
Harald Ganzinger
Uwe Waldmann

April 25, 2002

Tutorials for “Logic in Computer Science”
Exercise sheet 3

Exercise 3.1:

What is the clausal normal form of

$$\exists x \forall y (\forall z p(y, z) \rightarrow (\forall z q(y, z) \wedge \neg x \approx y))$$

Exercise 3.2:

Let F and G be first-order formulas over Σ , let x be a variable, and let y be a variable not occurring in F , G , and x . Show that

$$\mathcal{A}(\beta)((\exists x F) \wedge G) = \mathcal{A}(\beta)(\exists y (F[y/x] \wedge G))$$

holds for all Σ -algebras \mathcal{A} and for all assignments $\beta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

Exercise 3.3:

Sketch a procedure that decides the validity of prenex formulas $\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n F$ without existential quantifiers, without function symbols, and without equality.

Exercise 3.4:

Derive \perp from the following four clauses using the resolution calculus *Res*:

$$\begin{aligned} p(a) \vee p(b) \\ \neg p(a) \vee p(b) \\ p(a) \vee \neg p(b) \\ \neg p(a) \vee \neg p(b) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3.5:

Let F be a closed first-order formula with equality over a signature $\Sigma = (\Omega, \Pi)$. Let $\sim \notin \Omega$ be a new binary relation symbol (written as an infix operator). Let the set $Eq(\Sigma)$ contain the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x (x \sim x) \\ & \forall x, y (x \sim y \rightarrow y \sim x) \\ & \forall x, y, z (x \sim y \wedge y \sim z \rightarrow x \sim z) \end{aligned}$$

and for every $f/n \in \Omega$ the formula

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n (x_1 \sim y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n \sim y_n \rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim f(y_1, \dots, y_n))$$

and for every $p/n \in \Pi$ the formula

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n (x_1 \sim y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n \sim y_n \wedge p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow p(y_1, \dots, y_n)).$$

Let \tilde{F} be the formula that one obtains from F if every occurrence of the equality symbol \approx is replaced by the relation symbol \sim .

- (a) Let \mathcal{A} be a model of $\tilde{F} \cup Eq(\Sigma)$. Show that the interpretation $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$ of \sim in \mathcal{A} is a congruence relation. (It is enough if you prove *one* of the properties of congruence relations, say symmetry; the other properties are proved analogously.)
- (b) Let \mathcal{A} be a model of $\tilde{F} \cup Eq(\Sigma)$. Use the congruence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{A}}$ to construct a model of F and prove that it is a model.
- (c) Prove that a formula F is satisfiable if and only if $Eq(\Sigma) \cup \{\tilde{F}\}$ is satisfiable.

Put your solution into the mail box at the door of room 627 in the MPI building (46.1) before May 2, 11:00. Don't forget to write your name and the name of your tutorial group (B, C, D) on your solution.