

Supplemental to 'Context-guided diffusion for label propagation on graphs'

Kwang In Kim
Lancaster University

James Tompkin
Harvard Paulson SEAS

Hanspeter Pfister
Harvard Paulson SEAS

Christian Theobalt
MPI for Informatics

We present a procedure to construct a consistent graph diffusivity operator D^C . We reproduce some contents from the main paper to minimize cross-referencing.

Suppose we are given a graph $G=(X,E,W)$ where X is the set of nodes $\{\mathbf{x}_1,\dots,\mathbf{x}_n\}$, E is the set of edges $\{e_{ij}\} \subset X \times X$, and weights $W=\{w_{ij}\}$ represent non-negative node similarities at each edge:

$$w_{ij} = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}_i-\mathbf{x}_j\|^2}{\sigma_x}\right) & \text{if } \mathbf{x}_i \in N_K(\mathbf{x}_j) \\ & \text{or } \mathbf{x}_j \in N_K(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $N_K(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is the K -nearest neighborhood of \mathbf{x}_i .

For each node $\mathbf{x}_i \in X$, a subgraph $G_i = (X_i, E_i, W_i)$ is defined as the set of nodes that are connected to \mathbf{x}_i and the corresponding edges, i.e., $X_i = \{\mathbf{x}_j | e_{ij} \in E\}$ and $E_i = \{e_{ij} | \mathbf{x}_j \in X_i\}$. The graph gradient operator $\nabla_i : H(X_i) \rightarrow H(E_i)$ and the divergence operator $\nabla_i^* : H(E_i) \rightarrow H(X_i)$ on G_i are respectively defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i f(e_{ij}) &= \sqrt{w_{ij}}(f(j) - f(i)), \forall e_{ij} \in E_i, f \in H(X_i) \\ \nabla_i^* S(i) &= \frac{1}{2d_i} \sum_{j=1}^n \sqrt{w_{ji}}(S(j,i) - S(i,j)), \forall S \in H(E_i). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Now, the (anisotropic) diffusivity coefficients $\{q_{ij}\}$ are defined as eigenvalues of each local graph diffusivity operator D_i :

$$\begin{aligned} D_i &:= \sum_{j \neq i, \mathbf{x}_j \in X_i} q_{ij} \mathbf{b}_{ij} \otimes \mathbf{b}_{ij} \\ \Leftrightarrow D_i(S)(e_{ij}) &= q_{ij} \mathbf{b}_{ij} \langle \mathbf{b}_{ij}, S \rangle, \forall S \in H(E_i), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where \otimes is the tensor product on $H(E_i)$ and the eigenfunction \mathbf{b}_{ij} is the indicator function of the edge e_{ij} .

Given the inner-product structures and the diffusivity operator $D = \{D_i\}$, our anisotropic graph Laplacian is obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned} [L^D f](i) &:= [\nabla_i^* D_i \nabla_i f](i), \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij}^D \right) f(i) - \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij}^D f(j), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with:

$$w_{ij}^D = w_{ij} q_{ij}. \quad (5)$$

With the anisotropic graph Laplacian L^D , our diffusion algorithm is stated as:

$$\Leftrightarrow f^{t+1} = f^t - \delta L^D f^t. \quad (6)$$

Our strategy to build a consistent graph diffusivity operator $D = \{D_i\}$ is to enforce each D_i to agree with an explicit form of the analytic diffusivity operator $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{T}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(M)$ with $\mathcal{T}(M)$ being the tangent bundle of M : The restriction $\mathcal{D}_i : T_{\mathbf{x}_i}(M) \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{x}_i}(M)$ of \mathcal{D} at each point $\mathbf{x}_i \in M$, is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{x}_i} := \sum_{k,l=1}^m q_{kl}^{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{b}^k \otimes \mathbf{b}^l \quad (7)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{x}_i}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{k,l=1}^m q_{kl}^{\mathcal{D}} \langle \mathbf{b}^k, \mathbf{w} \rangle_g \otimes \langle \mathbf{b}^l, \mathbf{v} \rangle_g, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in T_{\mathbf{x}_i}(M)$, \otimes is the tensor product on $\mathcal{T}(M)$, $\{\mathbf{b}^k\}$ is an orthonormal basis vectors with respect to g in $T_{\mathbf{x}_i}(M)$, and \mathbf{b}^{*k} is the dual co-vector of \mathbf{b}^k . The coefficients $\{q_{kl}^{\mathcal{D}}\}$ are given in *Riemannian normal coordinates* where the metric g becomes Euclidean up to second order [4]. The second line is obtained by converting \mathbf{b}^k to \mathbf{b}^{*k} using the metric g in this coordinate.

The tangent space $T_{\mathbf{x}_i}(M)$ and the orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{b}^k\}$ therein can be estimated by performing the principal component analysis on $N_K(\mathbf{x}_i)$ [1]. Due to the positive definiteness requirement, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{x}_i}$ has $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ degree of freedom with m being the dimensionality of M : $\frac{m(m-1)}{2}$ rotations for its eigenvectors plus m -parameters for the corresponding eigenvalues.¹ The construction of the coefficients $\{q_{kl}^{\mathcal{D}}\}$ given D_i will be presented shortly.

Now, given $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{x}_i}$, an $\frac{m(m+1)}{2}$ -dimensional graph diffusivity operator $D_i : H(E_i) \rightarrow H(E_i)$ is *restored* by enforcing the distance measure as induced by D_i to agree with the corresponding distance measured with $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{x}_i}$. First, each input difference vector $\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i$ (aligned with the edge $e_{ij} \in E_i$) is projected onto $T_{\mathbf{x}_i}(M)$. Then, the length of the resulting projection $\text{proj}_{\mathbf{x}_i}[\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i]$ as measured in $T_{\mathbf{x}_i}(M)$ based on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{x}_i}$ is *assigned* as the induced distance on e_{ij} in the graph:

$$q_{ij}^C \Leftarrow \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{x}_i}(\text{proj}_{\mathbf{x}_i}[\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i], \text{proj}_{\mathbf{x}_i}[\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i]), \quad (9)$$

¹Note $\{\mathbf{b}^k\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $T_{\mathbf{x}_i}(M)$ which is in general, different from the set of eigenvectors of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{x}_i}$.

where the assignment (denoted with ‘ \Leftarrow ’) is completed by equating the right hand side with:

$$d^2(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_i) = \|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i\|^2 - \log q_{ij}^C \sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^2, \quad (10)$$

which is obtained by representing w_{ij}^D (Eqs. 5) as the Gaussian envelop of the new distance $d^2(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_i)$, i.e., $w_{ij}^D = \exp\left(-\frac{d^2(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_i)}{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^2}\right)$ (see Eq. 1). Finally, in this setting, the coefficients $\{q_{kl}^D\}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{x}_i}$ are defined as the minimizer of the squared loss:

$$L(\{q_{kl}^D\}) = \sum_{j=1, \dots, K} (q_{ij} - q_{ij}^C)^2, \quad (11)$$

with positive definiteness condition. This can be formulated as a convex optimization on a cone and it can be solved in various ways, for example, by using projected gradient descent.

As $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the diameter of neighborhood size $N_K(\mathbf{x}_i)$ shrink to zero, the difference vector $\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i$ defined in the ambient Euclidean space converges the tangent vector $\text{proj}_{\mathbf{x}_i}[\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i]$ [2]. Now, using Proposition 1 of the main paper, the point-wise convergence of the corresponding anisotropic Laplacian L_D to $\Delta_{\mathcal{D}}$ is obtained as a corollary of Theorem 3 of [2].

In general, for nonlinear anisotropic diffusion processes, \mathcal{D} (and accordingly \bar{g}) depends on f , and the corresponding anisotropic Laplacian $\Delta^{\mathcal{D}}$ also depends on f . In this case, the convergence of $L^{\mathcal{D}}$ to $L^{\mathcal{D}}$ is not defined as $L^{\mathcal{D}}$ itself is not defined without making reference to f . Instead, the convergence of the anisotropic difference equation (Eq. 6) to the continuous differential equation can be established:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = -\Delta_{\mathcal{D}} f. \quad (12)$$

See [3] for the analysis of this type of convergence.

References

- [1] D. L. Donoho and C. Grimes. Hessian eigenmaps: locally linear embedding techniques for high-dimensional data. *PNAS*, 100(10):5591–5596, 2003. 1
- [2] M. Hein, J.-Y. Audibert, and U. von Luxburg. From graphs to manifolds - weak and strong pointwise consistency of graph Laplacians. In *Proc. COLT*, pages 470–485, 2005. 2
- [3] M. Hein and M. Maier. Manifold denoising. In *NIPS*, pages 561–568, 2007. 2
- [4] J. Jost. *Riemannian Geometry and Geometric Analysis*. Springer, New York, 6th edition, 2011. 1