

Harald Ganzinger  
 Uwe Waldmann

November 7, 2002

Tutorials for “Decision Procedures for Logical Theories”  
 Exercise sheet 3

**Exercise 3.1:** (5 P.)

A rewrite system  $R$  is called left-reduced, if for all rewrite rules  $l \Rightarrow r \in R$ , the term  $l$  is irreducible by  $R \setminus \{l \Rightarrow r\}$ . Show: If a ground rewrite system is terminating and left-reduced, then it is confluent.

**Exercise 3.2:** (5 P.)

Use Knuth-Bendix completion to transform the set of equations into an an equivalent canonical set of rewrite rules.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{car}(\text{cons}(x, y)) &\approx x \\
 \text{cdr}(\text{cons}(x, y)) &\approx y \\
 \text{cons}(\text{car}(x), \text{cdr}(x)) &\approx x \\
 \text{cdr}(a) &\approx a
 \end{aligned}$$

Hint: Use the fact that a rewrite system is terminating, if for each rewrite rule the right-hand side is a proper subterm of the left-hand side.

**Exercise 3.3:** (4 P.)

Does the following rewrite system have critical pairs? Is it confluent?

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned}
 f(x, g(x)) &\Rightarrow a, \\
 f(x, x) &\Rightarrow b, \\
 c &\Rightarrow g(c)
 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

**Exercise 3.4:** (6 P.)

Prove that the equational Horn clause

$$\forall (s_1 \approx t_1 \rightarrow s_0 \approx t_0)$$

over the signature  $\Sigma$  is universally valid, if and only if

$$s_1 \approx t_1 \models_{\Sigma(X)} s_0 \approx t_0$$

where variables in  $X$  are considered as additional constant symbols.

Put your solution into the mail box at the door of room 627 in the MPI building (46.1) before November 14, 14:00.

Note: In case of group work, write the names of all group members (not more than three!) on a single solution sheet. Do not submit several identical solution sheets.