sum_e (Q_e/u_e)^2 = \sum_e R_e Q_e^2 \leq \sum_e R_e (Q_e^*)^2 = \sum_e (Q_e^*/u_e)^2 \leq m.$$

Define the congestion of e as

$$\operatorname{cong}_e \coloneqq Q_e/u_e.$$

Then,

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{e} \operatorname{cong}_{e}^{2} \leq 1$$
 and $\max_{e} \operatorname{cong}_{e} \leq \sqrt{m}$.



The Subroutine flow(F, w)

- 1. Set $R_e = (w_e + \epsilon W/m)/u_e^2$, where $W = \sum_e w_e$.
- 2. Let Q be an electrical flow of value F.
- 3. If $\sum_{e} R_e Q_e^2 > (1 + \epsilon) W$ declare failure.
- 4. return Q.

Properties

If $F \leq F^*$, flow does not fail

If flow succeeds,

$$\sum_{e} \frac{w_e}{W} \operatorname{cong}_{e} \leq 1 + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{e} \operatorname{cong}_{e} \leq \rho \coloneqq \sqrt{\frac{(1 + \epsilon)m}{\epsilon}}.$$



The Result o	High-Level View	Small Observations	The Subroutines ○●○○○○○	Improvement
Proof				

Set $R_e = (w_e + \epsilon W/m)/u_e^2$, where $W = \sum_e w_e$. Let *Q* be an electrical flow of value *F*. If $F \leq F^*$ then

$$\sum_{e} R_{e} Q_{e}^{2} \leq \sum_{e} R_{e} (Q_{e}^{*})^{2} = \sum_{e} (w_{e} + \frac{\epsilon W}{m}) \left(\frac{Q_{e}^{*}}{u_{e}}\right)^{2} \leq (1 + \epsilon) W.$$

lf

$$\sum_{e} (w_e + \frac{\epsilon W}{m}) \left(\frac{Q_e}{u_e}\right)^2 = \sum_{e} R_e Q_e^2 \le (1 + \epsilon) W$$

then

$$\sum_{e} \frac{w_e}{W} \text{cong}_e^2 \leq 1 + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{e} \text{cong}_e \leq \sqrt{\frac{(1+\epsilon)m}{\epsilon}}.$$



The Result o	High-Level View	Small Observations	The Subroutines oo●oooo	Improvement

From average squared congestion to average congestion

$$\sum_{e} w_e \operatorname{cong}_{e} = \sum_{e} w_e^{1/2} \cdot w_e^{1/2} \operatorname{cong}_{e}$$
$$\leq \left(\sum_{e} w_e\right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{e} w_e \operatorname{cong}_{e}^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$
$$\leq W^{1/2} ((1+\epsilon)W)^{1/2} \leq (1+\epsilon)W.$$



From flow to Flow

Flow(F)

set
$$w_e^{(1)} = 1$$
 for all e ;
for $i = 1 \rightarrow T$ do

$$\{T = O(m^{1/2}\epsilon^{-5/2} \text{ suffices}\}$$

$$Q^{(i)} = \text{flow}(F, w); \qquad \text{{if call fails, fail}}$$

$$\operatorname{cong}_e^{(i)} = Q_e^{(i)}/u_e \text{ for all } e$$

$$w_e^{(i+1)} = w_e^{(i)}(1 + \epsilon \operatorname{cong}_e^{(i)}/\rho) \text{ for all } e;$$
end for
return

$$Q \coloneqq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq T} Q^{(i)}$$



Properties of Flow

Q is a flow of value *F* and if $F \leq F^*$, *Q* exists.

$$Q_e = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{1 \le i \le T} Q_e^{(i)} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{1 \le i \le T} u_e \cdot \operatorname{cong}_e^{(i)} = u_e \cdot \overline{\operatorname{cong}}_e$$

$$W^{(i+1)} = \sum_{e} w_e^{(i)} (1 + \epsilon \operatorname{cong}_e^{(i)} /
ho) \le (1 + \epsilon (1 + \epsilon) /
ho) W^{(i)}$$

$$W^{(T+1)} \leq \exp(((1+\epsilon)\epsilon/
ho)T) \cdot m$$



Properties of Flow

$$w_e^{(i+1)} = w_e^{(i)}(1 + \epsilon \mathrm{cong}_e^{(i)}/
ho) \ge w^{(i)} \exp((1 - \epsilon)\epsilon \mathrm{cong}_e^{(i)}/
ho)$$

$$w_e^{(T+1)} \ge \exp((1-\epsilon)\epsilon\overline{\mathrm{cong}}_e/
ho)T)$$

$$((1-\epsilon)\epsilon \overline{\operatorname{cong}}_{e}/\rho)T \leq \ln m + (\epsilon(1+\epsilon)/\rho)T$$

$$\overline{\operatorname{cong}}_{e} \leq \frac{\rho \ln m}{(1-\epsilon)\epsilon T} + \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{(1-\epsilon)} + \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \leq 1+4\epsilon$$

for $T = (\rho \ln m)/\epsilon^{2} = \widetilde{O}(m^{1/2}\epsilon^{-5/2})$



Putting it together

 $\widetilde{O}(m^{1/2}\epsilon^{-5/2})$ iterations suffice.

In each iteration we need to solve a SSD system and do linear extra work. Thus an iteration runs in time $\tilde{O}(m \log 1/\epsilon)$.

Total running time is $\widetilde{O}(m^{3/2}\epsilon^{-5/2})$.

But, I promised $\tilde{O}(mn^{1/3}\epsilon^{-11/3})$. This is reached in two steps:

- step one reduces to $\widetilde{O}(m^{4/3}\epsilon^{-3})$, and
- step two reduces to Õ(mn^{1/3}e^{-11/3}). (Karger (98) and Bencur/Karger (02))



The First Step

Let *H* be a huge number; actually $H = (m \ln m)^{1/3}/\epsilon$.

What does $cong_e \ge H$ imply?

 $Q_e/u_e \ge H$ and hence $u_e \le Q_e/H \le F/H$. Thus u_e is tiny.

We can afford to delete ϵH edges with huge congestion without sacrificing the approximation guarantee.

Modification of flow: if flow succeeds, i.e., $\mathcal{E}(Q) \leq (1 + \epsilon)W$, and there is an edge *e* with huge congestion, delete the edge and continue without the edge.

Observe, that change allows us to replace ρ by *H* in the analysis.



Deleting Huge Edges

If flow succeeds, we have $\mathcal{E}(Q) \leq (1 + \epsilon)W$.

If *e* has huge congestion,

$$r_e Q_e^2 \geq \frac{\epsilon W}{m} \left(\frac{Q_e}{u_e}\right)^2 \geq \frac{\epsilon H^2}{(1+\epsilon)m}(1+\epsilon)W \geq \frac{\epsilon H^2}{(1+\epsilon)m}\mathcal{E}(Q).$$

Let $\beta = \epsilon H^2/((1 + \epsilon)m)$. If *e* has huge congestion, *e* accounts for a β fraction of the energy of the flow.

Deletion of a huge edge forces the energy of the flow to increase by a factor $1/(1 - \beta)$.

We have an upper bound on the final energy, namely $(1 + \epsilon)W^{(T+1)}$. It is not too hard, to derive a lower bound on the energy of the first flow. Putting things together, we obtain a bound on the number of huge edges.



Deleting a Huge Edge II

Deletion of a huge edge increases the energy of the flow by a factor $1/(1 - \beta)$.

Let *p* be the electrical potentials for flow of value $1/R_{\text{eff}}$. Then $p_s = 1$ and $p_t = 0$. Energy of this flow is equal to $1/R_{\text{eff}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R'_{\mathsf{eff}}} &= \inf_{\substack{q_{\mathsf{s}}=1\\q;q_t=0}} \sum_{uv \in E \setminus e} \frac{(q_u - q_v)^2}{r_{uv}} \leq \sum_{uv \in E \setminus e} \frac{(p_u - p_v)^2}{r_{uv}} \\ &= \sum_{uv \in E} \frac{(p_u - p_v)^2}{r_{uv}} - \Delta_e^2 / r_e \leq (1 - \beta) \frac{1}{R_{\mathsf{eff}}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{E}(Q') = F^2 R'_{\text{eff}} \geq \frac{1}{1-\beta} F^2 R_{\text{eff}} = \mathcal{E}(Q).$

