## **1** A Master Theorem for Recurrences

Akra and Bazzi [AB98] recently gave a closed form solution for a large class of recurrences.

Theorem 1 Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} h(x) & \text{for } 1 \le x \le x_0\\ af(\frac{x}{b}) + g(x) & \text{for } x > x_0 \end{cases}$$
(1)

where

- *1.* a > 0, b > 1, and  $x_0 \ge b$  are constants,
- 2.  $x \ge 1$  is a real number,
- *3.*  $d_1 \le h(x) \le d_2$  for some positive constants  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  and all x with  $1 \le x \le x_0$ , and
- 4. g is a nonnegative function satisfying the polynomial growth condition, *i.e.*, there are positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$c_1g(x) \le g(u) \le c_2g(x)$$
 for all  $x > x_0$  and  $u \in [x/b, x]$ .

5. (technical condition)

$$I := \int_1^{x_0} \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} \, du < \infty$$

Let p be the unique real number for which  $a/b^p = 1$ . Then

$$f(x) = \Theta\left(x^p\left(1 + \int_1^x \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} \, du\right)\right) \, .$$

Before we enter the proof, we give some examples.

• If f(x) = 2f(x/2) + x, then p = 1 and

$$f(x) = \Theta\left(x(1+\int_1^x \frac{u}{u^2} \, du)\right) = \Theta(x\log x) \; .$$

• If  $f(x) = 3f(x/2) + x^2$ , then  $p = \log 3$  and

$$f(x) = \Theta\left(x^{\log 3}(1 + \int_1^x \frac{u^2}{u^{1+\log 3}} \, du)\right) = \Theta(x^{\log 3}(1 + x^{2-\log 3})) = \Theta(x^2) \; .$$

• If  $f(x) = f(x/2) + \log x$ , then p = 0 and

$$f(x) = \Theta\left(x^0(1 + \int_1^x \frac{\log u}{u^1} \, du)\right) = \Theta(1 + \log^2 x) = \Theta(\log^2 x) \; .$$

**Proof:** For any  $x > x_0$ , we have x/b < x and  $x/b > x_0/b \ge 1$ . Thus f(x) is well-defined. We need to prove

$$C \cdot x^{p} \left( 1 + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} \, du \right) \le f(x) \le D \cdot x^{p} \left( 1 + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} \, du \right) \tag{2}$$

for positive constants *C* and *D*. We prove the upper bound and leave the lower bound to exercise 1. The proof of the upper bound is by induction on the index of *x*, the smallest integer *k* such that  $x/b^k \le x_0$ .

Assume first that  $1 \le x \le x_0$ . Then

$$f(x) = h(x) \le d_2 \le d_2 \frac{x^p}{\min(1, x_0^p)} \le d_2 \frac{x^p \left(1 + \int_1^x \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} du\right)}{\min(1, x_0^p)},$$

since  $x^p$  assumes its minimum in  $[1, x_0]$  at either x = 1 (if  $p \ge 0$ ) or  $x = x_0$  (if p < 0) and since the value of the integral is nonnegative. Thus the upper bound in equation (2) holds for any *D* for which  $D \ge d_2/\min(1, x_0^p)$ .

Assume next that  $x > x_0$ . The index of x/b is one smaller than the index of x and hence we may use the upper bound in equation (2) for x/b. We obtain:

$$f(x) = af(\frac{x}{b}) + g(x)$$
 definition of  $f$   

$$\leq a \cdot D \cdot \left(\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^p \left(1 + \int_1^{x/b} \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} du\right)\right) + g(x)$$
 induction hypothesis  

$$= D \cdot x^p \left(1 + \int_1^{x/b} \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} du\right) + g(x)$$
 since  $a/b^p = 1$   

$$\leq D \cdot x^p \left(1 + \int_1^x \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} du\right)$$

provided we can establish the inequality

$$g(x) \leq D \cdot x^p \int_{x/b}^x \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} \, du \, .$$

The inequality is a simple consequence of the polynomial-growth assumption. Observe that  $g(u) \ge c_1g(x)$  for  $x/b \le u \le x$  and  $u^{p+1} \le \max((x/b)^{p+1}, x^{p+1})$  and hence

$$D \cdot x^{p} \int_{x/b}^{x} \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} du \ge D \cdot x^{p} (x - x/b) \frac{c_{1}g(x)}{\max((x/b)^{p+1}, x^{p+1})}$$
$$= D \cdot \frac{c_{1}(1 - 1/b)}{\max((1/b)^{p+1}, 1)} g(x)$$
$$\ge g(x)$$

provided that  $D \ge \max((1/b)^{p+1}, 1)/(c_1(1-1/b))$ . This completes the induction step.

We satisfy both constraints on D by setting  $D = \max(d_2, d_2/x_0^p, b/(c_1(b-1)), 1/(c_1(b-1)b^p))$ .

How does the value  $I := x^p \int_1^x g(u)/u^{p+1} du$  depend on the relation of g and p? Inspection of a table of integrals<sup>1</sup> yields:

$$\int_{1}^{x} \frac{1}{u^{1+\varepsilon}} du = -\left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon u^{\varepsilon}}\right]_{1}^{x} = \Theta(1) \qquad \varepsilon > 0$$

$$\int_{1}^{x} \frac{\ln^{k} u}{u} du = \left[\frac{\ln^{k+1} u}{k+1}\right]_{1}^{x} = \Theta(\ln^{k+1} x) \qquad k \ge 0$$

$$\int_{1}^{x} \frac{u^{\delta} \ln^{k}}{u} du = \Theta\left(\left[u^{\delta} \ln^{k} u\right]_{1}^{x}\right) = \Theta(x^{\delta} \ln^{k} x) \qquad \delta > 0 \text{ and } k \ge 0$$

Thus

• 
$$f(x) = \Theta(x^p)$$
, if  $g(x) = O(x^{p-\varepsilon})$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

• 
$$f(x) = \Theta(g(x)\log^{k+1} x)$$
 if  $g(x) = \Theta(x^p \log^k)$  for some  $k \ge 0$ , and

• 
$$f(x) = \Theta(g(x))$$
 if  $g(x) = \Theta(x^{p+\delta} \log^k)$  for some  $\delta > 0$  and  $k \ge 0$ .

It is instructive to inspect the value  $I_{\Delta} := x^p \int_{x/b^i}^{x/b^{i-1}} g(u)/u^{p+1} du$ . For  $g(x) = x^p$ , we have

$$I_{\Delta} = x^p \int_{x/b^i}^{x/b^{i-1}} \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} \, du = x^p \int_{x/b^i}^{x/b^{i-1}} \frac{1}{u} \, du = x^p \left[ \ln u \right]_{x/b^i}^{x/b^{i-1}} = x^p \ln b \, ,$$

i.e., all levels of the recursion contribute essentially the same amount to f(x). If  $g(x) = \omega(x^p)$ , the outermost level (i = 0) contributes most, and if  $g(x) = o(x^p)$ , the bottommost level  $(i = \log_b x)$  contributes most.

**Exercise 1** *Prove the lower bound of Theorem 1.* 

Let us see a second proof. We first express f(x) as a sum.

**Lemma 1** Let k be the minimal integer with  $x/b^k \le x_0$ . Then

$$f(x) = a^k f(x/b^k) + \sum_{0 \le i < k} a^i g(x/b^i) = a^k h(x/b^k) + \sum_{0 \le i \le k} a^i g(x/b^i) \ .$$

**Proof:** Either by repeated substitution or by induction on *k*.

Let us next study the two terms in the expression for f(x). Since  $1 \le x/b^k \le x_0$ , we have  $d_1 \le h(x/b_k) \le d_2$  and hence

$$a^k h(x/b^k) = \Theta(a^k) = \Theta(x^p)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See for example, the Wikipedia entry on lists of integrals.

where the last equality can be established by taking logarithms on both sides and observing that  $k\log a = (\log x)/(\log b)\log a$  and  $p\log x = (\log a)/(\log b)\log x$ .

We turn to the sum  $S := \sum_{0 \le i < k} a^i g(x/b^i)$  Define  $x^*$  as  $x/b^k$ . Then  $x/b^i = x^*b^{k-i}$  and hence

$$S = a^{k} \sum_{0 \le i < k} \frac{g(x^{*}b^{k-i})}{a^{k-i}} = x^{p} \sum_{1 \le j \le k} \frac{g(x^{*}b^{j})}{a^{j}} = x^{p} \sum_{1 \le j \le k} \frac{g(x^{*}b^{j})}{(b^{p})^{j}} = :x^{p}S'$$

where the second equality uses the substitution j = k - i and the third equality uses  $a = b^p$ . Since sums are harder to evaluate than integrals, we want to turn the sum into a integral. Consider a single term  $g(x^*b^j)/(b^j)^p$ . We want it to be the value of an integral from  $x^*b^{j-1}$  to  $x^*b^j$ . The length of this integral is  $x^*(b^j - b^{j-1}) = x^*b^j(1 - 1/b)$ . Thus

$$\frac{g(x^*b^j)}{(b^j)^p} = \frac{(x^*)^p g(x^*b^j)}{(x^*b^j)^p} = (x^*)^p \frac{b}{b-1} \int_{x^*b^{j-1}}^{x^*b^j} \frac{g(x^*b^j)}{(x^*b^j)^p \cdot (x^*b^j)} \, du = (x^*)^p \frac{b}{b-1} \int_{x^*b^{j-1}}^{x^*b^j} \frac{g(x^*b^j)}{(x^*b^j)^{p+1}} \, du = (x^*b^j)^p \frac{b}{$$

With

$$h(u) := \frac{g(x^*b^j)}{(x^*b^j)^{p+1}} \quad \text{for } x^*b^{j-1} < u \le x^*b^j, \text{ we have } \quad S' = (x^*)^p \frac{b}{b-1} \int_{x^*}^x h(u) \, du \, .$$

For  $x^*b^{j-1} < u \le x^*b^j$ , we have

$$\frac{h(u)}{g(u)/u^{p+1}} = \frac{g(x^*b^j)}{g(u)} \cdot \frac{(x^*b^j)^{p+1}}{u^{p+1}} = \Theta(1) \cdot \Theta(1) = \Theta(1) .$$

For the first fraction, this follows from the polynomial growth property of g, and for the second fraction, this follows from the polynomial growth property of  $x^{1+p}$ . Thus

$$S' = (x^*)^p \frac{b}{b-1} \int_{x^*}^x h(u) \, du = \Theta\left(\int_{x^*}^x \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} \, du\right) \, .$$

and we completed the alternative proof of the AB-theorem. We should remark that the alternative proof does not generalize.

We come to extensions. In Theorem 1, f(x) is defined as *a* times the value of *f* at x/b. More generally, we can define f(x) as a linear combination of smaller values.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} h(x) & \text{for } 1 \le x \le x_0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i f(\frac{x}{b_i}) + g(x) & \text{for } x > x_0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

where k is an integer constant and  $a_i > 0$  and  $b_i > 1$  are real constants. In order to make f well defined, we require  $x_0 \ge \max_i b_i$ . Then  $1 \le x/b_i < x$  for all  $x \ge x_0$  and all *i*. We define p as the unique real number for which  $\sum_i a_i (1/b_i)^p = 1$ .

Theorem 2 (Akra-Bazzi) Under the assumptions stated above,

$$f(x) = \Theta\left(x^p\left(1 + \int_1^x \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} \, du\right)\right) \, .$$

**Proof:** The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1 and delegated to the exercises. It again uses induction on the index of *x*, the smallest integer *k* such that  $x/(\min_i b_i)^k \le x_0$ .

## Exercise 2 Prove Theorem 2

Although Theorem 2 handles a broad class of recurrences, it does not cover a common form of recurrence arising in the analysis of algorithms. For example, in the recurrence for the running time of Karatsuba's algorithm, we reduced  $T_K(n)$  to  $T_K(\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1)$ . The following extension of Theorem 2 deals with these variations. Consider

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} h(x) & \text{for } 1 \le x \le x_0\\ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i f(\frac{x}{b_i} + h_i(x)) + g(x) & \text{for } x > x_0 \end{cases}$$
(4)

where *k* is an integer constant and  $a_i > 0$  and  $b_i > 1$  are real constants. The  $h_i$  are functions with  $|h_i(x)| \le x/(\log^{1+\varepsilon} x)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $x > x_0$ . In order to make *f* well defined, we require  $1 \le x/b_i + h_i(x) < x/b$  for all *i* and  $x > x_0$  and some b > 1. As before, we define *p* as the unique real number for which  $\sum_i a_i (1/b_i)^p = 1$ .

**Theorem 3 (Leighton)** Under the assumptions stated above and some more technical conditions on  $x_0$  (see [Lei])

$$f(x) = \Theta\left(x^p\left(1 + \int_1^x \frac{g(u)}{u^{p+1}} \, du\right)\right) \, .$$

**Proof:** See [Lei]

For the Karatsuba recurrence we would use k = 3,  $a_i = 1$ ,  $b_i = 2$  and  $h_i(x) = \lfloor x/2 \rfloor + 1 - x/2$ for  $1 \le i \le k$ .

## References

- [AB98] M. Akra and L. Bazzi. On the solution of linear recurrence equations. *Computational Optimization and Applications*, 10(2):195–210, 1998.
- [Lei] T. Leighton. Notes on better master theorems for divide and conquer recurrences. http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10. 1.1.39.1636.