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In this paper we show how to implement bounded ordered dictionaries, also called bounded priority queues, in $O(\log \log N)$ time per operation and $O(n)$ space. Here $n$ denotes the number of elements stored in the dictionary and $N$ denotes the size of the universe. Previously, this time bound required $O(N)$ space.
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1. Introduction

A bounded ordered dictionary $D$ on $[0..N - 1]$ is a partial function from $[0..N - 1]$ to some set $I$, called the information type of $D$, together with the following operations:

$D.init(N)$ initializes $D$ to the empty function
$D.defined(x)$ checks whether $D$ is defined on $x$
$D.lookup(x)$ returns $D(x)$
$D.insert(x, i)$ adds $x$ to the domain of $D$ and sets $D(x)$ to $i$
$D.delete(x)$ removes $x$ from the domain of $D$
$D.size( )$ returns $|\text{dom } D|$
$D.locate(x)$ returns the minimal $y \in \text{dom } D$ with $x \leq y$, if there is such a $y$, and $-1$ otherwise
$D.min( )$ returns $\text{min } \text{dom } D$
$D.max( )$ returns $\text{max } \text{dom } D$
$D.suc(x)$ is applicable only to $x \in \text{dom } D$ and returns the minimal $y \in \text{dom } D$ with $x < y$, if there is such a $y$, and $-1$ otherwise
$D.pred(x)$ is applicable only to $x \in \text{dom } D$ and returns the maximal $y \in \text{dom } D$ with $y < x$, if there is such a $y$, and $-1$ otherwise

In this paper we show

Theorem 1.1. Let $N$ be a prime. Then a bounded ordered dictionary $D$ on $[0\ldots N - 1]$ can be implemented such that operations init, defined, lookup, size, min, max, successor and predecessor take time $O(1)$ and insert, delete and locate take time $O(\log \log N)$. The space requirement is linear in the size of $D$. The $O(1)$ time bounds and the time bound for locate are worst case, the bounds for insert and delete are amortized and randomized, i.e., the averaging involved in the analysis is over choices made by the algorithm and not over the input sequence.

This result extends and unifies the work of van Emde Boas et al., Van Emde Boas, Johnson, Karlsson and Willard. In [8,9,3] it is shown that the time bounds can be achieved using $O(N)$ space and that a space
bound $O(N^c)$ can be achieved at the cost of multiplying the time bounds by $1/\epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. In [10] the space bound $O(\log |D|)$ is achieved for static dictionaries, and in [4,11] the space $O(\log |D|)$ and an $O(\log N)$ time bound is achieved. Many applications of dictionaries require insertions and deletions but cannot afford $O(N)$ storage. For these applications we improve the time bound from $O(\sqrt{\log N})$ to $O(\log \log N)$.

Our solution is based on van Emde Boas' stratified trees. The $O(N)$ storage requirement of stratified trees results from the use of arrays of size $N$. Arrays are a particularly simple implementation of bounded dictionaries, i.e., of partial functions on $[0..N-1]$ with operations init, insert, delete, lookup and defined, which supports all five operations in time $O(1)$. We use dynamic perfect hashing [1] instead of arrays.

**Fact [1].** For prime $N$, dynamic perfect hashing realizes bounded dictionaries in constant time per operation. The time bound for init, lookup and defined is worst case, the bound for insert and delete is amortized and randomized. Furthermore the algorithm uses $O(1)$ amortized space per insertion and deletion, i.e., $n$ insertions and deletions use $O(n)$ space. The model of computation is the unit-cost RAM where the arithmetic operations $\cdot$, $+$, $-$, $\cdot$, $\mod$, $\div$ on integers of value at most $N$ take unit time. For more details see [1,2].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove the theorem above. Section 3 contains the complete programs. They are written in C++ and are part of the LEDA library [6]. Section 4 offers a short conclusion.

2. The construction

A bounded ordered dictionary $D$ on $[0..N-1]$, $N$ prime, is realized by a data structure consisting of four parts: the integer $N$, an ordered list $L$ of the pairs $(x, D(x))$ with $x$ in the domain of $D$, a bounded dictionary $E$ from $[0..N-1]$ to the items of list $L$ and a stratified tree of order $k = \log N$ for the domain of $D$. The domain of $E$ is equal to the domain of $D$; also for each $x \in \text{dom } D$, $E(x)$ is the list item containing the pair $(x, D(x))$, i.e., the position of $(x, D(x))$ in $L$.

It is clear that using the ordered list $L$ and the bounded dictionary $E$ the operations defined$(x)$, lookup$(x)$, succ$(x)$ and pred$(x)$ take constant time. Also, initialization, insertions and deletions take expected constant time when restricted to these components.

The stratified tree [8] of order $k_0$ is used to support the locate operation on the domain of $D$. In general, a stratified tree of order $k$ supports the operations locate, insert, and delete on subsets of $[0..2^k-1]$ in time $O(\log k)$; $k \geq 1$. Our exposition of stratified trees follows [5, p. 290–296]; it is somewhat simpler however and it uses bounded dictionaries instead of arrays.

We need the following notations. For an integer $x \in [0..2^k - 1]$, $k \geq 2$, let $x_1 \in [0..2^{k/2} - 1]$, $x_2 \in [0..2^{k/2} - 1]$ be such that $x = x_1 \cdot 2^{k/2} + x_2$. A stratified tree of order $k \leq k_0$ for a set $S \subseteq [0..2^k-1]$ consists of the eight components $N$, $k$, size, mi, ma, $D$, top and bot, cf. Program 3.1. The integer variables $N$, $k$, size, mi, ma contain $N$, $k$, the cardinality $|S|$ of $S$, and the minimal and maximal element of $S$ respectively. If $S = \emptyset$ then $mi = ma = -1$. The bounded dictionary $D$ on $[0..N-1]$ has its domain equal to $S$; it is never used for lookups but only for defined queries (and hence its information type $I$ is irrelevant). The variable top is a pointer to a stratified tree of order $|k/2|$ and bot is a bounded dictionary from $[0..N-1]$ to pointers to stratified trees. If $k = 1$ or size $\leq 1$ then top = nil and bot is the empty dictionary. If $k \geq 2$ and size $\geq 1$ then top points to a stratified tree of order $|k/2|$ for the set $S' = \{x_1 \colon x \in S\}$ and bot maps each $s \in S'$ to a pointer to a stratified tree of order $|k/2|$ for the set $S''(s) = \{x_2 \colon \exists x \in S \colon x_1 = s\}$.

It is clear that a stratified tree of order $k$ for the empty set can be constructed in constant time and that operations min and max take constant time, cf. Program 3.2. Let us turn to operations locate, insert and delete next. A program for locate$(x)$ is shown in Program 3.3.
If \( x \) exceeds \( ma \) then we return \(-1\), if \( x \leq mi \) then we return \( mi \) and if \( mi < x \leq ma \) and \( \text{size} \leq 2 \) then we return \( ma \). So let us assume that \( mi < x \leq ma \) and \( \text{size} \geq 3 \). Then \( k \geq 2 \). We first check whether \( x \in S' \) (by calling \( \text{bot.lookup}(x) \) and comparing the result with \( \text{nil} \)) and, if so, checking whether \( x \leq \max S''(x) \) (by comparing \( x \) with the \( ma \)-field of \( \text{bot.lookup}(x) \)). If both conditions hold then we locate \( x \) in \( S' \), say this yield \( z \), and return \( x \cdot 2^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} + z \). If one condition does not hold then we locate \( x \) in \( S' \), say this yield \( z \in S' \), and return \( z \cdot 2^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} + \min S''(z) \). In either case, we spend constant time and generate one recursive call on a stratified tree of order \([k/2]\) or \([k/2]\). The running time is therefore \( O(\log k) \).

The insertion algorithm is given in Program 3.4. After checking whether \( x \) belongs to \( S \) we distinguish cases. If \( k = 1 \) or \( \text{size} = 0 \) then we only have to update the fields \( mi \), \( ma \), \( \text{size} \) and \( D \). All of this takes time \( O(1) \). Calls with \( k = 1 \) or \( \text{size} = 0 \) are henceforth called trivial. So let us assume \( k > 1 \) and \( \text{size} \geq 1 \). If \( \text{size} = 1 \), let \( S = \{ y \} \). We create and initialize a stratified tree \( T_0 \) of order \([k/2]\) and insert \( (x, \text{pointer to } T_1) \) into \( \text{bot} \). If \( x \neq y \) then we create and initialize another stratified tree \( T_2 \) of order \([k/2]\) and insert \( (y, \text{pointer to } T_2) \) into \( \text{bot} \). All of this takes time \( O(1) \). We then insert \( x \) into \( T_0 \) and \( x \) into \( T_1 \). If \( x = y \) then we also insert \( y \) into \( T_1 \) and if \( x \neq y \) then we insert \( y \) into \( T_0 \) and \( y \) into \( T_2 \). In either case at most one recursive call is nontrivial. Finally, if \( \text{size} \geq 2 \) we insert \( x \) into the \( \text{top} \)-structure. Also, if \( x \notin S' \) then we create a stratified tree \( T_1 \) of order \([k/2]\), insert \( x \) into \( T_1 \) and \( (x, \text{pointer to } T_1) \) into \( \text{bot} \). If \( x \in S' \) then we only insert \( x \) into the tree \( \text{bot}(x) \). We conclude as above that in either case only one of the two recursive calls of \( \text{insert} \) is nontrivial. Thus running time is \( O(\log k) \).

Deletion is also quite simple, cf. Program 3.5. If \( x \) is not present in the stratified tree there is nothing to do. Otherwise we remove \( x \) from dictionary \( D \) and decrease the size field. We now distinguish cases. If the new size is \( 0 \) then we only have to set \( mi \) and \( ma \) to \(-1\). If the new size is \( 1 \) then we either set \( mi \) to \( ma \) or vice versa whatever is appropriate. If \( k > 1 \) then we delete \( x \) and \( x \) from the substructures and deallocate the substructures. All of this takes time \( O(1) \). Finally, if the new size is at least two and hence \( k > 1 \) then we delete \( x \) from \( S''(x) \). If \( S''(x) \) becomes empty then we also delete \( x \) from the dictionary \( \text{bot} \) and the stratified tree \( \text{top} \). We also recompute \( mi \) and \( ma \) in the obvious way, i.e., \( ma \) is set to the maximal element of \( S''(z) \) where \( z \) is the maximal element of \( S' \). The running time of the deletion algorithm is \( O(\log k) \) since at most one recursive call is nontrivial.

We summarize the discussion in

**Lemma 2.1.** Operations \( \text{Insert} \), \( \text{Delete} \) and \( \text{Locate} \) on stratified trees take \( O(\log \log N) \) time, initialization takes time \( O(1) \).

We discuss the space requirement next. An insertion or deletion into a stratified tree allocates space \( O(\log \log N) \) outside the calls to bounded dictionaries and generates \( O(\log \log N) \) calls to bounded dictionaries. Each such call uses \( O(1) \) amortized space. Thus a sequence of \( m \) insertions and deletions into a stratified tree runs in space \( O(m \log \log N) \). The standard halving-and-doubling technique allows us to relate the space requirement to the size of the set stored. Let \( S \) be a set of size \( n \) and suppose that we process a sequence of \( n/2 \) insertions and deletions on \( S \). This yields a set \( T \) of size between \( n/2 \) and \( 3n/2 \). We can simulate this sequence by first inserting the elements of \( S \) one by one and then processing the insertions and deletions. Then storage requirement and running time is \( O(n \log \log N) \). We can now start the next cycle with set \( T \).

**Lemma 2.2.** A stratified tree for a set of size \( n \) uses space \( O(n \log \log N) \).

It is easy to reduce the storage requirement to \( O(n) \) as described by van Emde Boas. We only have to divide \( S \) into segments of size between \( \log \log N \) and \( 4 \log \log N \). Segments are stored as linked lists and only the first element of each segment is stored in the stratified tree. Thus only \( O(n/\log \log N) \) elements
are stored in the stratified tree and hence the space bound is $O(n)$. A locate operation first uses the stratified tree to locate the appropriate segment and then completes the operation by linear search. An insertion or deletion proceeds similarly. In addition if the subsegment becomes too long or short it is split into two subsegments or merged with a neighboring sequence as in B-trees.

3. Programs

```cpp
class stratified_tree {
    int N; // prime integer, universe size for
    // bounded dictionaries
    int k; // stratified tree over $[0..2^k - 1]$
    int size; // number of elements stored
    int mi; // minimal element stored
    int ma; // maximal element stored
    stratified_tree * top; // pointer to top structure
    bounded_dictionary (stratified_tree *) bot; // a dictionary for the nonempty
    // bottom structures
    bounded_dictionary(int) D;
};
```

**Program 3.1.** The components of a stratified tree. A bounded_dictionary($I$) is a partial function from $[0..N - 1]$ to $I$.

```
stratified_tree :: stratified_tree(int order, int p)
    // we first construct the bounded dictionaries bot and D
    : bot(p), D(p)
    { // and then initialize all the variables
        N = p;
        k = order;
        size = 0;
        mi = ma = -1;
        top = nil;
    }
```

**Program 3.2.** Initialization of a stratified tree. The integer $p$ must be a prime with $p \geq 2^{order} - 1$. In C++, construction of an object and initialization of its components have to take place simultaneously. Also the name of the constructor is the name of the class itself. Thus stratified_tree :: stratified_tree denotes the construction operation of class stratified_tree. Also for syntactical reasons the calls of the constructors bot($p$) and $D(p)$ appear outside the body { ... }.

```
int stratified_tree :: locate(int x)
    { if ($x > ma$) return $-1$;
        if ($x \leq mi$) return $mi$;
        if ($size > 2$)
            { int $x1 = high\_bits(x)$;
                int $x2 = low\_bits(x)$;
                stratified_tree * strptr = botlookup($x1$);
                if ($strptr \neq nil$) && ($x2 \leq strptr \rightarrow ma$)
```

186
```c
return x1 * 2^k/2 + (srtptr -> locate(x2));
else { int z = top -> locate(x1);
    return z * 2^k/2 + bot.lookup(z) -> mi;
}
}
else return ma;
}
```

Program 3.3. Operation locate

```c
void stratified_tree::insert(int x)
{
    if (D.defined(x)) return;
    int x1 = high_bits(x);
    int x2 = low_bits(x);
    if (k = 1) { if (size = = 0) mi = ma = x;
        else if (x > mi) ma = x;
        else mi = x;
    }
    else
        switch(size) {
        case 0: { mi = ma = x;
        break;
        }
        case 1: { int y = mi;
            if (x > mi) ma = x;
            else mi = x;
            int y1 = high_bits(y);
            int y2 = low_bits(y);
            top = new stratified_tree([k/2], N);
            top -> insert(x1);  // a trivial call
            stratified_tree * strptr = new stratified_tree([k/2], N);
            strptr -> insert(x2);  // a trivial call
            bot.insert(x1, strptr);
            if (x1 = = y1)
                strptr -> insert(y2);
            else { top -> insert(y1);
                strptr = new stratified_tree([k/2], N);
                strptr -> insert(y2);  // a trivial call
                bot.insert(y1, strptr);
            }
        break;
        }
        default: { if (x > ma) ma = x;
            if (x < mi) mi = x;
            top -> insert(x1);
            if (!bot.defined(x1))
                { stratified_tree * strptr = new stratified_tree([k/2], N);
                    strptr -> insert(x2);
                }
        }
    }
}
bot.insert(x1, strptr);

} break;

}

size +=
D.insert(x);
} // end insert

Program 3.4. Operation insert

void stratified_tree:: del(int x)
{
if(!D.defined(x)) return;
    int x1 = high_bits(x);
    int x2 = low_bits(x);
    size -=
    D.del(x);
switch(size) {
  case 0: { mi = ma = -1;
            break;
          }
  case 1: { if (x == mi) mi = ma;
            else ma = mi;
            if (k > 1)
                { top -> del(x1);
                  bot.lookup(x1) -> del(x2);
                  delete top;
                  top = nil;
                  bot.del(x1);
                }
            break;
          }
  default: { bot.lookup(x1) -> del(x2);
              if (bot.lookup(x1) -> size( ) = = 0)
                { bot.del(x1);
                  top -> del(x1);
                }
              int z = top -> ma;
              ma = z * \text{2}^{[k/2]} + bot.lookup(z) -> ma;
              z = top -> mi;
              mi = z * \text{2}^{[k/2]} + bot.lookup(z) -> mi;
            break;
          }
        }
    } // end del

Program 3.5. Operation delete
4. Conclusion

We have shown that the space requirement of the bounded ordered dictionary of van Emde Boas can be reduced from $O(N)$ to $O(n)$ while maintaining the $O(\log \log N)$ time bounds. This makes the data structure feasible even for very large $N$.
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