# Notes for the Lectures on May 8th and May 11th

Kurt Mehlhorn

May 11, 2009

This is an extended version of pages 29, 30 and 31 of [KLM<sup>+</sup>09]

**Require:** *G* is a connected graph with  $n_0$  nodes and  $m_0$  edges;

 $G_c = G;$ 

declare all nodes unlabeled;

initialize the basis to the empty set;

 $\{ \text{let } N_c = m_c - (n_c - 1) \}$ 

while  $G_c$  is not a tree **do** 

 $\{G_c \text{ is connected and not a tree}\}$ 

while  $G_c$  has a node of degree 1 do

remove it and the incident edge; declare the removed edge a tree edge;

 $\{m_c \text{ and } n_c \text{ are decreased by one and } N_c \text{ does not change}\}$ 

#### end while

 $\{G_c \text{ is connected, not a tree and every node has degree at least two}\}$ 

if every node of  $G_c$  has degree two, i.e.,  $G_c$  is a circuit then

add this circuit to the basis, declare one of its edges non-tree and delete it from  $G_c$ ;

 $\{m_c \text{ and } N_c \text{ went down by one; } G_c \text{ is now a tree}\}\$ 

## else

 $\{G_c \text{ is connected, not a tree, and there is a node of degree at least three}\}$ 

construct an auxiliary graph; its nodes correspond to the nodes in  $G_c$  of degree at least three and its edges correspond to the maximal paths in  $G_c$  with all internal edges having degree two;

let  $C_a$  be a circuit in the auxiliary graph consisting of at most  $1 + 2 \log n_0$  auxiliary edges; add the underlying circuit in  $G_c$  to the basis, and delete all edges comprising the heaviest auxiliary edge on this circuit from  $G_c$ ; declare one of these edges non-tree and all others tree;

{ $m_c$  and  $N_c$  went down by one and the weight of the circuit added to the basis is at most  $(1+2\log n_0)$  times the weight of the edges deleted}

### end if

end while  $\{G_c \text{ is a tree and hence } N_c = 0\}$ 

declare all edges of  $G_c$  tree edges and delete them from the graph;

**Lemma 1** The total weight of the circuits is at most  $(1+2\log n_0)W$  where  $W = \sum_e w(e)$  is the total weight of all edges.

**Proof:** For every circuit added to the basis, its weight is at most  $(1 + 2\log n_0)$  times the weight of the edges deleted. Observe that this is also true for the last circuit removed (one of its edges is removed in the while-loop and the others are deleted after the while-loop). Thus the total weight of all circuits added to the basis is at most  $(1 + 2\log n_0)W$ .

**Lemma 2** The number of circuits constructed and the number of edges declared non-tree is  $m_0 - (n_0 - 1)$ .

**Proof:** Consider the quantity  $N_c = m_c - (n_c - 1)$ , where  $n_c$  and  $m_c$  are the number of nodes and edges of the current graph, respectively.  $N_c$  starts at  $m_0 - (n_0 - 1)$  and ends at 0. Removal of a vertex of degree one, does not change  $N_c$ , addition of a circuit to the basis decreases it by one. Thus we add exactly  $m_0 - (n_0 - 1)$  circuits to the basis. For each circuit constructed, we declare one edge non-tree.

**Lemma 3** Let  $\Gamma$  be the cycle matrix corresponding to the basis constructed where we order the circuits in their order of construction and the non-tree edges in the order in which they are declared non-tree. Then the square submatrix  $\Gamma'$  of  $\Gamma$  selected by the non-tree edges is a lower triangular matrix. Each diagonal entry is either +1 or -1. The determinant of  $\Gamma'$  is  $\pm 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $C_1, \ldots, C_N$  be the circuits in the order in which they are constructed and  $e_1, \ldots, e_N$  the edges declared non-tree in the order in which they are declared non-tree. Then  $C_i$  uses  $e_i$  and hence each diagonal entry is either +1 or -1. Also,  $e_i$  is deleted after the construction of  $C_i$  and hence  $C_i(e_i) = 0$  for j > i. Thus the elements above the diagonal are zero.

**Lemma 4** *The edges designated as tree edges form a spanning tree.* 

**Proof:** Observe first that we designate  $m_0 - (n_0 - 1)$  edges as non-tree and hence  $n_0 - 1$  edges as tree. The edges designated non-tree select a non-singular submatrix of  $\Gamma$ . Hence the edges designated tree form a spanning tree.

**Theorem 1** The algorithm constructs an integral basis of weight  $O(W \log n)$ .

**Proof:** We have already shown the weight bound.

Let *C* be any cycle. We need to show that *C* is a integer linear combination of our circuits, i.e.,  $C = \Gamma x_C$  for an integral vector  $x_C$ . Let *C'* and  $\Gamma'$  be the restrictions to the non-tree edges. Then  $C' = \Gamma' x_C$ . Cramer's rule implies that the entries of  $x_C$  are rational numbers whose entries have denominator det  $\Gamma'$ . Thus  $x_C$  is integral.

How good is the bound of Theorem 1? Can we do better? We approach this question from several directions.

- 1. In the case of uniform weights, i.e., w(e) = 1 for all e, we can improve upon the bound for graphs with a non-linear number of edges. We will show that any graph has an integral basis of total cardinality  $O(m(\log n)/\max(1,\log(m/n)))$ .
- 2. We show that the bound in item 1 is optimal.
- 3. We show (exercise sheet 2) that a complete graph has a basis of weight O(W).
- 4. We pose an open problem.

**Theorem 2** Any graph has an integral basis of total cardinality  $O(m \frac{\log n}{\max(1,\log(m/n))})$ .

**Proof:** We need the following lemma. A beautiful proof can be found in [AHL02]. In exercise sheet 2, we prove the result for regular graphs of degree  $d = m^{1/k}$ .

**Lemma 5** Let  $k \ge 2$ . Any graph with  $m \ge n^{1+1/k}$  edges contains a circuit of length O(k).

If  $m \le 2n$ , Theorem 1 does the job. It yields a basis of length  $O(m \log n)$ . So assume that m > 2n. Let  $k = 2 \log n / \log(m/n)$ . We proceed in two phases.

- As long as  $m \ge n^{1+1/k}$ , we find a circuit of length O(k), add it to the basis and delete one of its edges from the graph. The total length of the circuits added in phase I is O(mk).
- If m ≤ n<sup>1+1/k</sup>, we apply Theorem 1 and obtain a basis of total length O(n<sup>1+1/k</sup>log n) for the remaining graph.

The total length of the basis is  $O(km + n^{1+1/k} \log n)$ . Finally,

$$\frac{n^{1+1/k}\log n}{km} = \frac{n2^{\log n\frac{\log(m/n)}{2\log n}}\log n\log(m/n)}{m2\log n} = \frac{n2^{\frac{\log(m/n)}{1/2}}\log(m/n)}{2m}$$
$$= \frac{n\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}\log(m/n)}{2m} = \frac{m\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}\log(m/n)}{2m} = O(\frac{\log(m/n)}{\sqrt{m/n}}) = O(1) .$$

Discussion: why this choice of k? give upper bounds for special values of m, say  $m = \Theta(n)$ ,  $\Theta(m \log n)$ , and  $\Theta(n^{1+1/k})$ .

**Exercise 1** Consider arbitrary non-negative edge weights? Why doesn't the proof above show that any graph has a basis of weight  $O(W \frac{\log n}{\log(m/n)})$ ?

We next prove a lower bound.

**Theorem 3** Let  $k \ge 2$ . For sufficiently large n, there is a graph with  $\Theta(n^{1+1/(2k)})$  edges (the claim is actually true with 2k replaced by k) and no circuit of length shorter than k. In such a graph any cycle basis has total length  $\Omega(m \frac{\log n}{\log(m/n)})$ .

**Proof:** Assume first such a graph exists. In this graph any cycle basis has length at least  $(m - n + 1)k = \Omega(mk)$ . Also,  $m = \Theta(nn^{1/(2k)})$  or  $\log(m/n) = \Theta((1/2k)\log n)$  or  $2k = \Theta(\frac{\log n}{\log(m/n)})$ .

We next show the existence of the graph. We sketch a proof by Erdös from 1957; it is one of the first examples of the so-called probabilistic method [ASE92]. We will NOT construct a graph with the claimed properties, we will only show the existence.

Let  $\varphi = 1/(2k)$  and consider a random graph G(n, p) with  $p = n^{\varphi - 1}$ . In such a graph, each of the n(n-1)/2 potential edges is present with probability p. For a G in G(n, p),

- the expected number of edges is  $pn(n-1)/2 \approx 1/2n^{1+\varphi}$ .
- for each node the expected degree is  $p(n-1) \approx n^{\varphi} = n^{1/(2k)}$ .

For almost all graphs in G(n, p), all but a fraction o(1/n), the number of edges is at least  $1/4n^{1+\varphi}$  and the degree of every node is at most  $2n^{\varphi}$ .

Let *X* be the number of circuits of length less than *k*. Then

$$\mathbf{E}[X] = \sum_{3 \le i < k} \frac{(n)_i}{2i} p^i \le \sum_{i < k} (np)^i = \frac{(np)^k - 1}{np - 1} \le (np)^k = n^{\varphi} = \sqrt{n} ,$$

where the last inequality uses the fact that  $np = n^{\varphi} \ge 2$  for *n* large enough.

Thus there is a graph in G(n, p) satisfying the two items above and having only  $\sqrt{n}$  circuits of length less than k. We remove one node from each such circuit and obtain a graph G' with

- n' nodes, where  $n' \leq n$ , and
- m' edges, where  $m' > m \sqrt{n}2n^{1/(2k)} > (1/4)n^{1+\varphi} 2n^{1/2+\varphi} > 1/8n^{1+\varphi}$ .
- no circuit of length less than *k*.

**Problem 1** Do sufficiently dense graphs always have a cycle basis of weight  $o(W \log n)$ ? Observe that complete graphs have cycle basis of weight O(W) (exercise sheet 2).

KM conjectures that the answer is yes.

# References

- [AHL02] N. Alon, S. Hoory, and N. Linial. The Moore bound for irregular graphs. *Graphs* and *Combinatorics*, 18:53–57, 2002.
- [ASE92] N. Alon, J.H. Spencer, and P. Erdös. *The Probabilistic Method*. John Wiley & Sons, 1992.
- [KLM<sup>+</sup>09] T. Kavitha, Ch. Liebchen, K. Mehlhorn, D. Michail, R. Rizzi, T. Ueckerdt, and K. Zweig. Cycle Bases in Graphs: Characterization, Algorithms, Complexity, and Applications. 78 pages, submitted for publication, available at www.mpi-inf.mpg. de/~mehlhorn/ftp/SurveyCycleBases.pdf, March 2009.