

Formalisation of Ground Resolution and CDCL in Isabelle/HOL

Mathias Fleury and Jasmin Blanchette

July 17, 2023

Contents

0.1	CDCL Extensions	3
0.1.1	Optimisations	3
0.1.2	Encoding of partial SAT into total SAT	73
0.1.3	Partial MAX-SAT	98
0.2	Covering Models	137

theory *CDCL-W-BnB*
imports *CDCL.CDCL-W-Abstract-State*
begin

0.1 CDCL Extensions

A counter-example for the original version from the book has been found (see below). There is no simple fix, except taking complete models.

Based on Dominik Zimmer's thesis, we later reduced the problem of finding partial models to finding total models. We later switched to the more elegant dual rail encoding (thanks to the reviewer).

0.1.1 Optimisations

notation *image-mset* (**infixr** ‘#’ 90)

The initial version was supposed to work on partial models directly. I found a counterexample while writing the proof:

Nitpicking 0.1.

Christoph's book draft 0.1. $(M; N; U; k; \top; O) \Rightarrow^{Propagate} (ML^{C \vee L}; N; U; k; \top; O)$
provided $C \vee L \in (N \cup U)$, $M \models \neg C$, L is undefined in M .

$(M; N; U; k; \top; O) \Rightarrow^{Decide} (ML^{k+1}; N; U; k+1; \top; O)$
provided L is undefined in M , contained in N .

$(M; N; U; k; \top; O) \Rightarrow^{ConfSat} (M; N; U; k; D; O)$
provided $D \in (N \cup U)$ and $M \models \neg D$.

$(M; N; U; k; \top; O) \Rightarrow^{ConfOpt} (M; N; U; k; \neg M; O)$
provided $O \neq \epsilon$ and $\text{cost}(M) \geq \text{cost}(O)$.

$(ML^{C \vee L}; N; U; k; D; O) \Rightarrow^{Skip} (M; N; U; k; D; O)$
provided $D \notin \{\top, \perp\}$ and $\neg L$ does not occur in D .

$(ML^{C \vee L}; N; U; k; D \vee \neg(L); O) \Rightarrow^{Resolve} (M; N; U; k; D \vee C; O)$
provided D is of level k .

$(M_1 K^{i+1} M_2; N; U; k; D \vee L; O) \Rightarrow^{Backtrack} (M_1 L^{D \vee L}; N; U \cup \{D \vee L\}; i; \top; O)$
provided L is of level k and D is of level i .

$(M; N; U; k; \top; O) \Rightarrow^{Improve} (M; N; U; k; \top; M)$
provided $M \models N$ and $O = \epsilon$ or $\text{cost}(M) < \text{cost}(O)$.

This calculus does not always find the model with minimum cost. Take for example the following cost function:

$$\text{cost} : \begin{cases} P \rightarrow 3 \\ \neg P \rightarrow 1 \\ Q \rightarrow 1 \\ \neg Q \rightarrow 1 \end{cases}$$

and the clauses $N = \{P \vee Q\}$. We can then do the following transitions:

$(\epsilon, N, \emptyset, \top, \infty)$
 $\Rightarrow^{Decide} (P^1, N, \emptyset, \top, \infty)$
 $\Rightarrow^{Improve} (P^1, N, \emptyset, \top, (P, 3))$
 $\Rightarrow^{conflictOpt} (P^1, N, \emptyset, \neg P, (P, 3))$
 $\Rightarrow^{backtrack} (\neg P \neg P, N, \{\neg P\}, \top, (P, 3))$
 $\Rightarrow^{propagate} (\neg P \neg P Q^{P \vee Q}, N, \{\neg P\}, \top, (P, 3))$
 $\Rightarrow^{improve} (\neg P \neg P Q^{P \vee Q}, N, \{\neg P\}, \top, (\neg P Q, 2))$
 $\Rightarrow^{conflictOpt} (\neg P \neg P Q^{P \vee Q}, N, \{\neg P\}, P \vee \neg Q, (\neg P Q, 2))$
 $\Rightarrow^{resolve} (\neg P \neg P, N, \{\neg P\}, P, (\neg P Q, 2))$
 $\Rightarrow^{resolve} (\epsilon, N, \{\neg P\}, \perp, (\neg P Q, 3))$

However, the optimal model is Q .

The idea of the proof (explained of the example of the optimising CDCL) is the following:

1. We start with a calculus OCDCL on (M, N, U, D, Op) .

2. This extended to a state $(M, N + \text{all-models-of-higher-cost}, U, D, Op)$.
3. Each transition step of OCDCL is mapped to a step in CDCL over the abstract state. The abstract set of clauses might be unsatisfiable, but we only use it to prove the invariants on the state. Only adding clause cannot be mapped to a transition over the abstract state, but adding clauses does not break the invariants (as long as the additional clauses do not contain duplicate literals).
4. The last proofs are done over CDCLopt.

We abstract about how the optimisation is done in the locale below: We define a calculus *cdcl-bnb* (for branch-and-bounds). It is parametrised by how the conflicting clauses are generated and the improvement criterion.

We later instantiate it with the optimisation calculus from Weidenbach's book.

Helper libraries

```
definition model-on :: <'v partial-interp  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  bool> where
  <model-on I N  $\longleftrightarrow$  consistent-interp I  $\wedge$  atm-of 'I  $\subseteq$  atms-of-mm N>
```

CDCL BNB

```
locale conflict-driven-clause-learning-with-adding-init-clause-bnbW-no-state =
  stateW-no-state
  state-eq state
  — functions for the state:
  — access functions:
  trail init-clss learned-clss conflicting
  — changing state:
  cons-trail tl-trail add-learned-cls remove-cls
  update-conflicting

  — get state:
  init-state
for
  state-eq :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool> (infix  $\sim\!\sim$  50) and
  state :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits  $\times$  'v clauses  $\times$  'v clauses  $\times$  'v clause option  $\times$ 
    'a  $\times$  'b> and
  trail :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits> and
  init-clss :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses> and
  learned-clss :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses> and
  conflicting :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clause option> and

  cons-trail :: <('v, 'v clause) ann-lit  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st> and
  tl-trail :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st> and
  add-learned-cls :: <'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st> and
  remove-cls :: <'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st> and
  update-conflicting :: <'v clause option  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st> and

  init-state :: <'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'st> +
fixes
  update-weight-information :: <('v, 'v clause) ann-lits  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st> and
  is-improving-int :: <('v, 'v clause) ann-lits  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool> and
  conflicting-clauses :: <'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses> and
  weight :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'a>
```

```

begin

abbreviation is-improving where
  ⟨is-improving M M' S ≡ is-improving-int M M' (init-clss S) (weight S)⟩

definition additional-info' :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'b⟩ where
  ⟨additional-info' S = ( $\lambda(\_, \_, \_, \_, \_, D)$ . D) (state S)⟩

definition conflicting-clss :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'v literal multiset multiset⟩ where
  ⟨conflicting-clss S = conflicting-clauses (init-clss S) (weight S)⟩

While it would more be natural to add an sublocale with the extended version clause set,
this actually causes a loop in the hierarchy structure (although with different parameters).
Therefore, adding theorems (e.g. defining an inductive predicate) causes a loop.

definition abs-state
  :: ⟨'st ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lit list × 'v clauses × 'v clauses × 'v clause option⟩
where
  ⟨abs-state S = (trail S, init-clss S + conflicting-clss S, learned-clss S,
    conflicting S)⟩

end

locale conflict-driven-clause-learning-with-adding-init-clause-bnbW-ops =
  conflict-driven-clause-learning-with-adding-init-clause-bnbW-no-state
  state-eq state
  — functions for the state:
  — access functions:
  trail init-clss learned-clss conflicting
  — changing state:
  cons-trail tl-trail add-learned-cls remove-cls
  update-conflicting

  — get state:
init-state
  — Adding a clause:
update-weight-information is-improving-int conflicting-clauses weight
for
  state-eq :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool (infix ⟨~⟩ 50) and
  state :: 'st ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits × 'v clauses × 'v clauses × 'v clause option ×
    'a × 'b and
  trail :: ⟨'st ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits⟩ and
  init-clss :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ and
  learned-clss :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ and
  conflicting :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'v clause option⟩ and

  cons-trail :: ⟨('v, 'v clause) ann-lit ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st⟩ and
  tl-trail :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st⟩ and
  add-learned-cls :: ⟨'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st⟩ and
  remove-cls :: ⟨'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st⟩ and
  update-conflicting :: ⟨'v clause option ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st⟩ and

init-state :: ⟨'v clauses ⇒ 'st⟩ and
update-weight-information :: ⟨('v, 'v clause) ann-lits ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st⟩ and
is-improving-int :: ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits ⇒ 'v clauses ⇒
  'a ⇒ bool and

```

```

conflicting-clauses :: <'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses> and
weight :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'a> +
assumes
state-prop':
<state S = (trail S, init-clss S, learned-clss S, conflicting S, weight S, additional-info' S)>
and
update-weight-information:
<state S = (M, N, U, C, w, other)  $\Rightarrow$ 
 $\exists w'. state (update-weight-information T S) = (M, N, U, C, w', other)>$  and
atms-of-conflicting-clss:
<atms-of-mm (conflicting-clss S)  $\subseteq$  atms-of-mm (init-clss S)> and
distinct-mset-mset-conflicting-clss:
<distinct-mset-mset (conflicting-clss S)> and
conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-mono:
<cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)  $\Rightarrow$  is-improving M M' S  $\Rightarrow$ 
conflicting-clss S  $\subseteq\#$  conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M' S)>
and
conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in:
<is-improving M M' S  $\Rightarrow$ 
negate-ann-lits M'  $\in\#$  conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M' S)>
begin

```

Conversion to CDCL sublocale *conflict-driven-clause-learning*_W where

```

state-eq = state-eq and
state = state and
trail = trail and
init-clss = init-clss and
learned-clss = learned-clss and
conflicting = conflicting and
cons-trail = cons-trail and
tl-trail = tl-trail and
add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
remove-cls = remove-cls and
update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
init-state = init-state
apply unfold-locales
unfolding additional-info'-def additional-info-def by (auto simp: state-prop')

```

Overall simplification on states declare *reduce-trail-to-skip-beginning*[simp]

```

lemma state-eq-weight[state-simp, simp]: <S ~ T  $\Rightarrow$  weight S = weight T>
apply (drule state-eq-state)
apply (subst (asm) state-prop')+
by simp

```

```

lemma conflicting-clause-state-eq[state-simp, simp]:
<S ~ T  $\Rightarrow$  conflicting-clss S = conflicting-clss T>
unfolding conflicting-clss-def by auto

```

```

lemma
weight-cons-trail[simp]:
<weight (cons-trail L S) = weight S> and
weight-update-conflicting[simp]:
<weight (update-conflicting C S) = weight S> and

```

```

weight-tl-trail[simp]:
  ‹weight (tl-trail S) = weight S› and
weight-add-learned-cls[simp]:
  ‹weight (add-learned-cls D S) = weight S›
using cons-trail[of S - - L] update-conflicting[of S] tl-trail[of S] add-learned-cls[of S]
by (auto simp: state-prop')
lemma update-weight-information-simp[simp]:
  ‹trail (update-weight-information C S) = trail S›
  ‹init-clss (update-weight-information C S) = init-clss S›
  ‹learned-clss (update-weight-information C S) = learned-clss S›
  ‹clauses (update-weight-information C S) = clauses S›
  ‹backtrack-lvl (update-weight-information C S) = backtrack-lvl S›
  ‹conflicting (update-weight-information C S) = conflicting S›
using update-weight-information[of S] unfolding clauses-def
by (subst (asm) state-prop', subst (asm) state-prop'; force) +
lemma
  conflicting-clss-cons-trail[simp]: ‹conflicting-clss (cons-trail K S) = conflicting-clss S› and
  conflicting-clss-tl-trail[simp]: ‹conflicting-clss (tl-trail S) = conflicting-clss S› and
  conflicting-clss-add-learned-cls[simp]:
    ‹conflicting-clss (add-learned-cls D S) = conflicting-clss S› and
  conflicting-clss-update-conflicting[simp]:
    ‹conflicting-clss (update-conflicting E S) = conflicting-clss S›
unfold conflicting-clss-def by auto

lemma conflicting-abs-state-conflicting[simp]:
  ‹CDCL-W-Abstract-State.conflicting (abs-state S) = conflicting S› and
  clauses-abs-state[simp]:
    ‹cdclW-restart-mset.clauses (abs-state S) = clauses S + conflicting-clss S› and
  abs-state-tl-trail[simp]:
    ‹abs-state (tl-trail S) = CDCL-W-Abstract-State.tl-trail (abs-state S)› and
  abs-state-add-learned-cls[simp]:
    ‹abs-state (add-learned-cls C S) = CDCL-W-Abstract-State.add-learned-cls C (abs-state S)› and
  abs-state-update-conflicting[simp]:
    ‹abs-state (update-conflicting D S) = CDCL-W-Abstract-State.update-conflicting D (abs-state S)›
by (auto simp: conflicting.simps abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def
      init-clss.simps learned-clss.simps clauses-def tl-trail.simps
      add-learned-cls.simps update-conflicting.simps)

lemma sim-abs-state-simp: ‹S ~ T ==> abs-state S = abs-state T›
by (auto simp: abs-state-def)

lemma reduce-trail-to-update-weight-information[simp]:
  ‹trail (reduce-trail-to M (update-weight-information M' S)) = trail (reduce-trail-to M S)›
unfold trail-reduce-trail-to-drop by auto

lemma additional-info-weight-additional-info': ‹additional-info S = (weight S, additional-info' S)›
using state-prop[of S] state-prop'[of S] by auto

lemma
  weight-reduce-trail-to[simp]: ‹weight (reduce-trail-to M S) = weight S› and
  additional-info'-reduce-trail-to[simp]: ‹additional-info' (reduce-trail-to M S) = additional-info' S›
using additional-info-reduce-trail-to[of M S] unfold additional-info-weight-additional-info'
by auto

```

```

lemma conflicting-clss-reduce-trail-to[simp]:
  ⟨conflicting-clss (reduce-trail-to M S) = conflicting-clss S⟩
  unfolding conflicting-clss-def by auto

lemma trail-trail [simp]:
  ⟨CDCL-W-Abstract-State.trail (abs-state S) = trail S⟩
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)

lemma [simp]:
  ⟨CDCL-W-Abstract-State.trail (cdclW-restart-mset.reduce-trail-to M (abs-state S)) =
   trail (reduce-trail-to M S)⟩
  by (auto simp: trail-reduce-trail-to-drop
   cdclW-restart-mset.trail-reduce-trail-to-drop)

lemma abs-state-cons-trail[simp]:
  ⟨abs-state (cons-trail K S) = CDCL-W-Abstract-State.cons-trail K (abs-state S)⟩ and
  abs-state-reduce-trail-to[simp]:
  ⟨abs-state (reduce-trail-to M S) = cdclW-restart-mset.reduce-trail-to M (abs-state S)⟩
  subgoal by (auto simp: abs-state-def cons-trail.simps)
  subgoal by (induction rule: reduce-trail-to-induct)
    (auto simp: reduce-trail-to.simps cdclW-restart-mset.reduce-trail-to.simps)
  done

lemma learned-clss-learned-clss[simp]:
  ⟨CDCL-W-Abstract-State.learned-clss (abs-state S) = learned-clss S⟩
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)

lemma state-eq-init-clss-abs-state[state-simp, simp]:
  ⟨S ~ T ⟹ CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state S) = CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state T)⟩
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)

lemma
  init-clss-abs-state-update-conflicting[simp]:
  ⟨CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state (update-conflicting (Some D) S)) =
   CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state S)⟩ and
  init-clss-abs-state-cons-trail[simp]:
  ⟨CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state (cons-trail K S)) =
   CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state S)⟩
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)

CDCL with branch-and-bound inductive conflict-opt :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool⟩ for S T :: 'st
where
conflict-opt-rule:
  ⟨conflict-opt S T⟩
  if
    ⟨negate-ann-lits (trail S) ∈# conflicting-clss S⟩
    ⟨conflicting S = None⟩
    ⟨T ~ update-conflicting (Some (negate-ann-lits (trail S))) S⟩

inductive-cases conflict-optE: ⟨conflict-opt S T⟩

inductive improveep :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool⟩ for S :: 'st where
improve-rule:
  ⟨improveep S T⟩

```

```

if
  ⟨is-improving (trail S) M' S⟩ and
  ⟨conflicting S = None⟩ and
  ⟨T ~ update-weight-information M' S⟩

inductive-cases improveE: ⟨improveep S T⟩

lemma invs-update-weight-information[simp]:
  ⟨no-strange-atm (update-weight-information C S) = (no-strange-atm S)⟩
  ⟨cdclW-M-level-inv (update-weight-information C S) = cdclW-M-level-inv S⟩
  ⟨distinct-cdclW-state (update-weight-information C S) = distinct-cdclW-state S⟩
  ⟨cdclW-conflicting (update-weight-information C S) = cdclW-conflicting S⟩
  ⟨cdclW-learned-clause (update-weight-information C S) = cdclW-learned-clause S⟩
unfolding no-strange-atm-def cdclW-M-level-inv-def distinct-cdclW-state-def cdclW-conflicting-def
  cdclW-learned-clause-alt-def cdclW-all-struct-inv-def by auto

lemma conflict-opt-cdclW-all-struct-inv:
assumes ⟨conflict-opt S T⟩ and
  inv: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)⟩
shows ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)⟩
using assms atms-of-conflicting-clss[of T] atms-of-conflicting-clss[of S]
by (induction rule: conflict-opt.cases)
  (auto simp add: cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-alt-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model
    in-negate-trial-iff cdclW-restart-mset-state cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def
    distinct-mset-mset-conflicting-clss abs-state-def
    intro!: true-cls-in)
  intro!: true-cls-in)

lemma improve-cdclW-all-struct-inv:
assumes ⟨improveep S T⟩ and
  inv: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)⟩
shows ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)⟩
using assms atms-of-conflicting-clss[of T] atms-of-conflicting-clss[of S]
proof (induction rule: improveep.cases)
  case (improve-rule M' T)
  moreover have ⟨all-decomposition-implies
    (set-mset (init-clss S) ∪ set-mset (conflicting-clss S) ∪ set-mset (learned-clss S))
    (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S)) ⟹
    all-decomposition-implies
    (set-mset (init-clss S) ∪ set-mset (conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M' S)) ∪
     set-mset (learned-clss S))
    (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S)))
  apply (rule all-decomposition-implies-mono)
  using improve-rule conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-mono[of S ⟨trail S⟩ M'] inv
  by (auto dest: multi-member-split)
  ultimately show ?case
  using conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-mono[of S ⟨trail S⟩ M']
  by (auto 6 2 simp add: cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-alt-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model
    in-negate-trial-iff cdclW-restart-mset-state cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def
    intro!: true-cls-in)
  intro!: true-cls-in)

```

```

image-Un distinct-mset-mset-conflicting-clss abs-state-def
simp del: append-assoc
dest: no-dup-appendD consistent-interp-unionD)
qed

cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-invariant is too restrictive: cdclW-restart-mset.no-smaller-confl
is needed but does not hold(at least, if cannot ensure that conflicts are found as soon as possible).

lemma improve-no-smaller-conflict:
assumes ⟨improvep S T⟩ and
⟨no-smaller-confl S⟩
shows ⟨no-smaller-confl T⟩ and ⟨conflict-is-false-with-level T⟩
using assms apply (induction rule: improvep.induct)
unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-invariant-def
by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset-state no-smaller-confl-def cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def
exists-lit-max-level-in-negate-ann-lits)

lemma conflict-opt-no-smaller-conflict:
assumes ⟨conflict-opt S T⟩ and
⟨no-smaller-confl S⟩
shows ⟨no-smaller-confl T⟩ and ⟨conflict-is-false-with-level T⟩
using assms by (induction rule: conflict-opt.induct)
(auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset-state no-smaller-confl-def cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def
exists-lit-max-level-in-negate-ann-lits cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-invariant-def)

fun no-confl-prop-impr where
⟨no-confl-prop-impr S ⟷
no-step propagate S ∧ no-step conflict S⟩

```

We use a slightly generalised form of backtrack to make conflict clause minimisation possible.

```

inductive obacktrack :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool⟩ for S :: 'st where
obacktrack-rule: ⟨
conflicting S = Some (add-mset L D) ⇒
(Decided K # M1, M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S)) ⇒
get-level (trail S) L = backtrack-lvl S ⇒
get-level (trail S) L = get-maximum-level (trail S) (add-mset L D') ⇒
get-maximum-level (trail S) D' ≡ i ⇒
get-level (trail S) K = i + 1 ⇒
D' ⊆# D ⇒
clauses S + conflicting-clss S |= pm add-mset L D' ⇒
T ~ cons-trail (Propagated L (add-mset L D')) ⇒
(reduce-trail-to M1
  (add-learned-cls (add-mset L D'))
  (update-conflicting None S))) ⇒
obacktrack S T⟩

```

inductive-cases obacktrackE: ⟨obacktrack S T⟩

```

inductive cdcl-bnb-bj :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool⟩ where
skip: ⟨skip S S' ⇒ cdcl-bnb-bj S S'⟩ |
resolve: ⟨resolve S S' ⇒ cdcl-bnb-bj S S'⟩ |
backtrack: ⟨obacktrack S S' ⇒ cdcl-bnb-bj S S'⟩

```

inductive-cases cdcl-bnb-bjE: ⟨cdcl-bnb-bj S T⟩

inductive ocdcl_W-o :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool⟩ for S :: 'st where

```

decide: <decide S S'  $\implies$  ocdclW-o S S'> |
bj: <cdcl-bnb-bj S S'  $\implies$  ocdclW-o S S'>

inductive cdcl-bnb :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool' for S :: 'st where
cdcl-conflict: <conflict S S'  $\implies$  cdcl-bnb S S'> |
cdcl-propagate: <propagate S S'  $\implies$  cdcl-bnb S S'> |
cdcl-improve: <improvep S S'  $\implies$  cdcl-bnb S S'> |
cdcl-conflict-opt: <conflict-opt S S'  $\implies$  cdcl-bnb S S'> |
cdcl-other': <ocdclW-o S S'  $\implies$  cdcl-bnb S S'>

inductive cdcl-bnb-stgy :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool' for S :: 'st where
cdcl-bnb-conflict: <conflict S S'  $\implies$  cdcl-bnb-stgy S S'> |
cdcl-bnb-propagate: <propagate S S'  $\implies$  cdcl-bnb-stgy S S'> |
cdcl-bnb-improve: <improvep S S'  $\implies$  cdcl-bnb-stgy S S'> |
cdcl-bnb-conflict-opt: <conflict-opt S S'  $\implies$  cdcl-bnb-stgy S S'> |
cdcl-bnb-other': <ocdclW-o S S'  $\implies$  no-confl-prop-impr S  $\implies$  cdcl-bnb-stgy S S'>

lemma ocdclW-o-induct[consumes 1, case-names decide skip resolve backtrack]:
  fixes S :: 'st
  assumes cdclW-restart: <ocdclW-o S T> and
    decideH:  $\bigwedge L T.$  conflicting S = None  $\implies$  undefined-lit (trail S) L  $\implies$ 
      atm-of L  $\in$  atms-of-mm (init-clss S)  $\implies$ 
      T ~ cons-trail (Decided L) S  $\implies$ 
      P S T and
    skipH:  $\bigwedge L C' M E T.$ 
      trail S = Propagated L C' # M  $\implies$ 
      conflicting S = Some E  $\implies$ 
      -L  $\notin$  E  $\implies$  E  $\neq \{\#\}$   $\implies$ 
      T ~ tl-trail S  $\implies$ 
      P S T and
    resolveH:  $\bigwedge L E M D T.$ 
      trail S = Propagated L E # M  $\implies$ 
      L  $\in \#\ E$   $\implies$ 
      hd-trail S = Propagated L E  $\implies$ 
      conflicting S = Some D  $\implies$ 
      -L  $\in \#\ D$   $\implies$ 
      get-maximum-level (trail S) ((remove1-mset (-L) D)) = backtrack-lvl S  $\implies$ 
      T ~ update-conflicting
      (Some (resolve-cls L D E)) (tl-trail S)  $\implies$ 
      P S T and
    backtrackH:  $\bigwedge L D K i M1 M2 T D'.$ 
      conflicting S = Some (add-mset L D)  $\implies$ 
      (Decided K # M1, M2)  $\in$  set (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))  $\implies$ 
      get-level (trail S) L = backtrack-lvl S  $\implies$ 
      get-level (trail S) L = get-maximum-level (trail S) (add-mset L D')  $\implies$ 
      get-maximum-level (trail S) D'  $\equiv$  i  $\implies$ 
      get-level (trail S) K = i+1  $\implies$ 
      D'  $\subseteq \#\ D$   $\implies$ 
      clauses S + conflicting-clss S  $\models_{pm}$  add-mset L D'  $\implies$ 
      T ~ cons-trail (Propagated L (add-mset L D'))
      (reduce-trail-to M1
        (add-learned-cls (add-mset L D')
          (update-conflicting None S)))  $\implies$ 
      P S T
  shows <P S T>
  using cdclW-restart apply (induct T rule: ocdclW-o.induct)

```

```

subgoal using assms(2) by (auto elim: decideE; fail)
subgoal apply (elim cdcl-bnb-bjE skipE resolveE obacktrackE)
  apply (frule skipH; simp; fail)
  apply (cases <trail S>; auto elim!: resolveE intro!: resolveH; fail)
  apply (frule backtrackH; simp; fail)
  done
done

```

```

lemma obacktrack-backtrackg: <obacktrack S T ==> backtrackg S T>
  unfolding obacktrack.simps backtrackg.simps
  by blast

```

Plugging into normal CDCL

```

lemma cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss:
  <cdcl-bnb S S' ==> init-clss S = init-clss S'
  by (induction rule: cdcl-bnb.cases)
    (auto simp: improvep.simps conflict.simps propagate.simps
      conflict-opt.simps cdclW-o.simps obacktrack.simps skip.simps resolve.simps cdcl-bnb-bj.simps
      decide.simps)

```

```

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss:
  <cdcl-bnb** S S' ==> init-clss S = init-clss S'
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
    (auto dest: cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss)

```

```

lemma conflict-opt-conflict:
  <conflict-opt S T ==> cdclW-restart-mset.conflict (abs-state S) (abs-state T)>
  by (induction rule: conflict-opt.cases)
    (auto intro!: cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-rule[of - <negate-ann-lits (trail S)>]
      simp: cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
      true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model abs-state-def
      in-negate-trial-iff)

```

```

lemma conflict-conflict:
  <conflict S T ==> cdclW-restart-mset.conflict (abs-state S) (abs-state T)>
  by (induction rule: conflict.cases)
    (auto intro!: cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-rule
      simp: clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
      true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model abs-state-def
      in-negate-trial-iff)

```

```

lemma propagate-propagate:
  <propagate S T ==> cdclW-restart-mset.propagate (abs-state S) (abs-state T)>
  by (induction rule: propagate.cases)
    (auto intro!: cdclW-restart-mset.propagate-rule
      simp: clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
      true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model abs-state-def
      in-negate-trial-iff)

```

```

lemma decide-decide:
  <decide S T ==> cdclW-restart-mset.decide (abs-state S) (abs-state T)>
  by (induction rule: decide.cases)
    (auto intro!: cdclW-restart-mset.decide-rule
      simp: clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
      true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model abs-state-def
      in-negate-trial-iff)

```

*true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model abs-state-def
in-negate-trial-iff)*

lemma skip-skip:

```
<skip S T ==> cdclW-restart-mset.skip (abs-state S) (abs-state T)
by (induction rule: skip.cases)
  (auto intro!: cdclW-restart-mset.skip-rule
    simp: clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
    true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model abs-state-def
    in-negate-trial-iff)
```

lemma resolve-resolve:

```
<resolve S T ==> cdclW-restart-mset.resolve (abs-state S) (abs-state T)
by (induction rule: resolve.cases)
  (auto intro!: cdclW-restart-mset.resolve-rule
    simp: clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
    true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model abs-state-def
    in-negate-trial-iff)
```

lemma backtrack-backtrack:

```
<obacktrack S T ==> cdclW-restart-mset.backtrack (abs-state S) (abs-state T)
proof (induction rule: obacktrack.cases)
  case (obacktrack-rule L D K M1 M2 D' i T)
  have H: <set-mset (init-clss S) ∪ set-mset (learned-clss S)>
    ⊆ set-mset (init-clss S) ∪ set-mset (conflicting-clss S) ∪ set-mset (learned-clss S)>
  by auto
  have [simp]: <cdclW-restart-mset.reduce-trail-to M1
    (trail S, init-clss S + conflicting-clss S, add-mset D (learned-clss S), None) =
    (M1, init-clss S + conflicting-clss S, add-mset D (learned-clss S), None)> for D
  using obacktrack-rule by (auto simp add: cdclW-restart-mset-reduce-trail-to
    cdclW-restart-mset-state)
  show ?case
    using obacktrack-rule
    by (auto intro!: cdclW-restart-mset.backtrack.intros
      simp: cdclW-restart-mset-state abs-state-def clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def
      ac-simps)
  qed
```

lemma ocdcl_W-o-all-rules-induct[consumes 1, case-names decide backtrack skip resolve]:

```
fixes S T :: 'st
assumes
  <ocdclW-o S T> and
  <¬ T. decide S T ==> P S T> and
  <¬ T. obacktrack S T ==> P S T> and
  <¬ T. skip S T ==> P S T> and
  <¬ T. resolve S T ==> P S T>
shows <P S T>
using assms by (induct T rule: ocdclW-o.induct) (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-bj.simps)
```

lemma cdcl_W-o-cdcl_W-o:

```
<ocdclW-o S S' ==> cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-o (abs-state S) (abs-state S')>
apply (induction rule: ocdclW-o-all-rules-induct)
  apply (simp add: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-o.simps decide-decide; fail)
  apply (blast dest: backtrack-backtrack)
  apply (blast dest: skip-skip)
by (blast dest: resolve-resolve)
```

```

lemma cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv:
  assumes <cdcl-bnb S T> and <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)>
  shows <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)>
  using assms
proof (induction rule: cdcl-bnb.cases)
  case (cdcl-conflict S')
    then show ?case
    by (blast dest: conflict-conflict cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy.intros
          intro: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-cdclW-all-struct-inv)
next
  case (cdcl-propagate S')
    then show ?case
    by (blast dest: propagate-propagate cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy.intros
          intro: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-cdclW-all-struct-inv)
next
  case (cdcl-improve S')
    then show ?case
    using improve-cdclW-all-struct-inv by blast
next
  case (cdcl-conflict-opt S')
    then show ?case
    using conflict-opt-cdclW-all-struct-inv by blast
next
  case (cdcl-other' S')
    then show ?case
    by (meson cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-inv cdclW-restart-mset.other cdclW-o-cdclW-o)
qed

```

```

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv:
  assumes <cdcl-bnb** S T> and <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)>
  shows <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)>
  using assms by induction (auto dest: cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv)

```

```

lemma cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdclW-or-improve:
  assumes <cdcl-bnb S T> and <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)>
  shows <(λ S T. cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW (abs-state S) (abs-state T) ∨ improvep S T) S T>
  using assms
  apply (induction rule: cdcl-bnb.cases)
  apply (auto dest!: propagate-propagate conflict-conflict
    intro: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW.intros simp add: cdclW-restart-mset.W-conflict conflict-opt-conflict
    cdclW-o-cdclW-o cdclW-restart-mset.W-other)
  done

```

```

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdclW-or-improve:
  assumes <rtranclp cdcl-bnb S T> and <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)>
  shows <(λ S T. cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW (abs-state S) (abs-state T) ∨ improvep S T)** S T>
  using assms
  apply (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for T U
    using cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdclW-or-improve[of T U] rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[of S T]
    by (smt rtranclp-unfold tranclp-unfold-end)
  done

```

lemma *eq-diff-subset-iff*: $\langle A = B + (A - B) \longleftrightarrow B \subseteq\# A \rangle$
by (*metis mset-subset-eq-add-left subset-mset.add-diff-inverse*)

lemma *cdcl-bnb-conflicting-clss-mono*:
 $\langle cdcl\text{-}bnb } S T \implies cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv (abs\text{-}state } S) \implies$
 $conflicting\text{-}clss } S \subseteq\# conflicting\text{-}clss } T \rangle$
by (*auto simp: cdcl-bnb.simps ocdcl_W-o.simps improvep.simps cdcl-bnb-bj.simps*
obacktrack.simps conflict-opt.simps conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-mono elim!: rulesE)

lemma *cdcl-or-improve-cdclD*:
assumes $\langle cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv (abs\text{-}state } S) \rangle$ and
 $\langle cdcl\text{-}bnb } S T \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists N.$
 $cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.cdcl_W^{**} (trail } S, init\text{-}clss } S + N, learned\text{-}clss } S, conflicting } S) (abs\text{-}state } T) \wedge$
 $CDCL\text{-}W\text{-}Abstract\text{-}State.init\text{-}clss (abs\text{-}state } T) = init\text{-}clss } S + N \rangle$

proof –
have *inv-T*: $\langle cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv (abs\text{-}state } T) \rangle$
using *assms(1)* *assms(2)* *cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv* **by** *blast*
consider
 $\langle improvep } S T \rangle \mid$
 $\langle cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.cdcl_W (abs\text{-}state } S) (abs\text{-}state } T) \rangle$
using *cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl_W-or-improve[of S T]* *assms* **by** *blast*
then show ?thesis

proof cases

case 1
then show ?thesis
using *assms cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl_W-or-improve[of S T]*
unfolding *abs-state-def cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[of S T, OF assms(2)]*
by (*auto simp: improvep.simps cdcl_W-restart-mset-state eq-diff-subset-iff*)

next
case 2
let $?S' = \langle (trail } S, init\text{-}clss } S + (conflicting\text{-}clss } S) + (conflicting\text{-}clss } T - conflicting\text{-}clss } S),$
 $learned\text{-}clss } S, conflicting } S \rangle$
let $?S'' = \langle (trail } S, init\text{-}clss } S + conflicting\text{-}clss } T, learned\text{-}clss } S, conflicting } S \rangle$
let $?T' = \langle (trail } T, init\text{-}clss } T + (conflicting\text{-}clss } T) + (conflicting\text{-}clss } T - conflicting\text{-}clss } S),$
 $learned\text{-}clss } T, conflicting } T \rangle$
have *subs*: $\langle conflicting\text{-}clss } S \subseteq\# conflicting\text{-}clss } T \rangle$
using *cdcl-bnb-conflicting-clss-mono[of S T]* *assms* **by** *fast*
then have *H[simp]*: $\langle set\text{-}mset (conflicting\text{-}clss } T + (conflicting\text{-}clss } T -$
 $conflicting\text{-}clss } S) = set\text{-}mset (conflicting\text{-}clss } T) \rangle$
apply (*auto simp flip: multiset-diff-union-assoc[OF subs]*)
apply (*subst (asm) multiset-diff-union-assoc[OF subs] set-mset-union*)
apply (*auto dest: in-diffD*)
apply (*subst multiset-diff-union-assoc[OF subs] set-mset-union*)
apply (*auto dest: in-diffD*)
done
have [simp]: $\langle set\text{-}mset (init\text{-}clss } T + conflicting\text{-}clss } T + conflicting\text{-}clss } T -$
 $conflicting\text{-}clss } S) = set\text{-}mset (init\text{-}clss } T + conflicting\text{-}clss } T \rangle$
by (*subst multiset-diff-union-assoc, (rule subs)*)
(simp only: H ac-simps, subst set-mset-union, subst H, simp)
have $\langle cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv } ?T' \rangle$
by (*rule cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-clauses-cong[OF inv-T]*)
(auto simp: cdcl_W-restart-mset-state eq-diff-subset-iff abs-state-def subs)
then have $\langle cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.cdcl_W } ?S' ?T' \rangle$

```

using 2 cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-enlarge-clauses[of ‹abs-state S› ‹abs-state T› ?S' ‹conflicting-clss
T - conflicting-clss S› ‹{#}›]
  by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset-state abs-state-def subs)
  then have ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW ?S'' (abs-state T)›
    using cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-clauses-cong[of ‹?S'› ?T' ?S'']
      cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learnel-clss-mono[of ‹?S'› ?T']
      cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-restart-init-clss[OF cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-restart, of ‹?S'› ?T' ]
      unfolding abs-state-def cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[of S T, OF assms(2)]
      by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset-state abs-state-def subs)

then show ?thesis
  by (auto intro!: exI[of - ‹conflicting-clss T›] simp: abs-state-def init-clss.simps
    cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[of S T, OF assms(2)])
qed
qed

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-or-improve-cdclD:
  assumes ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)› and
    ‹cdcl-bnb** S T›
  shows ‹ $\exists N.$ 
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW** (trail S, init-clss S + N, learned-clss S, conflicting S) (abs-state T) \wedge
    CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state T) = init-clss S + N›
  using assms(2,1)
proof (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  case base
  then show ?case by (auto intro!: exI[of - ‹{#}›] simp: abs-state-def init-clss.simps)
next
  case (step T U)
  then obtain N where
    st: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW** (trail S, init-clss S + N, learned-clss S, conflicting S)
      (abs-state T)› and
    eq: ‹CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state T) = init-clss S + N›
    by auto
  obtain N' where
    st': ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW** (trail T, init-clss T + N', learned-clss T, conflicting T)
      (abs-state U)› and
    eq': ‹CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state U) = init-clss T + N'›
    using cdcl-or-improve-cdclD[of T U] rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[of S T] step
    by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset-state)
  have inv-T: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)›
    using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv step.hyps(1) step.preds by blast
  have [simp]: ‹init-clss S = init-clss T› ‹init-clss T = init-clss U›
    using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[OF step(1)] cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[OF step(2)]
    by fast+
  then have ‹N ⊆# N'›
    using eq eq' inv-T cdcl-bnb-conflicting-clss-mono[of T U] step
    by (auto simp: abs-state-def init-clss.simps)

let ?S = ‹(trail S, init-clss S + N, learned-clss S, conflicting S)›
let ?S' = ‹(trail S, (init-clss S + N) + (N' - N), learned-clss S, conflicting S)›
let ?T' = ‹(trail T, init-clss T + (conflicting-clss T) + (N' - N), learned-clss T, conflicting T)›
have ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW** ?S' ?T'›
  using st eq cdclW-restart-mset.rtranclp-cdclW-enlarge-clauses[of ?S' ?S ‹N' - N› ‹{#}› ‹abs-state
T›]
  by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset-state abs-state-def)
moreover have ‹init-clss T + (conflicting-clss T) + (N' - N) = init-clss T + N'›

```

```

using eq eq' ‹ $N \subseteq \# N'$ ›
by (auto simp: abs-state-def init-clss.simps)

ultimately have
  ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW** (trail S, init-clss S + N', learned-clss S, conflicting S)
    (abs-state U),›
  using eq' st' ‹ $N \subseteq \# N'$ › unfolding abs-state-def
  by auto
  then show ?case
  using eq' st' by (auto intro!: exI[of - N'])
qed

definition cdcl-bnb-struct-invs :: ‹'st ⇒ bool› where
  ‹cdcl-bnb-struct-invs S ↔
    atms-of-mm (conflicting-clss S) ⊆ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)›

lemma cdcl-bnb-cdcl-bnb-struct-invs:
  ‹cdcl-bnb S T ⇒ cdcl-bnb-struct-invs S ⇒ cdcl-bnb-struct-invs T›
  using atms-of-conflicting-clss[of ‹update-weight-information - S›] apply –
  by (induction rule: cdcl-bnb.induct)
  (force simp: improvep.simps conflict.simps propagate.simps
  conflict-opt.simps ocdclW-o.simps obacktrack.simps skip.simps resolve.simps
  cdcl-bnb-bj.simps decide.simps cdcl-bnb-struct-invs-def) +

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-cdcl-bnb-struct-invs:
  ‹cdcl-bnb** S T ⇒ cdcl-bnb-struct-invs S ⇒ cdcl-bnb-struct-invs T›
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct) (auto dest: cdcl-bnb-cdcl-bnb-struct-invs)

lemma cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb: ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy S T ⇒ cdcl-bnb S T›
  by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-stgy.simps intro: cdcl-bnb.intros)

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb: ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy** S T ⇒ cdcl-bnb** S T›
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  (auto dest: cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb)

The following does not hold, because we cannot guarantee the absence of conflict of smaller
level after improve and conflict-opt.

lemma cdcl-bnb-all-stgy-inv:
  assumes ‹cdcl-bnb S T› and ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)› and
  ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-invariant (abs-state S)›
  shows ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-invariant (abs-state T)›
  oops

lemma skip-conflict-is-false-with-level:
  assumes ‹skip S T› and
  struct-inv: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)› and
  confl-inv: ‹conflict-is-false-with-level S›
  shows ‹conflict-is-false-with-level T›
  using assms
proof induction
  case (skip-rule L C' M D T) note tr-S = this(1) and D = this(2) and T = this(5)
  have conflicting: ‹cdclW-conflicting S› and
  lev: ‹cdclW-M-level-inv S›
  using struct-inv unfolding cdclW-conflicting-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
  cdclW-M-level-inv-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def

```

```

by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
obtain La where
  ‹La ∈# D› and
  ‹get-level (Propagated L C' # M) La = backtrack-lvl S›
  using skip-rule confl-inv by auto
moreover {
  have ‹atm-of La ≠ atm-of L›
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume ‹¬ ?thesis›
    then have La: ‹La = L› using ‹La ∈# D› ← L ∉# D›
      by (auto simp add: atm-of-eq-atm-of)
    have ‹Propagated L C' # M ⊨as CNot D›
      using conflicting tr-S D unfolding cdclW-conflicting-def by auto
    then have ‹¬L ∈ lits-of-l M›
      using ‹La ∈# D› in-CNot-implies-uminus(2)[of L D ‹Propagated L C' # M›] unfolding La
      by auto
    then show False using lev tr-S unfolding cdclW-M-level-inv-def consistent-interp-def by auto
  qed
  then have ‹get-level (Propagated L C' # M) La = get-level M La› by auto
}
ultimately show ?case using D tr-S T by auto
qed

```

lemma propagate-conflict-is-false-with-level:

```

assumes ‹propagate S T› and
  struct-inv: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)› and
  confl-inv: ‹conflict-is-false-with-level S›
shows ‹conflict-is-false-with-level T›
using assms by (induction rule: propagate.induct) auto

```

lemma cdcl_W-o-conflict-is-false-with-level:

```

assumes ‹cdclW-o S T› and
  struct-inv: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)› and
  confl-inv: ‹conflict-is-false-with-level S›
shows ‹conflict-is-false-with-level T›
apply (rule cdclW-o-conflict-is-false-with-level-inv[of S T])
subgoal using assms by auto
subgoal using struct-inv unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
  cdclW-M-level-inv-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
subgoal using assms by auto
subgoal using struct-inv unfolding distinct-cdclW-state-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
subgoal using struct-inv unfolding cdclW-conflicting-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
done

```

lemma cdcl_W-o-no-smaller-confl:

```

assumes ‹cdclW-o S T› and
  struct-inv: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)› and
  confl-inv: ‹no-smaller-confl S› and
  lev: ‹conflict-is-false-with-level S› and
  n-s: ‹no-confl-prop-impr S›
shows ‹no-smaller-confl T›

```

```

apply (rule cdclW-o-no-smaller-confl-inv[of S T])
subgoal using assms by (auto dest!:cdclW-o-cdclW-o) []
subgoal using n-s by auto
subgoal using struct-inv unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
  cdclW-M-level-inv-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
subgoal using lev by fast
subgoal using confl-inv unfolding distinct-cdclW-state-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.no-smaller-confl-def
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state clauses-def)
done

declare cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-is-false-with-level-def [simp del]

lemma improve-conflict-is-false-with-level:
assumes ⟨improveep S T⟩ and ⟨conflict-is-false-with-level S⟩
shows ⟨conflict-is-false-with-level T⟩
using assms
by induction (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-is-false-with-level-def
  abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state in-negate-trial-iff Bex-def negate-ann-lits-empty-iff
  intro!: exI[of - <-lit-of (hd M)]])

declare conflict-is-false-with-level-def[simp del]

lemma cdclW-M-level-inv-cdclW-M-level-inv[iff]:
⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv (abs-state S) = cdclW-M-level-inv S⟩
by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
  cdclW-M-level-inv-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)

lemma obacktrack-state-eq-compatible:
assumes
  bt: ⟨obacktrack S T⟩ and
  SS': ⟨S ~ S'⟩ and
  TT': ⟨T ~ T'⟩
shows ⟨obacktrack S' T'⟩
proof –
obtain D L K i M1 M2 D' where
  conf: ⟨conflicting S = Some (add-mset L D)⟩ and
  decomp: ⟨(Decided K # M1, M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))⟩ and
  lev: ⟨get-level (trail S) L = backtrack-lvl S⟩ and
  max: ⟨get-level (trail S) L = get-maximum-level (trail S) (add-mset L D')⟩ and
  max-D: ⟨get-maximum-level (trail S) D' ≡ i⟩ and
  lev-K: ⟨get-level (trail S) K = Suc i⟩ and
  D'-D: ⟨D' ⊆# D⟩ and
  NU-DL: ⟨clauses S + conflicting-clss S ⊨ pm add-mset L D'⟩ and
  T: T ~ cons-trail (Propagated L (add-mset L D'))
    (reduce-trail-to M1
      (add-learned-cls (add-mset L D')
        (update-conflicting None S)))
using bt by (elim obacktrackE) force
let ?D = ⟨add-mset L D⟩
let ?D' = ⟨add-mset L D'⟩
have D': ⟨conflicting S' = Some ?D⟩
using SS' conf by (cases ⟨conflicting S'⟩) auto

```

```

have  $T' \sim cons\text{-}trail (Propagated L ?D')$ 
  (reduce-trail-to M1 (add-learned-cls ?D'
    (update-conflicting None S)))
using  $T TT'$  state-eq-sym state-eq-trans by blast
have  $T': T' \sim cons\text{-}trail (Propagated L ?D')$ 
  (reduce-trail-to M1 (add-learned-cls ?D'
    (update-conflicting None S')))
apply (rule state-eq-trans[OF  $T' \sim cons\text{-}trail$ ])
by (auto simp: cons-trail-state-eq reduce-trail-to-state-eq add-learned-cls-state-eq
  update-conflicting-state-eq SS')
show ?thesis
apply (rule obacktrack-rule[of - L D K M1 M2 D' i])
subgoal by (rule D')
subgoal using  $TT'$  decomp SS' by auto
subgoal using lev  $TT'$  SS' by auto
subgoal using max  $TT'$  SS' by auto
subgoal using max-D  $TT'$  SS' by auto
subgoal using lev-K  $TT'$  SS' by auto
subgoal by (rule D'-D)
subgoal using NU-DL  $TT'$  SS' by auto
subgoal by (rule T')
done
qed

lemma  $ocdcl_W\text{-}o\text{-}no\text{-}smaller\text{-}conflict\text{-}inv$ :
fixes  $S S' :: \langle st \rangle$ 
assumes
   $\langle ocdcl_W\text{-}o S S' \rangle$  and
  n-s:  $\langle no\text{-}step conflict S \rangle$  and
  lev:  $\langle cdcl_W\text{-}restart\text{-}mset.cdcl_W\text{-}all\text{-}struct\text{-}inv (abs\text{-}state S) \rangle$  and
  max-lev:  $\langle conflict\text{-}is\text{-}false\text{-}with\text{-}level S \rangle$  and
  smaller:  $\langle no\text{-}smaller\text{-}conflict S \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle no\text{-}smaller\text{-}conflict S' \rangle$ 
using assms(1,2) unfolding no-smaller-conflict-def
proof (induct rule:  $ocdcl_W\text{-}o\text{-}induct$ )
case (decide L T) note confl = this(1) and undef = this(2) and T = this(4)
have [simp]:  $\langle clauses T = clauses S \rangle$ 
  using T undef by auto
show ?case
proof (intro allI impI)
fix  $M'' K M' Da$ 
assume  $\langle trail T = M'' @ Decided K \# M' \rangle$  and  $D: \langle Da \in \# local.clauses T \rangle$ 
then have  $trail S = tl M'' @ Decided K \# M'$ 
 $\vee (M'' = [] \wedge Decided K \# M' = Decided L \# trail S)$ 
  using T undef by (cases M'') auto
moreover {
  assume  $\langle trail S = tl M'' @ Decided K \# M' \rangle$ 
  then have  $\neg M' \models as CNot Da$ 
    using D T undef confl smaller unfolding no-smaller-conflict-def smaller by fastforce
}
moreover {
  assume  $\langle Decided K \# M' = Decided L \# trail S \rangle$ 
  then have  $\neg M' \models as CNot Da$  using smaller D confl T n-s by (auto simp: conflict.simps)
}
ultimately show  $\neg M' \models as CNot Da$  by fast
qed

```

```

next
  case resolve
    then show ?case using smaller max-lev unfolding no-smaller-confl-def by auto
next
  case skip
    then show ?case using smaller max-lev unfolding no-smaller-confl-def by auto
next
  case (backtrack L D K i M1 M2 T D') note confl = this(1) and decomp = this(2) and
    T = this(9)
  obtain c where M: <trail S = c @ M2 @ Decided K # M1>
    using decomp by auto

  show ?case
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix M ia K' M' Da
    assume <trail T = M' @ Decided K' # M>
    then have <M1 = tl M' @ Decided K' # M>
      using T decomp lev by (cases M') (auto simp: cdclW-M-level-inv-decomp)
    let ?D' = <add-mset L D'>
    let ?S' = (cons-trail (Propagated L ?D')
      (reduce-trail-to M1 (add-learned-cls ?D' (update-conflicting None S))))
    assume D: <Da ∈# clauses T>
    moreover{
      assume <Da ∈# clauses S>
      then have <¬M ⊨as CNot Da> using <M1 = tl M' @ Decided K' # M> M confl smaller
        unfolding no-smaller-confl-def by auto
    }
    moreover {
      assume Da: <Da = add-mset L D'>
      have <¬M ⊨as CNot Da>
      proof (rule econtr)
        assume <¬ ?thesis>
        then have <¬L ∈ lits-of-l M>
          unfolding Da by (simp add: in-CNot-implies-uminus(2))
        then have <¬L ∈ lits-of-l (Propagated L D # M1)>
          using Uni2 <M1 = tl M' @ Decided K' # M>
          by auto
        moreover {
          have <obacktrack S ?S'>
            using obacktrack-rule[OF backtrack.hyps(1–8) T] obacktrack-state-eq-compatible[of S T S] T
            by force
          then have <cdcl-bnb S ?S'>
            by (auto dest!: cdcl-bnb-bj.intros ocdclW-o.intros intro: cdcl-bnb.intros)
          then have <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state ?S')>
            using cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[of S, OF - lev] by fast
          then have <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv (abs-state ?S')>
            by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def)
          then have <no-dup (Propagated L D # M1)>
            using decomp lev unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def by auto
        }
        ultimately show False
        using Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l defined-lit-map
        by (auto simp: no-dup-def)
      qed
    }
    ultimately show <¬M ⊨as CNot Da>

```

```

using T decomp lev unfolding cdclW-M-level-inv-def by fastforce
qed
qed

lemma cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-smaller-confl:
assumes <cdcl-bnb-stgy S T> and
<cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)> and
<no-smaller-confl S> and
<conflict-is-false-with-level S>
shows <no-smaller-confl T>
using assms
proof (induction rule: cdcl-bnb-stgy.cases)
case (cdcl-bnb-other' S')
show ?case
by (rule ocdclW-o-no-smaller-confl-inv)
(use cdcl-bnb-other' in <auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def>)
qed (auto intro: conflict-no-smaller-confl-inv propagate-no-smaller-confl-inv;
auto simp: no-smaller-confl-def improvep.simps conflict-opt.simps)+

lemma ocdclW-o-conflict-is-false-with-level-inv:
assumes
<ocdclW-o S S'> and
lev: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)> and
confl-inv: <conflict-is-false-with-level S>
shows <conflict-is-false-with-level S'>
using assms(1,2)
proof (induct rule: ocdclW-o-induct)
case (resolve L C M D T) note tr-S = this(1) and confl = this(4) and LD = this(5) and T = this(7)

have <resolve S T>
using resolve.intros[of S L C D T] resolve
by auto
then have <cdclW-restart-mset.resolve (abs-state S) (abs-state T)>
by (simp add: resolve-resolve)
moreover have <cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-is-false-with-level (abs-state S)>
using confl-inv
by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-is-false-with-level-def
conflict-is-false-with-level-def abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
ultimately have <cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-is-false-with-level (abs-state T)>
using cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-o-conflict-is-false-with-level-inv[of <abs-state S> <abs-state T>]
lev confl-inv unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
by (auto dest!: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-o.intros
cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-bj.intros)
then show ?case
by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-is-false-with-level-def
conflict-is-false-with-level-def abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
next
case (skip L C' M D T) note tr-S = this(1) and D = this(2) and T = this(5)
have <cdclW-restart-mset.skip (abs-state S) (abs-state T)>
using skip.intros[of S L C' M D T] skip by (simp add: skip-skip)
moreover have <cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-is-false-with-level (abs-state S)>
using confl-inv
by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-is-false-with-level-def
conflict-is-false-with-level-def abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
ultimately have <cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-is-false-with-level (abs-state T)>

```

```

using cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-o-conflict-is-false-with-level-inv[of ‹abs-state S› ‹abs-state T›]
lev confl-inv unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
by (auto dest!: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-o.intros cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-bj.intros)
then show ‹?case›
by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-is-false-with-level-def
conflict-is-false-with-level-def abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
next
case backtrack
then show ?case
by (auto split: if-split-asm simp: cdclW-M-level-inv-decomp lev conflict-is-false-with-level-def)
qed (auto simp: conflict-is-false-with-level-def)

lemma cdcl-bnb-stgy-conflict-is-false-with-level:
assumes ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy S T› and
‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)› and
‹no-smaller-confl S› and
‹conflict-is-false-with-level S›,
shows ‹conflict-is-false-with-level T›
using assms
proof (induction rule: cdcl-bnb-stgy.cases)
case (cdcl-bnb-conflict S')
then show ?case
using conflict-conflict-is-false-with-level
by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def)
next
case (cdcl-bnb-propagate S')
then show ?case
using propagate-conflict-is-false-with-level
by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def)
next
case (cdcl-bnb-improve S')
then show ?case
using improve-conflict-is-false-with-level by blast
next
case (cdcl-bnb-conflict-opt S')
then show ?case
using conflict-opt-no-smaller-conflict(2) by blast
next
case (cdcl-bnb-other' S')
show ?case
apply (rule ocdclW-o-conflict-is-false-with-level-inv)
using cdcl-bnb-other' by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def)
qed

lemma decided-cons-eq-append-decide-cons: ‹Decided L # MM = M' @ Decided K # M  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(M' ≠ []  $\wedge$  hd M' = Decided L  $\wedge$  MM = tl M' @ Decided K # M)  $\vee$ 
(M' = []  $\wedge$  L = K  $\wedge$  MM = M)›
by (cases M') auto

lemma either-all-false-or-earliest-decomposition:
shows ‹(∀ K K'. L = K' @ K  $\longrightarrow$  ¬P K)  $\vee$ 
(∃ L' L''. L = L'' @ L'  $\wedge$  P L'  $\wedge$  (∀ K K'. L' = K' @ K  $\longrightarrow$  K' ≠ []  $\longrightarrow$  ¬P K))›
apply (induction L)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for a

```

```

by (metis append-Cons append-Nil list.sel(3) tl-append2)
done

lemma trail-is-improving-Ex-improve:
assumes confl: ‹conflicting S = None› and
    imp: ‹is-improving (trail S) M' S›
shows ‹Ex (improvep S)›
using assms
by (auto simp: improvep.simps intro!: exI)

definition cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv :: ‹'st ⇒ bool› where
    ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S ↔ conflict-is-false-with-level S ∧ no-smaller-confl S›

lemma cdcl-bnb-stgy-invD:
shows ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S ↔ cdclW-stgy-invariant S›
unfolding cdclW-stgy-invariant-def cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def
by auto

lemma cdcl-bnb-stgy-stgy-inv:
    ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy S T ⇒ cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S) ⇒
        cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S ⇒ cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv T›
using cdclW-stgy-cdclW-stgy-invariant[of S T]
    cdcl-bnb-stgy-conflict-is-false-with-level cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-smaller-confl
unfolding cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def
by blast

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-stgy-inv:
    ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy** S T ⇒ cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S) ⇒
        cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S ⇒ cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv T›
apply (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for T U
    using cdcl-bnb-stgy-stgy-inv rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv
    rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb by blast
done

lemma cdcl-bnb-cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init:
assumes
    ‹cdcl-bnb S T› and
    entailed: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init (abs-state S)› and
    all-struct: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)›
shows ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init (abs-state T)›
using assms(1)
proof (induction rule: cdcl-bnb.cases)
case (cdcl-conflict S')
then show ?case
    using entailed
    by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init-def
          elim!: conflictE)
next
case (cdcl-propagate S')
then show ?case
    using entailed
    by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init-def
          elim!: propagateE)
next

```

```

case (cdcl-improve S')
moreover have ⟨set-mset (CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state S)) ⊆
set-mset (CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state (update-weight-information M' S)))⟩
  if ⟨is-improving M M' S⟩ for M M'
  using that conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-mono[OF all-struct]
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
ultimately show ?case
  using entailed
  by (fastforce simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init-def
        elim!: improveE intro: true-clss-clss-subsetI)
next
case (cdcl-other' S') note T = this(1) and o = this(2)
show ?case
  apply (rule cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed[of <abs-state S>])
  subgoal using o unfolding T by (blast dest: cdclW-o-cdclW-o cdclW-restart-mset.other)
  subgoal using all-struct unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def by fast
  subgoal using entailed by fast
  done
next
case (cdcl-conflict-opt S')
then show ?case
  using entailed
  by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init-def
        elim!: conflict-optE)
qed

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init:
assumes
  ⟨cdcl-bnb** S T⟩ and
  entailed: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init (abs-state S) and
  all-struct: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)
shows ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init (abs-state T)⟩
using assms by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  (auto intro: cdcl-bnb-cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init
   rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv)
lemma atms-of-init-clss-conflicting-clss2[simp]:
  ⟨atms-of-mm (init-clss S) ∪ atms-of-mm (conflicting-clss S) = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)⟩
using atms-of-conflicting-clss[of S] by blast
lemma no-strange-atm-no-strange-atm[simp]:
  ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm (abs-state S) = no-strange-atm S⟩
using atms-of-conflicting-clss[of S]
unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def no-strange-atm-def
by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
lemma cdclW-conflicting-cdclW-conflicting[simp]:
  ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting (abs-state S) = cdclW-conflicting S⟩
unfoldng cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def cdclW-conflicting-def
by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
lemma distinct-cdclW-state-distinct-cdclW-state:
  ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state (abs-state S) ⇒ distinct-cdclW-state S⟩
unfoldng cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def distinct-cdclW-state-def
by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)

```

```

lemma obacktrack-imp-backtrack:
  ‹obacktrack S T ⟹ cdclW-restart-mset.backtrack (abs-state S) (abs-state T)›
  by (elim obacktrackE, rule-tac D=D and L=L and K=K in cdclW-restart-mset.backtrack.intros)
    (auto elim!: obacktrackE simp: cdclW-restart-mset.backtrack.simps sim-abs-state-simp)

```

```

lemma backtrack-imp-obacktrack:
  ‹cdclW-restart-mset.backtrack (abs-state S) T ⟹ Ex (obacktrack S)›
  by (elim cdclW-restart-mset.backtrackE, rule exI,
    rule-tac D=D and L=L and K=K in obacktrack.intros)
    (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.backtrack.simps obacktrack.simps)

```

```

lemma cdclW-same-weight: ‹cdclW S U ⟹ weight S = weight U›
  by (induction rule: cdclW.induct)
    (auto simp: improvevp.simps cdclW.simps
      propagate.simps sim-abs-state-simp abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
      clauses-def conflict.simps cdclW-o.simps decide.simps cdclW-bj.simps
      skip.simps resolve.simps backtrack.simps)

```

```

lemma ocdclW-o-same-weight: ‹ocdclW-o S U ⟹ weight S = weight U›
  by (induction rule: ocdclW-o.induct)
    (auto simp: improvevp.simps cdclW.simps cdcl-bnb-bj.simps
      propagate.simps sim-abs-state-simp abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
      clauses-def conflict.simps cdclW-o.simps decide.simps cdclW-bj.simps
      skip.simps resolve.simps obacktrack.simps)

```

This is a proof artefact: it is easier to reason on *improvevp* when the set of initial clauses is fixed (here by N). The next theorem shows that the conclusion is equivalent to not fixing the set of clauses.

```

lemma wf-cdcl-bnb:
  assumes improve: ‹¬S T. improvevp S T ⟹ init-clss S = N ⟹ (ν (weight T), ν (weight S)) ∈ R›
  and
    wf-R: ‹wf R›
  shows ‹wf {(T, S). cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S) ∧ cdcl-bnb S T ∧
    init-clss S = N}›
    (is ‹wf ?A›)
  proof –
    let ?R = ‹{(T, S). (ν (weight T), ν (weight S)) ∈ R}›
    have ‹wf {(T, S). cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv S ∧ cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW S T}›
      by (rule cdclW-restart-mset.wf-cdclW)
      from wf-if-measure-f[OF this, of abs-state]
      have wf: ‹wf {(T, S). cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S) ∧
        cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW (abs-state S) (abs-state T) ∧ weight S = weight T}›
        (is ‹wf ?CDCL›)
        by (rule wf-subset) auto
      have ‹wf (?R ∪ ?CDCL)›
        apply (rule wf-union-compatible)
        subgoal by (rule wf-if-measure-f[OF wf-R, of ‹λx. ν (weight x)›])
        subgoal by (rule wf)
        subgoal by (auto simp: cdclW-same-weight)
        done
    moreover have ‹?A ⊆ ?R ∪ ?CDCL›
    by (auto dest: cdclW.intros cdclW-restart-mset.W-propagate cdclW-restart-mset.W-other
      conflict-conflict propagate-propagate decide-decide improve conflict-opt-conflict)

```

```

cdclW-o-cdclW-o cdclW-restart-mset. W-conflict W-conflict cdclW-o.intros cdclW.intros
cdclW-o-cdclW-o
simp: cdclW-same-weight cdcl-bnb.simps ocdclW-o-same-weight
elim: conflict-optE)
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (rule wf-subset)
qed

corollary wf-cdcl-bnb-fixed-iff:
  shows <( $\forall N. wf \{(T, S). cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (abs-state S) \wedge cdcl\text{-bnb } S T$ 
     $\wedge init\text{-klass } S = N\}\) \longleftrightarrow
     $wf \{(T, S). cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (abs-state S) \wedge cdcl\text{-bnb } S T\}\}>$ 
  (is < $(\forall N. wf (?A N)) \longleftrightarrow wf ?B$ >)

proof
  assume < $wf ?B$ >
  then show < $\forall N. wf (?A N)$ >
    by (intro allI, rule wf-subset) auto
next
  assume < $\forall N. wf (?A N)$ >
  show < $wf ?B$ >
    unfolding wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain
  proof
    assume < $\exists f. \forall i. (f (Suc i), f i) \in ?B$ >
    then obtain f where f: < $(f (Suc i), f i) \in ?B$ > for i
      by blast
    then have < $cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (abs-state (f n))$ > for n
      by (induction n) auto
    with f have st: < $cdcl\text{-bnb}^{**} (f 0) (f n)$ > for n
      apply (induction n)
      subgoal by auto
      subgoal by (subst rtranclp-unfold, subst tranclp-unfold-end)
        auto
      done
    let ?N = < $init\text{-klass} (f 0)$ >
    have N: < $init\text{-klass} (f n) = ?N$ > for n
      using st[of n] by (auto dest: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-klass)
    have < $(f (Suc i), f i) \in ?A ?N$ > for i
      using f N by auto
    with < $\forall N. wf (?A N)$ > show False
      unfolding wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain by blast
  qed
qed$ 
```

The following is a slightly more restricted version of the theorem, because it makes it possible to add some specific invariant, which can be useful when the proof of the decreasing is complicated.

lemma wf-cdcl-bnb-with-additional-inv:

```

  assumes improve: < $\bigwedge S T. improvep S T \implies P S \implies init\text{-klass } S = N \implies (\nu (weight T), \nu (weight$ 
   $S)) \in R$ > and
    wf-R: < $wf R$ > and
    < $\bigwedge S T. cdcl\text{-bnb } S T \implies P S \implies init\text{-klass } S = N \implies cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv}$ 
     $(abs-state S) \implies P T$ >
    shows < $wf \{(T, S). cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (abs-state S) \wedge cdcl\text{-bnb } S T \wedge P S \wedge$ 
       $init\text{-klass } S = N\}\}>$ 
    (is < $wf ?A$ >)

proof –
  let ?R = < $\{(T, S). (\nu (weight T), \nu (weight S)) \in R\}$ >

```

```

have ⟨wf {(T, S). cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv S ∧ cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW S T}⟩
  by (rule cdclW-restart-mset.wf-cdclW)
from wf-if-measure-f[OF this, of abs-state]
have wf: ⟨wf {(T, S). cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S) ∧
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW (abs-state S) (abs-state T) ∧ weight S = weight T}⟩
  (is ⟨wf ?CDCL⟩)
  by (rule wf-subset) auto
have ⟨wf (?R ∪ ?CDCL)⟩
  apply (rule wf-union-compatible)
  subgoal by (rule wf-if-measure-f[OF wf-R, of ⟨λx. ν (weight x)⟩])
  subgoal by (rule wf)
  subgoal by (auto simp: cdclW-same-weight)
done

moreover have ⟨?A ⊆ ?R ∪ ?CDCL⟩
  using assms(3) cdcl-bnb.intros(3)
  by (auto dest: cdclW.intros cdclW-restart-mset.W-propagate cdclW-restart-mset.W-other
    conflict-conflict propagate-propagate decide-decide improve conflict-opt-conflict
    cdclW-o-cdclW-o cdclW-restart-mset.W-conflict W-conflict cdclW-o.intros cdclW.intros
    cdclW-o-cdclW-o
    simp: cdclW-same-weight cdcl-bnb.simps ocdclW-o-same-weight
    elim: conflict-optE)
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (rule wf-subset)
qed

```

```

lemma conflict-is-false-with-level-abs-iff:
⟨cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-is-false-with-level (abs-state S) ↔
conflict-is-false-with-level S⟩
by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.conflict-is-false-with-level-def
conflict-is-false-with-level-def)

lemma decide-abs-state-decide:
⟨cdclW-restart-mset.decide (abs-state S) T ⟹ cdcl-bnb-struct-invs S ⟹ Ex(decide S)⟩
apply (cases rule: cdclW-restart-mset.decide.cases, assumption)
subgoal for L
  apply (rule exI)
  apply (rule decide.intros[of - L])
  by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-struct-invs-def abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)
done

lemma cdcl-bnb-no-conflicting-clss-cdclW:
assumes ⟨cdcl-bnb S T⟩ and ⟨conflicting-clss T = {#}⟩
shows ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW (abs-state S) (abs-state T) ∧ conflicting-clss S = {#}⟩
using assms
by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb.simps conflict-opt.simps improvep.simps ocdclW-o.simps
cdcl-bnb-bj.simps
dest: conflict-conflict propagate-propagate decide-decide skip-skip resolve-resolve
backtrack-backtrack
intro: cdclW-restart-mset.W-conflict cdclW-restart-mset.W-propagate cdclW-restart-mset.W-other
dest: conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in
elim: conflictE propagateE decideE skipE resolveE improveE obacktrackE)

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-conflicting-clss-cdclW:

```

```

assumes ‹cdcl-bnb** S T› and ‹conflicting-clss T = {#}›
shows ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW** (abs-state S) (abs-state T) ∧ conflicting-clss S = {#}›
using assms
by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
(fastforce dest: cdcl-bnb-no-conflicting-clss-cdclW)+

lemma conflict-abs-ex-conflict-no-conflicting:
assumes ‹cdclW-restart-mset.conflict (abs-state S) T› and ‹conflicting-clss S = {#}›
shows ‹∃ T. conflict S T›
using assms by (auto simp: conflict.simps cdclW-restart-mset.conflict.simps abs-state-def
cdclW-restart-mset-state clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def)

lemma propagate-abs-ex-propagate-no-conflicting:
assumes ‹cdclW-restart-mset.propagate (abs-state S) T› and ‹conflicting-clss S = {#}›
shows ‹∃ T. propagate S T›
using assms by (auto simp: propagate.simps cdclW-restart-mset.propagate.simps abs-state-def
cdclW-restart-mset-state clauses-def cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def)

lemma cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clss-cdclW-stgy:
assumes ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy S T› and ‹conflicting-clss T = {#}›
shows ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy (abs-state S) (abs-state T)›
proof –
have ‹conflicting-clss S = {#}›
using cdcl-bnb-no-conflicting-clss-cdclW[of S T] assms
by (auto dest: cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb)
then show ?thesis
using assms
by (auto 7 5 simp: cdcl-bnb-stgy.simps conflict-opt.simps ocdclW-o.simps
cdcl-bnb-bj.simps
dest: conflict-conflict propagate-propagate decide-decide skip-skip resolve-resolve
backtrack-backtrack
dest: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy.intros cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-o.intros
dest: conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in
conflict-abs-ex-conflict-no-conflicting
propagate-abs-ex-propagate-no-conflicting
intro: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy.intros(3)
elim: improveE)
qed

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clss-cdclW-stgy:
assumes ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy** S T› and ‹conflicting-clss T = {#}›
shows ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy** (abs-state S) (abs-state T)›
using assms apply (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for T U
using cdcl-bnb-no-conflicting-clss-cdclW[of T U, OF cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb]
by (auto dest: cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clss-cdclW-stgy)
done

```

context

```

assumes can-always-improve:
⟨ ∀S. trail S ⊨ asm clauses S ⇒ no-step conflict-opt S ⇒
conflicting S = None ⇒
cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S) ⇒
total-over-m (lits-of-l (trail S)) (set-mset (clauses S)) ⇒ Ex (improvep S)⟩

```

begin

The following theorems states a non-obvious (and slightly subtle) property: The fact that there is no conflicting cannot be shown without additional assumption. However, the assumption that every model leads to an improvements implies that we end up with a conflict.

```

lemma no-step-cdcl-bnb-cdclW:
  assumes
    ns: ⟨no-step cdcl-bnb S⟩ and
    struct-invs: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)⟩
  shows ⟨no-step cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW (abs-state S)⟩
  proof –
    have ns-conf: ⟨no-step skip S⟩ ⟨no-step resolve S⟩ ⟨no-step obacktrack S⟩ and
      ns-nc: ⟨no-step conflict S⟩ ⟨no-step propagate S⟩ ⟨no-step improvep S⟩ ⟨no-step conflict-opt S⟩
      ⟨no-step decide S⟩
    using ns
    by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb.simps ocdclW-o.simps cdcl-bnb-bj.simps)
    have alien: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm (abs-state S)⟩
    using struct-invs unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def by fast+
    have False if st: ⟨ $\exists T. cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W$  (abs-state S) T⟩
    proof (cases ⟨conflicting S = None⟩)
      case True
      have ⟨total-over-m (lits-of-l (trail S)) (set-mset (init-clss S))⟩
      using ns-nc True apply – apply (rule ccontr)
      by (force simp: decide.simps total-over-m-def total-over-set-def
            Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l)
      then have tot: ⟨total-over-m (lits-of-l (trail S)) (set-mset (clauses S))⟩
      using alien unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
      by (auto simp: total-over-set-atm-of total-over-m-def clauses-def
            abs-state-def init-clss.simps learned-clss.simps trail.simps)
      then have ⟨trail S ⊨asm clauses S⟩
      using ns-nc True unfolding true-annots-def apply –
      apply clarify
      subgoal for C
      using all-variables-defined-not-imply-cnot[of C ⟨trail S⟩]
      by (fastforce simp: conflict.simps total-over-set-atm-of
            dest: multi-member-split)
      done
    from can-always-improve[Of this] have ⟨False⟩
    using ns-nc True struct-invs tot by blast
    then show ⟨?thesis⟩
    by blast
  next
    case False
    have nss: ⟨no-step cdclW-restart-mset.skip (abs-state S)⟩
      ⟨no-step cdclW-restart-mset.resolve (abs-state S)⟩
      ⟨no-step cdclW-restart-mset.backtrack (abs-state S)⟩
    using ns-conf by (force simp: cdclW-restart-mset.skip.simps skip.simps
      cdclW-restart-mset.resolve.simps resolve.simps
      dest: backtrack-imp-obacktrack)+
    then show ⟨?thesis⟩
    using that False by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW.simps
      cdclW-restart-mset.propagate.simps cdclW-restart-mset.conflict.simps
      cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-o.simps cdclW-restart-mset.decide.simps
      cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-bj.simps)
  
```

```

qed
then show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy:
assumes
n-s: ‹no-step cdcl-bnb S› and
all-struct: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)› and
stgy-inv: ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S›
shows ‹conflicting S = None ∨ conflicting S = Some {#}›
proof (rule ccontr)
assume ¬?thesis
then obtain D where ‹conflicting S = Some D› and ‹D ≠ {#}›
by auto
moreover have ‹no-step cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy (abs-state S)›
using no-step-cdcl-bnb-cdclW[OF n-s all-struct]
cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-cdclW by blast
moreover have le: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause (abs-state S)›
using all-struct unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def by fast
ultimately show False
using cdclW-restart-mset.conflicting-no-false-can-do-step[of ‹abs-state S›] all-struct stgy-inv le
unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def
by (force dest: distinct-cdclW-state-distinct-cdclW-state
simp: conflict-is-false-with-level-abs-iff)
qed

lemma no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy-empty-conflict:
assumes
n-s: ‹no-step cdcl-bnb S› and
all-struct: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)› and
stgy-inv: ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S›
shows ‹conflicting S = Some {#}›
proof (rule ccontr)
assume H: ¬?thesis
have all-struct': ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)›
by (simp add: all-struct)
have le: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause (abs-state S)›
using all-struct
unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def
by auto
have ‹conflicting S = None ∨ conflicting S = Some {#}›
using no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy[OF n-s all-struct' stgy-inv] .
then have confl: ‹conflicting S = None›
using H by blast
have ‹no-step cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy (abs-state S)›
using no-step-cdcl-bnb-cdclW[OF n-s all-struct]
cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-cdclW by blast
then have entail: ‹trail S ⊨asm clauses S›
using confl cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-final-state-conclusive2[of ‹abs-state S›]
all-struct stgy-inv le
unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def
by (auto simp: conflict-is-false-with-level-abs-iff)
have ‹total-over-m (lits-of-l (trail S)) (set-mset (clauses S))›
using cdclW-restart-mset.no-step-cdclW-total[OF no-step-cdcl-bnb-cdclW, of S] all-struct n-s confl
unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def

```

```

by auto
with can-always-improve entail confl all-struct
show <False>
using n-s by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb.simps)
qed

lemma full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clss-unsat:
assumes
  full: <full cdcl-bnb-stgy S T> and
  all-struct: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)> and
  stgy-inv: <cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S> and
  ent-init: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init (abs-state S)> and
  [simp]: <conflicting-clss T = {#}>
shows <unsatisfiable (set-mset (init-clss S))>

proof –
  have ns: <no-step cdcl-bnb-stgy T> and
    st: <cdcl-bnb-stgy** S T> and
    st': <cdcl-bnb** S T> and
    ns': <no-step cdcl-bnb T>
  using full unfolding full-def apply (blast dest: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb)+
  using full unfolding full-def
  by (metis cdcl-bnb.simps cdcl-bnb-conflict cdcl-bnb-conflict-opt cdcl-bnb-improve
     cdcl-bnb-other' cdcl-bnb-propagate no-confl-prop-impr.elims(3))
  have struct-T: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)>
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[OF st' all-struct] .
  have [simp]: <conflicting-clss S = {#}>
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-conflicting-clss-cdclW[OF st'] by auto
  have <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy** (abs-state S) (abs-state T)>
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clss-cdclW-stgy[OF st] by auto
  then have <full cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy (abs-state S) (abs-state T)>
  using no-step-cdcl-bnb-cdclW[OF ns' struct-T] unfolding full-def
  by (auto dest: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-cdclW)
  moreover have <cdclW-restart-mset.no-smaller-confl (state-butlast S)>
  using stgy-inv ent-init
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def conflict-is-false-with-level-abs-iff
    cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def conflict-is-false-with-level-abs-iff
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-invariant-def
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def
    no-smaller-confl-def cdclW-restart-mset.no-smaller-confl-def clauses-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def)
  ultimately have conflicting T = Some {#} ∧ unsatisfiable (set-mset (init-clss S))
  ∨ conflicting T = None ∧ trail T ⊨asm init-clss S
  using cdclW-restart-mset.full-cdclW-stgy-inv-normal-form[of <abs-state S> <abs-state T>] all-struct
    stgy-inv ent-init
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def conflict-is-false-with-level-abs-iff
    cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def conflict-is-false-with-level-abs-iff
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-invariant-def
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def)
  moreover have <cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv T>
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-stgy-inv[OF st all-struct stgy-inv] .
  ultimately show <?thesis>
  using no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy-empty-conflict[OF ns' struct-T] by auto

qed

```

```

lemma ocdclW-o-no-smaller-propa:
  assumes <ocdclW-o S T> and
    inv: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)> and
    smaller-propa: <no-smaller-propa S> and
    n-s: <no-confl-prop-impr S>
  shows <no-smaller-propa T>
  using assms(1)
proof cases
  case decide
  show ?thesis
    unfolding no-smaller-propa-def
  proof clarify
    fix M K M' D L
    assume
      tr: <trail T = M' @ Decided K # M> and
      D: <D + {#L#} ∈# clauses T> and
      undef: <undefined-lit M L> and
      M: <M ⊨ as CNot D>
    then have <Ex (propagate S)>
      apply (cases M')
      using propagate-rule[of S <D + {#L#}> L <cons-trail (Propagated L (D + {#L#})) S>]
        smaller-propa decide
      by (auto simp: no-smaller-propa-def elim!: rulesE)
    then show False
      using n-s unfolding no-confl-prop-impr.simps by blast
  qed
next
  case bj
  then show ?thesis
  proof cases
    case skip
    then show ?thesis
    using assms no-smaller-propa-tl[of S T]
    by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-bj.simps ocdclW-o.simps obacktrack.simps elim!: rulesE)
  next
    case resolve
    then show ?thesis
    using assms no-smaller-propa-tl[of S T]
    by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-bj.simps ocdclW-o.simps obacktrack.simps elim!: rulesE)
  next
    case backtrack
    have inv-T: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)>
      using cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-cdclW-all-struct-inv inv assms(1)
      using cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv cdcl-other' by blast
    obtain D D' :: <'v clause> and K L :: <'v literal> and
      M1 M2 :: <('v, 'v clause) ann-lit list> and i :: nat where
        <conflicting S = Some (add-mset L D)> and
        decomp: <(Decided K # M1, M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))> and
        <get-level (trail S) L = backtrack-lvl S> and
        <get-level (trail S) L = get-maximum-level (trail S) (add-mset L D')> and
        i: <get-maximum-level (trail S) D' ≡ i> and
        lev-K: <get-level (trail S) K = i + 1> and
        D-D': <D' ⊆# D> and
        T: T ~ cons-trail (Propagated L (add-mset L D'))
        (reduce-trail-to M1
          (add-learned-cls (add-mset L D')))
```

```

    (update-conflicting None S)))
using backtrack by (auto elim!: obacktrackE)
let ?D' = <add-mset L D'>
have [simp]: <trail (reduce-trail-to M1 S) = M1>
  using decomp by auto
obtain M'' c where M'': <trail S = M'' @ tl (trail T)> and c: <M'' = c @ M2 @ [Decided K]>
  using decomp T by auto
have M1: <M1 = tl (trail T)> and tr-T: <trail T = Propagated L ?D' # M1>
  using decomp T by auto
have lev-inv: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv (abs-state S)>
  using inv unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def by auto
then have lev-inv-T: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv (abs-state T)>
  using inv-T unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def by auto
have n-d: <no-dup (trail S)>
  using lev-inv unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def trail.simps)
have n-d-T: <no-dup (trail T)>
  using lev-inv-T unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def trail.simps)

have i-lvl: <i = backtrack-lvl T>
  using no-dup-append-in-atm-notin[of <c @ M2> <Decided K # tl (trail T)> K]
  n-d lev-K unfolding c M'' by (auto simp: image-Un tr-T)

from backtrack show ?thesis
  unfolding no-smaller-propa-def
proof clarify
  fix M K' M' E' L'
  assume
    tr: <trail T = M' @ Decided K' # M> and
    E: <E'+{#L'#{}> in# clauses T> and
    undef: <undefined-lit M L'> and
    M: <M |=as CNot E'>
  have False if D: <add-mset L D' = add-mset L' E'> and M-D: <M |=as CNot E'>
  proof -
    have <i ≠ 0>
      using i-lvl tr T by auto
    moreover {
      have <M1 |=as CNot D'>
        using inv-T tr-T unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
        cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
        by (force simp: abs-state-def trail.simps conflicting.simps)
      then have <get-maximum-level M1 D' = i>
        using T i n-d D-D' unfolding M'' tr-T
        by (subst (asm) get-maximum-level-skip-beginning)
        (auto dest: defined-lit-no-dupD dest!: true-annots-CNot-definedD) }
    ultimately obtain L-max where
      L-max-in: <L-max ∈# D'> and
      lev-L-max: <get-level M1 L-max = i>
      using i get-maximum-level-exists-lit-of-max-level[of D' M1]
      by (cases D') auto
    have count-dec-M: <count-decided M < i>
      using T i-lvl unfolding tr by auto
    have <- L-max ∉ lits-of-l M>
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume <¬ ?thesis>

```

```

then have <undefined-lit (M' @ [Decided K']) L-max>
  using n-d-T unfolding tr
  by (auto dest: in-lits-of-l-defined-litD dest: defined-lit-no-dupD simp: atm-of-eq-atm-of)
then have <get-level (tl M' @ Decided K' # M) L-max < i>
  apply (subst get-level-skip)
  apply (cases M'; auto simp add: atm-of-eq-atm-of lits-of-def; fail)
  using count-dec-M count-decided-ge-get-level[of M L-max] by auto
then show False
  using lev-L-max tr unfolding tr-T by (auto simp: propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons)
qed
moreover have <- Lnotin lits-of-l M>
proof (rule ccontr)
  define MM where <MM = tl M'>
  assume <- ?thesis>
  then have <- Lnotin lits-of-l (M' @ [Decided K'])>
    using n-d-T unfolding tr by (auto simp: lits-of-def no-dup-def)
  have <undefined-lit (M' @ [Decided K']) L>
    apply (rule no-dup-uminus-append-in-atm-notin)
    using n-d-T <- Lnotin lits-of-l M> unfolding tr by auto
  moreover have <M' = Propagated L ?D' # MM>
    using tr-T MM-def by (metis hd-Cons-tl propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons tr)
  ultimately show False
    by simp
qed
moreover have <L-max ∈# D' ∨ L ∈# D'>
  using D L-max-in by (auto split: if-splits)
  ultimately show False
    using M-D D by (auto simp: true-annots-true-cls true-clss-def add-mset-eq-add-mset)
qed
then show False
  using M'' smaller-propa tr undef M T E
  by (cases M') (auto simp: no-smaller-propa-def trivial-add-mset-remove-iff elim!: rulesE)
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma ocdclW-no-smaller-propa:
assumes <cdcl-bnb-stgy S T> and
  inv: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)> and
  smaller-propa: <no-smaller-propa S> and
  n-s: <no-confl-prop-impr S>
shows <no-smaller-propa T>
using assms
  apply (cases)
  subgoal by (auto)
  subgoal by (auto)
  subgoal by (auto elim!: improveE simp: no-smaller-propa-def)
  subgoal by (auto elim!: conflict-optE simp: no-smaller-propa-def)
  subgoal using ocdclW-o-no-smaller-propa by fast
  done

```

Unfortunately, we cannot reuse the proof we have already done.

```

lemma ocdclW-no-relearning:
assumes <cdcl-bnb-stgy S T> and
  inv: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)> and
  smaller-propa: <no-smaller-propa S> and

```

```

n-s: <no-confl-prop-impr S> and
  dist: <distinct-mset (clauses S)>
  shows <distinct-mset (clauses T)>
  using assms(1)
proof cases
  case cdcl-bnb-conflict
    then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim: rulesE)
next
  case cdcl-bnb-propagate
    then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim: rulesE)
next
  case cdcl-bnb-improve
    then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim: improveE)
next
  case cdcl-bnb-conflict-opt
    then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim: conflict-optE)
next
  case cdcl-bnb-other'
    then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case decide
    then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim: rulesE)
next
  case bj
    then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case skip
    then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim: rulesE)
next
  case resolve
    then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim: rulesE)
next
  case backtrack
have smaller-propa: < $\bigwedge M K M' D L$ .  

  trail  $S = M' @ \text{Decided } K \# M \implies$   

   $D + \{\#L\} \in \# \text{clauses } S \implies \text{undefined-lit } M L \implies \neg M \models_{as} \text{CNot } D$ ,  

  using smaller-propa unfolding no-smaller-propa-def by fast
have inv: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)>
  using inv
  using cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-stgy-cdclW-all-struct-inv inv assms(1)
  using cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv cdcl-other' backtrack ocdclW-o.intros
  cdcl-bnb-bj.intros
  by blast
then have n-d: <no-dup (trail T)> and
  ent: < $\bigwedge L \text{ mark } a b$ .  

   $a @ \text{Propagated } L \text{ mark } \# b = \text{trail } T \implies$   

   $b \models_{as} \text{CNot } (\text{remove1-mset } L \text{ mark}) \wedge L \in \# \text{mark}$ >
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def trail.simps)
show ?thesis
proof (rule econtr)
  assume H: < $\neg$ ?thesis>
  obtain D D' :: <'v clause> and K L :: <'v literal> and
    M1 M2 :: <('v, 'v clause) ann-lit list> and i :: nat where

```

```

⟨conflicting S = Some (add-mset L D)⟩ and
decomp: ⟨(Decided K ≠ M1, M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))⟩ and
⟨get-level (trail S) L = backtrack-lvl S⟩ and
⟨get-level (trail S) L = get-maximum-level (trail S) (add-mset L D')⟩ and
i: ⟨get-maximum-level (trail S) D' ≡ i⟩ and
lev-K: ⟨get-level (trail S) K = i + 1⟩ and
D-D': ⟨D' ⊆# D⟩ and
T: T ~ cons-trail (Propagated L (add-mset L D'))
(reduce-trail-to M1
  (add-learned-cls (add-mset L D')
    (update-conflicting None S)))
using backtrack by (auto elim!: obacktrackE)
from H T dist have LD': ⟨add-mset L D' ∈# clauses S⟩
by auto
have ⟨¬M1 ≡as CNot D'⟩
using get-all-ann-decomposition-exists-prepend[OF decomp] apply (elim exE)
by (rule smaller-propa[of ⟨- @ M2⟩ K M1 D' L])
  (use n-d T decomp LD' in auto)
moreover have ⟨M1 ≡as CNot D'⟩
  using ent[of [] L ⟨add-mset L D'⟩ M1] T decomp by auto
ultimately show False
 $\dots$ 
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-unsat:
assumes
  st: ⟨full cdcl-bnb-stgy S T⟩ and
  all-struct: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)⟩ and
  opt-struct: ⟨cdcl-bnb-struct-invs S⟩ and
  stgy-inv: ⟨cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S⟩
shows
  ⟨unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T))⟩
proof –
  have ns: ⟨no-step cdcl-bnb-stgy T⟩ and
  st: ⟨cdcl-bnb-stgy** S T⟩ and
  st': ⟨cdcl-bnb** S T⟩
  using st unfolding full-def by (auto intro: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb)
  have ns': ⟨no-step cdcl-bnb T⟩
  by (meson cdcl-bnb.cases cdcl-bnb-stgy.simps no-confl-prop-impr.elims(3) ns)
  have struct-T: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)⟩
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[OF st' all-struct] .
  have stgy-T: ⟨cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv T⟩
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-stgy-inv[OF st all-struct stgy-inv] .
  have confl: ⟨conflicting T = Some {#}⟩
  using no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy-empty-conflict[OF ns' struct-T stgy-T] .

  have ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause (abs-state T)⟩ and
  alien: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm (abs-state T)⟩
  using struct-T unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def by fast+
  then have ent': ⟨set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T) ⊨p {#}⟩
  using confl unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-alt-def
  by auto

```

```

then show <unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T))>
  unfolding true-clss-cls-def satisfiable-def by auto

qed

end

lemma cdcl-bnb-reasons-in-clauses:
  <cdcl-bnb S T ==> reasons-in-clauses S ==> reasons-in-clauses T>
  by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb.simps reasons-in-clauses-def ocdclW-o.simps
    cdcl-bnb-bj.simps get-all-mark-of-propagated-tl-proped
    elim!: rulesE improveE conflict-optE obacktrackE
    dest!: in-set-tlD get-all-ann-decomposition-exists-prepend)

lemma cdcl-bnb-pow2-n-learned-clauses:
  assumes <distinct-mset-mset N>
  <cdcl-bnb** (init-state N) T>
  shows <size (learned-clss T) ≤ 2 ^ (card (atms-of-mm N))>
proof –
  have H: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state (init-state N))>
  using assms apply (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.reasons-in-clauses-def)
  using assms by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    distinct-mset-mset-conflicting-clss
    cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def abs-state-def init-clss.simps)
  then obtain Na where Na: < cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW**>
    (trail (init-state N), init-clss (init-state N) + Na,
     learned-clss (init-state N), conflicting (init-state N))
    (abs-state T) ∧
    CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state T) = init-clss (init-state N) + Na
  using rtranclp-cdcl-or-improve-cdclD[OF H assms(2)] by auto
  moreover have <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv ([] , N + Na , {#} , None)>
  using assms Na rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[OF assms(2)]
  apply (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.reasons-in-clauses-def)
  using assms by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
    distinct-mset-mset-conflicting-clss cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def abs-state-def init-clss.simps)
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[OF assms(2)]
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdcl-pow2-n-learned-clauses2[of <N + Na> <abs-state T>]
  by (auto simp: init-state.simps abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)

qed
end

end
theory CDCL-W-Optimal-Model
  imports CDCL-W-BnB HOL-Library.Extended-Nat
begin

```

OCDCL

The following datatype is equivalent to '*a option*'. However, it has the opposite ordering. Therefore, I decided to use a different type instead of have a second order which conflicts with `~~/src/HOL/Library/Option_ord.thy`.

```

datatype 'a optimal-model = Not-Found | is-found: Found (the-optimal: 'a)

instantiation optimal-model :: (ord) ord
begin

  fun less-optimal-model :: '<'a :: ord optimal-model ⇒ 'a optimal-model ⇒ bool' where
    <less-optimal-model Not-Found - = False>
    | <less-optimal-model (Found -) Not-Found ⟷ True>
    | <less-optimal-model (Found a) (Found b) ⟷ a < b>

  fun less-eq-optimal-model :: '<'a :: ord optimal-model ⇒ 'a optimal-model ⇒ bool' where
    <less-eq-optimal-model Not-Found Not-Found = True>
    | <less-eq-optimal-model Not-Found (Found -) = False>
    | <less-eq-optimal-model (Found -) Not-Found ⟷ True>
    | <less-eq-optimal-model (Found a) (Found b) ⟷ a ≤ b>

  instance
    by standard

  end

  instance optimal-model :: (preorder) preorder
    apply standard
    subgoal for a b
      by (cases a; cases b) (auto simp: less-le-not-le)
    subgoal for a
      by (cases a) auto
    subgoal for a b c
      by (cases a; cases b; cases c) (auto dest: order-trans)
    done

  instance optimal-model :: (order) order
    apply standard
    subgoal for a b
      by (cases a; cases b) (auto simp: less-le-not-le)
    done

  instance optimal-model :: (linorder) linorder
    apply standard
    subgoal for a b
      by (cases a; cases b) (auto simp: less-le-not-le)
    done

instantiation optimal-model :: (wellorder) wellorder
begin

  lemma wf-less-optimal-model: <wf { (M :: 'a optimal-model, N). M < N }>
  proof –
    have 1: <{ (M :: 'a optimal-model, N). M < N } = map-prod Found Found ‘ { (M :: 'a, N). M < N } ∪ { (a, b). a ≠ Not-Found ∧ b = Not-Found }> (is <?A = ?B ∪ ?C>)

```

```

apply (auto simp: image-iff)
apply (case-tac a; case-tac b)
apply auto
apply (case-tac a)
apply auto
done
have [simp]: ‹inj Found›
  by (auto simp:inj-on-def)
have ‹wf ?B›
  by (rule wf-map-prod-image) (auto intro: wf)
moreover have ‹wf ?C›
  by (rule wfI-pf) auto
ultimately show ‹wf (?A)›
  unfolding 1
  by (rule wf-Un) (auto)
qed

```

instance by standard (metis CollectI split-conv wf-def wf-less-optimal-model)

end

This locales includes only the assumption we make on the weight function.

```

locale ocdcl-weight =
  fixes
     $\varrho : \{v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow a : \{\text{linorder}\}\}$ 
  assumes
     $\varrho\text{-mono}: \{ \text{distinct-mset } B \Rightarrow A \subseteq\# B \Rightarrow \varrho A \leq \varrho B \}$ 
begin

lemma  $\varrho\text{-empty-simp}[simp]$ :
  assumes ‹consistent-interp (set-mset A)› ‹distinct-mset A›
  shows ‹ $\varrho A \geq \varrho \{\#\}$ › ‹ $\neg \varrho A < \varrho \{\#\}$ › ‹ $\varrho A \leq \varrho \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow \varrho A = \varrho \{\#\}$ ›
  using  $\varrho\text{-mono}[of A \{\#\}]$  assms
  by auto

abbreviation  $\varrho' : \{v \text{ clause option} \Rightarrow a \text{ optimal-model}\}$  where
  ‹ $\varrho' w \equiv (\text{case } w \text{ of None} \Rightarrow \text{Not-Found} \mid \text{Some } w \Rightarrow \text{Found } (\varrho w))$ ›

definition  $\text{is-improving-int}$ 
  :: ( $'v \text{ literal}, 'v \text{ literal}, 'b)$  annotated-lits  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'v \text{ literal}, 'v \text{ literal}, 'b)$  annotated-lits  $\Rightarrow$   $'v \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow$ 
     $'v \text{ clause option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where
  ‹ $\text{is-improving-int } M M' N w \longleftrightarrow \text{Found } (\varrho (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M')) < \varrho' w \wedge$ 
     $M' \models_{asm} N \wedge \text{no-dup } M' \wedge$ 
     $\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M' \in \text{simple-clss } (\text{atms-of-mm } N) \wedge$ 
     $\text{total-over-m } (\text{lits-of-l } M') (\text{set-mset } N) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall M'. \text{total-over-m } (\text{lits-of-l } M') (\text{set-mset } N) \longrightarrow \text{mset } M \subseteq\# \text{ mset } M' \longrightarrow$ 
       $\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M' \in \text{simple-clss } (\text{atms-of-mm } N) \longrightarrow$ 
       $\varrho (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M') = \varrho (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M))$ ›

definition  $\text{too-heavy-clauses}$ 
  ::  $\{v \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow v \text{ clause option} \Rightarrow v \text{ clauses}\}$ 
where
  ‹ $\text{too-heavy-clauses } M w =$ 
     $\{\#pNeg C \mid C \in\# \text{ mset-set } (\text{simple-clss } (\text{atms-of-mm } M)). \varrho' w \leq \text{Found } (\varrho C)\#\}$ ›

```

definition *conflicting-clauses*

:: $\langle 'v \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause option} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses} \rangle$

where

$\langle \text{conflicting-clauses } N w = \{ \# C \in \# \text{ mset-set} (\text{simple-clss} (\text{atms-of-mm } N)). \text{ too-heavy-clauses } N w \models_{pm} C \# \} \rangle$

lemma *too-heavy-clauses-conflicting-clauses*:

$\langle C \in \# \text{ too-heavy-clauses } M w \implies C \in \# \text{ conflicting-clauses } M w \rangle$

by (auto simp: conflicting-clauses-def too-heavy-clauses-def simple-clss-finite)

lemma *too-heavy-clauses-contains-itself*:

$\langle M \in \text{simple-clss} (\text{atms-of-mm } N) \implies p\text{Neg } M \in \# \text{ too-heavy-clauses } N (\text{Some } M) \rangle$

by (auto simp: too-heavy-clauses-def simple-clss-finite)

lemma *too-heavy-clause-None*[simp]: $\langle \text{too-heavy-clauses } M \text{ None} = \{ \# \} \rangle$

by (auto simp: too-heavy-clauses-def)

lemma *atms-of-mm-too-heavy-clauses-le*:

$\langle \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{too-heavy-clauses } M I) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } M \rangle$

by (auto simp: too-heavy-clauses-def atms-of-ms-def simple-clss-finite dest: simple-clssE)

lemma

atms-too-heavy-clauses-None:

$\langle \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{too-heavy-clauses } M \text{ None}) = \{ \} \rangle \text{ and}$

atms-too-heavy-clauses-Some:

$\langle \text{atms-of } w \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } M \implies \text{distinct-mset } w \implies \neg \text{tautology } w \implies \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{too-heavy-clauses } M (\text{Some } w)) = \text{atms-of-mm } M \rangle$

proof –

show $\langle \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{too-heavy-clauses } M \text{ None}) = \{ \} \rangle$

by (auto simp: too-heavy-clauses-def)

assume *atms*: $\langle \text{atms-of } w \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } M \rangle \text{ and}$

dist: $\langle \text{distinct-mset } w \rangle \text{ and}$

taut: $\langle \neg \text{tautology } w \rangle$

have $\langle \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{too-heavy-clauses } M (\text{Some } w)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } M \rangle$

by (auto simp: too-heavy-clauses-def atms-of-ms-def simple-clss-finite)

(auto simp: simple-clss-def)

let ?w = $\langle w + \text{Neg } \# \{ \# x \in \# \text{ mset-set} (\text{atms-of-mm } M). x \notin \text{atms-of } w \# \} \rangle$

have [simp]: $\langle \text{inj-on Neg } A \rangle \text{ for } A$

by (auto simp: inj-on-def)

have *dist*: $\langle \text{distinct-mset } ?w \rangle$

using *dist*

by (auto simp: distinct-mset-add distinct-image-mset-inj distinct-mset-mset-set uminus-lit-swap disjunct-not-in dest: multi-member-split)

moreover have *not-tauto*: $\langle \neg \text{tautology } ?w \rangle$

by (auto simp: tautology-union taut uminus-lit-swap dest: multi-member-split)

ultimately have $\langle ?w \in (\text{simple-clss} (\text{atms-of-mm } M)) \rangle$

using *atms* **by** (auto simp: simple-clss-def)

moreover have $\langle \varrho ?w \geq \varrho w \rangle$

by (rule ϱ -mono) (use *dist* *not-tauto* in **auto** simp: consistent-interp-tautology-mset-set tautology-decomp)

ultimately have $\langle p\text{Neg } ?w \in \# \text{ too-heavy-clauses } M (\text{Some } w) \rangle$

by (auto simp: too-heavy-clauses-def simple-clss-finite)

then have $\langle \text{atms-of-mm } M \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{too-heavy-clauses } M (\text{Some } w)) \rangle$

by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)

then show $\langle \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{too-heavy-clauses } M (\text{Some } w)) = \text{atms-of-mm } M \rangle$

using $\langle \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{too-heavy-clauses } M (\text{Some } w)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } M \rangle$ **by** blast

qed

lemma entails-too-heavy-clauses-too-heavy-clauses:
assumes
 ⟨consistent-interp I⟩ **and**
 tot: ⟨total-over-m I (set-mset (too-heavy-clauses M w))⟩ **and**
 ⟨I ⊨m too-heavy-clauses M w⟩ **and**
 w: ⟨w ≠ None ⟹ atms-of (the w) ⊆ atms-of-mm M⟩
 ⟨w ≠ None ⟹ ¬tautology (the w)⟩
 ⟨w ≠ None ⟹ distinct-mset (the w)⟩
shows ⟨I ⊨m conflicting-clauses M w⟩
proof (cases w)
 case None
 have [simp]: ⟨{x ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm M). tautology x} = {}⟩
 by (auto dest: simple-clssE)
 show ?thesis
 using None **by** (auto simp: conflicting-clauses-def true-clss-cls-tautology-iff
 simple-clss-finite)
 next
 case w': (Some w')
 have ⟨x ∈# mset-set (simple-clss (atms-of-mm M)) ⟹ total-over-set I (atms-of x)⟩ **for** x
 using tot w atms-too-heavy-clauses-Some[of w' M] **unfolding** w'
 by (auto simp: total-over-m-def simple-clss-finite total-over-set-alt-def
 dest!: simple-clssE)
 then show ?thesis
 using assms
 by (subst true-cls-mset-def)
 (auto simp: conflicting-clauses-def true-clss-cls-def
 dest!: spec[of - I])
 qed

lemma not-entailed-too-heavy-clauses-ge:
 ⟨C ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm N) ⟹ ¬ too-heavy-clauses N w ⊨pm pNeg C ⟹ ¬Found (ρ C) ≥ ρ' w⟩
 using true-clss-cls-in[of ⟨pNeg C⟩ ⟨set-mset (too-heavy-clauses N w)⟩]
 too-heavy-clauses-contains-itself
 by (auto simp: too-heavy-clauses-def simple-clss-finite
 image-iff)

lemma conflicting-clss-incl-init-clauses:
 ⟨atms-of-mm (conflicting-clauses N w) ⊆ atms-of-mm (N)⟩
 unfolding conflicting-clauses-def
 apply (auto simp: simple-clss-finite)
 by (auto simp: simple-clss-def atms-of-ms-def split: if-splits)

lemma distinct-mset-mset-conflicting-clss2: ⟨distinct-mset-mset (conflicting-clauses N w)⟩
 unfolding conflicting-clauses-def distinct-mset-set-def
 apply (auto simp: simple-clss-finite)
 by (auto simp: simple-clss-def)

lemma too-heavy-clauses-mono:
 ⟨ρ a > ρ (lit-of '# mset M) ⟹ too-heavy-clauses N (Some a) ⊆#
 too-heavy-clauses N (Some (lit-of '# mset M))⟩
 by (auto simp: too-heavy-clauses-def multiset-filter-mono2
 intro!: multiset-filter-mono image-mset-subseteq-mono)

lemma *is-improving-conflicting-clss-update-weight-information*: $\langle \text{is-improving-int } M M' N w \implies \text{conflicting-clauses } N w \subseteq \# \text{conflicting-clauses } N (\text{Some} (\text{lit-of} (\# \text{mset } M')))$

using *too-heavy-clauses-mono*[*of M'* ⟨the *w*⟩ ⟨*N*⟩]

by (cases ⟨*w*⟩)

(*auto simp*: *is-improving-int-def* *conflicting-clauses-def* *multiset-filter-mono2*
intro!: *image-mset-subseteq-mono*
intro: *true-clss-cls-subset*
dest: *simple-clssE*)

lemma *conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in2*:

assumes *⟨is-improving-int M M' N w⟩*

shows *⟨negate-ann-lits M' ∈ # conflicting-clauses N (Some (lit-of (# mset M')))*

using *assms apply* (*auto simp*: *simple-clss-finite*
conflicting-clauses-def *is-improving-int-def*)

by (*auto simp*: *is-improving-int-def* *conflicting-clauses-def* *multiset-filter-mono2* *simple-clss-def*
lits-of-def *negate-ann-lits-pNeg-lit-of* *image-iff* *dest*: *total-over-m-atms-incl*
intro!: *true-clss-cls-in* *too-heavy-clauses-contains-itself*)

lemma *atms-of-init-clss-conflicting-clauses'[simp]*:

⟨atms-of-mm N ∪ atms-of-mm (conflicting-clauses N S) = atms-of-mm N⟩

using *conflicting-clss-incl-init-clauses*[*of N*] **by** *blast*

lemma *entails-too-heavy-clauses-if-le*:

assumes

dist: ⟨*distinct-mset I*⟩ **and**
cons: ⟨*consistent-interp (set-mset I)*⟩ **and**
tot: ⟨*atms-of I = atms-of-mm N*⟩ **and**
le: ⟨*Found (ρ I) < ρ' (Some M')*⟩

shows

⟨*set-mset I ⊨ m too-heavy-clauses N (Some M')*⟩

proof –

show ⟨*set-mset I ⊨ m too-heavy-clauses N (Some M')*⟩
unfolding *true-cls-mset-def*

proof

fix *C*
assume ⟨*C ∈ # too-heavy-clauses N (Some M')*⟩
then obtain *x* **where**

[*simp*]: ⟨*C = pNeg x*⟩ **and**
x: ⟨*x ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm N)*⟩ **and**
we: ⟨*ρ M' ≤ ρ x*⟩
unfolding *too-heavy-clauses-def*
by (*auto simp*: *simple-clss-finite*)

then have ⟨*x ≠ I*⟩
using *le* **by** *auto*

then have ⟨*set-mset x ≠ set-mset I*⟩
using *distinct-set-mset-eq-iff*[*of x I*] *x dist*
by (*auto simp*: *simple-clss-def*)

then have ⟨ $\exists a. ((a \in \# x \wedge a \notin \# I) \vee (a \in \# I \wedge a \notin \# x))$ ⟩
by *auto*

moreover have *not-incl*: ⟨ $\neg \text{set-mset } x \subseteq \text{set-mset } I$ ⟩
using *ρ-mono*[*of I* ⟨*x*⟩] *we le distinct-set-mset-eq-iff*[*of x I*] *simple-clssE*[*OF x*]
dist cons
by *auto*

moreover have ⟨*x ≠ {#}*⟩
using *we le cons dist not-incl* **by** *auto*

ultimately obtain *L* **where**

```

L-x: ⟨L ∈# x⟩ and
⟨L ∉# I⟩
by auto
moreover have ⟨atms-of x ⊆ atms-of I⟩
using simple-clssE[OF x] tot atm-iff-pos-or-neg-lit[of a I] atm-iff-pos-or-neg-lit[of a x]
by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
ultimately have ⟨¬L ∈# I⟩
using tot simple-clssE[OF x] atm-of-notin-atms-of-iff by auto
then show ⟨set-mset I ⊨ C⟩
using L-x by (auto simp: simple-clss-finite pNeg-def dest!: multi-member-split)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma entails-conflicting-clauses-if-le:
fixes M''
defines ⟨M' ≡ lit-of '# mset M''⟩
assumes
  dist: ⟨distinct-mset I⟩ and
  cons: ⟨consistent-interp (set-mset I)⟩ and
  tot: ⟨atms-of I = atms-of-mm N⟩ and
  le: ⟨Found (ρ I) < ρ' (Some M')⟩ and
  ⟨is-improving-int M M'' N w⟩
shows
  ⟨set-mset I ⊨= conflicting-clauses N (Some (lit-of '# mset M''))⟩
apply (rule entails-too-heavy-clauses-too-heavy-clauses[OF cons])
subgoal
  using assms unfolding is-improving-int-def
  by (auto simp: total-over-m-alt-def M'-def atms-of-def lit-in-set-iff-atm
        atms-too-heavy-clauses-Some eq-commute[of - ⟨atms-of-mm N⟩]
        dest: multi-member-split dest!: simple-clssE)
  by (use assms entails-too-heavy-clauses-if-le[OF assms(2–5)] in
    ⟨auto simp: M'-def lits-of-def image-image is-improving-int-def dest!: simple-clssE⟩)

```

```

end

```

```

locale conflict-driven-clause-learningw-optimal-weight =
  conflict-driven-clause-learningw
  state-eq
  state
  — functions for the state:
  — access functions:
  trail init-clss learned-clss conflicting
  — changing state:
  cons-trail tl-trail add-learned-cls remove-cls
  update-conflicting
  — get state:
  init-state +
  ocdcl-weight ρ
for
  state-eq :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool⟩ (infix ⟨~⟩ 50) and
  state :: 'st ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits × 'v clauses × 'v clauses × 'v clause option ×
    'v clause option × 'b and
  trail :: ⟨'st ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits⟩ and
  init-clss :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ and
  learned-clss :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ and

```

```

conflicting :: <'st ⇒ 'v clause option> and
  cons-trail :: <('v, 'v clause) ann-lit ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> and
  tl-trail :: <'st ⇒ 'st> and
  add-learned-cls :: <'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> and
  remove-cls :: <'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> and
  update-conflicting :: <'v clause option ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> and
  init-state :: <'v clauses ⇒ 'st> and
  ρ :: <'v clause ⇒ 'a :: {linorder}> +
fixes
  update-additional-info :: <'v clause option × 'b ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st>
assumes
  update-additional-info:
    <state S = (M, N, U, C, K) ⇒ state (update-additional-info K' S) = (M, N, U, C, K')> and
  weight-init-state:
    <N :: 'v clauses. fst (additional-info (init-state N)) = None>
begin

definition update-weight-information :: <('v, 'v clause) ann-lits ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> where
  <update-weight-information M S =
    update-additional-info (Some (lit-of '# mset M), snd (additional-info S)) S>

lemma
  trail-update-additional-info[simp]: <trail (update-additional-info w S) = trail S> and
  init-clss-update-additional-info[simp]:
    <init-clss (update-additional-info w S) = init-clss S> and
  learned-clss-update-additional-info[simp]:
    <learned-clss (update-additional-info w S) = learned-clss S> and
  backtrack-lvl-update-additional-info[simp]:
    <backtrack-lvl (update-additional-info w S) = backtrack-lvl S> and
  conflicting-update-additional-info[simp]:
    <conflicting (update-additional-info w S) = conflicting S> and
  clauses-update-additional-info[simp]:
    <clauses (update-additional-info w S) = clauses S>
  using update-additional-info[of S] unfolding clauses-def
  by (subst (asm) state-prop; subst (asm) state-prop; auto; fail)+

lemma
  trail-update-weight-information[simp]:
    <trail (update-weight-information w S) = trail S> and
  init-clss-update-weight-information[simp]:
    <init-clss (update-weight-information w S) = init-clss S> and
  learned-clss-update-weight-information[simp]:
    <learned-clss (update-weight-information w S) = learned-clss S> and
  backtrack-lvl-update-weight-information[simp]:
    <backtrack-lvl (update-weight-information w S) = backtrack-lvl S> and
  conflicting-update-weight-information[simp]:
    <conflicting (update-weight-information w S) = conflicting S> and
  clauses-update-weight-information[simp]:
    <clauses (update-weight-information w S) = clauses S>
  using update-additional-info[of S] unfolding update-weight-information-def by auto

definition weight :: <'st ⇒ 'v clause option> where
  <weight S = fst (additional-info S)>

lemma

```

```

additional-info-update-additional-info[simp]:
⟨additional-info (update-additional-info w S) = w⟩
unfolding additional-info-def using update-additional-info[of S]
by (cases ⟨state S⟩; auto; fail)+
```

lemma

```

weight-cons-trail2[simp]: ⟨weight (cons-trail L S) = weight S⟩ and
clss-tl-trail2[simp]: ⟨weight (tl-trail S) = weight S⟩ and
weight-add-learned-cls-unfolded:
⟨weight (add-learned-cls U S) = weight S⟩
and
weight-update-conflicting2[simp]: ⟨weight (update-conflicting D S) = weight S⟩ and
weight-remove-cls2[simp]:
⟨weight (remove-cls C S) = weight S⟩ and
weight-add-learned-cls2[simp]:
⟨weight (add-learned-cls C S) = weight S⟩ and
weight-update-weight-information2[simp]:
⟨weight (update-weight-information M S) = Some (lit-of ‘# mset M)⟩
by (auto simp: update-weight-information-def weight-def)
```

sublocale conflict-driven-clause-learning-with-adding-init-clause-bnb_W-no-state
where

```

state = state and
trail = trail and
init-clss = init-clss and
learned-clss = learned-clss and
conflicting = conflicting and
cons-trail = cons-trail and
tl-trail = tl-trail and
add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
remove-cls = remove-cls and
update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
init-state = init-state and
weight = weight and
update-weight-information = update-weight-information and
is-improving-int = is-improving-int and
conflicting-clauses = conflicting-clauses
by unfold-locales
```

lemma state-additional-info':

```

⟨state S = (trail S, init-clss S, learned-clss S, conflicting S, weight S, additional-info' S)⟩
unfolding additional-info'-def by (cases ⟨state S⟩; auto simp: state-prop weight-def)
```

lemma state-update-weight-information:

```

⟨state S = (M, N, U, C, w, other) ⟹
  ∃ w'. state (update-weight-information T S) = (M, N, U, C, w', other)⟩
unfolding update-weight-information-def by (cases ⟨state S⟩; auto simp: state-prop weight-def)
```

lemma atms-of-init-clss-conflicting-clauses[simp]:

```

⟨atms-of-mm (init-clss S) ∪ atms-of-mm (conflicting-clss S) = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)⟩
using conflicting-clss-incl-init-clauses[of ⟨(init-clss S)⟩] unfolding conflicting-clss-def by blast
```

lemma lit-of-trail-in-simple-clss: ⟨cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv (abs-state S) ⟹

```

  lit-of ‘# mset (trail S) ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))’⟩
```

```

unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def abs-state-def
```

```

cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
by (auto simp: simple-clss-def cdclW-restart-mset-state atms-of-def pNeg-def lits-of-def
     dest: no-dup-not-tautology no-dup-distinct)

lemma pNeg-lit-of-trail-in-simple-clss: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S) ==>
  pNeg (lit-of `# mset (trail S)) ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def abs-state-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
  by (auto simp: simple-clss-def cdclW-restart-mset-state atms-of-def pNeg-def lits-of-def
     dest: no-dup-not-tautology-uminus no-dup-distinct-uminus)

lemma conflict-clss-update-weight-no-alien:
  <atms-of-mm (conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M S))
  ⊆ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)>
  by (auto simp: conflicting-clss-def conflicting-clauses-def atms-of-ms-def
     cdclW-restart-mset-state simple-clss-finite
     dest: simple-clssE)

sublocale stateW-no-state
  where
    state = state and
    trail = trail and
    init-clss = init-clss and
    learned-clss = learned-clss and
    conflicting = conflicting and
    cons-trail = cons-trail and
    tl-trail = tl-trail and
    add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
    remove-cls = remove-cls and
    update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
    init-state = init-state
  by unfold-locales

sublocale stateW-no-state
  where
    state-eq = state-eq and
    state = state and
    trail = trail and
    init-clss = init-clss and
    learned-clss = learned-clss and
    conflicting = conflicting and
    cons-trail = cons-trail and
    tl-trail = tl-trail and
    add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
    remove-cls = remove-cls and
    update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
    init-state = init-state
  by unfold-locales

sublocale conflict-driven-clause-learningW
  where
    state-eq = state-eq and
    state = state and
    trail = trail and
    init-clss = init-clss and
    learned-clss = learned-clss and

```

```

conflicting = conflicting and
cons-trail = cons-trail and
tl-trail = tl-trail and
add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
remove-cls = remove-cls and
update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
init-state = init-state
by unfold-locales

```

```

lemma is-improving-conflicting-clss-update-weight-information': <is-improving M M' S ==>
conflicting-clss S ⊆# conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M' S)
using is-improving-conflicting-clss-update-weight-information[of M M' <init-clss S> <weight S>]
unfolding conflicting-clss-def
by auto

```

```

lemma conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in2':
assumes <is-improving M M' S>
shows <negate-ann-lits M' ∈# conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M' S)>
using conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in2[of M M' <init-clss S> <weight S>] assms
unfolding conflicting-clss-def
by auto

```

```
sublocale conflict-driven-clause-learning-with-adding-init-clause-bnbW-ops
```

```
where
```

```

state = state and
trail = trail and
init-clss = init-clss and
learned-clss = learned-clss and
conflicting = conflicting and
cons-trail = cons-trail and
tl-trail = tl-trail and
add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
remove-cls = remove-cls and
update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
init-state = init-state and
weight = weight and
update-weight-information = update-weight-information and
is-improving-int = is-improving-int and
conflicting-clauses = conflicting-clauses
apply unfold-locales
subgoal by (rule state-additional-info')
subgoal by (rule state-update-weight-information)
subgoal unfolding conflicting-clss-def by (rule conflicting-clss-incl-init-clauses)
subgoal unfolding conflicting-clss-def by (rule distinct-mset-mset-conflicting-clss2)
subgoal by (rule is-improving-conflicting-clss-update-weight-information')
subgoal by (rule conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in2'; assumption)
done

```

```
lemma wf-cdcl-bnb-fixed:
```

```

<wf {(T, S). cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S) ∧ cdcl-bnb S T
      ∧ init-clss S = N}>
apply (rule wf-cdcl-bnb[of N id <{(I', I). I' ≠ None ∧
      (the I') ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm N) ∧ (ρ' I', ρ' I) ∈ {(j, i). j < i}}>])
subgoal for S T
by (cases <weight S>; cases <weight T>)
(auto simp: improvep.simps is-improving-int-def split: enat.splits)

```

```

subgoal
  apply (rule wf-finite-segments)
  subgoal by (auto simp: irrefl-def)
  subgoal
    apply (auto simp: irrefl-def trans-def intro: less-trans[of <Found -> <Found ->])
    apply (rule less-trans[of <Found -> <Found ->])
    apply auto
    done
  subgoal for x
    by (subgoal-tac <\{y. (y, x)
       $\in \{(I', I). I' \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{the } I' \in \text{simple-clss}(\text{atms-of-mm } N) \wedge$ 
       $(\varrho' I', \varrho' I) \in \{(j, i). j < i\}\}$   $=$ 
      Some ‘ $\{y. (y, x) \in \{(I', I).$ 
         $I' \in \text{simple-clss}(\text{atms-of-mm } N) \wedge$ 
         $(\varrho' (\text{Some } I'), \varrho' I) \in \{(j, i). j < i\}\}$ ’)
      (auto simp: finite-image-iff intro: finite-subset[OF - simple-clss-finite[of <atms-of-mm N>]])
    done
  done

lemma wf-cdcl-bnb2:
   $\langle \text{wf } \{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv}(\text{abs-state } S)$ 
   $\wedge \text{cdcl-bnb } S T \rangle$ 
  by (subst wf-cdcl-bnb-fixed-iff[symmetric]) (intro allI, rule wf-cdcl-bnb-fixed)

lemma can-always-improve:
assumes
  ent: <trail S |=asm clauses S> and
  total: <total-over-m(lits-of-l(trail S)) (set-mset(clauses S))> and
  n-s: <no-step conflict-opt S> and
  conf[simp]: <conflicting S = None> and
  all-struct: <cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv(abs-state S)>
  shows <Ex(improve S)>
proof –
  have H: <(lit-of '# mset(trail S)) ∈# mset-set(simple-clss(atms-of-mm(init-clss S)))>
  <(lit-of '# mset(trail S)) ∈ simple-clss(atms-of-mm(init-clss S))>
  <no-dup(trail S)>
  apply (subst finite-set-mset-mset-set[OF simple-clss-finite])
  using all-struct by (auto simp: simple-clss-def cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-def
    no-strange-atm-def atms-of-def lits-of-def image-image
    cdcl_W-M-level-inv-def clauses-def
    dest: no-dup-not-tautology no-dup-distinct)
  then have le: <Found(ρ(lit-of '# mset(trail S)) < ρ'(weight S))>
  using n-s total
  by (auto simp: conflict-opt.simps conflicting-clss-def lits-of-def
    conflicting-clauses-def clauses-def negate-ann-lits-pNeg-lit-of simple-clss-finite
    dest: not-entailed-too-heavy-clauses-ge)
  have tr: <trail S |=asm init-clss S>
  using ent by (auto simp: clauses-def)
  have tot': <total-over-m(lits-of-l(trail S)) (set-mset(init-clss S))>
  using total all-struct by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def
    cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-def clauses-def no-strange-atm-def)
  have M': <ρ(lit-of '# mset M') = ρ(lit-of '# mset(trail S))>
  if <total-over-m(lits-of-l M') (set-mset(init-clss S))> and
    incl: <mset(trail S) ⊆# mset M'> and
    <lits-of '# mset M' ∈ simple-clss(atms-of-mm(init-clss S))>
  for M'

```

```

proof -
  have [simp]:  $\langle \text{lits-of-l } M' = \text{set-mset} (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M') \rangle$ 
    by (auto simp: lits-of-def)
  obtain A where A:  $\langle \text{mset } M' = A + \text{mset} (\text{trail } S) \rangle$ 
    using incl by (auto simp: mset-subset-eq-exists-conv)
  have M':  $\langle \text{lits-of-l } M' = \text{lit-of } ' \text{ set-mset } A \cup \text{lits-of-l } (\text{trail } S) \rangle$ 
    unfolding lits-of-def
    by (metis A image-Un set-mset-mset set-mset-union)
  have  $\langle \text{mset } M' = \text{mset} (\text{trail } S) \rangle$ 
    using that tot' total unfolding A total-over-m-alt-def
    apply (case-tac A)
    apply (auto simp: A simple-clss-def distinct-mset-add M' image-Un
      tautology-union mset-inter-empty-set-mset atms-of-def atms-of-s-def
      atm-of-in-atm-of-set-iff-in-set-or-uminus-in-set image-image
      tautology-add-mset)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) atm-of-in-atm-of-set-iff-in-set-or-uminus-in-set
      subsetCE lits-of-def)
  then show ?thesis
    using total by auto
  qed
  have  $\langle \text{is-improving } (\text{trail } S) (\text{trail } S) S \rangle$ 
    if <Found ( $\varrho$  (lit-of '# mset (trail S))) <  $\varrho'$  (weight S)>
    using that total H confl tr tot' M' unfolding is-improving-int-def lits-of-def by fast
  then show <Ex (improvep S)>
    using improvep.intros[of S <trail S> <update-weight-information (trail S) S>] le confl by fast
  qed

lemma no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy-empty-conflict2:
  assumes
    n-s: <no-step cdcl-bnb S> and
    all-struct: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)> and
    stgy-inv: <cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S>
  shows <conflicting S = Some {#}>
  by (rule no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy-empty-conflict[OF can-always-improve assms])

lemma cdcl-bnb-larger-still-larger:
  assumes
    <cdcl-bnb S T>
  shows < $\varrho'$  (weight S)  $\geq$   $\varrho'$  (weight T)>
  using assms apply (cases rule: cdcl-bnb.cases)
  by (auto simp: improvep.simps is-improving-int-def conflict-opt.simps ocdclW-o.simps
    cdcl-bnb-bj.simps skip.simps resolve.simps obacktrack.simps elim: rulesE)

lemma obacktrack-model-still-model:
  assumes
    <obacktrack S T> and
    all-struct: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)> and
    ent: <set-mset I |=sm clauses S> <set-mset I |=sm conflicting-clss S> and
    dist: <distinct-mset I> and
    cons: <consistent-interp (set-mset I)> and
    tot: <atms-of I = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)> and
    opt-struct: <cdcl-bnb-struct-invs S> and
    le: <Found ( $\varrho$  I) <  $\varrho'$  (weight T)>
  shows
    <set-mset I |=sm clauses T  $\wedge$  set-mset I |=sm conflicting-clss T>

```

```

using assms(1)
proof (cases rule: obacktrack.cases)
  case (obacktrack-rule L D K M1 M2 D' i) note confl = this(1) and DD' = this(7) and
    clss-L-D' = this(8) and T = this(9)
  have H: <total-over-m I (set-mset (clauses S + conflicting-clss S) ∪ {add-mset L D'}) =>
    consistent-interp I =>
    I ⊨sm clauses S + conflicting-clss S => I ⊨ add-mset L D' for I
  using clss-L-D'
  unfolding true-clss-cls-def
  by blast
  have alien: <cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm (abs-state S)>
  using all-struct unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
  by fast+
  have <total-over-m (set-mset I) (set-mset (init-clss S))>
  using tot[symmetric]
  by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def atm-iff-pos-or-neg-lit)

  then have 1: <total-over-m (set-mset I) (set-mset (clauses S + conflicting-clss S) ∪
    {add-mset L D'})>
  using alien T confl tot DD' opt-struct
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def total-over-m-def total-over-set-def
  apply (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset-state abs-state-def atms-of-def clauses-def
    cdcl-bnb-struct-invs-def dest: multi-member-split)
  by blast
  have 2: <set-mset I ⊨sm conflicting-clss S>
  using tot cons ent(2) by auto
  have <set-mset I ⊨ add-mset L D'>
  using H[OF 1 cons] 2 ent by auto
  then show ?thesis
  using ent obacktrack-rule 2 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma entails-conflicting-clauses-if-le':
  fixes M'''
  defines <M' ≡ lit-of '# mset M'''>
  assumes
    dist: <distinct-mset I> and
    cons: <consistent-interp (set-mset I)> and
    tot: <atms-of I = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)> and
    le: <Found (ρ I) < ρ' (Some M')> and
    <is-improving M M'' S> and
    <N = init-clss S>
  shows
    <set-mset I ⊨m conflicting-clauses N (weight (update-weight-information M'' S))>
  using entails-conflicting-clauses-if-le[OF assms(2–6)[unfolded M'-def]] assms(7)
  unfolding conflicting-clss-def by auto

```

```

lemma improve-model-still-model:
  assumes
    <improvep S T> and
    all-struct: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)> and
    ent: <set-mset I ⊨sm clauses S> <set-mset I ⊨sm conflicting-clss S> and
    dist: <distinct-mset I> and
    cons: <consistent-interp (set-mset I)> and
    tot: <atms-of I = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)> and

```

```

 $\text{opt-struct: } \langle \text{cdcl-bnb-struct-invs } S \rangle \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{le: } \langle \text{Found } (\varrho I) < \varrho' (\text{weight } T) \rangle$ 
shows
 $\langle \text{set-mset } I \models_{\text{sm}} \text{clauses } T \wedge \text{set-mset } I \models_{\text{sm}} \text{conflicting-clss } T \rangle$ 
using assms(1)
proof (cases rule: improvep.cases)
case (improve-rule  $M'$ ) note imp = this(1) and confl = this(2) and T = this(3)
have alien:  $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset.no-strange-atm} (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$  and
lev:  $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset.cdcl}_W\text{-M-level-inv} (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$ 
using all-struct unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
by fast+
then have atm-trail:  $\langle \text{atms-of} (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset} (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{init-clss } S) \rangle$ 
using alien by (auto simp: no-strange-atm-def lits-of-def atms-of-def)
have dist2:  $\langle \text{distinct-mset} (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset} (\text{trail } S)) \rangle$  and
taut2:  $\langle \neg \text{tautology} (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset} (\text{trail } S)) \rangle$ 
using lev unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
by (auto dest: no-dup-distinct no-dup-not-tautology)
have tot2:  $\langle \text{total-over-m} (\text{set-mset } I) (\text{set-mset} (\text{init-clss } S)) \rangle$ 
using tot[symmetric]
by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def atm-iff-pos-or-neg-lit)
have atm-trail:  $\langle \text{atms-of} (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M') \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{init-clss } S) \rangle$  and
dist2:  $\langle \text{distinct-mset} (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M') \rangle$  and
taut2:  $\langle \neg \text{tautology} (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M') \rangle$ 
using imp by (auto simp: no-strange-atm-def lits-of-def atms-of-def is-improving-int-def
simple-clss-def)

have tot2:  $\langle \text{total-over-m} (\text{set-mset } I) (\text{set-mset} (\text{init-clss } S)) \rangle$ 
using tot[symmetric]
by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def atm-iff-pos-or-neg-lit)
have
 $\langle \text{set-mset } I \models_m \text{conflicting-clauses} (\text{init-clss } S) (\text{weight } (\text{update-weight-information } M' S)) \rangle$ 
apply (rule entails-conflicting-clauses-if-le'[unfolded conflicting-clss-def])
using T dist cons tot le imp by (auto intro!: )
then have  $\langle \text{set-mset } I \models_m \text{conflicting-clss} (\text{update-weight-information } M' S) \rangle$ 
by (auto simp: update-weight-information-def conflicting-clss-def)
then show ?thesis
using ent improve-rule T by auto
qed

lemma cdcl-bnb-still-model:
assumes
 $\langle \text{cdcl-bnb } S T \rangle$  and
all-struct:  $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset.cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$  and
ent:  $\langle \text{set-mset } I \models_{\text{sm}} \text{clauses } S \rangle \langle \text{set-mset } I \models_{\text{sm}} \text{conflicting-clss } S \rangle$  and
dist:  $\langle \text{distinct-mset } I \rangle$  and
cons:  $\langle \text{consistent-interp} (\text{set-mset } I) \rangle$  and
tot:  $\langle \text{atms-of } I = \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{init-clss } S) \rangle$  and
opt-struct:  $\langle \text{cdcl-bnb-struct-invs } S \rangle$ 
shows
 $\langle (\text{set-mset } I \models_{\text{sm}} \text{clauses } T \wedge \text{set-mset } I \models_{\text{sm}} \text{conflicting-clss } T) \vee \text{Found } (\varrho I) \geq \varrho' (\text{weight } T) \rangle$ 
using assms
proof (cases rule: cdcl-bnb.cases)
case cdcl-improve
from improve-model-still-model[OF this all-struct ent dist cons tot opt-struct]
show ?thesis
by (auto simp: improvep.simps)

```

```

next
case cdcl-other'
then show ?thesis
proof (induction rule: ocdclW-o-all-rules-induct)
case (backtrack T)
from obacktrack-model-still-model[OF this all-struct ent dist cons tot opt-struct]
show ?case
by auto
qed (use ent in ⟨auto elim: rulesE⟩)
qed (auto simp: conflict-opt.simps elim: rulesE)

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-still-model:
assumes
  st: ⟨cdcl-bnb** S T⟩ and
  all-struct: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)⟩ and
  ent: ⟨(set-mset I ⊨sm clauses S ∧ set-mset I ⊨sm conflicting-clss S) ∨ Found (ρ I) ≥ ρ' (weight S)⟩ and
  dist: ⟨distinct-mset I⟩ and
  cons: ⟨consistent-interp (set-mset I)⟩ and
  tot: ⟨atms-of I = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)⟩ and
  opt-struct: ⟨cdcl-bnb-struct-invs S⟩
shows
  ⟨(set-mset I ⊨sm clauses T ∧ set-mset I ⊨sm conflicting-clss T) ∨ Found (ρ I) ≥ ρ' (weight T)⟩
using st
proof (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
case base
then show ?case
using ent by auto
next
case (step T U) note star = this(1) and st = this(2) and IH = this(3)
have 1: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)⟩
using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[OF star all-struct] .

have 2: ⟨cdcl-bnb-struct-invs T⟩
using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-cdcl-bnb-struct-invs[OF star opt-struct] .
have 3: ⟨atms-of I = atms-of-mm (init-clss T)⟩
using tot rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[OF star] by auto
show ?case
using cdcl-bnb-still-model[OF st 1 - - dist cons 3 2] IH
  cdcl-bnb-larger-still-larger[OF st]
using dual-order.trans by blast
qed

lemma full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-larger-or-equal-weight:
assumes
  st: ⟨full cdcl-bnb-stgy S T⟩ and
  all-struct: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)⟩ and
  ent: ⟨(set-mset I ⊨sm clauses S ∧ set-mset I ⊨sm conflicting-clss S) ∨ Found (ρ I) ≥ ρ' (weight S)⟩ and
  dist: ⟨distinct-mset I⟩ and
  cons: ⟨consistent-interp (set-mset I)⟩ and
  tot: ⟨atms-of I = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)⟩ and
  opt-struct: ⟨cdcl-bnb-struct-invs S⟩ and
  stgy-inv: ⟨cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S⟩
shows
  ⟨Found (ρ I) ≥ ρ' (weight T)⟩ and

```

```

⟨unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T))⟩
proof –
  have ns: ⟨no-step cdcl-bnb-stgy T⟩ and
    st: ⟨cdcl-bnb-stgy** S T⟩ and
    st': ⟨cdcl-bnb** S T⟩
    using st unfolding full-def by (auto intro: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb)
  have ns': ⟨no-step cdcl-bnb T⟩
    by (meson cdcl-bnb.cases cdcl-bnb-stgy.simps no-confl-prop-impr.elims(3) ns)
  have struct-T: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)⟩
    using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[OF st' all-struct] .
  have stgy-T: ⟨cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv T⟩
    using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-stgy-inv[OF st all-struct stgy-inv] .
  have confl: ⟨conflicting T = Some {#}⟩
    using no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy-empty-conflict2[OF ns' struct-T stgy-T] .

  have ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause (abs-state T)⟩ and
    alien: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm (abs-state T)⟩
    using struct-T unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def by fast+
  then have ent': ⟨set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T) ⊨p {#}⟩
    using confl unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-alt-def
    by auto
  have atms-eq: ⟨atms-of I ∪ atms-of-mm (conflicting-clss T) = atms-of-mm (init-clss T)⟩
    using tot[symmetric] atms-of-conflicting-clss[of T] alien
    unfolding rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[OF st'] cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
    by (auto simp: clauses-def total-over-m-def total-over-set-def atm-iff-pos-or-neg-lit
         abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)

  have ⊢ (set-mset I ⊨sm clauses T + conflicting-clss T)
  proof
    assume ent'': ⟨set-mset I ⊨sm clauses T + conflicting-clss T⟩
    moreover have ⟨total-over-m (set-mset I) (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T))⟩
      using tot[symmetric] atms-of-conflicting-clss[of T] alien
      unfolding rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[OF st'] cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
      by (auto simp: clauses-def total-over-m-def total-over-set-def atm-iff-pos-or-neg-lit
            abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state atms-eq)
    then show False
      using ent' cons ent'' unfolding true-clss-cls-def by auto
  qed
  then show ⟨ρ' (weight T) ≤ Found (ρ I)⟩
    using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-still-model[OF st' all-struct ent dist cons tot opt-struct]
    by auto

  show ⟨unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T))⟩
  proof
    assume ⟨satisfiable (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T))⟩
    then obtain I where
      ent'': ⟨I ⊨sm clauses T + conflicting-clss T⟩ and
      tot: ⟨total-over-m I (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T))⟩ and
      ⟨consistent-interp I⟩
      unfolding satisfiable-def
      by blast
    then show ⟨False⟩
      using ent' cons unfolding true-clss-cls-def by auto
  qed
  qed

```

```

lemma full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-unsat2:
assumes
  st: <full cdcl-bnb-stgy S T> and
  all-struct: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)> and
  opt-struct: <cdcl-bnb-struct-invs S> and
  stgy-inv: <cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S>
shows
  <unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T))>
proof -
have ns: <no-step cdcl-bnb-stgy T> and
  st: <cdcl-bnb-stgy** S T> and
  st': <cdcl-bnb** S T>
  using st unfolding full-def by (auto intro: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb)
have ns': <no-step cdcl-bnb T>
  by (meson cdcl-bnb.cases cdcl-bnb-stgy.simps no-confl-prop-impr.elims(3) ns)
have struct-T: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)>
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[OF st' all-struct] .
have stgy-T: <cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv T>
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-stgy-inv[OF st all-struct stgy-inv] .
have confl: <conflicting T = Some {#}>
  using no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy-empty-conflict2[OF ns' struct-T stgy-T] .

have <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause (abs-state T)> and
  alien: <cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm (abs-state T)>
  using struct-T unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def by fast+
then have ent': <set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T) |=p {#}>
  using confl unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-alt-def
  by auto

show <unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T))>
proof
  assume <satisfiable (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T))>
  then obtain I where
    ent'': <I |=sm clauses T + conflicting-clss T> and
    tot: <total-over-m I (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T))> and
    <consistent-interp I>
    unfolding satisfiable-def
    by blast
  then show <False>
    using ent' unfolding true-clss-cls-def by auto
qed
qed

lemma weight-init-state2[simp]: <weight (init-state S) = None> and
  conflicting-clss-init-state[simp]:
  <conflicting-clss (init-state N) = {#}>
  unfolding weight-def conflicting-clss-def conflicting-clauses-def
  by (auto simp: weight-init-state true-clss-cls-tautology-iff simple-clss-finite
    filter-mset-empty-conv mset-set-empty-iff dest: simple-clssE)

```

First part of Theorem 2.15.6 of Weidenbach's book

```

lemma full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clause-unsat:
assumes
  st: <full cdcl-bnb-stgy S T> and
  all-struct: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)> and

```

```

opt-struct: ⟨cdcl-bnb-struct-invs S⟩ and
stgy-inv: ⟨cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S⟩ and
[simp]: ⟨weight T = None⟩ and
ent: ⟨cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init S⟩
shows ⟨unsatisfiable (set-mset (init-clss S))⟩
proof –
  have ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init (abs-state S)⟩ and
    ⟨conflicting-clss T = {#}⟩
  using ent by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init-def
    cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init-def abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
    conflicting-clss-def conflicting-clauses-def true-clss-cls-tautology-iff simple-clss-finite
    filter-mset-empty-conv mset-set-empty-iff dest: simple-clssE)
  then show ?thesis
  using full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clss-unsat[OF - st all-struct
    stgy-inv] by (auto simp: can-always-improve)
qed

```

```

definition annotation-is-model where
  ⟨annotation-is-model S ⟷
    (weight S ≠ None —> (set-mset (the (weight S))) ⊨sm init-clss S ∧
      consistent-interp (set-mset (the (weight S))) ∧
      atms-of (the (weight S)) ⊆ atms-of-mm (init-clss S) ∧
      total-over-m (set-mset (the (weight S))) (set-mset (init-clss S)) ∧
      distinct-mset (the (weight S))))⟩

```

```

lemma cdcl-bnb-annotation-is-model:
assumes
  ⟨cdcl-bnb S T⟩ and
  ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)⟩ and
  ⟨annotation-is-model S⟩
shows ⟨annotation-is-model T⟩
proof –
  have [simp]: ⟨atms-of (lit-of '# mset M) = atm-of ‘ lit-of ‘ set M⟩ for M
  by (auto simp: atms-of-def)
  have ⟨consistent-interp (lits-of-l (trail S)) ∧
    atm-of ‘ (lits-of-l (trail S)) ⊆ atms-of-mm (init-clss S) ∧
    distinct-mset (lit-of '# mset (trail S))⟩
  using assms(2) by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
    dest: no-dup-distinct)
  with assms(1,3)
  show ?thesis
  apply (cases rule: cdcl-bnb.cases)
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: conflict.simps annotation-is-model-def)
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: propagate.simps annotation-is-model-def)
  subgoal
    by (force simp: annotation-is-model-def true-annots-true-cls lits-of-def
      improvep.simps is-improving-int-def image-Un image-image simple-clss-def
      consistent-interp-tautology-mset-set
      dest!: consistent-interp-unionD intro: distinct-mset-union2)
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: annotation-is-model-def conflict-opt.simps)
  subgoal

```

```

by (auto simp: annotation-is-model-def
      ocdclW-o.simps cdcl-bnb-bj.simps obacktrack.simps
      skip.simps resolve.simps decide.simps)
done
qed

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-annotation-is-model:
  ‹cdcl-bnb** S T ⟹ cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S) ⟹
  annotation-is-model S ⟹ annotation-is-model T›
by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-annotation-is-model rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv)

```

Theorem 2.15.6 of Weidenbach's book

theorem full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clause-from-init-state:

assumes

st: ‹full cdcl-bnb-stgy (init-state N) T› **and**
 dist: ‹distinct-mset-mset N›

shows

‐ weight T = None ⟹ unsatisfiable (set-mset N) **(is** ‹?B ⟹ ?A›) **and**
 ‐ weight T ≠ None ⟹ consistent-interp (set-mset (the (weight T))) ∧
 atms-of (the (weight T)) ⊆ atms-of-mm N ∧ set-mset (the (weight T)) ⊨sm N ∧
 total-over-m (set-mset (the (weight T))) (set-mset N) ∧
 distinct-mset (the (weight T)) **and**
 ‐ distinct-mset I ⟹ consistent-interp (set-mset I) ⟹ atms-of I = atms-of-mm N ⟹
 set-mset I ⊨sm N ⟹ Found (ρ I) ≥ ρ' (weight T)

proof –

```

let ?S = ‹init-state N›
have ‹distinct-mset C› if ‹C ∈# N› for C
  using dist that by (auto simp: distinct-mset-set-def dest: multi-member-split)
then have dist: ‹distinct-mset-mset N›,
  by (auto simp: distinct-mset-set-def)
then have [simp]: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv ([] , N , {#} , None)›
  unfolding init-state.simps[symmetric]
  by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def)
moreover have [iff]: ‹cdcl-bnb-struct-invs ?S› and [simp]: ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv ?S›
  by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-struct-invs-def conflict-is-false-with-level-def cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def)
moreover have ent: ‹cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init ?S›
  by (auto simp: cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init-def)
moreover have [simp]: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state (init-state N))›
  unfolding CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-state.simps abs-state-def
  by auto
ultimately show ‹weight T = None ⟹ unsatisfiable (set-mset N)›
  using full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clause-unsat[OF st]
  by auto
have ‹annotation-is-model ?S›
  by (auto simp: annotation-is-model-def)
then have ‹annotation-is-model T›
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-annotation-is-model[of ?S T] st
  unfolding full-def by (auto dest: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb)
moreover have ‹init-clss T = N›
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[of ?S T] st
  unfolding full-def by (auto dest: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb)
ultimately show ‹weight T ≠ None ⟹ consistent-interp (set-mset (the (weight T))) ∧
  atms-of (the (weight T)) ⊆ atms-of-mm N ∧ set-mset (the (weight T)) ⊨sm N ∧
  total-over-m (set-mset (the (weight T))) (set-mset N) ∧
  distinct-mset (the (weight T))›

```

```

by (auto simp: annotation-is-model-def)

show <distinct-mset I  $\implies$  consistent-interp (set-mset I)  $\implies$  atms-of I = atms-of-mm N  $\implies$ 
  set-mset I  $\models_{sm}$  N  $\implies$  Found ( $\varrho$  I)  $\geq$   $\varrho'$  (weight T)>
  using full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-larger-or-equal-weight[of ?S T I] st unfolding full-def
  by auto
qed

lemma pruned-clause-in-conflicting-clss:
assumes
  ge:  $\langle \bigwedge M'. \text{total-over-}m (\text{set-mset} (\text{mset} (M @ M'))) (\text{set-mset} (\text{init-clss} S)) \implies$ 
     $\text{distinct-mset} (\text{atm-of} '\# \text{mset} (M @ M')) \implies$ 
     $\text{consistent-interp} (\text{set-mset} (\text{mset} (M @ M'))) \implies$ 
     $\text{Found} (\varrho (\text{mset} (M @ M'))) \geq \varrho' (\text{weight} S)$ , and
  atm:  $\langle \text{atms-of} (\text{mset} M) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{init-clss} S) \rangle$  and
  dist:  $\langle \text{distinct} M \rangle$  and
  cons:  $\langle \text{consistent-interp} (\text{set} M) \rangle$ 
shows <pNeg (mset M)  $\in \# \text{conflicting-clss} Sproof –
  have 0: <(pNeg o mset o ((@) M))‘ {M’}.
    distinct-mset (atm-of ‘# mset (M @ M'))  $\wedge$  consistent-interp (set-mset (mset (M @ M')))  $\wedge$ 
    atms-of-s (set (M @ M'))  $\subseteq$  (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))  $\wedge$ 
    card (atms-of-mm (init-clss S)) = n + card (atms-of (mset (M @ M'))) { }  $\subseteq$ 
    set-mset (conflicting-clss S) (is ‘- ‘?A n  $\subseteq$  ?H))for n
  proof (induction n)
  case 0
  show ?case
  proof clarify
    fix x :: ‘v literal multiset’ and xa :: ‘v literal multiset’ and
    xb :: ‘v literal list’ and xc :: ‘v literal list’
    assume
      dist:  $\langle \text{distinct-mset} (\text{atm-of} '\# \text{mset} (M @ xc)) \rangle$  and
      cons:  $\langle \text{consistent-interp} (\text{set-mset} (\text{mset} (M @ xc))) \rangle$  and
      atm':  $\langle \text{atms-of-s} (\text{set} (M @ xc)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{init-clss} S) \rangle$  and
      0:  $\langle \text{card} (\text{atms-of-mm} (\text{init-clss} S)) = 0 + \text{card} (\text{atms-of} (\text{mset} (M @ xc))) \rangle$ 
    have D[dest]:
      ‘A  $\in$  set M  $\implies$  A  $\notin$  set xc’ ‘A  $\in$  set M  $\implies$  -A  $\notin$  set xc’
      for A
      using dist multi-member-split[of A ‘mset M’] multi-member-split[of ‘-A’ ‘mset xc’]
      multi-member-split[of ‘-A’ ‘mset M’] multi-member-split[of ‘A’ ‘mset xc’]
      by (auto simp: atm-of-in-atm-of-set-iff-in-set-or-uminus-in-set)
    have dist2: ‘distinct xc’ ‘distinct-mset (atm-of ‘# mset xc)’
      ‘distinct-mset (mset M + mset xc)’
      using dist distinct-mset-atm-ofD[OF dist]
      unfolding mset-append[symmetric] distinct-mset-mset-distinct
      by (auto dest: distinct-mset-union2 distinct-mset-atm-ofD)
    have eq: ‘card (atms-of-s (set M)  $\cup$  atms-of-s (set xc)) =$ 
      ‘card (atms-of-s (set M)) + card (atms-of-s (set xc))’
      by (subst card-Un-Int) auto
    let ?M = ‘M @ xc’
    have H1: ‘atms-of-s (set ?M) = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)’
      using eq atm card-mono[OF - atm'] card-subset-eq[OF - atm'] 0
      by (auto simp: atms-of-s-def image-Un)
    moreover have tot2: ‘total-over-m (set ?M) (set-mset (init-clss S))’
      using H1 by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def lit-in-set-iff-atm)

```

```

moreover have ‹¬tautology (mset ?M)›
  using cons unfolding consistent-interp-tautology[symmetric]
  by auto
ultimately have ‹mset ?M ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))›
  using dist atm cons H1 dist2
  by (auto simp: simple-clss-def consistent-interp-tautology atms-of-s-def)
moreover have tot2: ‹total-over-m (set ?M) (set-mset (init-clss S))›
  using H1 by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def lit-in-set-iff-atm)
ultimately show ‹(pNeg ∘ mset ∘ (@) M) xc ∈# conflicting-clss S›
  using ge[of ‹xc›] dist 0 cons card-mono[OF - atm] tot2 cons
  by (auto simp: conflicting-clss-def too-heavy-clauses-def simple-clss-finite
    intro!: too-heavy-clauses-conflicting-clauses imageI)
qed
next
  case (Suc n) note IH = this(1)
  let ?H = ‹?A n›
  show ?case
  proof clarify
    fix x :: ‹'v literal multiset› and xa :: ‹'v literal multiset› and
      xb :: ‹'v literal list› and xc :: ‹'v literal list›
    assume
      dist: ‹distinct-mset (atm-of '# mset (M @ xc))› and
      cons: ‹consistent-interp (set-mset (mset (M @ xc)))› and
      atm': ‹atms-of-s (set (M @ xc)) ⊆ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)› and
      0: ‹card (atms-of-mm (init-clss S)) = Suc n + card (atms-of (mset (M @ xc)))›
    then obtain a where
      a: ‹a ∈ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)› and
      a-notin: ‹a ∉ atms-of-s (set (M @ xc))›
      by (metis Suc_n-not_le_n add-Suc-shift atms-of-mm_liset atms-of-s-def le-add2
        subsetI subset-antisym)
    have dist2: ‹distinct xc› ‹distinct-mset (atm-of '# mset xc)›
      ‹distinct-mset (mset M + mset xc)›
      using dist distinct-mset-atm-ofD[OF dist]
      unfolding mset-append[symmetric] distinct-mset-mset-distinct
      by (auto dest: distinct-mset-union2 distinct-mset-atm-ofD)
    let ?xc1 = ‹Pos a # xc›
    let ?xc2 = ‹Neg a # xc›
    have ‹?xc1 ∈ ?H›
      using dist cons atm' 0 dist2 a-notin a
      by (auto simp: distinct-mset-add mset-inter-empty-set-mset
        lit-in-set-iff-atm card-insert-if)
    from set-mp[OF IH imageI[OF this]]
    have 1: ‹too-heavy-clauses (init-clss S) (weight S) |= pm add-mset (-(Pos a)) (pNeg (mset (M @ xc)))›
      unfolding conflicting-clss-def unfolding conflicting-clauses-def
      by (auto simp: pNeg-simps)
    have ‹?xc2 ∈ ?H›
      using dist cons atm' 0 dist2 a-notin a
      by (auto simp: distinct-mset-add mset-inter-empty-set-mset
        lit-in-set-iff-atm card-insert-if)
    from set-mp[OF IH imageI[OF this]]
    have 2: ‹too-heavy-clauses (init-clss S) (weight S) |= pm add-mset (Pos a) (pNeg (mset (M @ xc)))›
      unfolding conflicting-clss-def unfolding conflicting-clauses-def
      by (auto simp: pNeg-simps)

    have ‹¬tautology (mset (M @ xc))›

```

```

using cons unfolding consistent-interp-tautology[symmetric]
by auto
then have ‹¬tautology (pNeg (mset M) + pNeg (mset xc))›
  unfolding mset-append[symmetric] pNeg-simps[symmetric]
  by (auto simp del: mset-append)
then have ‹pNeg (mset M) + pNeg (mset xc) ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))›
  using atm' dist2 by (auto simp: simple-clss-def atms-of-s-def simp flip: pNeg-simps)
then show ‹(pNeg ∘ mset ∘ (@) M) xc ∈# conflicting-clss S›
  using true-clss-cls-or-true-clss-cls-or-not-true-clss-cls-or[OF 1 2] apply -
  unfolding conflicting-clss-def conflicting-clauses-def
  by (subst (asm) true-clss-cls-remdups-mset[symmetric])
    (auto simp: simple-clss-finite pNeg-simps intro: true-clss-cls-cong-set-mset
     simp del: true-clss-cls-remdups-mset)
qed
qed
have ‹[] ∈ {M'}.
distinct-mset (atm-of '# mset (M @ M')) ∧
consistent-interp (set-mset (mset (M @ M'))) ∧
atms-of-s (set (M @ M')) ⊆ atms-of-mm (init-clss S) ∧
card (atms-of-mm (init-clss S)) =
card (atms-of-mm (init-clss S)) − card (atms-of (mset M)) +
card (atms-of (mset (M @ M')))}›
using card-mono[OF - assms(2)] assms by (auto dest: card-mono distinct-consistent-distinct-atm)

from set-mp[OF 0 imageI[OF this]]
show ‹pNeg (mset M) ∈# conflicting-clss S›
  by auto
qed

end

end
theory OCDCL
imports CDCL-W-Optimal-Model
begin

```

Alternative versions

We instantiate our more general rules with exactly the rule from Christoph's OCDCL with either versions of improve.

Weights

This one is the version of the weight functions used by Christoph Weidenbach. However, we have decided to not instantiate the calculus with this weight function, because it only a slight restriction.

```

locale ocdcl-weight-WB =
fixes
  ν :: ‹'v literal ⇒ nat›
begin

definition ρ :: ‹'v clause ⇒ nat› where
  ‹ρ M = (∑ A ∈# M. ν A)›

```

```

sublocale ocdcl-weight  $\varrho$ 
  by (unfold-locales)
    (auto simp:  $\varrho$ -def sum-image-mset-mono)

end

```

Calculus with simple Improve rule

```

context conflict-driven-clause-learning $_W$ -optimal-weight
begin

```

To make sure that the paper version of the correct, we restrict the previous calculus to exactly the rules that are on paper.

```

inductive pruning ::  $\langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  where
  pruning-rule:
     $\langle \text{pruning } S \ T \rangle$ 
    if
       $\langle \bigwedge M'. \text{total-over-m} (\text{set-mset} (\text{mset} (\text{map lit-of} (\text{trail } S) @ M')))) (\text{set-mset} (\text{init-clss } S)) \Rightarrow$ 
         $\text{distinct-mset} (\text{atm-of} '\# \text{mset} (\text{map lit-of} (\text{trail } S) @ M')) \Rightarrow$ 
         $\text{consistent-interp} (\text{set-mset} (\text{mset} (\text{map lit-of} (\text{trail } S) @ M')))) \Rightarrow$ 
         $\varrho' (\text{weight } S) \leq \text{Found} (\varrho (\text{mset} (\text{map lit-of} (\text{trail } S) @ M')))) \rangle$ 
         $\langle \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \rangle$ 
         $\langle T \sim \text{update-conflicting} (\text{Some} (\text{negate-ann-lits} (\text{trail } S))) \ S \rangle$ 

```

```

inductive oconflict-opt ::  $\langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  for  $S \ T :: 'st$  where
  oconflict-opt-rule:
     $\langle \text{oconflict-opt } S \ T \rangle$ 
    if
       $\langle \text{Found} (\varrho (\text{lit-of} '\# \text{mset} (\text{trail } S))) \geq \varrho' (\text{weight } S) \rangle$ 
       $\langle \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \rangle$ 
       $\langle T \sim \text{update-conflicting} (\text{Some} (\text{negate-ann-lits} (\text{trail } S))) \ S \rangle$ 

```

```

inductive improve ::  $\langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  for  $S \ T :: 'st$  where
  improve-rule:
     $\langle \text{improve } S \ T \rangle$ 
    if
       $\langle \text{total-over-m} (\text{lits-of-l} (\text{trail } S)) (\text{set-mset} (\text{init-clss } S)) \rangle$ 
       $\langle \text{Found} (\varrho (\text{lit-of} '\# \text{mset} (\text{trail } S))) < \varrho' (\text{weight } S) \rangle$ 
       $\langle \text{trail } S \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S \rangle$ 
       $\langle \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \rangle$ 
       $\langle T \sim \text{update-weight-information} (\text{trail } S) \ S \rangle$ 

```

This is the basic version of the calculus:

```

inductive ocdcl $_W$  ::  $\langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  for  $S :: 'st$  where
  ocdcl-conflict:  $\langle \text{conflict } S \ S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_W \ S \ S' \rangle$  |
  ocdcl-propagate:  $\langle \text{propagate } S \ S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_W \ S \ S' \rangle$  |
  ocdcl-improve:  $\langle \text{improve } S \ S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_W \ S \ S' \rangle$  |
  ocdcl-conflict-opt:  $\langle \text{oconflict-opt } S \ S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_W \ S \ S' \rangle$  |
  ocdcl-other':  $\langle \text{ocdcl}_W\text{-o } S \ S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_W \ S \ S' \rangle$  |
  ocdcl-pruning:  $\langle \text{pruning } S \ S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_W \ S \ S' \rangle$ 

```

```

inductive ocdcl $_W$ -stgy ::  $\langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  for  $S :: 'st$  where
  ocdcl $_W$ -conflict:  $\langle \text{conflict } S \ S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ S' \rangle$  |
  ocdcl $_W$ -propagate:  $\langle \text{propagate } S \ S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ S' \rangle$  |
  ocdcl $_W$ -improve:  $\langle \text{improve } S \ S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_W\text{-stgy } S \ S' \rangle$  |

```

ocdcl_w-conflict-opt: $\langle \text{conflict-opt } S \ S' \implies \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-stgy } S \ S' \rangle \mid$
ocdcl_w-other: $\langle \text{ocdcl}_W\text{-o } S \ S' \implies \text{no-confl-prop-impr } S \implies \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-stgy } S \ S' \rangle$

lemma *pruning-conflict-opt*:

assumes *ocdcl-pruning*: $\langle \text{pruning } S \ T \rangle$ **and**
inv: $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{conflict-opt } S \ T \rangle$

proof –

have *le*:

$\langle \bigwedge M'. \text{total-over-m} (\text{set-mset} (\text{mset} (\text{map lit-of} (\text{trail } S) @ M'))))$
 $(\text{set-mset} (\text{init-clss } S)) \implies$
 $\text{distinct-mset} (\text{atm-of} '\# \text{mset} (\text{map lit-of} (\text{trail } S) @ M')) \implies$
 $\text{consistent-interp} (\text{set-mset} (\text{mset} (\text{map lit-of} (\text{trail } S) @ M')))) \implies$
 $\varrho' (\text{weight } S) \leq \text{Found} (\varrho (\text{mset} (\text{map lit-of} (\text{trail } S) @ M'))))$,

using *ocdcl-pruning* **by** (auto simp: *pruning.simps*)

have *alien*: $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.no\text{-strange-atm} (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$ **and**

lev: $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv} (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$

using *inv unfolding cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-def*

by fast+

have *incl*: $\langle \text{atms-of} (\text{mset} (\text{map lit-of} (\text{trail } S))) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{init-clss } S) \rangle$

using *alien unfolding cdcl_W-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def*

by (auto simp: *abs-state-def cdcl_W-restart-mset-state lits-of-def image-image atms-of-def*)

have *dist*: $\langle \text{distinct} (\text{map lit-of} (\text{trail } S)) \rangle$ **and**

cons: $\langle \text{consistent-interp} (\text{set} (\text{map lit-of} (\text{trail } S))) \rangle$

using *lev unfolding cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-M-level-inv-def*

by (auto simp: *abs-state-def cdcl_W-restart-mset-state lits-of-def image-image atms-of-def*

dest: no-dup-map-lit-of)

have $\langle \text{negate-ann-lits} (\text{trail } S) \in \# \text{conflicting-clss } S \rangle$

unfolding *negate-ann-lits-pNeg-lit-of comp-def mset-map[symmetric]*

by (rule pruned-clause-in-conflicting-clss[*OF le incl dist cons*]) fast+

then show $\langle \text{conflict-opt } S \ T \rangle$

by (rule conflict-opt.intros) (use *ocdcl-pruning* **in** ⟨auto simp: *pruning.simps*⟩)

qed

lemma *ocdcl-conflict-opt-conflict-opt*:

assumes *ocdcl-pruning*: $\langle \text{oconflict-opt } S \ T \rangle$ **and**

inv: $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{conflict-opt } S \ T \rangle$

proof –

have *alien*: $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.no\text{-strange-atm} (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$ **and**

lev: $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv} (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$

using *inv unfolding cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-def*

by fast+

have *incl*: $\langle \text{atms-of} (\text{lit-of} '\# \text{mset} (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{init-clss } S) \rangle$

using *alien unfolding cdcl_W-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def*

by (auto simp: *abs-state-def cdcl_W-restart-mset-state lits-of-def image-image atms-of-def*)

have *dist*: $\langle \text{distinct-mset} (\text{lit-of} '\# \text{mset} (\text{trail } S)) \rangle$ **and**

cons: $\langle \text{consistent-interp} (\text{set} (\text{map lit-of} (\text{trail } S))) \rangle$ **and**

tauto: $\langle \neg \text{tautology} (\text{lit-of} '\# \text{mset} (\text{trail } S)) \rangle$

using *lev unfolding cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-M-level-inv-def*

by (auto simp: *abs-state-def cdcl_W-restart-mset-state lits-of-def image-image atms-of-def*

dest: no-dup-map-lit-of no-dup-distinct no-dup-not-tautology)

have $\langle \text{lit-of} '\# \text{mset} (\text{trail } S) \in \text{simple-clss} (\text{atms-of-mm} (\text{init-clss } S)) \rangle$

using *dist incl tauto by* (auto simp: *simple-clss-def*)

then have *simple*: $\langle (\text{lit-of} '\# \text{mset} (\text{trail } S))$

$\in \{a. a \in \# \text{mset-set} (\text{simple-clss} (\text{atms-of-mm} (\text{init-clss } S))) \wedge$

```

 $\varrho'(\text{weight } S) \leq \text{Found}(\varrho a)\}$ 
using ocdcl-pruning by (auto simp: simple-clss-finite oconflict-opt.simps)
have ⟨negate-ann-lits (trail S) ∈# conflicting-clss S⟩
  unfolding negate-ann-lits-pNeg-lit-of comp-def conflicting-clss-def
  by (rule too-heavy-clauses-conflicting-clauses)
    (use simple in ⟨auto simp: too-heavy-clauses-def oconflict-opt.simps⟩)
then show ⟨conflict-opt S T⟩
  apply (rule conflict-opt.intros)
  subgoal using ocdcl-pruning by (auto simp: oconflict-opt.simps)
  subgoal using ocdcl-pruning by (auto simp: oconflict-opt.simps)
  done
qed

```

lemma *improve-improvep*:

```

assumes imp: ⟨improve S T⟩ and
  inv: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)⟩
shows ⟨improvep S T⟩
proof –
  have alien: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm (abs-state S)⟩ and
    lev: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv (abs-state S)⟩
    using inv unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    by fast+
  have incl: ⟨atms-of (lit-of '# mset (trail S)) ⊆ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)⟩
    using alien unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
    by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state lits-of-def image-image atms-of-def)
  have dist: ⟨distinct-mset (lit-of '# mset (trail S))⟩ and
    cons: ⟨consistent-interp (set (map lit-of (trail S)))⟩ and
    tauto: ⟨¬tautology (lit-of '# mset (trail S))⟩ and
    nd: ⟨no-dup (trail S)⟩
    using lev unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
    by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state lits-of-def image-image atms-of-def)
    dest: no-dup-map-lit-of no-dup-distinct no-dup-not-tautology)
  have ⟨lit-of '# mset (trail S) ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))⟩
    using dist incl tauto by (auto simp: simple-clss-def)
  have tot': ⟨total-over-m (lits-of-l (trail S)) (set-mset (init-clss S))⟩ and
    confl: ⟨conflicting S = None⟩ and
    T: ⟨T ~ update-weight-information (trail S) S⟩
    using imp nd by (auto simp: is-improving-int-def improve.simps)
  have M': ⟨ $\varrho(\text{lit-of } '# \text{mset } M') = \varrho(\text{lit-of } '# \text{mset } (\text{trail } S))$ ⟩
    if ⟨total-over-m (lits-of-l M') (set-mset (init-clss S))⟩ and
      incl: ⟨mset (trail S) ⊆# mset M'⟩ and
      ⟨lit-of '# mset M' ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))⟩
      for M'
    proof –
      have [simp]: ⟨lits-of-l M' = set-mset (lit-of '# mset M')⟩
        by (auto simp: lits-of-def)
      obtain A where A: ⟨mset M' = A + mset (trail S)⟩
        using incl by (auto simp: mset-subset-eq-exists-conv)
      have M': ⟨lits-of-l M' = lit-of ' set-mset A ∪ lits-of-l (trail S)⟩
        unfolding lits-of-def
        by (metis A image-Un set-mset-mset set-mset-union)
      have ⟨mset M' = mset (trail S)⟩
        using that tot' unfolding A total-over-m-alt-def
        apply (case-tac A)
        apply (auto simp: A simple-clss-def distinct-mset-add M' image-Un)
    qed
  qed

```

```

tautology-union mset-inter-empty-set-mset atms-of-def atms-of-s-def
atm-of-in-atm-of-set-iff-in-set-or-uminus-in-set image-image
tautology-add-mset)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) atm-of-in-atm-of-set-iff-in-set-or-uminus-in-set
lits-of-def subsetCE)
then show ?thesis
by auto
qed

have ‹lit-of ‘# mset (trail S) ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))›
using tauto dist incl by (auto simp: simple-clss-def)
then have improving: ‹is-improving (trail S) (trail S) S› and
‹total-over-m (lits-of-l (trail S)) (set-mset (init-clss S))›
using imp nd by (auto simp: is-improving-int-def improve.simps intro: M')
show ‹improveep S T›
by (rule improveep.intros[OF improving confl T])
qed

lemma ocdclw-cdcl-bnb:
assumes ‹ocdclw S T› and
inv: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)›
shows ‹cdcl-bnb S T›
using assms by (cases) (auto intro: cdcl-bnb.intros dest: pruning-conflict-opt
ocdcl-conflict-opt-conflict-opt improve-improveep)

lemma ocdclw-stgy-cdcl-bnb-stgy:
assumes ‹ocdclw-stgy S T› and
inv: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)›
shows ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy S T›
using assms by (cases)
(auto intro: cdcl-bnb-stgy.intros dest: pruning-conflict-opt improve-improveep)

lemma rtranclp-ocdclw-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy:
assumes ‹ocdclw-stgy** S T› and
inv: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)›
shows ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy** S T›
using assms
by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
(auto dest: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[OF rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb]
ocdclw-stgy-cdcl-bnb-stgy)

lemma no-step-ocdclw-no-step-cdcl-bnb:
assumes ‹no-step ocdclw S› and
inv: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)›
shows ‹no-step cdcl-bnb S›
proof -
have
nsc: ‹no-step conflict S› and
nsp: ‹no-step propagate S› and
nsi: ‹no-step improve S› and
nsco: ‹no-step oconflict-opt S› and
nsco: ‹no-step ocdclw-o S› and
nspr: ‹no-step pruning S›
using assms(1) by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb.simps ocdclw.simps)

```

```

have alien:  $\langle cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset.no-strange-atm} \ (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$  and
  lev:  $\langle cdcl_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-M-level-inv} \ (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$ 
  using inv unfolding cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-def
  by fast+
have incl:  $\langle \text{atms-of} \ (\text{lit-of} \ '# \ \text{mset} \ (\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm} \ (\text{init-clss } S) \rangle$ 
  using alien unfolding cdcl_W-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdcl_W-restart-mset-state lits-of-def image-image atms-of-def)
have dist:  $\langle \text{distinct-mset} \ (\text{lit-of} \ '# \ \text{mset} \ (\text{trail } S)) \rangle$  and
  cons:  $\langle \text{consistent-interp} \ (\text{set} \ (\text{map} \ \text{lit-of} \ (\text{trail } S))) \rangle$  and
  tauto:  $\langle \neg \text{tautology} \ (\text{lit-of} \ '# \ \text{mset} \ (\text{trail } S)) \rangle$  and
  n-d:  $\langle \text{no-dup} \ (\text{trail } S) \rangle$ 
  using lev unfolding cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-M-level-inv-def
  by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdcl_W-restart-mset-state lits-of-def image-image atms-of-def
    dest: no-dup-map-lit-of no-dup-distinct no-dup-not-tautology)

have nsip: False if imp:  $\langle \text{improvep} \ S \ S' \rangle$  for  $S'$ 
proof –
  obtain  $M'$  where
    [simp]:  $\langle \text{conflicting} \ S = \text{None} \rangle$  and
    is-improving:
       $\langle \bigwedge M'. \text{total-over-m} \ (\text{lits-of-l } M') \ (\text{set-mset} \ (\text{init-clss } S)) \longrightarrow$ 
         $\text{mset} \ (\text{trail } S) \subseteq \# \ \text{mset} \ M' \longrightarrow$ 
         $\text{lit-of} \ '# \ \text{mset} \ M' \in \text{simple-clss} \ (\text{atms-of-mm} \ (\text{init-clss } S)) \longrightarrow$ 
         $\varrho \ (\text{lit-of} \ '# \ \text{mset} \ M') = \varrho \ (\text{lit-of} \ '# \ \text{mset} \ (\text{trail } S)) \rangle$  and
       $S': \langle S' \sim \text{update-weight-information} \ M' \ S \rangle$ 
      using imp by (auto simp: improvep.simps is-improving-int-def)
  have 1:  $\langle \neg \varrho' \ (\text{weight } S) \leq \text{Found} \ (\varrho \ (\text{lit-of} \ '# \ \text{mset} \ (\text{trail } S))) \rangle$ 
    using nsco
    by (auto simp: is-improving-int-def oconflict-opt.simps)
  have 2:  $\langle \text{total-over-m} \ (\text{lits-of-l} \ (\text{trail } S)) \ (\text{set-mset} \ (\text{init-clss } S)) \rangle$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume  $\langle \neg ?\text{thesis} \rangle$ 
  then obtain A where
     $\langle A \in \text{atms-of-mm} \ (\text{init-clss } S) \rangle$  and
     $\langle A \notin \text{atms-of-s} \ (\text{lits-of-l} \ (\text{trail } S)) \rangle$ 
    by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def)
  then show  $\langle \text{False} \rangle$ 
    using decide-rule[of S ⟨Pos A⟩, OF --- state-eq-ref] nso
    by (auto simp: Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l ocdcl_W-o.simps)
qed
have 3:  $\langle \text{trail } S \models_{\text{asm}} \text{init-clss } S \rangle$ 
  unfolding true-annots-def
proof clarify
  fix C
  assume C:  $\langle C \in \# \ \text{init-clss } S \rangle$ 
  have  $\langle \text{total-over-m} \ (\text{lits-of-l} \ (\text{trail } S)) \ \{C\} \rangle$ 
    using 2 C by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
  moreover have  $\langle \neg \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C \rangle$ 
    using C nsc conflict-rule[of S C, OF --- state-eq-ref]
    by (auto simp: clauses-def dest!: multi-member-split)
  ultimately show  $\langle \text{trail } S \models_{\text{a}} C \rangle$ 
    using total-not-CNot[of ⟨lits-of-l (trail S)⟩ C] unfolding true-annots-true-cls true-annot-def
    by auto
qed
have 4:  $\langle \text{lit-of} \ '# \ \text{mset} \ (\text{trail } S) \in \text{simple-clss} \ (\text{atms-of-mm} \ (\text{init-clss } S)) \rangle$ 
  using tauto cons incl dist by (auto simp: simple-clss-def)

```

```

have ⟨improve S (update-weight-information (trail S) S)⟩
  by (rule improve.intros[OF 2 - 3]) (use 1 2 in auto)
then show False
  using nsi by auto
qed
moreover have False if ⟨conflict-opt S S'⟩ for S'
proof −
  have [simp]: ⟨conflicting S = None⟩
    using that by (auto simp: conflict-opt.simps)
  have 1: ⟨¬ ρ' (weight S) ≤ Found (ρ (lit-of ‘# mset (trail S)))⟩
    using nsco
    by (auto simp: is-improving-int-def oconflict-opt.simps)
  have 2: ⟨total-over-m (lits-of-l (trail S)) (set-mset (init-clss S))⟩
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume ⟨¬ ?thesis⟩
  then obtain A where
    ⟨A ∈ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)⟩ and
    ⟨A ∉ atms-of-s (lits-of-l (trail S))⟩
    by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def)
  then show ⟨False⟩
    using decide-rule[of S ⟨Pos A⟩, OF --- state-eq-ref] nso
    by (auto simp: Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l ocdclW-o.simps)
  qed
  have 3: ⟨trail S ⊨asm init-clss S⟩
    unfolding true-annots-def
  proof clarify
    fix C
    assume C: ⟨C ∈# init-clss S⟩
    have ⟨total-over-m (lits-of-l (trail S)) {C}⟩
      using 2 C by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
    moreover have ⟨¬ trail S ⊨as CNot C⟩
      using C nsc conflict-rule[of S C, OF --- state-eq-ref]
      by (auto simp: clauses-def dest!: multi-member-split)
    ultimately show ⟨trail S ⊨a C⟩
      using total-not-CNot[of ⟨lits-of-l (trail S)⟩ C] unfolding true-annots-true-cls true-annot-def
      by auto
  qed
  have 4: ⟨lit-of ‘# mset (trail S) ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))⟩
    using tauto cons incl dist by (auto simp: simple-clss-def)

have [intro]: ⟨ρ (lit-of ‘# mset M') = ρ (lit-of ‘# mset (trail S))⟩
  if
    ⟨lit-of ‘# mset (trail S) ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))⟩ and
    ⟨atms-of (lit-of ‘# mset (trail S)) ⊆ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)⟩ and
    ⟨no-dup (trail S)⟩ and
    ⟨total-over-m (lits-of-l M') (set-mset (init-clss S))⟩ and
    incl: ⟨mset (trail S) ⊆# mset M'⟩ and
    ⟨lit-of ‘# mset M' ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))⟩
  for M' :: ⟨('v literal, 'v literal, 'v literal multiset) annotated-lit list⟩
proof −
  have [simp]: ⟨lits-of-l M' = set-mset (lit-of ‘# mset M')⟩
    by (auto simp: lits-of-def)
  obtain A where A: ⟨mset M' = A + mset (trail S)⟩
    using incl by (auto simp: mset-subset-eq-exists-conv)
  have M': ⟨lits-of-l M' = lit-of ‘ set-mset A ∪ lits-of-l (trail S)⟩
    unfolding lits-of-def

```

```

by (metis A image-Un set-mset-mset set-mset-union)
have ‹mset M' = mset (trail S)›
  using that 2 unfolding A total-over-m-alt-def
  apply (case-tac A)
  apply (auto simp: A simple-clss-def distinct-mset-add M' image-Un
    tautology-union mset-inter-empty-set-mset atms-of-def atms-of-s-def
    atm-of-in-atm-of-set-iff-in-set-or-uminus-in-set image-image
    tautology-add-mset)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) atm-of-in-atm-of-set-iff-in-set-or-uminus-in-set
  lits-of-def subsetCE)
then show ?thesis
  using 2 by auto
qed
have imp: ‹is-improving (trail S) (trail S) S›
  using 1 2 3 4 incl n-d unfolding is-improving-int-def
  by (auto simp: oconflict-opt.simps)

show ‹False›
  using trail-is-improving-Ex-improve[of S, OF - imp] nsip
  by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using nsc nsp nsi nsco nso nsp nspr
  by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb.simps)
qed

lemma all-struct-init-state-distinct-iff:
  ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state (init-state N)) ↔
  distinct-mset-mset N›
  unfolding init-state.simps[symmetric]
  by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-alt-def
    abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state)

lemma no-step-ocdclw-stgy-no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy:
  assumes ‹no-step ocdclw-stgy S› and
    inv: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)›
  shows ‹no-step cdcl-bnb-stgy S›
  using assms no-step-ocdclw-no-step-cdcl-bnb[of S]
  by (auto simp: ocdclw-stgy.simps ocdclw.simps cdcl-bnb.simps cdcl-bnb-stgy.simps
    dest: ocdcl-conflict-opt-conflict-opt pruning-conflict-opt)

lemma full-ocdclw-stgy-full-cdcl-bnb-stgy:
  assumes ‹full ocdclw-stgy S T› and
    inv: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)›
  shows ‹full cdcl-bnb-stgy S T›
  using assms rtranclp-ocdclw-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy[of S T]
    no-step-ocdclw-stgy-no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy[of T]
  unfolding full-def
  by (auto dest: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[OF rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb])

corollary full-ocdclw-stgy-no-conflicting-clause-from-init-state:

```

assumes
 $\langle \text{st}: \langle \text{full ocdcl}_w\text{-stgy} (\text{init-state } N) \rangle T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{dist}: \langle \text{distinct-mset-mset } N \rangle \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \text{weight } T = \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{unsatisfiable} (\text{set-mset } N) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{weight } T \neq \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{model-on} (\text{set-mset} (\text{the} (\text{weight } T))) N \wedge \text{set-mset} (\text{the} (\text{weight } T)) \models_{sm} N \rangle$
 \wedge
 $\langle \text{distinct-mset} (\text{the} (\text{weight } T)) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{distinct-mset } I \Rightarrow \text{consistent-interp} (\text{set-mset } I) \Rightarrow \text{atms-of } I = \text{atms-of-mm } N \Rightarrow$
 $\text{set-mset } I \models_{sm} N \Rightarrow \text{Found} (\varrho I) \geq \varrho' (\text{weight } T) \rangle$
using full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clause-from-init-state[of N T ,
 $\text{OF full-ocdcl}_w\text{-stgy-full-cdcl-bnb-stgy[OF st] dist dist}$
by (auto simp: all-struct-init-state-distinct-iff model-on-def
 $\text{dest: multi-member-split})$

lemma wf-ocdcl_w:
 $\langle \text{wf } \{(T, S). \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (\text{abs-state } S)$
 $\wedge \text{ocdcl}_w S T\} \rangle$
by (rule wf-subset[OF wf-cdcl-bnb2]) (auto dest: ocdcl_w-cdcl-bnb)

Calculus with generalised Improve rule

Now a version with the more general improve rule:

inductive ocdcl_w-p :: ' $st \Rightarrow st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ' **for** $S :: st$ **where**
 $\text{ocdcl-conflict}: \langle \text{conflict } S S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p } S S' \rangle |$
 $\text{ocdcl-propagate}: \langle \text{propagate } S S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p } S S' \rangle |$
 $\text{ocdcl-improve}: \langle \text{improvep } S S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p } S S' \rangle |$
 $\text{ocdcl-conflict-opt}: \langle \text{oconflict-opt } S S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p } S S' \rangle |$
 $\text{ocdcl-other': } \langle \text{ocdcl}_W\text{-o } S S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p } S S' \rangle |$
 $\text{ocdcl-pruning}: \langle \text{pruning } S S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p } S S' \rangle$

inductive ocdcl_w-p-stgy :: ' $st \Rightarrow st \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ' **for** $S :: st$ **where**
 $\text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-conflict}: \langle \text{conflict } S S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-stgy } S S' \rangle |$
 $\text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-propagate}: \langle \text{propagate } S S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-stgy } S S' \rangle |$
 $\text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-improve}: \langle \text{improvep } S S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-stgy } S S' \rangle |$
 $\text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-conflict-opt}: \langle \text{conflict-opt } S S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-stgy } S S' \rangle |$
 $\text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-pruning}: \langle \text{pruning } S S' \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-stgy } S S' \rangle |$
 $\text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-other': } \langle \text{ocdcl}_W\text{-o } S S' \Rightarrow \text{no-conflict-prop-impr } S \Rightarrow \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-stgy } S S' \rangle$

lemma ocdcl_w-p-cdcl-bnb:
assumes $\langle \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p } S T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{inv}: \langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{cdcl-bnb } S T \rangle$
using assms **by** (cases) (auto intro: cdcl-bnb.intros dest: pruning-conflict-opt
 $\text{ocdcl-conflict-opt-conflict-opt})$

lemma ocdcl_w-p-stgy-cdcl-bnb-stgy:
assumes $\langle \text{ocdcl}_w\text{-p-stgy } S T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{inv}: \langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}. \text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv} (\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{cdcl-bnb-stgy } S T \rangle$
using assms **by** (cases) (auto intro: cdcl-bnb-stgy.intros dest: pruning-conflict-opt)

lemma rtranclp-ocdcl_w-p-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy:

```

assumes ‹ocdclw-p-stgy** S T› and
  inv: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)›
shows ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy** S T›
using assms
by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  (auto dest: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[OF rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb]
    ocdclw-p-stgy-cdcl-bnb-stgy)

lemma no-step-ocdclw-p-no-step-cdcl-bnb:
assumes ‹no-step ocdclw-p S› and
  inv: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)›
shows ‹no-step cdcl-bnb S›
proof –
  have
    nsc: ‹no-step conflict S› and
    nsp: ‹no-step propagate S› and
    nsi: ‹no-step improvep S› and
    nsco: ‹no-step oconflict-opt S› and
    nso: ‹no-step ocdclw-o S› and
    nspr: ‹no-step pruning S›
    using assms(1) by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb.simps ocdclw-p.simps)
  have alien: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm (abs-state S)› and
    lev: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv (abs-state S)›
    using inv unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    by fast+
  have incl: ‹atms-of (lit-of '# mset (trail S)) ⊆ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)›
    using alien unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
    by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state lits-of-def image-image atms-of-def)
  have dist: ‹distinct-mset (lit-of '# mset (trail S))› and
    cons: ‹consistent-interp (set (map lit-of (trail S)))› and
    tauto: ‹¬tautology (lit-of '# mset (trail S))› and
    n-d: ‹no-dup (trail S)›
    using lev unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
    by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state lits-of-def image-image atms-of-def
      dest: no-dup-map-lit-of no-dup-distinct no-dup-not-tautology)

  have False if ‹conflict-opt S S'› for S'
  proof –
    have [simp]: ‹conflicting S = None›
    using that by (auto simp: conflict-opt.simps)
    have 1: ‹¬ ρ' (weight S) ≤ Found (ρ (lit-of '# mset (trail S)))›
    using nsco
    by (auto simp: is-improving-int-def oconflict-opt.simps)
    have 2: ‹total-over-m (lits-of-l (trail S)) (set-mset (init-clss S))›
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume ‹¬ ?thesis›
      then obtain A where
        ‹A ∈ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)› and
        ‹A ∉ atms-of-s (lits-of-l (trail S))›
        by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def)
      then show ‹False›
        using decide-rule[of S ‹Pos A›, OF - - - state-eq-ref] nso
        by (auto simp: Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l ocdclw-o.simps)
      qed
      have 3: ‹trail S ⊨asm init-clss S›
      unfolding true-annots-def

```

```

proof clarify
  fix C
  assume C:  $\langle C \in \# \text{init-clss } S \rangle$ 
  have  $\langle \text{total-over-m}(\text{lits-of-l}(\text{trail } S)) \{C\} \rangle$ 
    using 2 C by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
  moreover have  $\langle \neg \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C \rangle$ 
    using C nsc conflict-rule[of S C, OF - - - state-eq-ref]
    by (auto simp: clauses-def dest!: multi-member-split)
  ultimately show  $\langle \text{trail } S \models_a C \rangle$ 
    using total-not-CNot[of  $\langle \text{lits-of-l}(\text{trail } S) \rangle$  C] unfolding true-annots-true-cls true-annot-def
    by auto
qed
have 4:  $\langle \text{lit-of} \# \text{mset}(\text{trail } S) \in \text{simple-clss}(\text{atms-of-mm}(\text{init-clss } S)) \rangle$ 
  using tauto cons incl dist by (auto simp: simple-clss-def)

have [intro]:  $\langle \varrho(\text{lit-of} \# \text{mset } M') = \varrho(\text{lit-of} \# \text{mset}(\text{trail } S)) \rangle$ 
  if
     $\langle \text{lit-of} \# \text{mset}(\text{trail } S) \in \text{simple-clss}(\text{atms-of-mm}(\text{init-clss } S)) \rangle \text{ and}$ 
     $\langle \text{atms-of}(\text{lit-of} \# \text{mset}(\text{trail } S)) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm}(\text{init-clss } S) \rangle \text{ and}$ 
     $\langle \text{no-dup}(\text{trail } S) \rangle \text{ and}$ 
     $\langle \text{total-over-m}(\text{lits-of-l } M') (\text{set-mset}(\text{init-clss } S)) \rangle \text{ and}$ 
    incl:  $\langle \text{mset}(\text{trail } S) \subseteq \# \text{mset } M' \rangle \text{ and}$ 
     $\langle \text{lit-of} \# \text{mset } M' \in \text{simple-clss}(\text{atms-of-mm}(\text{init-clss } S)) \rangle$ 
  for M' ::  $\langle ('v \text{ literal}, 'v \text{ literal multiset}) \text{ annotated-lit list} \rangle$ 
proof -
  have [simp]:  $\langle \text{lits-of-l } M' = \text{set-mset}(\text{lit-of} \# \text{mset } M') \rangle$ 
    by (auto simp: lits-of-def)
  obtain A where A:  $\langle \text{mset } M' = A + \text{mset}(\text{trail } S) \rangle$ 
    using incl by (auto simp: mset-subset-eq-exists-conv)
  have M':  $\langle \text{lits-of-l } M' = \text{lit-of} ' \text{ set-mset } A \cup \text{lits-of-l}(\text{trail } S) \rangle$ 
    unfolding lits-of-def
    by (metis A image-Un set-mset-mset set-mset-union)
  have  $\langle \text{mset } M' = \text{mset}(\text{trail } S) \rangle$ 
    using that 2 unfolding A total-over-m-alt-def
    apply (case-tac A)
    apply (auto simp: A simple-clss-def distinct-mset-add M' image-Un
      tautology-union mset-inter-empty-set-mset atms-of-def atms-of-s-def
      atm-of-in-atm-of-set-iff-in-set-or-uminus-in-set image-image
      tautology-add-mset)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) atm-of-in-atm-of-set-iff-in-set-or-uminus-in-set
      lits-of-def subsetCE)
  then show ?thesis
    using 2 by auto
qed
have imp:  $\langle \text{is-improving}(\text{trail } S)(\text{trail } S) \rangle$ 
  using 1 2 3 4 incl n-d unfolding is-improving-int-def
  by (auto simp: oconflict-opt.simps)

show  $\langle \text{False} \rangle$ 
  using trail-is-improving-Ex-improve[of S, OF - imp] nsi by auto
qed
then show ?thesis
  using nsc nsp nsi nsco nso nsp nspr
  by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb.simps)
qed

```

```

lemma no-step-ocdclw-p-stgy-no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy:
  assumes ⟨no-step ocdclw-p-stgy S⟩ and
    inv: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)⟩
  shows ⟨no-step cdcl-bnb-stgy S⟩
  using assms no-step-ocdclw-p-no-step-cdcl-bnb[of S]
  by (auto simp: ocdclw-p-stgy.simps ocdclw-p.simps
    cdcl-bnb.simps cdcl-bnb-stgy.simps)

lemma full-ocdclw-p-stgy-full-cdcl-bnb-stgy:
  assumes ⟨full ocdclw-p-stgy S T⟩ and
    inv: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)⟩
  shows ⟨full cdcl-bnb-stgy S T⟩
  using assms rtranclp-ocdclw-p-stgy-rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy[of S T]
    no-step-ocdclw-p-stgy-no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy[of T]
  unfolding full-def
  by (auto dest: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[OF rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb])

corollary full-ocdclw-p-stgy-no-conflicting-clause-from-init-state:
  assumes
    st: ⟨full ocdclw-p-stgy (init-state N) T⟩ and
    dist: ⟨distinct-mset-mset N⟩
  shows
    ⟨weight T = None ⟹ unsatisfiable (set-mset N)⟩ and
    ⟨weight T ≠ None ⟹ model-on (set-mset (the (weight T))) N ∧ set-mset (the (weight T)) ⊨sm N
  ∧
    distinct-mset (the (weight T)) and
    ⟨distinct-mset I ⟹ consistent-interp (set-mset I) ⟹ atms-of I = atms-of-mm N ⟹
      set-mset I ⊨sm N ⟹ Found (ρ I) ≥ ρ' (weight T)⟩
  using full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clause-from-init-state[of N T,
    OF full-ocdclw-p-stgy-full-cdcl-bnb-stgy[OF st] dist] dist
  by (auto simp: all-struct-init-state-distinct-iff model-on-def
    dest: multi-member-split)

lemma cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-smaller-propa:
  ⟨cdcl-bnb-stgy S T ⟹ cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S) ⟹
    no-smaller-propa S ⟹ no-smaller-propa T⟩
  apply (induction rule: cdcl-bnb-stgy.induct)
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: no-smaller-propa-def propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons conflict.simps)
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: no-smaller-propa-def propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons
      propagate.simps no-smaller-propa-tl elim!: rulesE)
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: no-smaller-propa-def propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons
      improvep.simps elim!: rulesE)
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: no-smaller-propa-def propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons
      conflict-opt.simps no-smaller-propa-tl elim!: rulesE)
  subgoal for T
    apply (cases rule: ocdclW-o.cases, assumption; thin-tac ⟨ocdclW-o S T⟩)
    subgoal
      using decide-no-smaller-step[of S T] unfolding no-confl-prop-impr.simps by auto
    subgoal
      apply (cases rule: cdcl-bnb-bj.cases, assumption; thin-tac ⟨cdcl-bnb-bj S T⟩)
      subgoal

```

```

by (use no-smaller-propa-tl[of S T] in ⟨auto elim: rulesE⟩)
subgoal
  by (use no-smaller-propa-tl[of S T] in ⟨auto elim: rulesE⟩)
subgoal
  using backtrackg-no-smaller-propa[OF obacktrack-backtrackg, of S T]
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
  by (auto elim: obacktrackE)
done
done
done

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-smaller-propa:
  ⟨cdcl-bnb-stgy** S T ⟹ cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S) ⟹
  no-smaller-propa S ⟹ no-smaller-propa T⟩
by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  (use rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv
    rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb in ⟨force intro: cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-smaller-propa⟩)+

lemma wf-ocdclw-p:
  ⟨wf {(T, S). cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)
    ∧ ocdclw-p S T}⟩
by (rule wf-subset[OF wf-cdcl-bnb2]) (auto dest: ocdclw-p-cdcl-bnb)

end

end
theory CDCL-W-Partial-Encoding
  imports CDCL-W-Optimal-Model
begin

lemma consistent-interp-unionI:
  ⟨consistent-interp A ⟹ consistent-interp B ⟹ (⋀a. a ∈ A ⟹ −a ∉ B) ⟹ (⋀a. a ∈ B ⟹ −a
  ∉ A) ⟹
  consistent-interp (A ∪ B)⟩
by (auto simp: consistent-interp-def)

lemma consistent-interp-poss: ⟨consistent-interp (Pos ‘ A)⟩ and
  consistent-interp-negs: ⟨consistent-interp (Neg ‘ A)⟩
by (auto simp: consistent-interp-def)

lemma Neg-in-lits-of-l-definedD:
  ⟨Neg A ∈ lits-of-l M ⟹ defined-lit M (Pos A)⟩
by (simp add: Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l)

```

0.1.2 Encoding of partial SAT into total SAT

As a way to make sure we don't reuse theorems names:

```

interpretation test: conflict-driven-clause-learningW-optimal-weight where
  state-eq = ⟨(=)⟩ and
  state = id and
  trail = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). M⟩ and
  init-clss = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). N⟩ and
  learned-clss = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). U⟩ and

```

```

conflicting = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). D⟩ and
cons-trail = ⟨λK (M, N, U, D, W). (K # M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
tl-trail = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). (tl M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
add-learned-cls = ⟨λC (M, N, U, D, W). (M, N, add-mset C U, D, W)⟩ and
remove-cls = ⟨λC (M, N, U, D, W). (M, removeAll-mset C N, removeAll-mset C U, D, W)⟩ and
update-conflicting = ⟨λC (M, N, U, -, W). (M, N, U, C, W)⟩ and
init-state = ⟨λN. ([](N, {#}), None, None, ())⟩ and
g = ⟨λ-. 0⟩ and
update-additional-info = ⟨λW (M, N, U, D, -, -). (M, N, U, D, W)⟩
by unfold-locales (auto simp: stateW-ops.additional-info-def)

```

We here formalise the encoding from a formula to another formula from which we will use to derive the optimal partial model.

While the proofs are still inspired by Dominic Zimmer's upcoming bachelor thesis, we now use the dual rail encoding, which is more elegant than the solution found by Christoph to solve the problem.

The intended meaning is the following:

- Σ is the set of all variables
- $\Delta\Sigma$ is the set of all variables with a (possibly non-zero) weight: These are the variable that needs to be replaced during encoding, but it does not matter if the weight 0.

```

locale optimal-encoding-opt-ops =
  fixes Σ ΔΣ :: ⟨'v set⟩ and
    new-vars :: ⟨'v ⇒ 'v × 'v⟩
begin

abbreviation replacement-pos :: ⟨'v ⇒ 'v⟩ (⟨(-)↑1⟩ 100) where
  ⟨replacement-pos A ≡ fst (new-vars A)⟩

abbreviation replacement-neg :: ⟨'v ⇒ 'v⟩ (⟨(-)↑0⟩ 100) where
  ⟨replacement-neg A ≡ snd (new-vars A)⟩

fun encode-lit where
  ⟨encode-lit (Pos A) = (if A ∈ ΔΣ then Pos (replacement-pos A) else Pos A)⟩ |
  ⟨encode-lit (Neg A) = (if A ∈ ΔΣ then Pos (replacement-neg A) else Neg A)⟩

lemma encode-lit-alt-def:
  ⟨encode-lit A = (if atm-of A ∈ ΔΣ
    then Pos (if is-pos A then replacement-pos (atm-of A) else replacement-neg (atm-of A))
    else A)⟩
  by (cases A) auto

definition encode-clause :: ⟨'v clause ⇒ 'v clause⟩ where
  ⟨encode-clause C = encode-lit '# C⟩

lemma encode-clause-simp[simp]:
  ⟨encode-clause {#} = {#}⟩
  ⟨encode-clause (add-mset A C) = add-mset (encode-lit A) (encode-clause C)⟩
  ⟨encode-clause (C + D) = encode-clause C + encode-clause D⟩
  by (auto simp: encode-clause-def)

```

```

definition encode-clauses :: <'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses> where
  <encode-clauses C = encode-clause '# C>

lemma encode-clauses-simp[simp]:
  <encode-clauses {#} = {#}>
  <encode-clauses (add-mset A C) = add-mset (encode-clause A) (encode-clauses C)>
  <encode-clauses (C + D) = encode-clauses C + encode-clauses D>
  by (auto simp: encode-clauses-def)

definition additional-constraint :: <'v  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses> where
  <additional-constraint A =
    {# {# Neg (A $\mapsto$ 1), Neg (A $\mapsto$ 0)} #}>

definition additional-constraints :: <'v clauses> where
  <additional-constraints =  $\sum_{\#} (\text{additional-constraint } \# \text{ (mset-set } \Delta\Sigma))>$ 

definition penc :: <'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses> where
  <penc N = encode-clauses N + additional-constraints>

lemma size-encode-clauses[simp]: <size (encode-clauses N) = size N>
  by (auto simp: encode-clauses-def)

lemma size-pencil:
  <size (penc N) = size N + card  $\Delta\Sigma$ >
  by (auto simp: penc-def additional-constraints-def
        additional-constraint-def size-Union-mset-image-mset)

lemma atms-of-mm-additional-constraints: <finite  $\Delta\Sigma \implies$ 
  atms-of-mm additional-constraints = replacement-pos ' $\Delta\Sigma \cup$  replacement-neg ' $\Delta\Sigma$ '>
  by (auto simp: additional-constraints-def additional-constraint-def atms-of-ms-def)

lemma atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset:
  <atms-of-mm (encode-clauses N)  $\subseteq$  (atms-of-mm N -  $\Delta\Sigma$ )  $\cup$  replacement-pos '{A  $\in$   $\Delta\Sigma$ . A  $\in$  atms-of-mm N}>
   $\cup$  replacement-neg '{A  $\in$   $\Delta\Sigma$ . A  $\in$  atms-of-mm N}'>
  by (auto simp: encode-clauses-def encode-lit-alt-def atms-of-ms-def atms-of-def
        encode-clause-def split: if-splits
        dest!: multi-member-split[of - N])

In every meaningful application of the theorem below, we have  $\Delta\Sigma \subseteq$  atms-of-mm N.

lemma atms-of-mm-pencil-subset: <finite  $\Delta\Sigma \implies$ 
  atms-of-mm (penc N)  $\subseteq$  atms-of-mm N  $\cup$  replacement-pos ' $\Delta\Sigma$ '  $\cup$  replacement-neg ' $\Delta\Sigma \cup \Delta\Sigma$ '>
  using atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset[of N]
  by (auto simp: penc-def atms-of-mm-additional-constraints)

lemma atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset2: <finite  $\Delta\Sigma \implies \Delta\Sigma \subseteq$  atms-of-mm N  $\implies$ 
  atms-of-mm N  $\subseteq$  atms-of-mm (encode-clauses N)  $\cup$   $\Delta\Sigma$ >
  by (auto simp: encode-clauses-def encode-lit-alt-def atms-of-ms-def atms-of-def
        encode-clause-def split: if-splits
        dest!: multi-member-split[of - N])

lemma atms-of-mm-pencil-subset2: <finite  $\Delta\Sigma \implies \Delta\Sigma \subseteq$  atms-of-mm N  $\implies$ 
  atms-of-mm (penc N) = (atms-of-mm N -  $\Delta\Sigma$ )  $\cup$  replacement-pos ' $\Delta\Sigma \cup$  replacement-neg ' $\Delta\Sigma$ '>
  using atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset2[of N] atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset22[of N]
  by (auto simp: penc-def atms-of-mm-additional-constraints)

```

```

theorem card-atms-of-mm-penc:
  assumes <finite ΔΣ> and <ΔΣ ⊆ atms-of-mm N>
  shows <card (atms-of-mm (penc N)) ≤ card (atms-of-mm N - ΔΣ) + 2 * card ΔΣ> (is <?A ≤ ?B>)
proof -
  have <?A = card ((atms-of-mm N - ΔΣ) ∪ replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ ∪ replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ)> (is <- = card (?W ∪ ?X ∪ ?Y)>)
    using arg-cong[OF atms-of-mm-penc-subset2[of N], of card] assms card-Un-le by auto
  also have <... ≤ card (?W ∪ ?X ∪ ?Y)>
    using card-Un-le[of <?W ∪ ?X ∪ ?Y>] by auto
  also have <... ≤ card ?W + card ?X + card ?Y>
    using card-Un-le[of <?W ∪ ?X>] by auto
  also have <... ≤ card (atms-of-mm N - ΔΣ) + 2 * card ΔΣ>
    using card-mono[of <atms-of-mm N> <ΔΣ>] assms
      card-image-le[of ΔΣ replacement-pos] card-image-le[of ΔΣ replacement-neg]
    by auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

definition postp :: <'v partial-interp ⇒ 'v partial-interp> where
  <postp I = {A ∈ I. atm-of A ∉ ΔΣ ∧ atm-of A ∈ Σ} ∪ Pos ‘{A. A ∈ ΔΣ ∧ Pos (replacement-pos A) ∈ I}>
  <     ∪ Neg ‘{A. A ∈ ΔΣ ∧ Pos (replacement-neg A) ∈ I ∧ Pos (replacement-pos A) ∉ I}>>

```

lemma preprocess-clss-model-additional-variables2:

```

assumes
  <atm-of A ∈ Σ - ΔΣ>
shows
  <A ∈ postp I ↔ A ∈ I> (is ?A)
proof -
  show ?A
    using assms
    by (auto simp: postp-def)
qed

```

lemma encode-clause-iff:

```

assumes
  <¬¬(A. A ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ Pos A ∈ I) ↔ Pos (replacement-pos A) ∈ I>
  <¬¬(A. A ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ Neg A ∈ I) ↔ Pos (replacement-neg A) ∈ I>
shows <I ≡ encode-clause C ↔ I ≡ C>
using assms
apply (induction C)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for A C
  by (cases A)
    (auto simp: encode-clause-def encode-lit-alt-def split: if-splits)
done

```

lemma encode-clauses-iff:

```

assumes
  <¬¬(A. A ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ Pos A ∈ I) ↔ Pos (replacement-pos A) ∈ I>
  <¬¬(A. A ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ Neg A ∈ I) ↔ Pos (replacement-neg A) ∈ I>
shows <I ≡m encode-clauses C ↔ I ≡m C>
using encode-clause-iff[OF assms]

```

```
by (auto simp: encode-clauses-def true-cls-mset-def)
```

definition Σ_{add} **where**

```
 $\langle \Sigma_{add} = replacement\text{-}pos \cup \Delta\Sigma \cup replacement\text{-}neg \cup \Delta\Sigma \rangle$ 
```

definition $upostp :: \langle 'v \text{ partial-interp} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ partial-interp} \rangle$ **where**

```
 $\langle upostp I =$ 
```

```
 $\begin{aligned} & Neg \{ A \in \Sigma. A \notin \Delta\Sigma \wedge Pos A \notin I \wedge Neg A \notin I \} \\ & \cup \{ A \in I. atm\text{-}of A \in \Sigma \wedge atm\text{-}of A \notin \Delta\Sigma \} \\ & \cup Pos \{ replacement\text{-}pos \{ A \in \Delta\Sigma. Pos A \in I \} \} \\ & \cup Neg \{ replacement\text{-}pos \{ A \in \Delta\Sigma. Pos A \notin I \} \} \\ & \cup Pos \{ replacement\text{-}neg \{ A \in \Delta\Sigma. Neg A \in I \} \} \\ & \cup Neg \{ replacement\text{-}neg \{ A \in \Delta\Sigma. Neg A \notin I \} \} \end{aligned}$ 
```

lemma $atm\text{-}of}\text{-}upostp\text{-subset}:$

```
 $\langle atm\text{-}of} \{ upostp I \} \subseteq$   

 $(atm\text{-}of} \{ I - \Delta\Sigma \} \cup replacement\text{-pos} \cup \Delta\Sigma \cup$   

 $replacement\text{-neg} \cup \Delta\Sigma \cup \Sigma \rangle$ 
```

```
by (auto simp: upostp-def image-Un)
```

end

locale $optimal\text{-}encoding\text{-}opt} = conflict\text{-}driven\text{-}clause\text{-}learning_W\text{-}optimal\text{-}weight$

$state\text{-}eq$

$state$

— functions for the state:

— access functions:

$trail$ $init\text{-}clss$ $learned\text{-}clss$ $conflicting$

— changing state:

$cons\text{-}trail$ $tl\text{-}trail$ $add\text{-}learned\text{-}cls$ $remove\text{-}cls$
 $update\text{-}conflicting$

— get state:

$init\text{-}state} \varrho$

$update\text{-}additional\text{-}info} +$

$optimal\text{-}encoding\text{-}opt\text{-}ops} \Sigma \Delta\Sigma new\text{-}vars$

for

$state\text{-}eq :: \langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool \rangle$ (**infix** ~ 50) **and**

$state :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann\text{-}lits \times 'v clauses \times 'v clauses \times 'v clause option \times$

$'v clause option \times 'b$ **and**

$trail :: \langle 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann\text{-}lits \rangle$ **and**

$init\text{-}clss :: \langle 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses \rangle$ **and**

$learned\text{-}clss :: \langle 'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses \rangle$ **and**

$conflicting :: \langle 'st \Rightarrow 'v clause option \rangle$ **and**

$cons\text{-}trail :: \langle ('v, 'v clause) ann\text{-}lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \rangle$ **and**

$tl\text{-}trail :: \langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \rangle$ **and**

$add\text{-}learned\text{-}cls :: \langle 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \rangle$ **and**

$remove\text{-}cls :: \langle 'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \rangle$ **and**

$update\text{-}conflicting :: \langle 'v clause option \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \rangle$ **and**

$init\text{-}state :: \langle 'v clauses \Rightarrow 'st \rangle$ **and**

$update\text{-}additional\text{-}info :: \langle 'v clause option \times 'b \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st \rangle$ **and**

```

 $\Sigma \Delta\Sigma :: \langle 'v \text{ set} \rangle \text{ and}$ 
 $\varrho :: \langle 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{linorder}\} \rangle \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{new-vars} :: \langle 'v \Rightarrow 'v \times 'v \rangle$ 
begin

inductive odecide ::  $\langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  where
  odecide-noweight:  $\langle \text{odecide } S T \rangle$ 
if
   $\langle \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\langle \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) L \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\langle \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S) \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\langle T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } L) S \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\langle \text{atm-of } L \in \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma \rangle \mid$ 
  odecide-replacement-pos:  $\langle \text{odecide } S T \rangle$ 
if
   $\langle \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\langle \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) (\text{Pos } (\text{replacement-pos } L)) \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\langle T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } (\text{Pos } (\text{replacement-pos } L))) S \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\langle L \in \Delta\Sigma \rangle \mid$ 
  odecide-replacement-neg:  $\langle \text{odecide } S T \rangle$ 
if
   $\langle \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\langle \text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) (\text{Pos } (\text{replacement-neg } L)) \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\langle T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } (\text{Pos } (\text{replacement-neg } L))) S \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\langle L \in \Delta\Sigma \rangle$ 

inductive-cases odecideE:  $\langle \text{odecide } S T \rangle$ 

definition no-new-lonely-clause ::  $\langle 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  where
   $\langle \text{no-new-lonely-clause } C \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall L \in \Delta\Sigma. L \in \text{atms-of } C \longrightarrow$ 
       $\text{Neg } (\text{replacement-pos } L) \in \# C \vee \text{Neg } (\text{replacement-neg } L) \in \# C \vee C \in \# \text{additional-constraint } L)$ 
definition lonely-weighted-lit-decided where
   $\langle \text{lonely-weighted-lit-decided } S \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall L \in \Delta\Sigma. \text{Decided } (\text{Pos } L) \notin \text{set } (\text{trail } S) \wedge \text{Decided } (\text{Neg } L) \notin \text{set } (\text{trail } S))\rangle$ 
end

locale optimal-encoding-ops = optimal-encoding-opt-ops
   $\Sigma \Delta\Sigma$ 
  new-vars +
  ocdcl-weight  $\varrho$ 
for
   $\Sigma \Delta\Sigma :: \langle 'v \text{ set} \rangle \text{ and}$ 
  new-vars ::  $\langle 'v \Rightarrow 'v \times 'v \rangle \text{ and}$ 
   $\varrho :: \langle 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{linorder}\} \rangle +$ 
assumes
  finite-Sigma:
   $\langle \text{finite } \Delta\Sigma \rangle \text{ and}$ 
  DeltaSigma-Sigma:
   $\langle \Delta\Sigma \subseteq \Sigma \rangle \text{ and}$ 
  new-vars-pos:
   $\langle A \in \Delta\Sigma \implies \text{replacement-pos } A \notin \Sigma \rangle \text{ and}$ 

```

```

new-vars-neg:
⟨A ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ replacement-neg A ∉ Σ⟩ and
new-vars-dist:
⟨inj-on replacement-pos ΔΣ⟩
⟨inj-on replacement-neg ΔΣ⟩
⟨replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ ∩ replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ = {}⟩ and
Σ-no-weight:
⟨atm-of C ∈ Σ – ΔΣ ⇒ ρ (add-mset C M) = ρ M⟩
begin

lemma new-vars-dist2:
⟨A ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ B ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ A ≠ B ⇒ replacement-pos A ≠ replacement-pos B⟩
⟨A ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ B ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ A ≠ B ⇒ replacement-neg A ≠ replacement-neg B⟩
⟨A ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ B ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ replacement-neg A ≠ replacement-pos B⟩
using new-vars-dist unfolding inj-on-def apply blast
using new-vars-dist unfolding inj-on-def apply blast
using new-vars-dist unfolding inj-on-def apply blast
done

```

```

lemma consistent-interp-postp:
⟨consistent-interp I ⇒ consistent-interp (postp I)⟩
by (auto simp: consistent-interp-def postp-def uminus-lit-swap)

```

The reverse of the previous theorem does not hold due to the filtering on the variables of $\Delta\Sigma$. One example of version that holds:

```

lemma
assumes ⟨A ∈ ΔΣ⟩
shows ⟨consistent-interp (postp {Pos A , Neg A})⟩ and
    ⟨¬consistent-interp {Pos A, Neg A}⟩
using assms ΔΣ-Σ
by (auto simp: consistent-interp-def postp-def uminus-lit-swap)

```

Some more restricted version of the reverse hold, like:

```

lemma consistent-interp-postp-iff:
⟨atm-of ‘I ⊆ Σ – ΔΣ ⇒ consistent-interp I ↔ consistent-interp (postp I)⟩
by (auto simp: consistent-interp-def postp-def uminus-lit-swap)

```

```

lemma new-vars-different-iff[simp]:
⟨A ≠ x↑1⟩
⟨A ≠ x↑0⟩
⟨x↑1 ≠ A⟩
⟨x↑0 ≠ A⟩
⟨A↑0 ≠ x↑1⟩
⟨A↑1 ≠ x↑0⟩
⟨A↑0 = x↑0 ↔ A = x⟩
⟨A↑1 = x↑1 ↔ A = x⟩
⟨(A↑1) ∉ Σ⟩
⟨(A↑0) ∉ Σ⟩
⟨(A↑1) ∉ ΔΣ⟩
⟨(A↑0) ∉ ΔΣ⟩ if ⟨A ∈ ΔΣ⟩ ⟨x ∈ ΔΣ⟩ for A x
using ΔΣ-Σ new-vars-pos[of x] new-vars-pos[of A] new-vars-neg[of x] new-vars-neg[of A]
new-vars-neg new-vars-dist2[of A x] new-vars-dist2[of x A] that
by (cases ⟨A = x⟩; fastforce simp: comp-def; fail) +

```

```

lemma consistent-interp-upostp:

```

```

⟨consistent-interp I ⟹ consistent-interp (upostp I)⟩
using ΔΣ-Σ
by (auto simp: consistent-interp-def upostp-def uminus-lit-swap)

lemma atm-of-upostp-subset2:
  ⟨atm-of ‘I ⊆ Σ ⟹ replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ ∪
    replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ ∪ (Σ – ΔΣ) ⊆ atm-of ‘(upostp I)⟩
apply (auto simp: upostp-def image-Un image-image)
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) imageI literal.sel(1) mem-Collect-eq)
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) imageI literal.sel(2) mem-Collect-eq)
done

lemma ΔΣ-notin-upost[simp]:
  ⟨y ∈ ΔΣ ⟹ Neg y ∉ upostp I⟩
  ⟨y ∈ ΔΣ ⟹ Pos y ∉ upostp I⟩
using ΔΣ-Σ by (auto simp: upostp-def)

lemma penc-ent-upostp:
assumes Σ: ⟨atms-of-mm N = Σ⟩ and
sat: ⟨I ⊨sm N⟩ and
cons: ⟨consistent-interp I⟩ and
atm: ⟨atm-of ‘I ⊆ atms-of-mm N⟩
shows ⟨upostp I ⊨m penc N⟩
proof –
have [iff]: ⟨Pos (A↑0) ∉ I⟩ ⟷ ⟨Pos (A↑1) ∉ I⟩
  ⟨Neg (A↑0) ∉ I⟩ ⟷ ⟨Neg (A↑1) ∉ I⟩ if ⟨A ∈ ΔΣ⟩ for A
  using atm new-vars-neg[of A] new-vars-pos[of A] that
  unfolding Σ by force+
have enc: ⟨upostp I ⊨m encode-clauses N⟩
  unfolding true-cls-mset-def
proof
fix C
assume ‘C ∈# encode-clauses N’
then obtain C' where
  ‘C' ∈# N’ and
  ‘C = encode-clause C'’
  by (auto simp: encode-clauses-def)
then obtain A where
  ‘A ∈# C'’ and
  ‘A ∈ I’
  using sat
  by (auto simp: true-cls-def
    dest!: multi-member-split[of - N])
moreover have ‘atm-of A ∈ Σ – ΔΣ ∨ atm-of A ∈ ΔΣ’
  using atm ‘A ∈ I’ unfolding Σ by blast
ultimately have ‘encode-lit A ∈ upostp I’
  by (auto simp: encode-lit-alt-def upostp-def)
then show ‘upostp I ⊨ C’
  using ‘A ∈# C'’
  unfolding ‘C = encode-clause C'’
  by (auto simp: encode-clause-def dest: multi-member-split)
qed
have [iff]: ⟨Pos (y↑1) ∉ upostp I ⟷ Neg (y↑1) ∈ upostp I⟩
  ⟨Pos (y↑0) ∉ upostp I ⟷ Neg (y↑0) ∈ upostp I⟩

```

```

if  $\langle y \in \Delta\Sigma \rangle$  for  $y$ 
using that
by (cases  $\langle Pos y \in I \rangle$ ; auto simp: upostp-def image-image; fail) +
have  $H$ :
 $\langle Neg(y^{0\rightarrow}) \notin upostp I \implies Neg(y^{1\rightarrow}) \in upostp I \rangle$ 
if  $\langle y \in \Delta\Sigma \rangle$  for  $y$ 
using that cons  $\Delta\Sigma$ - $\Sigma$  unfolding upostp-def consistent-interp-def
by (cases  $\langle Pos y \in I \rangle$ ) (auto simp: image-image)
have [dest]:  $\langle Neg A \in upostp I \implies Pos A \notin upostp I \rangle$ 
 $\langle Pos A \in upostp I \implies Neg A \notin upostp I \rangle$  for  $A$ 
using consistent-interp-upostp[OF cons]
by (auto simp: consistent-interp-def)

have add:  $\langle upostp I \models m additional-constraints \rangle$ 
using finite- $\Sigma$   $H$ 
by (auto simp: additional-constraints-def true-cls-mset-def additional-constraint-def)

show  $\langle upostp I \models m penc N \rangle$ 
using enc add unfolding penc-def by auto
qed

lemma penc-ent-postp:
assumes  $\Sigma: \langle atms-of-mm N = \Sigma \rangle$  and
 $sat: \langle I \models m penc N \rangle$  and
 $cons: \langle consistent-interp I \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle postp I \models m N \rangle$ 
proof -
have enc:  $\langle I \models m encode-clauses N \rangle$  and  $\langle I \models m additional-constraints \rangle$ 
using sat unfolding penc-def
by auto
have [dest]:  $\langle Pos(x2^{0\rightarrow}) \in I \implies Neg(x2^{1\rightarrow}) \in I \rangle$  if  $\langle x2 \in \Delta\Sigma \rangle$  for  $x2$ 
using  $\langle I \models m additional-constraints \rangle$  that cons
multi-member-split[of  $x2$  mset-set  $\Delta\Sigma$ ] finite- $\Sigma$ 
unfolding additional-constraints-def additional-constraint-def
consistent-interp-def
by (auto simp: true-cls-mset-def)
have [dest]:  $\langle Pos(x2^{0\rightarrow}) \in I \implies Pos(x2^{1\rightarrow}) \notin I \rangle$  if  $\langle x2 \in \Delta\Sigma \rangle$  for  $x2$ 
using that cons
unfolding consistent-interp-def
by auto

show  $\langle postp I \models m N \rangle$ 
unfolding true-cls-mset-def
proof
fix  $C$ 
assume  $\langle C \in \# N \rangle$ 
then have  $\langle I \models encode-clause C \rangle$ 
using enc by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
then show  $\langle postp I \models C \rangle$ 
unfolding true-cls-def
using cons finite- $\Sigma$  sat
preprocess-cls-model-additional-variables2[of -  $I$ ]
 $\Sigma \langle C \in \# N \rangle$  in-m-in-literals
apply (auto simp: encode-clause-def postp-def encode-lit-alt-def
split: if-splits
dest!: multi-member-split[of -  $C$ ])

```

```

using image-iff apply fastforce
apply (case-tac xa; auto)
apply auto
done

qed
qed

lemma satisfiable-penc-satisfiable:
assumes  $\Sigma : \langle \text{atms-of-mm } N = \Sigma \rangle$  and
    sat:  $\langle \text{satisfiable} (\text{set-mset} (\text{penc } N)) \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle \text{satisfiable} (\text{set-mset } N) \rangle$ 
using assms apply (subst (asm) satisfiable-def)
apply clarify
subgoal for I
using penc-ent-postp[OF  $\Sigma$ , of I] consistent-interp-postp[of I]
by auto
done

lemma satisfiable-penc:
assumes  $\Sigma : \langle \text{atms-of-mm } N = \Sigma \rangle$  and
    sat:  $\langle \text{satisfiable} (\text{set-mset } N) \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle \text{satisfiable} (\text{set-mset} (\text{penc } N)) \rangle$ 
using assms
apply (subst (asm) satisfiable-def-min)
apply clarify
subgoal for I
using penc-ent-upostp[of N I] consistent-interp-upostp[of I]
by auto
done

lemma satisfiable-penc-iff:
assumes  $\Sigma : \langle \text{atms-of-mm } N = \Sigma \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle \text{satisfiable} (\text{set-mset} (\text{penc } N)) \longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable} (\text{set-mset } N) \rangle$ 
using assms satisfiable-penc satisfiable-penc-satisfiable by blast

abbreviation  $\varrho_e\text{-filter} :: \langle 'v \text{ literal multiset} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal multiset} \rangle$  where
     $\langle \varrho_e\text{-filter } M \equiv \{\#L \in \# \text{ poss} (\text{mset-set } \Delta\Sigma). \text{ Pos} (\text{atm-of } L^{\rightarrow 1}) \in \# M\# \} + \{\#L \in \# \text{ negs} (\text{mset-set } \Delta\Sigma). \text{ Pos} (\text{atm-of } L^{\rightarrow 0}) \in \# M\# \} \rangle$ 

lemma finite-upostp:  $\langle \text{finite } I \implies \text{finite } \Sigma \implies \text{finite} (\text{upostp } I) \rangle$ 
using finite- $\Sigma$   $\Delta\Sigma$ - $\Sigma$ 
by (auto simp: upostp-def)

declare finite- $\Sigma$ [simp]

lemma encode-lit-eq-iff:
     $\langle \text{atm-of } x \in \Sigma \implies \text{atm-of } y \in \Sigma \implies \text{encode-lit } x = \text{encode-lit } y \longleftrightarrow x = y \rangle$ 
by (cases x; cases y) (auto simp: encode-lit-alt-def atm-of-eq-atm-of)

lemma distinct-mset-encode-clause-iff:
     $\langle \text{atms-of } N \subseteq \Sigma \implies \text{distinct-mset} (\text{encode-clause } N) \longleftrightarrow \text{distinct-mset } N \rangle$ 
by (induction N)
    (auto simp: encode-clause-def encode-lit-eq-iff
        dest!: multi-member-split)

```

```

lemma distinct-mset-encodes-clause-iff:
  ‹atms-of-mm N ⊆ Σ ⟹ distinct-mset-mset (encode-clauses N) ⟷ distinct-mset-mset N›
  by (induction N)
    (auto simp: encode-clauses-def distinct-mset-encode-clause-iff)

lemma distinct-additional-constraints[simp]:
  ‹distinct-mset-mset additional-constraints›
  by (auto simp: additional-constraints-def additional-constraint-def
    distinct-mset-set-def)

lemma distinct-mset-penc:
  ‹atms-of-mm N ⊆ Σ ⟹ distinct-mset-mset (penc N) ⟷ distinct-mset-mset N›
  by (auto simp: penc-def
    distinct-mset-encodes-clause-iff)

lemma finite-postp: ‹finite I ⟹ finite (postp I)›
  by (auto simp: postp-def)

lemma total-entails-iff-no-conflict:
  assumes ‹atms-of-mm N ⊆ atm-of `I` and ‹consistent-interp I›
  shows ‹I ⊨sm N ⟷ (∀ C ∈# N. ¬I ⊨s CNot C)›
  apply rule
  subgoal
    using assms by (auto dest!: multi-member-split
      simp: consistent-CNot-not)
  subgoal
    by (smt assms(1) atms-of-atms-of-ms-mono atms-of-ms-CNot-atms-of
      atms-of-ms-insert atms-of-ms-mono atms-of-s-def empty-iff
      subset-iff sup.orderE total-not-true-cls-true-clss-CNot
      total-over-m-alt-def true-clss-def)
  done

definition ρ_e :: ‹'v literal multiset ⇒ 'a :: {linorder}› where
  ‹ρ_e M = ρ (ρ_e-filter M)›

lemma Σ-no-weight-ρ_e: ‹atm-of C ∈ Σ - ΔΣ ⟹ ρ_e (add-mset C M) = ρ_e M›
  using Σ-no-weight[of C ρ_e-filter M]
  apply (auto simp: ρ_e-def finite-Σ image-mset-mset-set inj-on-Neg inj-on-Pos)
  by (smt Collect-cong image-iff literal.sel(1) literal.sel(2) new-vars-neg new-vars-pos)

lemma ρ-cancel-notin-ΔΣ:
  ‹(¬(¬x. x ∈# M ⟹ atm-of x ∈ Σ - ΔΣ) ⟹ ρ (M + M') = ρ M')›
  by (induction M) (auto simp: Σ-no-weight)

lemma ρ-mono2:
  ‹consistent-interp (set-mset M') ⟹ distinct-mset M' ⟹
  (¬(¬A. A ∈# M ⟹ atm-of A ∈ Σ) ⟹ (¬(¬A. A ∈# M' ⟹ atm-of A ∈ Σ) ⟹
  {#A ∈# M. atm-of A ∈ ΔΣ#} ⊆# {#A ∈# M'. atm-of A ∈ ΔΣ#}) ⟹ ρ M ≤ ρ M')›
  apply (subst (2) multiset-partition[of - λA. atm-of A ∉ ΔΣ])
  apply (subst multiset-partition[of - λA. atm-of A ∉ ΔΣ])
  apply (subst ρ-cancel-notin-ΔΣ)
  subgoal by auto
  apply (subst ρ-cancel-notin-ΔΣ)
  subgoal by auto
  by (auto intro!: ρ-mono intro: consistent-interp-subset intro!: distinct-mset-mono[of - M'])

```

```

lemma  $\varrho_e$ -mono:  $\langle \text{distinct-mset } B \implies A \subseteq\# B \implies \varrho_e A \leq \varrho_e B \rangle$ 
  unfolding  $\varrho_e$ -def
  apply (rule  $\varrho$ -mono)
  subgoal
    by (subst distinct-mset-add)
    (auto simp: distinct-image-mset-inj distinct-mset-filter distinct-mset-mset-set inj-on-Pos
      mset-inter-empty-set-mset image-mset-mset-set inj-on-Neg)
  subgoal
    by (rule subset-mset.add-mono; rule filter-mset-mono-subset) auto
  done

```

```

lemma  $\varrho_e$ -upostp- $\varrho$ :
  assumes [simp]:  $\langle \text{finite } \Sigma \rangle$  and
     $\langle \text{finite } I \rangle$  and
    cons:  $\langle \text{consistent-interp } I \rangle$  and
     $I\text{-}\Sigma: \langle \text{atm-of } 'I \subseteq \Sigma \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle \varrho_e (\text{mset-set} (\text{upostp } I)) = \varrho (\text{mset-set } I) \rangle$  (is  $\langle ?A = ?B \rangle$ )
proof -
  have [simp]:  $\langle \text{finite } I \rangle$ 
    using assms by auto
  have [simp]:  $\langle \text{mset-set} \{x \in I. atm-of x \in \Sigma \wedge atm-of x \notin \text{replacement-pos } ' \Delta\Sigma \wedge atm-of x \notin \text{replacement-neg } ' \Delta\Sigma\} = \text{mset-set } I \rangle$ 
    using I- $\Sigma$  by auto
  have [simp]:  $\langle \text{finite } \{A \in \Delta\Sigma. P A\} \rangle$  for  $P$ 
    by (rule finite-subset[of -  $\Delta\Sigma$ ])
    (use  $\Delta\Sigma\text{-}\Sigma$  finite- $\Sigma$  in auto)
  have [dest]:  $\langle xa \in \Delta\Sigma \implies \text{Pos} (xa^{\rightarrow 1}) \in \text{upostp } I \implies \text{Pos} (xa^{\rightarrow 0}) \in \text{upostp } I \implies \text{False} \rangle$  for  $xa$ 
    using cons unfolding penc-def
    by (auto simp: additional-constraint-def additional-constraints-def
      true-cls-mset-def consistent-interp-def upostp-def)
  have  $\langle ?A \leq ?B \rangle$ 
    using assms  $\Delta\Sigma\text{-}\Sigma$  apply -
    unfolding  $\varrho_e$ -def filter-filter-mset
    apply (rule  $\varrho$ -mono2)
    subgoal using cons by auto
    subgoal using distinct-mset-mset-set by auto
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by auto
    apply (rule filter-mset-mono-subset)
    subgoal
      by (subst distinct-subseteq-iff[symmetric])
      (auto simp: upostp-def simp: image-mset-mset-set inj-on-Neg inj-on-Pos
        distinct-mset-add mset-inter-empty-set-mset distinct-mset-mset-set)
    subgoal for  $x$ 
      by (cases  $x \in I$ ; cases  $x$ ) (auto simp: upostp-def)
      done
    moreover have  $\langle ?B \leq ?A \rangle$ 
      using assms  $\Delta\Sigma\text{-}\Sigma$  apply -
      unfolding  $\varrho_e$ -def filter-filter-mset
      apply (rule  $\varrho$ -mono2)
      subgoal using cons by (auto intro:

```

```

intro: consistent-interp-subset[of - ⟨Pos ‘ $\Delta\Sigma$ ⟩]
intro: consistent-interp-subset[of - ⟨Neg ‘ $\Delta\Sigma$ ⟩]
intro!: consistent-interp-unionI
simp: consistent-interp-upostp finite-upostp consistent-interp-poss
      consistent-interp-negs)
subgoal by (auto
  simp: distinct-mset-mset-set distinct-mset-add image-mset-mset-set inj-on-Pos inj-on-Neg
        mset-inter-empty-set-mset)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
apply (auto simp: image-mset-mset-set inj-on-Neg inj-on-Pos)
  apply (subst distinct-subseteq-iff[symmetric])
apply (auto simp: distinct-mset-mset-set distinct-mset-add image-mset-mset-set inj-on-Pos inj-on-Neg
        mset-inter-empty-set-mset finite-upostp)
  apply (metis image-eqI literal.exhaust-sel)
apply (auto simp: upostp-def image-image)
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) imageI literal.collapse(1) literal.collapse(2) mem-Collect-eq)
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) imageI literal.collapse(1) literal.collapse(2) mem-Collect-eq)
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) imageI literal.collapse(1) literal.collapse(2) mem-Collect-eq)
  done
ultimately show ?thesis
  by simp
qed

```

end

locale optimal-encoding = optimal-encoding-opt

state-eq

state

— functions for the state:

— access functions:

trail init-clss learned-clss conflicting

— changing state:

cons-trail tl-trail add-learned-cls remove-cls

update-conflicting

— get state:

init-state

update-additional-info

$\Sigma \Delta\Sigma$

ϱ

new-vars +

optimal-encoding-ops

$\Sigma \Delta\Sigma$

new-vars ϱ

for

state-eq :: ⟨'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow bool⟩ (**infix** $\sim\!\sim$ 50) **and**

state :: 'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits \times 'v clauses \times 'v clauses \times 'v clause option \times

'v clause option \times 'b **and**

trail :: ⟨'st \Rightarrow ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits⟩ **and**

init-clss :: ⟨'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses⟩ **and**

learned-clss :: ⟨'st \Rightarrow 'v clauses⟩ **and**

conflicting :: ⟨'st \Rightarrow 'v clause option⟩ **and**

cons-trail :: ⟨('v, 'v clause) ann-lit \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st⟩ **and**

tl-trail :: ⟨'st \Rightarrow 'st⟩ **and**

add-learned-cls :: ⟨'v clause \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow 'st⟩ **and**

```

remove-cls :: <'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st> and
update-conflicting :: <'v clause option  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st> and

init-state :: <'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'st> and
 $\varrho$  :: <'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {linorder}> and
update-additional-info :: <'v clause option  $\times$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st> and
 $\Sigma \Delta \Sigma$  :: <'v set> and
new-vars :: <'v  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\times$  'v>

begin

```

interpretation *enc-weight-opt*: *conflict-driven-clause-learning_W-optimal-weight* **where**

```

state-eq = state-eq and
state = state and
trail = trail and
init-clss = init-clss and
learned-clss = learned-clss and
conflicting = conflicting and
cons-trail = cons-trail and
tl-trail = tl-trail and
add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
remove-cls = remove-cls and
update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
init-state = init-state and
 $\varrho = \varrho_e$  and
update-additional-info = update-additional-info
apply unfold-locales
subgoal by (rule  $\varrho_e$ -mono)
subgoal using update-additional-info by fast
subgoal using weight-init-state by fast
done

```

theorem *full-encoding-OCDCL-correctness*:

assumes

```

st: <full enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy (init-state (penc N)) T> and
dist: <distinct-mset-mset N> and
atms: <atms-of-mm N =  $\Sigma$ >

```

shows

```

<weight T = None  $\Rightarrow$  unsatisfiable (set-mset N)> and
<weight T  $\neq$  None  $\Rightarrow$  postp (set-mset (the (weight T)))  $\models_{sm} N\neq$  None  $\Rightarrow$  distinct-mset I  $\Rightarrow$  consistent-interp (set-mset I)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  atms-of I  $\subseteq$  atms-of-mm N  $\Rightarrow$  set-mset I  $\models_{sm} N$   $\Rightarrow$ 
   $\varrho I \geq \varrho$  (mset-set (postp (set-mset (the (weight T)))))>
<weight T  $\neq$  None  $\Rightarrow$   $\varrho_e$  (the (enc-weight-opt.weight T)) =
   $\varrho$  (mset-set (postp (set-mset (the (enc-weight-opt.weight T)))))>

```

proof –

```

let ?N = <penc N>
have <distinct-mset-mset (penc N)>
  by (subst distinct-mset-penc)
    (use dist atms in auto)

```

then have

```

unsat: <weight T = None  $\Rightarrow$  unsatisfiable (set-mset ?N)> and
model: <weight T  $\neq$  None  $\Rightarrow$  consistent-interp (set-mset (the (weight T)))  $\wedge$ 
  atms-of (the (weight T))  $\subseteq$  atms-of-mm ?N  $\wedge$  set-mset (the (weight T))  $\models_{sm} ?N$   $\wedge$ 
  distinct-mset (the (weight T))> and
opt: <distinct-mset I  $\Rightarrow$  consistent-interp (set-mset I)  $\Rightarrow$  atms-of I = atms-of-mm ?N  $\Rightarrow$ 

```

```

set-mset I ⊨sm ?N ==> Found (ρe I) ≥ enc-weight-opt.ρ' (weight T)
for I
  using enc-weight-opt.full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clause-from-init-state[of
    ⟨penc N⟩ T, OF st]
  by fast+
show ⟨unsatisfiable (set-mset N)⟩ if ⟨weight T = None⟩
  using unsat[OF that] satisfiable-penc[OF atms] by blast
let ?K = ⟨postp (set-mset (the (weight T)))⟩
show ⟨?K ⊨sm N⟩ if ⟨weight T ≠ None⟩
  using penc-ent-postp[OF atms, of ⟨set-mset (the (weight T))⟩] model[OF that]
  by auto

assume Some: ⟨weight T ≠ None⟩
have Some': ⟨enc-weight-opt.weight T ≠ None⟩
  using Some by auto
have cons-K: ⟨consistent-interp ?K⟩
  using model Some by (auto simp: consistent-interp-postp)
define J where ⟨J = the (weight T)⟩
then have [simp]: ⟨weight T = Some J⟩ ⟨enc-weight-opt.weight T = Some J⟩
  using Some by auto
have ⟨set-mset J ⊨sm additional-constraints⟩
  using model by (auto simp: penc-def)
then have H: ⟨x ∈ ΔΣ ==> Neg (replacement-pos x) ∈# J ∨ Neg (replacement-neg x) ∈# J⟩ and
  [dest]: ⟨Pos (xa→1) ∈# J ==> Pos (xa→0) ∈# J ==> xa ∈ ΔΣ ==> False⟩ for x xa
  using model
  apply (auto simp: additional-constraints-def additional-constraint-def true-clss-def
    consistent-interp-def)
  by (metis uminus-Pos)
have cons-f: ⟨consistent-interp (set-mset (ρe-filter (the (weight T))))⟩
  using model
  by (auto simp: postp-def ρe-def Σadd-def conj-disj-distribR
    consistent-interp-poss
    consistent-interp-negs
    mset-set-Union intro!: consistent-interp-unionI
    intro: consistent-interp-subset distinct-mset-mset-set
    consistent-interp-subset[of - ⟨Pos ‘ΔΣ⟩]
    consistent-interp-subset[of - ⟨Neg ‘ΔΣ⟩])
have dist-f: ⟨distinct-mset ((ρe-filter (the (weight T))))⟩
  using model
  by (auto simp: postp-def simp: image-mset-mset-set inj-on-Neg inj-on-Pos
    distinct-mset-add mset-inter-empty-set-mset distinct-mset-mset-set)

have ⟨enc-weight-opt.ρ' (weight T) ≤ Found (ρ (mset-set ?K))⟩
  using Some'
  apply auto
  unfolding ρe-def
  apply (rule ρ-mono2)
  subgoal
    using model Some' by (auto simp: finite-postp consistent-interp-postp)
  subgoal by (auto simp: distinct-mset-mset-set)
  subgoal using atms dist model[OF Some] atms ΔΣ-Σ by (auto simp: postp-def)
  subgoal using atms dist model[OF Some] atms ΔΣ-Σ by (auto simp: postp-def)
  subgoal
    apply (subst distinct-subseteq-iff[symmetric])
    using dist model[OF Some] H

```

```

by (force simp: filter-filter-mset consistent-interp-def postp-def
    image-mset-mset-set inj-on-Neg inj-on-Pos finite-postp
    distinct-mset-add mset-inter-empty-set-mset distinct-mset-mset-set
    intro: distinct-mset-mono[of - <the (enc-weight-opt.weight T)>])+
done
moreover {
  have < $\varrho$  (mset-set ?K)  $\leq$   $\varrho_e$  (the (weight T))>
    unfolding  $\varrho_e$ -def
    apply (rule  $\varrho$ -mono2)
    subgoal by (rule cons-f)
    subgoal by (rule dist-f)
    subgoal using atms dist model[OF Some] atms  $\Delta\Sigma\text{-}\Sigma$  by (auto simp: postp-def)
    subgoal using atms dist model[OF Some] atms  $\Delta\Sigma\text{-}\Sigma$  by (auto simp: postp-def)
    subgoal
      by (subst distinct-subseteq-iff[symmetric])
      (auto simp: postp-def simp: image-mset-mset-set inj-on-Neg inj-on-Pos
        distinct-mset-add mset-inter-empty-set-mset distinct-mset-mset-set)
    done
  then have <Found ( $\varrho$  (mset-set ?K))  $\leq$  enc-weight-opt. $\varrho'$  (weight T)>
    using Some by auto
  } note le =this
ultimately show < $\varrho_e$  (the (weight T)) = ( $\varrho$  (mset-set ?K))>
  using Some' by auto

show < $\varrho$  I  $\geq$   $\varrho$  (mset-set ?K)>
  if dist: <distinct-mset I> and
    cons: <consistent-interp (set-mset I)> and
    atm: <atms-of I  $\subseteq$  atms-of-mm N> and
    I-N: <set-mset I  $\models$  sm N>
  proof -
    let ?I = <mset-set (upostp (set-mset I))>
    have [simp]: <finite (upostp (set-mset I))>
      by (rule finite-upostp)
      (use atms in auto)
    then have I: <set-mset ?I = upostp (set-mset I)>
      by auto
    have <set-mset ?I  $\models$  m ?N>
      unfolding I
      by (rule penc-ent-upostp[OF atms I-N cons])
      (use atm in <auto dest: multi-member-split>)
    moreover have <distinct-mset ?I>
      by (rule distinct-mset-mset-set)
    moreover {
      have A: <atms-of (mset-set (upostp (set-mset I))) = atm-of ‘(upostp (set-mset I))>
        <atm-of ‘set-mset I = atms-of I>
        by (auto simp: atms-of-def)
      have <atms-of ?I = atms-of-mm ?N>
        apply (subst atms-of-mm-penc-subset2[OF finite- $\Sigma$ ])
        subgoal using  $\Delta\Sigma\text{-}\Sigma$  atms by auto
        subgoal
          using atm-of-upostp-subset[of <set-mset I>] atm-of-upostp-subset2[of <set-mset I>] atm
          unfolding atms A
          by (auto simp: upostp-def)
        done
      }
      moreover have cons': <consistent-interp (set-mset ?I)>

```

```

using cons unfolding I by (rule consistent-interp-upostp)
ultimately have <Found ( $\varrho_e$  ?I)  $\geq$  enc-weight-opt. $\varrho'$  (weight T)>
  using opt[of ?I] by auto
moreover {
  have < $\varrho_e$  ?I =  $\varrho$  (mset-set (set-mset I))>
    by (rule  $\varrho_e$ -upostp- $\varrho$ )
      (use  $\Delta\Sigma$ - $\Sigma$  atms atm cons in (auto dest: multi-member-split))
  then have < $\varrho_e$  ?I =  $\varrho$  I>
    by (subst (asm) distinct-mset-set-mset-ident)
      (use atms dist in auto)
}
ultimately have <Found ( $\varrho$  I)  $\geq$  enc-weight-opt. $\varrho'$  (weight T)>
  using Some'
  by auto
moreover {
  have < $\varrho_e$  (mset-set ?K)  $\leq$   $\varrho_e$  (mset-set (set-mset (the (weight T))))>
    unfolding  $\varrho_e$ -def
    apply (rule  $\varrho$ -mono2)
    subgoal using cons-f by auto
    subgoal using dist-f by auto
    subgoal using atms dist model[OF Some] atms  $\Delta\Sigma$ - $\Sigma$  by (auto simp: postp-def)
    subgoal using atms dist model[OF Some] atms  $\Delta\Sigma$ - $\Sigma$  by (auto simp: postp-def)
    subgoal
      by (subst distinct-subseteq-iff[symmetric])
        (auto simp: postp-def simp: image-mset-mset-set inj-on-Neg inj-on-Pos
          distinct-mset-add mset-inter-empty-set-mset distinct-mset-mset-set)
    done
  then have <Found ( $\varrho_e$  (mset-set ?K))  $\leq$  enc-weight-opt. $\varrho'$  (weight T)>
    apply (subst (asm) distinct-mset-set-mset-ident)
    apply (use atms dist model[OF Some] in auto; fail) []
    using Some' by auto
}
moreover have < $\varrho_e$  (mset-set ?K)  $\leq$   $\varrho$  (mset-set ?K)>
  unfolding  $\varrho_e$ -def
  apply (rule  $\varrho$ -mono2)
  subgoal
    using model Some' by (auto simp: finite-postp consistent-interp-postp)
  subgoal by (auto simp: distinct-mset-mset-set)
  subgoal using atms dist model[OF Some] atms  $\Delta\Sigma$ - $\Sigma$  by (auto simp: postp-def)
  subgoal using atms dist model[OF Some] atms  $\Delta\Sigma$ - $\Sigma$  by (auto simp: postp-def)
  subgoal
    by (subst distinct-subseteq-iff[symmetric])
      (auto simp: postp-def simp: image-mset-mset-set inj-on-Neg inj-on-Pos
        distinct-mset-add mset-inter-empty-set-mset distinct-mset-mset-set)
  done
ultimately show ?thesis
  using Some' le by auto
qed
qed

```

theorem full-encoding-OCDCL-complexity:

assumes

st: <full enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy (init-state (penc N)) T> **and**
 dist: <distinct-mset-mset N> **and**

atms: <atms-of-mm N = Σ >

shows <size (learned-clss T) \leq $2^{\wedge}(\text{card}(\text{atms-of-mm } N - \Delta\Sigma)) * 4^{\wedge}(\text{card } \Delta\Sigma)>$

```

proof -
  have [simp]:  $\langle \text{finite } \Sigma \rangle$ 
    unfolding  $\text{atms}[\text{symmetric}]$ 
    by auto
  have [simp]:  $\langle \text{card} (\text{atms-of-mm } N - \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-pos} ' \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-neg} ' \Delta\Sigma) = \text{card} (\text{atms-of-mm } N - \Delta\Sigma) + \text{card} (\text{replacement-pos} ' \Delta\Sigma) + \text{card} (\text{replacement-neg} ' \Delta\Sigma) \rangle$ 
    by (subst  $\text{card-Un-disjoint}$ ; auto simp:  $\text{atms}$ )+
  have [simp]:  $\langle \text{card} (\text{replacement-pos} ' \Delta\Sigma) = \text{card } \Delta\Sigma \rangle \quad \langle \text{card} (\text{replacement-neg} ' \Delta\Sigma) = \text{card } \Delta\Sigma \rangle$ 
    by (auto intro!:  $\text{card-image simp: inj-on-def}$ )

  show ?thesis
    apply (rule  $\text{order-trans}[\text{OF enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-pow2-n-learned-clauses}[\text{of } \langle \text{penc } N \rangle]]]$ )
    using  $\text{assms } \Delta\Sigma - \Sigma \text{ monoid-mult-class.power-mult}[\text{of } \langle 2 :: \text{nat} \rangle \langle 2 :: \text{nat} \rangle \langle \text{card } \Delta\Sigma \rangle, \text{unfolded mult-2}]$ 
    by (auto simp:  $\text{full-def distinct-mset-penc monoid-mult-class.power-add}$ 
       $\text{enc-weight-opt.rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb atms-of-mm-penc-subset2}$ )
  qed

inductive  $\text{ocdcl}_W\text{-o-r} :: \langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  for  $S :: 'st$  where
   $\text{decide}: \langle \text{odecide } S S' \implies \text{ocdcl}_W\text{-o-r } S S' \rangle \mid$ 
   $\text{bj}: \langle \text{enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj } S S' \implies \text{ocdcl}_W\text{-o-r } S S' \rangle$ 

inductive  $\text{cdcl-bnb-r} :: \langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  for  $S :: 'st$  where
   $\text{cdcl-conflict}: \langle \text{conflict } S S' \implies \text{cdcl-bnb-r } S S' \rangle \mid$ 
   $\text{cdcl-propagate}: \langle \text{propagate } S S' \implies \text{cdcl-bnb-r } S S' \rangle \mid$ 
   $\text{cdcl-improve}: \langle \text{enc-weight-opt.improvep } S S' \implies \text{cdcl-bnb-r } S S' \rangle \mid$ 
   $\text{cdcl-conflict-opt}: \langle \text{enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt } S S' \implies \text{cdcl-bnb-r } S S' \rangle \mid$ 
   $\text{cdcl-o': } \langle \text{ocdcl}_W\text{-o-r } S S' \implies \text{cdcl-bnb-r } S S' \rangle$ 

inductive  $\text{cdcl-bnb-r-stgy} :: \langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  for  $S :: 'st$  where
   $\text{cdcl-bnb-r-conflict}: \langle \text{conflict } S S' \implies \text{cdcl-bnb-r-stgy } S S' \rangle \mid$ 
   $\text{cdcl-bnb-r-propagate}: \langle \text{propagate } S S' \implies \text{cdcl-bnb-r-stgy } S S' \rangle \mid$ 
   $\text{cdcl-bnb-r-improve}: \langle \text{enc-weight-opt.improvep } S S' \implies \text{cdcl-bnb-r-stgy } S S' \rangle \mid$ 
   $\text{cdcl-bnb-r-conflict-opt}: \langle \text{enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt } S S' \implies \text{cdcl-bnb-r-stgy } S S' \rangle \mid$ 
   $\text{cdcl-bnb-r-other': } \langle \text{ocdcl}_W\text{-o-r } S S' \implies \text{no-confl-prop-impr } S \implies \text{cdcl-bnb-r-stgy } S S' \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{ocdcl}_W\text{-o-r-cases}[\text{consumes 1, case-names odecode obacktrack skip resolve}]$ :
  assumes
     $\langle \text{ocdcl}_W\text{-o-r } S T \rangle$ 
     $\langle \text{odecide } S T \implies P T \rangle$ 
     $\langle \text{enc-weight-opt.obacktrack } S T \implies P T \rangle$ 
     $\langle \text{skip } S T \implies P T \rangle$ 
     $\langle \text{resolve } S T \implies P T \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle P T \rangle$ 
  using  $\text{assms}$  by (auto simp:  $\text{ocdcl}_W\text{-o-r.simps enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj.simps}$ )

context
  fixes  $S :: 'st$ 
  assumes  $S - \Sigma: \langle \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{init-clss } S) = (\Sigma - \Delta\Sigma) \cup \text{replacement-pos} ' \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-neg} ' \Delta\Sigma \rangle$ 
  begin

lemma  $\text{odecide-decide}:$ 
   $\langle \text{odecide } S T \implies \text{decide } S T \rangle$ 
  apply (elim  $\text{odecideE}$ )
  subgoal for  $L$ 
  by (rule  $\text{decide.intros}[\text{of } S \langle L \rangle]$ ) auto

```

```

subgoal for L
  by (rule decide.intros[of S <Pos (L↑1)>]) (use S-Σ ΔΣ-Σ in auto)
subgoal for L
  by (rule decide.intros[of S <Pos (L↑0)>]) (use S-Σ ΔΣ-Σ in auto)
done

lemma ocdclW-o-r-ocdclW-o:
  <ocdclW-o-r S T ==> enc-weight-opt.ocdclW-o S T>
  using S-Σ by (auto simp: ocdclW-o-r.simps enc-weight-opt.ocdclW-o.simps
    dest: odecide-decide)

lemma cdcl-bnb-r-cdcl-bnb:
  <cdcl-bnb-r S T ==> enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb S T>
  using S-Σ by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-r.simps enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb.simps
    dest: ocdclW-o-r-ocdclW-o)

lemma cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-stgy:
  <cdcl-bnb-r-stgy S T ==> enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy S T>
  using S-Σ by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.simps enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy.simps
    dest: ocdclW-o-r-ocdclW-o)

end

context
  fixes S :: 'st
  assumes S-Σ: <atms-of-mm (init-clss S) = (Σ - ΔΣ) ∪ replacement-pos ` ΔΣ
    ∪ replacement-neg ` ΔΣ>
begin

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-cdcl-bnb:
  <cdcl-bnb-r** S T ==> enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb** S T>
  apply (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for T U
    using S-Σ enc-weight-opt.rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[of S T]
    by(auto dest: cdcl-bnb-r-cdcl-bnb)
  done

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-stgy:
  <cdcl-bnb-r-stgy** S T ==> enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy** S T>
  apply (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for T U
    using S-Σ
      enc-weight-opt.rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss[of S T,
        OF enc-weight-opt.rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb]
    by (auto dest: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-stgy)
  done

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-all-struct-inv:
  <cdcl-bnb-r** S T ==>
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S) ==>
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state T)>

```

```

using rtranclp_cdcl_bnb_r_cdcl_bnb[of T]
enc-weight-opt.rtranclp_cdcl_bnb_stgy_all_struct_inv by blast

lemma rtranclp_cdcl_bnb_r_stgy_all_struct_inv:
⟨cdcl-bnb-r-stgy** S T ⟩ ⟹
cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S) ⟹
cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state T)
using rtranclp_cdcl_bnb_r_stgy_cdcl_bnb_stgy[of T]
enc-weight-opt.rtranclp_cdcl_bnb_stgy_all_struct_inv[of S T]
enc-weight-opt.rtranclp_cdcl_bnb_stgy_cdcl_bnb[of S T]
by auto

end

lemma no-step_cdcl_bnb_r_stgy_no-step_cdcl_bnb_stgy:
assumes
N: ⟨init-clss S = penc N⟩ and
Σ: ⟨atms-of-mm N = Σ⟩ and
n-d: ⟨no-dup (trail S)⟩ and
tr-alien: ⟨atm-of ‘lits-of-l (trail S) ⊆ Σ ∪ replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ ∪ replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ⟩
shows
⟨no-step cdcl-bnb-r-stgy S ⟷ no-step enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy S⟩ (is ⟨?A ⟷ ?B⟩)

proof
assume ?B
then show ⟨?A⟩
using N cdcl-bnb-r-stgy_cdcl-bnb-stgy[of S] atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset[of N]
atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset2[of N] finite-Σ ΔΣ-Σ
atms-of-mm-penc-subset2[of N]
by (auto simp: Σ)

next
assume ?A
then have
nsd: ⟨no-step odecide S⟩ and
nsp: ⟨no-step propagate S⟩ and
nsc: ⟨no-step conflict S⟩ and
nsi: ⟨no-step enc-weight-opt.improveep S⟩ and
nsco: ⟨no-step enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt S⟩
by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.simps ocdclW-o-r.simps)

have
nsi': ⟨M'. conflicting S = None ⟹ ¬enc-weight-opt.is-improving (trail S) M' S⟩ and
nsco': ⟨conflicting S = None ⟹ negate-ann-lits (trail S) # enc-weight-opt.conflicting-clss S⟩
using nsi nsco unfolding enc-weight-opt.improveep.simps enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt.simps
by auto

have N-Σ: ⟨atms-of-mm (penc N) =
(Σ - ΔΣ) ∪ replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ ∪ replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ⟩
using N Σ cdcl-bnb-r-stgy_cdcl-bnb-stgy[of S] atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset[of N]
atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset2[of N] finite-Σ ΔΣ-Σ
atms-of-mm-penc-subset2[of N]
by auto

have False if dec: ⟨decide S T⟩ for T
proof –
obtain L where
[simp]: ⟨conflicting S = None⟩ and
undef: ⟨undefined-lit (trail S) L⟩ and
L: ⟨atm-of L ∈ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)⟩ and
T: ⟨T ~ cons-trail (Decided L) S⟩

```

```

using dec unfolding decide.simps
by auto
have 1: `atm-of L ∉ Σ - ΔΣ`
  using nsd L undef by (fastforce simp: odecide.simps N Σ)
have 2: False if L: `atm-of L ∈ replacement-pos` ΔΣ ∪
  replacement-neg ΔΣ
proof -
  obtain A where
    `A ∈ ΔΣ` and
    `atm-of L = replacement-pos A ∨ atm-of L = replacement-neg A` and
    `A ∈ Σ`
    using L ΔΣ-Σ by auto
  then show False
    using nsd L undef T N-Σ
    using odecide.intros(2-)[of S `A`]
    unfolding N Σ
    by (cases L) (auto 6 5 simp: defined-lit-Neg-Pos-iff Σ)
qed
have defined-replacement-pos: `defined-lit (trail S) (Pos (replacement-pos L))` if `L ∈ ΔΣ` for L
  using nsd that ΔΣ-Σ odecide.intros(2-)[of S `L`] by (auto simp: N Σ N-Σ)
have defined-all: `defined-lit (trail S) L` if `atm-of L ∈ Σ - ΔΣ` for L
  using nsd that ΔΣ-Σ odecide.intros(1)[of S `L`] by (force simp: N Σ N-Σ)
have defined-replacement-neg: `defined-lit (trail S) (Pos (replacement-neg L))` if `L ∈ ΔΣ` for L
  using nsd that ΔΣ-Σ odecide.intros(2-)[of S `L`] by (force simp: N Σ N-Σ)
have [simp]: `{A ∈ ΔΣ. A ∈ Σ} = ΔΣ`
  using ΔΣ-Σ by auto
have atms-tr': `Σ - ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ΔΣ ∪ replacement-neg ΔΣ ⊆
  atm-of (lits-of-l (trail S))` using N Σ cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-stgy[of S] atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset[of N]
  atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset2[of N] finite-Σ ΔΣ-Σ
  defined-replacement-pos defined-replacement-neg defined-all
  unfolding N Σ N-Σ
  apply (auto simp: Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l)
    apply (metis image-eqI literal.sel(1) literal.sel(2) uminus-Pos)
    apply (metis image-eqI literal.sel(1) literal.sel(2))
    apply (metis image-eqI literal.sel(1) literal.sel(2))
  done
then have atms-tr: `atms-of-mm (encode-clauses N) ⊆ atm-of (lits-of-l (trail S))` using N atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset[of N]
  atms-of-mm-encode-clause-subset2[of N, OF finite-Σ] ΔΣ-Σ
  unfolding N Σ N-Σ `{A ∈ ΔΣ. A ∈ Σ} = ΔΣ` by (meson order-trans)
show False
  by (metis L N N-Σ atm-lit-of-set-lits-of-l
    atms-tr' defined-lit-map subsetCE undef)
qed
then show ?B
  using `?A`
  by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.simps enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy.simps
    ocdclW-o-r.simps enc-weight-opt.ocdclW-o.simps)
qed

```

lemma cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-init-clss:

```

⟨cdcl-bnb-r-stgy S T ⟹ init-clss S = init-clss T⟩
by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.simps ocdclW-o-r.simps enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj.simps
      elim: conflictE propagateE enc-weight-opt.improveE enc-weight-opt.conflict-optE
      odecideE skipE resolveE enc-weight-opt.οbacktrackE)

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-init-clss:
  ⟨cdcl-bnb-r-stgy** S T ⟹ init-clss S = init-clss T⟩
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)(auto simp: dest: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-init-clss)

lemma [simp]:
  ⟨enc-weight-opt.abs-state (init-state N) = abs-state (init-state N)⟩
  by (auto simp: enc-weight-opt.abs-state-def abs-state-def)

corollary
assumes
  Σ: ⟨atms-of-mm N = Σ⟩ and dist: ⟨distinct-mset-mset N⟩ and
  ⟨full cdcl-bnb-r-stgy (init-state (penc N)) T⟩
shows
  ⟨full enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy (init-state (penc N)) T⟩
proof –
  have [simp]: ⟨atms-of-mm (CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (enc-weight-opt.abs-state T)) =
    atms-of-mm (init-clss T)⟩
    by (auto simp: enc-weight-opt.abs-state-def init-clss.simps)
  let ?S = ⟨init-state (penc N)⟩
  have
    st: ⟨cdcl-bnb-r-stgy** ?S T⟩ and
    ns: ⟨no-step cdcl-bnb-r-stgy T⟩
    using assms unfolding full-def by metis+
  have st': ⟨enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy** ?S T⟩
    by (rule rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-stgy[OF - st])
    (use atms-of-mm-penc-subset2[of N] finite-Σ ΔΣ-Σ Σ in auto)
  have [simp]:
    ⟨CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state (init-state (penc N))) =
      (penc N)⟩
    by (auto simp: abs-state-def init-clss.simps)
  have [iff]: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state ?S)⟩
    using dist distinct-mset-penc[of N]
    by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
      cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def Σ
      cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-alt-def)
  have ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state T)⟩
    using enc-weight-opt.rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[of ?S T]
    enc-weight-opt.rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb[OF st']
    by auto
  then have alien: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm (enc-weight-opt.abs-state T)⟩ and
    lev: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state T)⟩
    unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    by fast+
  have [simp]: ⟨init-clss T = penc N⟩
    using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-init-clss[OF st] by auto

  have ⟨no-step enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy T⟩
    by (rule no-step-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy[THEN iffD1, of - N, OF - - - ns])
    (use alien atms-of-mm-penc-subset2[of N] finite-Σ ΔΣ-Σ lev
    in ⟨auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def Σ
      cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def⟩)

```

```

then show ⟨full enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy (init-state (penc N)) T⟩
  using st' unfolding full-def
  by auto
qed

lemma propagation-one-lit-of-same-lvl:
assumes
  ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)⟩ and
  ⟨no-smaller-propa S⟩ and
  ⟨Propagated L E ∈ set (trail S)⟩ and
  rea: ⟨reasons-in-clauses S⟩ and
  nempty: ⟨E − {#L#} ≠ {}⟩
shows
  ⟨∃ L' ∈ # E − {#L#}. get-level (trail S) L = get-level (trail S) L'⟩
proof (rule ccontr)
assume H: ⟨¬?thesis⟩
have ns: ⟨¬ M K M' D L.
  trail S = M' @ Decided K # M ⇒
  D + {#L#} ∈ # clauses S ⇒ undefined-lit M L ⇒ ¬ M |=as CNot D and
  n-d: ⟨no-dup (trail S)⟩
using assms unfolding no-smaller-propa-def
cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
by auto
obtain M1 M2 where M2: ⟨trail S = M2 @ Propagated L E ≠ M1⟩
using assms by (auto dest!: split-list)

have ⟨¬ L mark a b.
  a @ Propagated L mark # b = trail S ⇒
  b |=as CNot (remove1-mset L mark) ∧ L ∈ # mark⟩ and
⟨set (get-all-mark-of-propagated (trail S)) ⊆ set-mset (clauses S)⟩
using assms unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
reasons-in-clauses-def
by auto
from this(1)[OF M2[symmetric]] this(2)
have ⟨M1 |=as CNot (remove1-mset L E)⟩ and ⟨L ∈ # E⟩ and ⟨E ∈ # clauses S⟩
by (auto simp: M2)
then have lev-le:
  ⟨L' ∈ # E − {#L#} ⇒ get-level (trail S) L > get-level (trail S) L'⟩ and
  ⟨trail S |=as CNot (remove1-mset L E)⟩ for L'
using H n-d defined-lit-no-dupD(1)[of M1 - M2]
count-decided-ge-get-level[of M1 L']
by (auto simp: M2 get-level-append-if get-level-cons-if
Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l atm-of-eq-atm-of
true-annots-append-l
dest!: multi-member-split)
define i where ⟨i = get-level (trail S) L − 1⟩
have ⟨i < local.backtrack-lvl S⟩ and ⟨get-level (trail S) L ≥ 1⟩
  ⟨get-level (trail S) L > i⟩ and
  i2: ⟨get-level (trail S) L = Suc i⟩
using lev-le nempty count-decided-ge-get-level[of ⟨trail S⟩ L] i-def
by (cases ⟨E − {#L#}⟩; force) +
from backtrack-ex-decomp[OF n-d this(1)] obtain M3 M4 K where
decomp: ⟨(Decided K # M3, M4) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))⟩ and
lev-K: ⟨get-level (trail S) K = Suc i⟩

```

```

by blast
then obtain M5 where
  tr: ‹trail S = (M5 @ M4) @ Decided K # M3›
    by auto
define M4' where ‹M4' = M5 @ M4›
have ‹undefined-lit M3 L›
  using n-d ‹get-level (trail S) L > i› lev-K
  count-decided-ge-get-level[of M3 L] unfolding tr M4'-def[symmetric]
  by (auto simp: get-level-append-if get-level-cons-if
    atm-of-eq-atm-of
    split: if-splits dest: defined-lit-no-dupD)
moreover have ‹M3 ⊨as CNot (remove1-mset L E)›
  using ‹trail S ⊨as CNot (remove1-mset L E)› lev-K n-d
  unfolding true-annots-def true-annot-def
  apply clar simp
subgoal for L'
  using lev-le[of ‹-L'›] lev-le[of ‹L'›] lev-K
  unfolding i2
  unfolding tr M4'-def[symmetric]
  by (auto simp: get-level-append-if get-level-cons-if
    atm-of-eq-atm-of if-distrib if-distribR Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l
    split: if-splits dest: defined-lit-no-dupD
    dest!: multi-member-split)
done
ultimately show False
  using ns[OF tr, of ‹remove1-mset L E› L] ‹E ∈# clauses S› ‹L ∈# E›
  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma simple-backtrack-obacktrack:
  ‹simple-backtrack S T ⟹ cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S) ⟹
  enc-weight-opt.obacktrack S T›
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-alt-def
  apply (auto simp: simple-backtrack.simps
    enc-weight-opt.obacktrack.simps)
  apply (rule-tac x=L in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=D in exI)
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac x=K in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=M1 in exI)
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac x=D in exI)
  apply (auto simp:)
  done

end

```

```

interpretation test-real: optimal-encoding-opt where
  state-eq = ‹(=)› and
  state = id and
  trail = ‹λ(M, N, U, D, W). M› and
  init-clss = ‹λ(M, N, U, D, W). N› and
  learned-clss = ‹λ(M, N, U, D, W). U› and

```

```

conflicting = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). D⟩ and
cons-trail = ⟨λK (M, N, U, D, W). (K # M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
tl-trail = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). (tl M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
add-learned-cls = ⟨λC (M, N, U, D, W). (M, N, add-mset C U, D, W)⟩ and
remove-cls = ⟨λC (M, N, U, D, W). (M, removeAll-mset C N, removeAll-mset C U, D, W)⟩ and
update-conflicting = ⟨λC (M, N, U, -, W). (M, N, U, C, W)⟩ and
init-state = ⟨λN. ([](N, {#}), None, None, ())⟩ and
ρ = ⟨λ-. (0::real)⟩ and
update-additional-info = ⟨λW (M, N, U, D, -, -). (M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
Σ = ⟨{1..(100::nat)}⟩ and
ΔΣ = ⟨{1..(50::nat)}⟩ and
new-vars = ⟨λn. (200 + 2*n, 200 + 2*n+1)⟩
by unfold-locales

```

lemma *mult3-inj*:

```

⟨2 * A = Suc (2 * Aa) ⟷ False⟩ for A Aa::nat
by presburger+

```

interpretation *test-real*: *optimal-encoding* where

```

state-eq = ⟨(=)⟩ and
state = id and
trail = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). M⟩ and
init-clss = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). N⟩ and
learned-clss = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). U⟩ and
conflicting = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). D⟩ and
cons-trail = ⟨λK (M, N, U, D, W). (K # M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
tl-trail = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). (tl M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
add-learned-cls = ⟨λC (M, N, U, D, W). (M, N, add-mset C U, D, W)⟩ and
remove-cls = ⟨λC (M, N, U, D, W). (M, removeAll-mset C N, removeAll-mset C U, D, W)⟩ and
update-conflicting = ⟨λC (M, N, U, -, W). (M, N, U, C, W)⟩ and
init-state = ⟨λN. ([](N, {#}), None, None, ())⟩ and
ρ = ⟨λ-. (0::real)⟩ and
update-additional-info = ⟨λW (M, N, U, D, -, -). (M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
Σ = ⟨{1..(100::nat)}⟩ and
ΔΣ = ⟨{1..(50::nat)}⟩ and
new-vars = ⟨λn. (200 + 2*n, 200 + 2*n+1)⟩
by unfold-locales (auto simp: inj-on-def mult3-inj)

```

interpretation *test-nat*: *optimal-encoding-opt* where

```

state-eq = ⟨(=)⟩ and
state = id and
trail = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). M⟩ and
init-clss = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). N⟩ and
learned-clss = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). U⟩ and
conflicting = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). D⟩ and
cons-trail = ⟨λK (M, N, U, D, W). (K # M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
tl-trail = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). (tl M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
add-learned-cls = ⟨λC (M, N, U, D, W). (M, N, add-mset C U, D, W)⟩ and
remove-cls = ⟨λC (M, N, U, D, W). (M, removeAll-mset C N, removeAll-mset C U, D, W)⟩ and
update-conflicting = ⟨λC (M, N, U, -, W). (M, N, U, C, W)⟩ and
init-state = ⟨λN. ([](N, {#}), None, None, ())⟩ and
ρ = ⟨λ-. (0::nat)⟩ and
update-additional-info = ⟨λW (M, N, U, D, -, -). (M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
Σ = ⟨{1..(100::nat)}⟩ and
ΔΣ = ⟨{1..(50::nat)}⟩ and
new-vars = ⟨λn. (200 + 2*n, 200 + 2*n+1)⟩

```

by *unfold-locales*

```

interpretation test-nat: optimal-encoding where
  state-eq = ⟨(=)⟩ and
  state = id and
  trail = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). M⟩ and
  init-clss = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). N⟩ and
  learned-clss = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). U⟩ and
  conflicting = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). D⟩ and
  cons-trail = ⟨λK (M, N, U, D, W). (K # M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
  tl-trail = ⟨λ(M, N, U, D, W). (tl M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
  add-learned-cls = ⟨λC (M, N, U, D, W). (M, N, add-mset C U, D, W)⟩ and
  remove-cls = ⟨λC (M, N, U, D, W). (M, removeAll-mset C N, removeAll-mset C U, D, W)⟩ and
  update-conflicting = ⟨λC (M, N, U, -, W). (M, N, U, C, W)⟩ and
  init-state = ⟨λN. ([]), N, {#}, None, None, ()⟩ and
  ρ = ⟨λ-. (0::nat)⟩ and
  update-additional-info = ⟨λW (M, N, U, D, -, -). (M, N, U, D, W)⟩ and
  Σ = ⟨{1..(100::nat)}⟩ and
  ΔΣ = ⟨{1..(50::nat)}⟩ and
  new-vars = ⟨λn. (200 + 2*n, 200 + 2*n+1)⟩
by unfold-locales (auto simp: inj-on-def mult3-inj)

```

```

end
theory CDCL-W-MaxSAT
  imports CDCL-W-Optimal-Model
begin

```

0.1.3 Partial MAX-SAT

```

definition weight-on-clauses where
  ⟨weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I = (Σ C ∈ # (filter-mset (λC. I ⊨ C) N_S). ρ C)⟩

```

```

definition atms-exactly-m :: ⟨'v partial-interp ⇒ 'v clauses ⇒ bool⟩ where
  ⟨atms-exactly-m I N ⟷
    total-over-m I (set-mset N) ∧
    atms-of-s I ⊆ atms-of-mm N⟩

```

Partial in the name refers to the fact that not all clauses are soft clauses, not to the fact that we consider partial models.

```

inductive partial-max-sat :: ⟨'v clauses ⇒ 'v clauses ⇒ ('v clause ⇒ nat) ⇒
  'v partial-interp option ⇒ bool⟩ where
  partial-max-sat:
  ⟨partial-max-sat N_H N_S ρ (Some I)⟩
if
  ⟨I ⊨sm N_H⟩ and
  ⟨atms-exactly-m I ((N_H + N_S))⟩ and
  ⟨consistent-interp I⟩ and
  ⟨I' ⊨sm N_H ⟹ atms-exactly-m I' (N_H + N_S) ⟹ I' ⊨sm N_H ⟹
    weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I' ≤ weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I⟩ |
  partial-max-unsat:
  ⟨partial-max-sat N_H N_S ρ None⟩
if
  ⟨unsatisfiable (set-mset N_H)⟩

```

```

inductive partial-min-sat :: ⟨'v clauses ⇒ 'v clauses ⇒ ('v clause ⇒ nat) ⇒

```

```

'v partial-interp option => bool) where
partial-min-sat:
  <partial-min-sat N_H N_S ρ (Some I)>
if
  <I ⊨sm N_H> and
  <atms-exactly-m I (N_H + N_S)> and
  <consistent-interp I> and
  <¬ ∃ I'. consistent-interp I' => atms-exactly-m I' (N_H + N_S) => I' ⊨sm N_H =>
    weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I' ≥ weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I |
partial-min-unsat:
  <partial-min-sat N_H N_S ρ None>
if
  <unsatisfiable (set-mset N_H)>

```

lemma atms-exactly-m-finite:

```

assumes <atms-exactly-m I N>
shows <finite I>

```

proof –

```

have <I ⊆ Pos ‘(atms-of-mm N) ∪ Neg ‘atms-of-mm N‘>
using assms by (force simp: total-over-m-def atms-exactly-m-def lit-in-set-iff-atm
  atms-of-s-def)
from finite-subset[Of this] show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma

```

fixes N_H :: <'v clauses>

```

```

assumes <satisfiable (set-mset N_H)>

```

```

shows sat-partial-max-sat: <∃ I. partial-max-sat N_H N_S ρ (Some I)> and
  sat-partial-min-sat: <∃ I. partial-min-sat N_H N_S ρ (Some I)>

```

proof –

```

let ?Is = <{I. atms-exactly-m I ((N_H + N_S)) ∧ consistent-interp I ∧
  I ⊨sm N_H}>
let ?Is' = <{I. atms-exactly-m I ((N_H + N_S)) ∧ consistent-interp I ∧
  I ⊨sm N_H ∧ finite I}>
have Is: <?Is = ?Is'>
by (auto simp: atms-of-s-def atms-exactly-m-finite)
have <?Is' ⊆ set-mset ‘simple-clss (atms-of-mm (N_H + N_S))>
apply rule
unfolding image-iff
by (rule-tac x= <mset-set x> in bexI)
  (auto simp: simple-clss-def atms-exactly-m-def image-iff
    atms-of-s-def atms-of-def distinct-mset-mset-set consistent-interp-tuatology-mset-set)
from finite-subset[Of this] have fin: <finite ?Is> unfolding Is
by (auto simp: simple-clss-finite)
then have fin': <finite (weight-on-clauses N_S ρ ‘?Is)>
by auto
define ρI where
  <ρI = Min (weight-on-clauses N_S ρ ‘?Is)>
have nempty: <?Is ≠ {}>
proof –
obtain I where I:
  <total-over-m I (set-mset N_H)>
  <I ⊨sm N_H>
  <consistent-interp I>
  <atms-of-s I ⊆ atms-of-mm N_H>

```

```

using assms unfolding satisfiable-def-min atms-exactly-m-def
  by (auto simp: atms-of-s-def atm-of-def total-over-m-def)
let ?I = <I ∪ Pos ‘{x ∈ atms-of-mm N_S. x ∉ atm-of ‘I}‘>
have <?I ∈ ?Is>
  using I
  by (auto simp: atms-exactly-m-def total-over-m-alt-def image-iff
    lit-in-set-iff-atm)
    (auto simp: consistent-interp-def uminus-lit-swap)
then show ?thesis
  by blast
qed
have <?I ∈ weight-on-clauses N_S ρ ‘?Is>
  unfolding ρI-def
  by (rule Min-in[OF fin]) (use nempty in auto)
then obtain I :: ‘v partial-interp’ where
  ‘weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I = ρI’ and
  ‘I ∈ ?Is’
  by blast
then have H: ‘consistent-interp I’ ⟹ atms-exactly-m I’ (N_H + N_S) ⟹ I’ ⊨sm N_H ⟹
  ‘weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I’ ≥ weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I for I’
  using Min-le[OF fin', of ‘weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I’]
  unfolding ρI-def[symmetric]
  by auto
then have ‘partial-min-sat N_H N_S ρ (Some I)’
  apply –
  by (rule partial-min-sat)
    (use fin ‘I ∈ ?Is’ in ‘auto simp: atms-exactly-m-finite’)
then show ‘∃ I. partial-min-sat N_H N_S ρ (Some I)’
  by fast

define ρI where
  ‘ρI = Max (weight-on-clauses N_S ρ ‘?Is)’
have <?I ∈ weight-on-clauses N_S ρ ‘?Is>
  unfolding ρI-def
  by (rule Max-in[OF fin]) (use nempty in auto)
then obtain I :: ‘v partial-interp’ where
  ‘weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I = ρI’ and
  ‘I ∈ ?Is’
  by blast
then have H: ‘consistent-interp I’ ⟹ atms-exactly-m I’ (N_H + N_S) ⟹ I’ ⊨m N_H ⟹
  ‘weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I’ ≤ weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I for I’
  using Max-ge[OF fin', of ‘weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I’]
  unfolding ρI-def[symmetric]
  by auto
then have ‘partial-max-sat N_H N_S ρ (Some I)’
  apply –
  by (rule partial-max-sat)
    (use fin ‘I ∈ ?Is’ in ‘auto simp: atms-exactly-m-finite
      consistent-interp-tautology-mset-set’)
then show ‘∃ I. partial-max-sat N_H N_S ρ (Some I)’
  by fast
qed

inductive weight-sat
  :: ‘v clauses ⇒ (‘v literal multiset ⇒ ‘a :: linorder) ⇒
    ‘v literal multiset option ⇒ bool’

```

where

weight-sat:

⟨*weight-sat N* ρ (*Some I*)⟩

if

⟨*set-mset I* ⊨_{sm} *N*⟩ **and**

⟨*atms-exactly-m* (*set-mset I*) *N*⟩ **and**

⟨*consistent-interp* (*set-mset I*)⟩ **and**

⟨*distinct-mset I*⟩

⟨ $\bigwedge I'. \text{consistent-interp} (\text{set-mset } I') \implies \text{atms-exactly-m} (\text{set-mset } I') \text{ } N \implies \text{distinct-mset } I' \implies$

⟨*set-mset I'* ⊨_{sm} *N* ⟹ ρ' *I'* ≥ ρ' *I*⟩ |

partial-max-unsat:

⟨*weight-sat N* ρ *None*⟩

if

⟨*unsatisfiable* (*set-mset N*)⟩

lemma *partial-max-sat-is-weight-sat*:

fixes *additional-atm* :: ⟨'v clause ⇒ 'v⟩ **and**

ρ :: ⟨'v clause ⇒ nat⟩ **and**

N_S :: ⟨'v clauses⟩

defines

ρ' ≡ (λC. *sum-mset*

((λL. if L ∈ Pos ' additional-atm ' set-mset N_S

then count N_S (SOME C. L = Pos (additional-atm C) ∧ C ∈# N_S)

* ρ (SOME C. L = Pos (additional-atm C) ∧ C ∈# N_S)

else 0) '# C)))

assumes

add: ⟨ $\bigwedge C. C \in# N_S \implies \text{additional-atm } C \notin \text{atms-of-mm } (N_H + N_S)$ ⟩

⟨ $\bigwedge C D. C \in# N_S \implies D \in# N_S \implies \text{additional-atm } C = \text{additional-atm } D \longleftrightarrow C = D$ ⟩ **and**

w: ⟨*weight-sat* (N_H + (λC. *add-mset* (Pos (additional-atm C)) C) '# N_S) ρ' (*Some I*)⟩

shows

⟨*partial-max-sat* N_H N_S ρ (*Some* {L ∈ *set-mset I*. atm-of L ∈ *atms-of-mm* (N_H + N_S)})⟩

proof –

define *N* **where** ⟨*N* ≡ N_H + (λC. *add-mset* (Pos (additional-atm C)) C) '# N_S⟩

define *cl-of* **where** ⟨*cl-of L* = (SOME C. L = Pos (additional-atm C) ∧ C ∈# N_S)⟩ **for** *L*

from w

have

ent: ⟨*set-mset I* ⊨_{sm} *N*⟩ **and**

bi: ⟨*atms-exactly-m* (*set-mset I*) *N*⟩ **and**

cons: ⟨*consistent-interp* (*set-mset I*)⟩ **and**

dist: ⟨*distinct-mset I*⟩ **and**

weight: ⟨ $\bigwedge I'. \text{consistent-interp} (\text{set-mset } I') \implies \text{atms-exactly-m} (\text{set-mset } I') \text{ } N \implies$

⟨*set-mset I'* ⊨_{sm} *N* ⟹ ρ' *I'* ≥ ρ' *I*⟩

unfolding *N-def[symmetric]*

by (auto simp: *weight-sat.simps*)

let ?I = ⟨{L. L ∈# I ∧ atm-of L ∈ *atms-of-mm* (N_H + N_S)}⟩

have ent': ⟨*set-mset I* ⊨_{sm} N_H⟩

using ent **unfolding** true-clss-restrict

by (auto simp: *N-def*)

then have ent': ⟨?I ⊨_{sm} N_H⟩

apply (subst (asm) true-clss-restrict[symmetric])

apply (rule true-clss-mono-left, assumption)

apply auto

done

have [simp]: ⟨*atms-of-ms* ((λC. *add-mset* (Pos (additional-atm C)) C) ' set-mset N_S) =

additional-atm ' set-mset N_S ∪ *atms-of-ms* (set-mset N_S)⟩

by (auto simp: *atms-of-ms-def*)

```

have  $bi': \langle \text{atms-exactly-m } ?I (N_H + N_S) \rangle$ 
  using  $bi$ 
  by (auto simp: atms-exactly-m-def total-over-m-def total-over-set-def
        atms-of-s-def  $N\text{-def}$ )
have  $cons': \langle \text{consistent-interp } ?I \rangle$ 
  using  $cons$  by (auto simp: consistent-interp-def)
have [simp]:  $\langle cl\text{-of} (\text{Pos} (\text{additional-atm } xb)) = xb \rangle$ 
  if  $\langle xb \in \# N_S \rangle$  for  $xb$ 
  using someI[of  $\langle \lambda C. \text{additional-atm } xb = \text{additional-atm } C \rangle xb$ ] add that
  unfolding  $cl\text{-of}\text{-def}$ 
  by auto

let  $?I = \{L. L \in \# I \wedge \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm } (N_H + N_S)\} \cup \text{Pos} ' \text{additional-atm} ' \{C \in \text{set-mset } N_S. \neg \text{set-mset } I \models C\}$ 
   $\cup \text{Neg} ' \text{additional-atm} ' \{C \in \text{set-mset } N_S. \text{set-mset } I \models C\}$ 
have  $\langle \text{consistent-interp } ?I \rangle$ 
  using  $cons$  add by (auto simp: consistent-interp-def
        atms-exactly-m-def uminus-lit-swap
        dest: add)
moreover have  $\langle \text{atms-exactly-m } ?I N \rangle$ 
  using  $bi$ 
  by (auto simp:  $N\text{-def}$  atms-exactly-m-def total-over-m-def
        total-over-set-def image-image)
moreover have  $\langle ?I \models sm N \rangle$ 
  using  $ent$  by (auto simp:  $N\text{-def}$  true-clss-def image-image
        atm-of-lit-in-atms-of true-cls-def
        dest!: multi-member-split)
moreover have  $\langle \text{set-mset} (\text{mset-set } ?I) = ?I \rangle$  and  $fin: \langle \text{finite } ?I \rangle$ 
  by (auto simp: atms-exactly-m-finite)
moreover have  $\langle \text{distinct-mset} (\text{mset-set } ?I) \rangle$ 
  by (auto simp: distinct-mset-mset-set)
ultimately have  $\langle \varrho' (\text{mset-set } ?I) \geq \varrho' I \rangle$ 
  using weight[of  $\langle \text{mset-set } ?I \rangle$ ]
  by argo
moreover have  $\langle \varrho' (\text{mset-set } ?I) \leq \varrho' I \rangle$ 
  using  $ent$ 
  by (auto simp:  $\varrho'\text{-def}$  sum-mset-inter-restrict[symmetric] mset-set-subset-iff  $N\text{-def}$ 
        intro!: sum-image-mset-mono
        dest!: multi-member-split)
ultimately have  $I:I: \langle \varrho' (\text{mset-set } ?I) = \varrho' I \rangle$ 
  by linarith

have  $min: \langle \text{weight-on-clauses } N_S \varrho I' \leq \text{weight-on-clauses } N_S \varrho \{L. L \in \# I \wedge \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm } (N_H + N_S)\} \rangle$ 
if
   $cons: \langle \text{consistent-interp } I' \rangle$  and
   $bit: \langle \text{atms-exactly-m } I' (N_H + N_S) \rangle$  and
   $I': \langle I' \models sm N_H \rangle$ 
for  $I'$ 
proof -
let  $?I' = \langle I' \cup \text{Pos} ' \text{additional-atm} ' \{C \in \text{set-mset } N_S. \neg I' \models C\}$ 
   $\cup \text{Neg} ' \text{additional-atm} ' \{C \in \text{set-mset } N_S. I' \models C\} \rangle$ 
have  $\langle \text{consistent-interp } ?I' \rangle$ 
  using  $cons$   $bit$  add by (auto simp: consistent-interp-def
        atms-exactly-m-def uminus-lit-swap
        dest: add)

```

```

moreover have ⟨atms-exactly-m ?I' N⟩
  using bit
  by (auto simp: N-def atms-exactly-m-def total-over-m-def
        total-over-set-def image-image)
moreover have ⟨?I' |=sm N⟩
  using I' by (auto simp: N-def true-clss-def image-image
        dest!: multi-member-split)
moreover have ⟨set-mset (mset-set ?I') = ?I'⟩ and fin: ⟨finite ?I'⟩
  using bit by (auto simp: atms-exactly-m-finite)
moreover have ⟨distinct-mset (mset-set ?I')⟩
  by (auto simp: distinct-mset-mset-set)
ultimately have I'-I: ⟨ρ' (mset-set ?I') ≥ ρ' I⟩
  using weight[of ⟨mset-set ?I'⟩]
  by argo
have inj: ⟨inj-on cl-of (I' ∩ (λx. Pos (additional-atm x)) ` set-mset N_S)⟩ for I'
  using add by (auto simp: inj-on-def)

have we: ⟨weight-on-clauses N_S ρ I' = sum-mset (ρ '# N_S) −
  sum-mset (ρ '# filter-mset (Not ∘ (|=) I') N_S)⟩ for I'
  unfolding weight-on-clauses-def
  apply (subst (3) multiset-partition[of - ⟨(|=) I'⟩])
  unfolding image-mset-union sum-mset.union
  by (auto simp: comp-def)
have H: ⟨sum-mset
  (ρ '#
  filter-mset (Not ∘ (|=) {L. L ∈# I ∧ atm-of L ∈ atms-of-mm (N_H + N_S)}) N_S) = ρ' I⟩
  unfolding I-I[symmetric] unfolding ρ'-def cl-of-def[symmetric]
  sum-mset-sum-count if-distrib
  apply (auto simp: sum-mset-sum-count image-image simp flip: sum.inter-restrict
        cong: if-cong)
  apply (subst comm-monoid-add-class.sum.reindex-cong[symmetric, of cl-of, OF - refl])
  apply ((use inj in auto; fail)+)[2]
  apply (rule sum.cong)
  apply auto[]
  using inj[of ⟨set-mset I⟩] ⟨set-mset I |=sm N⟩ assms(2)
  apply (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: N-def image-Int
        atm-of-lit-in-atms-of true-cls-def[])
  using add apply (auto simp: true-cls-def)
  done
have ⟨(∑ x ∈ (I' ∪ (λx. Pos (additional-atm x)) ` {C. C ∈# N_S ∧ ¬ I' |= C}) ∪
  (λx. Neg (additional-atm x)) ` {C. C ∈# N_S ∧ I' |= C}) ∩
  (λx. Pos (additional-atm x)) ` set-mset N_S.
  count N_S (cl-of x) * ρ (cl-of x)) ≤ (∑ A ∈ {a. a ∈# N_S ∧ ¬ I' |= a}. count N_S A * ρ A)⟩
  apply (subst comm-monoid-add-class.sum.reindex-cong[symmetric, of cl-of, OF - refl])
  apply ((use inj in auto; fail)+)[2]
  apply (rule ordered-comm-monoid-add-class.sum-mono2)
  using that add by (auto dest: simp: N-def
        atms-exactly-m-def)
then have ⟨sum-mset (ρ '# filter-mset (Not ∘ (|=) I') N_S) ≥ ρ' (mset-set ?I')⟩
  using fin unfolding cl-of-def[symmetric] ρ'-def
  by (auto simp: ρ'-def
        simp add: sum-mset-sum-count image-image simp flip: sum.inter-restrict)
then have ⟨ρ' I ≤ sum-mset (ρ '# filter-mset (Not ∘ (|=) I') N_S)⟩
  using I'-I by auto

```

```

then show ?thesis
  unfolding we H I-I apply -
    by auto
qed

show ?thesis
  apply (rule partial-max-sat.intros)
  subgoal using ent' by auto
  subgoal using bi' by fast
  subgoal using cons' by fast
  subgoal for I'
    by (rule min)
  done
qed

lemma sum-mset-cong:
   $\langle (\bigwedge a. a \in \# A \Rightarrow f a = g a) \Rightarrow (\sum a \in \# A. f a) = (\sum a \in \# A. g a) \rangle$ 
  by (induction A) auto

lemma partial-max-sat-is-weight-sat-distinct:
  fixes additional-atm :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  'v' and
   $\varrho$  :: 'v clause  $\Rightarrow$  nat' and
   $N_S$  :: 'v clauses'
  defines
     $\varrho' \equiv (\lambda C. \text{sum-mset}$ 
     $((\lambda L. \text{if } L \in \text{Pos} \text{ 'additional-atm' set-mset } N_S$ 
       $\text{then } \varrho (\text{SOME } C. L = \text{Pos} (\text{additional-atm } C) \wedge C \in \# N_S)$ 
       $\text{else } 0) \# C)) \rangle$ 
  assumes
     $\langle \text{distinct-mset } N_S \rangle \text{ and }$  — This is implicit on paper
    add:  $\langle \bigwedge C. C \in \# N_S \Rightarrow \text{additional-atm } C \notin \text{atms-of-mm } (N_H + N_S) \rangle$ 
     $\langle \bigwedge C D. C \in \# N_S \Rightarrow D \in \# N_S \Rightarrow \text{additional-atm } C = \text{additional-atm } D \longleftrightarrow C = D \rangle \text{ and}$ 
    w:  $\langle \text{weight-sat } (N_H + (\lambda C. \text{add-mset } (\text{Pos} (\text{additional-atm } C)) C) \# N_S) \varrho' (\text{Some } I) \rangle$ 
  shows
     $\langle \text{partial-max-sat } N_H N_S \varrho (\text{Some } \{L \in \text{set-mset } I. \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm } (N_H + N_S)\}) \rangle$ 
proof -
  define cl-of where  $\langle \text{cl-of } L = (\text{SOME } C. L = \text{Pos} (\text{additional-atm } C) \wedge C \in \# N_S) \rangle$  for L
  have [simp]:  $\langle \text{cl-of } (\text{Pos} (\text{additional-atm } xb)) = xb \rangle$ 
  if  $\langle xb \in \# N_S \rangle$  for xb
  using someI[of  $\langle \lambda C. \text{additional-atm } xb = \text{additional-atm } C \rangle$  xb] add that
  unfolding cl-of-def
  by auto
  have  $\varrho': \langle \varrho' = (\lambda C. \sum L \in \# C. \text{if } L \in \text{Pos} \text{ 'additional-atm' set-mset } N_S$ 
     $\text{then count } N_S$ 
     $(\text{SOME } C. L = \text{Pos} (\text{additional-atm } C) \wedge C \in \# N_S) *$ 
     $\varrho (\text{SOME } C. L = \text{Pos} (\text{additional-atm } C) \wedge C \in \# N_S)$ 
     $\text{else } 0) \rangle$ 
  unfolding cl-of-def[symmetric]  $\varrho'$ -def
  using assms(2,4) by (auto intro!: ext sum-mset-cong simp:  $\varrho'$ -def not-in-iff dest!: multi-member-split)
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule partial-max-sat-is-weight-sat[where additional-atm=additional-atm])
    subgoal by (rule assms(3))
    subgoal by (rule assms(4))
    subgoal unfolding  $\varrho'$ [symmetric] by (rule assms(5))
    done
qed

```

```

lemma atms-exactly-m-alt-def:
  ⌜atms-exactly-m (set-mset y) N ⇔ atms-of y ⊆ atms-of-mm N ∧
    total-over-m (set-mset y) (set-mset N)⌝
  by (auto simp: atms-exactly-m-def atms-of-s-def atms-of-def
    atms-of-ms-def dest!: multi-member-split)

lemma atms-exactly-m-alt-def2:
  ⌜atms-exactly-m (set-mset y) N ⇔ atms-of y = atms-of-mm N⌝
  by (metis atms-of-def atms-of-s-def atms-exactly-m-alt-def equalityI order-refl total-over-m-def
    total-over-set-alt-def)

lemma (in conflict-driven-clause-learningW-optimal-weight) full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-weight-sat:
  ⌜full cdcl-bnb-stgy (init-state N) T ⇒ distinct-mset-mset N ⇒ weight-sat N ρ (weight T)⌝
  using full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-no-conflicting-clause-from-init-state[of N T]
  apply (cases `weight T = None`)
  subgoal
    by (auto intro!: weight-sat.intros(2))
  subgoal premises p
    using p(1-4,6)
    apply (clarify simp only:)
    apply (rule weight-sat.intros(1))
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by (auto simp: atms-exactly-m-alt-def)
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal for J I'
      using p(5)[of I'] by (auto simp: atms-exactly-m-alt-def2)
    done
  done

end
theory CDCL-W-Partial-Optimal-Model
  imports CDCL-W-Partial-Encoding
begin
lemma isabelle-should-do-that-automatically: ⌜Suc (a - Suc 0) = a ⇔ a ≥ 1⌝
  by auto

lemma (in conflict-driven-clause-learningW-optimal-weight)
  conflict-opt-state-eq-compatible:
  ⌜conflict-opt S T ⇒ S ~ S' ⇒ T ~ T' ⇒ conflict-opt S' T'⌝
  using state-eq-trans[of T' T
    ⌜update-conflicting (Some (negate-ann-lits (trail S'))) S⌝]
  using state-eq-trans[of T
    ⌜update-conflicting (Some (negate-ann-lits (trail S'))) S⌝
    ⌜update-conflicting (Some (negate-ann-lits (trail S'))) S'⌝]
  update-conflicting-state-eq[of S S' ⌜Some {#}⌝]
  apply (auto simp: conflict-opt.simps state-eq-sym)
  using reduce-trail-to-state-eq state-eq-trans update-conflicting-state-eq by blast

context optimal-encoding
begin

definition base-atm :: ⌜'v ⇒ 'v⌝ where
  ⌜base-atm L = (if L ∈ Σ - ΔΣ then L else

```

if $L \in \text{replacement-neg } ' \Delta\Sigma \text{ then } (\text{SOME } K. (K \in \Delta\Sigma \wedge L = \text{replacement-neg } K))$
else $(\text{SOME } K. (K \in \Delta\Sigma \wedge L = \text{replacement-pos } K)))$

lemma *normalize-lit-*Some-simp*[simp]*: $\langle (\text{SOME } K. K \in \Delta\Sigma \wedge (L^{\leftrightarrow 0} = K^{\leftrightarrow 0})) = L \rangle$ **if** $\langle L \in \Delta\Sigma \rangle$ **for** K
by (*rule some1-equality*) (*use that in auto*)

lemma *base-atm-*simps1*[simp]*:
 $\langle L \in \Sigma \Rightarrow L \notin \Delta\Sigma \Rightarrow \text{base-atm } L = L \rangle$
by (*auto simp: base-atm-def*)

lemma *base-atm-*simps2*[simp]*:
 $\langle L \in (\Sigma - \Delta\Sigma) \cup \text{replacement-neg } ' \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-pos } ' \Delta\Sigma \Rightarrow$
 $K \in \Sigma \Rightarrow K \notin \Delta\Sigma \Rightarrow L \in \Sigma \Rightarrow K = \text{base-atm } L \longleftrightarrow L = K \rangle$
by (*auto simp: base-atm-def*)

lemma *base-atm-*simps3*[simp]*:
 $\langle L \in \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma \Rightarrow \text{base-atm } L \in \Sigma \rangle$
 $\langle L \in \text{replacement-neg } ' \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-pos } ' \Delta\Sigma \Rightarrow \text{base-atm } L \in \Delta\Sigma \rangle$
apply (*auto simp: base-atm-def*)
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) tfl-some*)

lemma *base-atm-*simps4*[simp]*:
 $\langle L \in \Delta\Sigma \Rightarrow \text{base-atm } (\text{replacement-pos } L) = L \rangle$
 $\langle L \in \Delta\Sigma \Rightarrow \text{base-atm } (\text{replacement-neg } L) = L \rangle$
by (*auto simp: base-atm-def*)

fun *normalize-lit* :: $\langle 'v \text{ literal} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{normalize-lit } (\text{Pos } L) =$
 $(\text{if } L \in \text{replacement-neg } ' \Delta\Sigma$
 $\text{then Neg } (\text{replacement-pos } (\text{SOME } K. (K \in \Delta\Sigma \wedge L = \text{replacement-neg } K)))$
 $\text{else Pos } L) \rangle \mid$
 $\langle \text{normalize-lit } (\text{Neg } L) =$
 $(\text{if } L \in \text{replacement-neg } ' \Delta\Sigma$
 $\text{then Pos } (\text{replacement-pos } (\text{SOME } K. K \in \Delta\Sigma \wedge L = \text{replacement-neg } K))$
 $\text{else Neg } L) \rangle$

abbreviation *normalize-clause* :: $\langle 'v \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clause} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{normalize-clause } C \equiv \text{normalize-lit } '\# C \rangle$

lemma *normalize-lit[simp]*:
 $\langle L \in \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma \Rightarrow \text{normalize-lit } (\text{Pos } L) = (\text{Pos } L) \rangle$
 $\langle L \in \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma \Rightarrow \text{normalize-lit } (\text{Neg } L) = (\text{Neg } L) \rangle$
 $\langle L \in \Delta\Sigma \Rightarrow \text{normalize-lit } (\text{Pos } (\text{replacement-neg } L)) = \text{Neg } (\text{replacement-pos } L) \rangle$
 $\langle L \in \Delta\Sigma \Rightarrow \text{normalize-lit } (\text{Neg } (\text{replacement-neg } L)) = \text{Pos } (\text{replacement-pos } L) \rangle$
by *auto*

definition *all-clauses-literals* :: $\langle 'v \text{ list} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{all-clauses-literals} =$
 $(\text{SOME } xs. \text{mset } xs = \text{mset-set } ((\Sigma - \Delta\Sigma) \cup \text{replacement-neg } ' \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-pos } ' \Delta\Sigma)) \rangle$

```

datatype (in -) 'c search-depth =
  sd-is-zero: SD-ZERO (the-search-depth: 'c) |
  sd-is-one: SD-ONE (the-search-depth: 'c) |
  sd-is-two: SD-TWO (the-search-depth: 'c)

abbreviation (in -) un-hide-sd :: ⟨'a search-depth list ⇒ 'a list⟩ where
  ⟨un-hide-sd ≡ map the-search-depth⟩

fun nat-of-search-depth :: ⟨'c search-depth ⇒ nat⟩ where
  ⟨nat-of-search-depth (SD-ZERO -) = 0⟩ |
  ⟨nat-of-search-depth (SD-ONE -) = 1⟩ |
  ⟨nat-of-search-depth (SD-TWO -) = 2⟩

definition opposite-var where
  ⟨opposite-var L = (if L ∈ replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ then replacement-neg (base-atm L)
    else replacement-pos (base-atm L))⟩

```

```

lemma opposite-var-replacement-if[simp]:
  ⟨L ∈ (replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ) ⇒ A ∈ ΔΣ ⇒
    opposite-var L = replacement-pos A ↔ L = replacement-neg A⟩
  ⟨L ∈ (replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ) ⇒ A ∈ ΔΣ ⇒
    opposite-var L = replacement-neg A ↔ L = replacement-pos A⟩
  ⟨A ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ opposite-var (replacement-pos A) = replacement-neg A⟩
  ⟨A ∈ ΔΣ ⇒ opposite-var (replacement-neg A) = replacement-pos A⟩
  by (auto simp: opposite-var-def)

context
  assumes [simp]: ⟨finite Σ⟩
begin

lemma all-clauses-literals:
  ⟨mset all-clauses-literals = mset-set ((Σ − ΔΣ) ∪ replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ),
  ⟨distinct all-clauses-literals⟩
  ⟨set all-clauses-literals = ((Σ − ΔΣ) ∪ replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ)⟩

proof -
  let ?A = ⟨mset-set ((Σ − ΔΣ) ∪ replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ ∪
    replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ)⟩
  show 1: ⟨mset all-clauses-literals = ?A⟩
    using someI[of ⟨λxs. mset xs = ?A⟩]
    finite-Σ ex-mset[of ?A]
    unfolding all-clauses-literals-def[symmetric]
    by metis
  show 2: ⟨distinct all-clauses-literals⟩
    using someI[of ⟨λxs. mset xs = ?A⟩]
    finite-Σ ex-mset[of ?A]
    unfolding all-clauses-literals-def[symmetric]
    by (metis distinct-mset-mset-set distinct-mset-mset-distinct)
  show 3: ⟨set all-clauses-literals = ((Σ − ΔΣ) ∪ replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ)⟩
    using arg-cong[OF 1, of set-mset] finite-Σ
    by simp
qed

definition unset-literals-in-Σ where
  ⟨unset-literals-in-Σ M L ↔ undefined-lit M (Pos L) ∧ L ∈ Σ − ΔΣ⟩

```

```

definition full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$  where
  ⟨full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$  M L  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    undefined-lit M (Pos L)  $\wedge$  L  $\notin \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma$   $\wedge$  undefined-lit M (Pos (opposite-var L))  $\wedge$ 
    L  $\in$  replacement-pos ‘ $\Delta\Sigma$ ’⟩

definition full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma'$  where
  ⟨full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma'$  M L  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    undefined-lit M (Pos L)  $\wedge$  L  $\notin \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma$   $\wedge$  undefined-lit M (Pos (opposite-var L))  $\wedge$ 
    L  $\in$  replacement-neg ‘ $\Delta\Sigma$ ’⟩

definition half-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$  where
  ⟨half-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$  M L  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    undefined-lit M (Pos L)  $\wedge$  L  $\notin \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma$   $\wedge$  defined-lit M (Pos (opposite-var L))⟩

definition sorted-unadded-literals :: ⟨('v, 'v clause) ann-lits  $\Rightarrow$  'v list⟩ where
  ⟨sorted-unadded-literals M =
    (let
      M0 = filter (full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma'$  M) all-clauses-literals;
      — weight is 0
      M1 = filter (unset-literals-in- $\Sigma$  M) all-clauses-literals;
      — weight is 2
      M2 = filter (full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$  M) all-clauses-literals;
      — weight is 2
      M3 = filter (half-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$  M) all-clauses-literals
      — weight is 1
    in
      M0 @ M3 @ M1 @ M2)⟩

definition complete-trail :: ⟨('v, 'v clause) ann-lits  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits⟩ where
  ⟨complete-trail M =
    (map (Decided o Pos) (sorted-unadded-literals M) @ M)⟩

lemma in-sorted-unadded-literals-undefD:
  ⟨atm-of (lit-of l)  $\in$  set (sorted-unadded-literals M)  $\implies$  l  $\notin$  set M⟩
  ⟨atm-of (l')  $\in$  set (sorted-unadded-literals M)  $\implies$  undefined-lit M l'⟩
  ⟨xa  $\in$  set (sorted-unadded-literals M)  $\implies$  lit-of x = Neg xa  $\implies$  x  $\notin$  set M⟩ and
  set-sorted-unadded-literals[simp]:
  ⟨set (sorted-unadded-literals M) =
    Set.filter ( $\lambda L.$  undefined-lit M (Pos L)) (set all-clauses-literals)⟩
  by (auto simp: sorted-unadded-literals-def undefined-notin all-clauses-literals(1,2)
    defined-lit-Neg-Pos-iff half-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$ -def full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$ -def
    unset-literals-in- $\Sigma$ -def Let-def full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma'$ -def
    all-clauses-literals(3))

lemma [simp]:
  ⟨full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$  [] = ( $\lambda L.$  L  $\in$  replacement-pos ‘ $\Delta\Sigma$ ’)⟩
  ⟨full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma'$  [] = ( $\lambda L.$  L  $\in$  replacement-neg ‘ $\Delta\Sigma$ ’)⟩
  ⟨half-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$  [] = ( $\lambda L.$  False)⟩
  ⟨unset-literals-in- $\Sigma$  [] = ( $\lambda L.$  L  $\in \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma$ )⟩
  by (auto simp: full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$ -def
    unset-literals-in- $\Sigma$ -def full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma'$ -def
    half-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$ -def intro!: ext)

lemma filter-disjoint-union:
  ⟨( $\bigwedge x.$  x  $\in$  set xs  $\implies$  P x  $\implies$   $\neg Q$  x)  $\implies$ 
    length (filter P xs) + length (filter Q xs) =
```

```

length (filter (λx. P x ∨ Q x) xs)›
by (induction xs) auto
lemma length-sorted-unadded-literals-empty[simp]:
  ‹length (sorted-unadded-literals []) = length all-clauses-literals›
apply (auto simp: sorted-unadded-literals-def sum-length-filter-compl
  Let-def ac-simps filter-disjoint-union)
apply (subst filter-disjoint-union)
apply auto
apply (subst filter-disjoint-union)
apply auto
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Diff-iff UnE all-clauses-literals(3) filter-True)

lemma sorted-unadded-literals-Cons-notin-all-clauses-literals[simp]:
assumes
  ‹atm-of (lit-of K) ∉ set all-clauses-literals›
shows
  ‹sorted-unadded-literals (K # M) = sorted-unadded-literals M›
proof –
have [simp]: ‹filter (full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ' (K # M))
  all-clauses-literals =
  filter (full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ' M)
  all-clauses-literals›
  ‹filter (full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ (K # M))
  all-clauses-literals =
  filter (full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ M)
  all-clauses-literals›
  ‹filter (half-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ (K # M))
  all-clauses-literals =
  filter (half-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ M)
  all-clauses-literals›
  ‹filter (unset-literals-in-Σ (K # M)) all-clauses-literals =
  filter (unset-literals-in-Σ M) all-clauses-literals›
using assms unfolding full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ'-def full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ-def
half-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ-def unset-literals-in-Σ-def
by (auto simp: sorted-unadded-literals-def undefined-notin all-clauses-literals(1,2)
  defined-lit-Neg-Pos-iff all-clauses-literals(3) defined-lit-cons
  intro!: ext filter-cong)

show ?thesis
by (auto simp: undefined-notin all-clauses-literals(1,2)
  defined-lit-Neg-Pos-iff all-clauses-literals(3) sorted-unadded-literals-def)
qed

lemma sorted-unadded-literals-cong:
assumes ‹∀L. L ∈ set all-clauses-literals ⇒ defined-lit M (Pos L) = defined-lit M' (Pos L)›
shows ‹sorted-unadded-literals M = sorted-unadded-literals M'›
proof –
have [simp]: ‹filter (full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ' (M))
  all-clauses-literals =
  filter (full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ' M')
  all-clauses-literals›
  ‹filter (full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ (M))
  all-clauses-literals =
  filter (full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ M')
  all-clauses-literals›
  ‹filter (half-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ (M))›

```

```

    all-clauses-literals =
      filter (half-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$  M')
        all-clauses-literals
  <filter (unset-literals-in- $\Sigma$  (M)) all-clauses-literals =
    filter (unset-literals-in- $\Sigma$  M') all-clauses-literals>
using assms unfolding full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma'$ -def full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$ -def
  half-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$ -def unset-literals-in- $\Sigma$ -def
by (auto simp: sorted-unadded-literals-def undefined-notin all-clauses-literals(1,2)
  defined-lit-Neg-Pos-iff all-clauses-literals(3) defined-lit-cons
  intro!: ext filter-cong)

```

```

show ?thesis
by (auto simp: undefined-notin all-clauses-literals(1,2)
  defined-lit-Neg-Pos-iff all-clauses-literals(3) sorted-unadded-literals-def)

```

qed

```

lemma sorted-unadded-literals-Cons-already-set[simp]:
assumes
  <defined-lit M (lit-of K)>
shows
  <sorted-unadded-literals (K # M) = sorted-unadded-literals M>
by (rule sorted-unadded-literals-cong)
  (use assms in <auto simp: defined-lit-cons>)

```

```

lemma distinct-sorted-unadded-literals[simp]:
  <distinct (sorted-unadded-literals M)>
unfolding half-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$ -def
  full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma$ -def unset-literals-in- $\Sigma$ -def
  sorted-unadded-literals-def
  full-unset-literals-in- $\Delta\Sigma'$ -def
by (auto simp: sorted-unadded-literals-def all-clauses-literals(1,2))

```

```

lemma Collect-req-remove1:
  < $\{a \in A. a \neq b \wedge P a\} = (\text{if } P b \text{ then } \text{Set.remove } b \{a \in A. P a\} \text{ else } \{a \in A. P a\})$ > and
  Collect-req-remove2:
  < $\{a \in A. b \neq a \wedge P a\} = (\text{if } P b \text{ then } \text{Set.remove } b \{a \in A. P a\} \text{ else } \{a \in A. P a\})$ >
by auto

```

```

lemma card-remove:
  < $\text{card } (\text{Set.remove } a A) = (\text{if } a \in A \text{ then } \text{card } A - 1 \text{ else } \text{card } A)$ >
by (auto simp: Set.remove-def)

```

```

lemma sorted-unadded-literals-cons-in-undef[simp]:
  <undefined-lit M (lit-of K)  $\implies$ 
    atm-of (lit-of K) \in set all-clauses-literals  $\implies$ 
    Suc (length (sorted-unadded-literals (K # M))) =
    length (sorted-unadded-literals M)>
by (auto simp flip: distinct-card simp: Set.filter-def Collect-req-remove2
  card-remove isabelle-should-do-that-automatically
  card-gt-0-iff simp flip: less-eq-Suc-le)

```

lemma no-dup-complete-trail[simp]:

```

<no-dup (complete-trail M)  $\longleftrightarrow$  no-dup M>
by (auto simp: complete-trail-def no-dup-def comp-def all-clauses-literals(1,2)
      undefined-notin)

lemma tautology-complete-trail[simp]:
  <tautology (lit-of '# mset (complete-trail M))  $\longleftrightarrow$  tautology (lit-of '# mset M)>
  by (auto simp: complete-trail-def tautology-decomp' comp-def all-clauses-literals
      undefined-notin uminus-lit-swap defined-lit-Neg-Pos-iff
      simp flip: defined-lit-Neg-Pos-iff)

lemma atms-of-complete-trail:
  <atms-of (lit-of '# mset (complete-trail M)) =
    atms-of (lit-of '# mset M)  $\cup$  ( $\Sigma - \Delta\Sigma$ )  $\cup$  replacement-neg ' $\Delta\Sigma$   $\cup$  replacement-pos ' $\Delta\Sigma$ >
  by (auto simp add: complete-trail-def all-clauses-literals
      image-image image-Un atms-of-def defined-lit-map)

fun depth-lit-of :: <('v,-) ann-lit  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, -) ann-lit search-depth> where
  <depth-lit-of (Decided L) = SD-TWO (Decided L)> |
  <depth-lit-of (Propagated L C) = SD-ZERO (Propagated L C)>

fun depth-lit-of-additional-fst :: <('v,-) ann-lit  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, -) ann-lit search-depth> where
  <depth-lit-of-additional-fst (Decided L) = SD-ONE (Decided L)> |
  <depth-lit-of-additional-fst (Propagated L C) = SD-ZERO (Propagated L C)>

fun depth-lit-of-additional-snd :: <('v,-) ann-lit  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, -) ann-lit search-depth list> where
  <depth-lit-of-additional-snd (Decided L) = [SD-ONE (Decided L)]> |
  <depth-lit-of-additional-snd (Propagated L C) = []>

This function is surprisingly complicated to get right. Remember that the last set element is at
the beginning of the list

fun remove-dup-information/raw :: <('v, -) ann-lits  $\Rightarrow$  ('v, -) ann-lit search-depth list> where
  <remove-dup-information/raw [] = []> |
  <remove-dup-information/raw (L # M) =
    (if atm-of (lit-of L)  $\in$   $\Sigma - \Delta\Sigma$  then depth-lit-of L # remove-dup-information/raw M
     else if defined-lit (M) (Pos (opposite-var (atm-of (lit-of L))))
     then if Decided (Pos (opposite-var (atm-of (lit-of L))))  $\in$  set (M)
     then remove-dup-information/raw M
     else depth-lit-of-additional-fst L # remove-dup-information/raw M
     else depth-lit-of-additional-snd L @ remove-dup-information/raw M)>

definition remove-dup-information where
  <remove-dup-information xs = un-hide-sd (remove-dup-information/raw xs)>

lemma [simp]: <the-search-depth (depth-lit-of L) = L>
  by (cases L) auto

lemma length-complete-trail[simp]: <length (complete-trail []) = length all-clauses-literals>
  unfolding complete-trail-def
  by (auto simp: sum-length-filter-compl)

lemma distinct-count-list-if: <distinct xs  $\Longrightarrow$  count-list xs x = (if x  $\in$  set xs then 1 else 0)>
  by (induction xs) auto

lemma length-complete-trail-Cons:
  <no-dup (K # M)  $\Longrightarrow$ 

```

```

length (complete-trail (K # M)) =
  (if atm-of (lit-of K) ∈ set all-clauses-literals then 0 else 1) + length (complete-trail M)›
unfolding complete-trail-def by auto

```

```

lemma length-complete-trail-eq:
  ‹no-dup M ⟹ atm-of ` (lits-of-l M) ⊆ set all-clauses-literals ⟹
  length (complete-trail M) = length all-clauses-literals›
  by (induction M rule: ann-lit-list-induct) (auto simp: length-complete-trail-Cons)

```

```

lemma in-set-all-clauses-literals-simp[simp]:
  ‹atm-of L ∈ Σ - ΔΣ ⟹ atm-of L ∈ set all-clauses-literals›
  ‹K ∈ ΔΣ ⟹ replacement-pos K ∈ set all-clauses-literals›
  ‹K ∈ ΔΣ ⟹ replacement-neg K ∈ set all-clauses-literals›
  by (auto simp: all-clauses-literals)

```

```

lemma [simp]:
  ‹remove-dup-information [] = []›
  by (auto simp: remove-dup-information-def)

```

```

lemma atm-of-remove-dup-information:
  ‹atm-of ` (lits-of-l M) ⊆ set all-clauses-literals ⟹
  atm-of ` (lits-of-l (remove-dup-information M)) ⊆ set all-clauses-literals›
  unfolding remove-dup-information-def
  apply (induction M rule: ann-lit-list-induct)
  apply (auto simp: Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l lits-of-def image-image)
  done

```

```

primrec remove-dup-information-raw2 :: ‹('v, -) ann-lits ⇒ ('v, -) ann-lits ⇒
  ('v, -) ann-lit search-depth list› where
  ‹remove-dup-information-raw2 M' [] = []› |
  ‹remove-dup-information-raw2 M' (L # M) =
    (if atm-of (lit-of L) ∈ Σ - ΔΣ then depth-lit-of L # remove-dup-information-raw2 M' M
     else if defined-lit (M @ M') (Pos (opposite-var (atm-of (lit-of L)))) then if Decided (Pos (opposite-var (atm-of (lit-of L)))) ∈ set (M @ M')
      then remove-dup-information-raw2 M' M
      else depth-lit-of-additional-fst L # remove-dup-information-raw2 M' M
     else depth-lit-of-additional-snd L @ remove-dup-information-raw2 M' M)›

```

```

lemma remove-dup-information-raw2-Nil[simp]:
  ‹remove-dup-information-raw2 [] M = remove-dup-information-raw M›
  by (induction M) auto

```

This can be useful as simp, but I am not certain (yet), because the RHS does not look simpler than the LHS.

```

lemma remove-dup-information-raw-cons:
  ‹remove-dup-information-raw (L # M2) =
  remove-dup-information-raw2 M2 [L] @
  remove-dup-information-raw M2›
  by (auto simp: defined-lit-append)

```

```

lemma remove-dup-information-raw-append:
  ‹remove-dup-information-raw (M1 @ M2) =
  remove-dup-information-raw2 M2 M1 @
  remove-dup-information-raw M2›

```

```

by (induction M1)
  (auto simp: defined-lit-append)

```

```

lemma remove-dup-information-raw-append2:
  ⟨remove-dup-information-raw2 M (M1 @ M2) =
    remove-dup-information-raw2 (M @ M2) M1 @
    remove-dup-information-raw2 M M2⟩
by (induction M1)
  (auto simp: defined-lit-append)

```

```

lemma remove-dup-information-subset: ⟨mset (remove-dup-information M) ⊆# mset M⟩
  unfolding remove-dup-information-def
  apply (induction M rule: ann-lit-list-induct) apply auto
  apply (metis add-mset-remove-trivial diff-subset-eq-self subset-mset.dual-order.trans) +
  done

```

```

lemma no-dup-subsetD: ⟨no-dup M ⟹ mset M' ⊆# mset M ⟹ no-dup M'⟩
  unfolding no-dup-def distinct-mset-mset-distinct[symmetric] mset-map
  apply (drule image-mset-subseteq-mono[of _ -> atm-of o lit-of])
  apply (drule distinct-mset-mono)
  apply auto
  done

```

```

lemma no-dup-remove-dup-information:
  ⟨no-dup M ⟹ no-dup (remove-dup-information M)⟩
  using no-dup-subsetD[OF - remove-dup-information-subset] by blast

```

```

lemma atm-of-complete-trail:
  ⟨atm-of ` (lits-of-l M) ⊆ set all-clauses-literals ⟹
    atm-of ` (lits-of-l (complete-trail M)) = set all-clauses-literals⟩
  unfolding complete-trail-def by (auto simp: lits-of-def image-image image-Un defined-lit-map)

```

```

lemmas [simp del] =
  remove-dup-information-raw.simps
  remove-dup-information-raw2.simps

```

```

lemmas [simp] =
  remove-dup-information-raw-append
  remove-dup-information-raw-cons
  remove-dup-information-raw-append2

```

```

definition truncate-trail :: ⟨('v, -) ann-lits ⇒ -> where
  ⟨truncate-trail M ≡
    (snd (backtrack-split M))⟩

```

```

definition ocdcl-score :: ⟨('v, -) ann-lits ⇒ -> where
  ⟨ocdcl-score M =
    rev (map nat-of-search-deph (remove-dup-information-raw (complete-trail (truncate-trail M))))⟩

```

```

interpretation enc-weight-opt: conflict-driven-clause-learningW-optimal-weight where
  state-eq = state-eq and
  state = state and
  trail = trail and

```

```

init-clss = init-clss and
learned-clss = learned-clss and
conflicting = conflicting and
cons-trail = cons-trail and
tl-trail = tl-trail and
add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
remove-cls = remove-cls and
update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
init-state = init-state and
 $\varrho = \varrho_e$  and
update-additional-info = update-additional-info
apply unfold-locales
subgoal by (rule  $\varrho_e$ -mono)
subgoal using update-additional-info by fast
subgoal using weight-init-state by fast
done

```

lemma

```

⟨(a, b) ∈ lexn less-than n ⟹ (b, c) ∈ lexn less-than n ∨ b = c ⟹ (a, c) ∈ lexn less-than n⟩
⟨(a, b) ∈ lexn less-than n ⟹ (b, c) ∈ lexn less-than n ∨ b = c ⟹ (a, c) ∈ lexn less-than n⟩
apply (auto intro: )
apply (meson lexn-transI trans-def trans-less-than)+
done

```

lemma truncate-trail-Prop[simp]:

```

⟨truncate-trail (Propagated L E # S) = truncate-trail (S)⟩
by (auto simp: truncate-trail-def)

```

lemma ocdcl-score-Prop[simp]:

```

⟨ocdcl-score (Propagated L E # S) = ocdcl-score (S)⟩
by (auto simp: ocdcl-score-def truncate-trail-def)

```

lemma remove-dup-information-raw2-undefined- Σ :

```

⟨distinct xs ⟹
(\ $\bigwedge L. L \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{undefined-lit } M (\text{Pos } L) \implies L \in \Sigma \implies \text{undefined-lit } MM (\text{Pos } L)$ ) ⟹
remove-dup-information-raw2 MM
(map (Decided  $\circ$  Pos)
(filter (unset-literals-in- $\Sigma$  M)
xs)) =
map (SD-TWO  $\circ$  Decided  $\circ$  Pos)
(filter (unset-literals-in- $\Sigma$  M)
xs)⟩
by (induction xs)
(auto simp: remove-dup-information-raw2.simps
unset-literals-in- $\Sigma$ -def)

```

lemma defined-lit-map-Decided-pos:

```

⟨defined-lit (map (Decided  $\circ$  Pos) M) L  $\longleftrightarrow$  atm-of L  $\in$  set M⟩
by (induction M) (auto simp: defined-lit-cons)

```

lemma remove-dup-information-raw2-full-undefined- Σ :

```

⟨distinct xs ⟹ set xs ⊆ set all-clauses-literals ⟹
(\ $\bigwedge L. L \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{undefined-lit } M (\text{Pos } L) \implies L \notin \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma \implies
\text{undefined-lit } M (\text{Pos } (\text{opposite-var } L)) \implies L \in \text{replacement-pos } ' \Delta\Sigma \implies
\text{undefined-lit } MM (\text{Pos } (\text{opposite-var } L)) \implies
\text{remove-dup-information-raw2 } MM$ )⟩

```

```

(map (Decided ∘ Pos)
  (filter (full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ M)
    xs)) =
map (SD-ONE o Decided ∘ Pos)
  (filter (full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ M)
    xs)›
unfolding all-clauses-literals
apply (induction xs)
subgoal
  by (simp-all add: remove-dup-information-raw2.simps)
subgoal premises p for L xs
  using p(1–3) p(4)[of L] p(4)
  by (clar simp simp add: remove-dup-information-raw2.simps
    defined-lit-map-Decided-pos
    full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ-def defined-lit-append)
done

lemma full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ-notin[simp]:
⟨La ∈ Σ ⟹ full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ M La ⟷ False⟩
⟨La ∈ Σ ⟹ full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ' M La ⟷ False⟩
apply (metis (mono-tags) full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ-def
  image-iff new-vars-pos)
by (simp add: full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ'-def image-iff)

lemma Decided-in-definedD: ⟨Decided K ∈ set M ⟹ defined-lit M K⟩
by (simp add: defined-lit-def)

lemma full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ'-full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ:
⟨L ∈ replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ ∪ replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ ⟹
  full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ' M (opposite-var L) ⟷ full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ M L⟩
by (auto simp: full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ'-def full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ-def
  opposite-var-def)

lemma remove-dup-information-raw2-full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ':
⟨(∀L. L ∈ set (filter (full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ' M) xs) ⟹ Decided (Pos (opposite-var L)) ∈ set M') ⟹
  set xs ⊆ set all-clauses-literals ⟹
  (remove-dup-information-raw2
    M'
    (map (Decided ∘ Pos)
      (filter (full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ' (M))
        xs))) = []›
supply [[goals-limit=1]]
apply (induction xs)
subgoal by (auto simp: remove-dup-information-raw2.simps)
subgoal premises p for L xs
  using p
  by (force simp add: remove-dup-information-raw2.simps
    full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ'-full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ
    all-clauses-literals
    defined-lit-map-Decided-pos defined-lit-append image-iff
    dest: Decided-in-definedD)
done

lemma
fixes M :: ⟨('v, -) ann-lits⟩ and L :: ⟨('v, -) ann-lit⟩

```

```

defines < $n1 \equiv \text{map } \text{nat-of-search-deph} (\text{remove-dup-information-raw} (\text{complete-trail} (L \# M)))\rangle$  and
  < $n2 \equiv \text{map } \text{nat-of-search-deph} (\text{remove-dup-information-raw} (\text{complete-trail} M))\rangle$ 
assumes
  lits: < $\text{atm-of} ' (\text{lits-of-l} (L \# M)) \subseteq \text{set all-clauses-literals}$ > and
  undef: < $\text{undefined-lit} M (\text{lit-of} L)$ >
shows
  < $(\text{rev} n1, \text{rev} n2) \in \text{lexn less-than} n \vee n1 = n2$ >
proof –
  show ?thesis
    using lits
    apply (auto simp: n1-def n2-def complete-trail-def prepend-same-lexn)
    apply (auto simp: sorted-unadded-literals-def
      remove-dup-information-raw2.simps all-clauses-literals(2) defined-lit-map-Decided-pos
      remove-dup-information-raw2-undefined-Σ)
  subgoal
    apply (subst remove-dup-information-raw2-undefined-Σ)
    apply (simp-all add: all-clauses-literals(2) defined-lit-map-Decided-pos
      remove-dup-information-raw2-undefined-Σ)
    apply (subst remove-dup-information-raw2-full-undefined-Σ)
    apply (auto simp: all-clauses-literals(2))
    apply (subst remove-dup-information-raw2-full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ')
    apply (auto simp: full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ'-full-unset-literals-in-ΔΣ)[])
oops
lemma
defines < $n \equiv \text{card } \Sigma$ >
assumes
  < $\text{init-clss} S = \text{penc } N$ > and
  < $\text{enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy} S T$ > and
  struct: < $\text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.\text{cdcl}_W\text{-all-struct-inv}$  (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)> and
  smaller-propa: < $\text{no-smaller-propa} S$ > and
  smaller-confl: < $\text{cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv} S$ >
shows < $(\text{ocdcl-score} (\text{trail} T), \text{ocdcl-score} (\text{trail} S)) \in \text{lexn less-than} n \vee$ 
   $\text{ocdcl-score} (\text{trail} T) = \text{ocdcl-score} (\text{trail} S)$ >
using assms(3)
proof (cases)
  case cdcl-bnb-conflict
  then show ?thesis by (auto elim!: rulesE)
next
  case cdcl-bnb-propagate
  then show ?thesis
  by (auto elim!: rulesE)
next
  case cdcl-bnb-improve
  then show ?thesis
  by (auto elim!: enc-weight-opt.improveE)
next
  case cdcl-bnb-conflict-opt
  then show ?thesis
  by (auto elim!: enc-weight-opt.conflict-optE)
next
  case cdcl-bnb-other'
  then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case bj
  then show ?thesis
proof cases

```

```

case skip
then show ?thesis by (auto elim!: rulesE)
next
  case resolve
  then show ?thesis by (cases ‹trail S›) (auto elim!: rulesE)
next
  case backtrack
  then obtain M1 M2 :: ‹('v, 'v clause) ann-lits› and K L :: ‹'v literal› and
    D D' :: ‹'v clause› where
      conflict: ‹conflicting S = Some (add-mset L D)› and
      decomp: ‹(Decided K # M1, M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))› and
      ‹get-maximum-level (trail S) (add-mset L D') = local.backtrack-lvl S› and
      ‹get-level (trail S) L = local.backtrack-lvl S› and
      lev-K: ‹get-level (trail S) K = Suc (get-maximum-level (trail S) D')› and
      D'-D: ‹D' ⊆# D› and
      ‹set-mset (clauses S) ∪ set-mset (enc-weight-opt.conflicting-clss S) ⊨p
        add-mset L D'› and
      T: ‹T ~
        cons-trail (Propagated L (add-mset L D'))
        (reduce-trail-to M1
          (add-learned-cls (add-mset L D') (update-conflicting None S)))
        by (auto simp: enc-weight-opt.οbacktrack.simps)
      have
        tr-D: ‹trail S ⊨as CNot (add-mset L D)› and
        ‹distinct-mset (add-mset L D)› and
        ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv (abs-state S)› and
        n-d: ‹no-dup (trail S)›
        using struct conf
      unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
        cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
        cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def
        cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
      by auto
        have tr-D': ‹trail S ⊨as CNot (add-mset L D')›
        using D'-D tr-D
      by (auto simp: true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model)
        have ‹trail S ⊨as CNot D' ⟹ trail S ⊨as CNot (normalize2 D')›
        if ‹get-maximum-level (trail S) D' < backtrack-lvl S›
        for D'
      oops
    end

```

interpretation enc-weight-opt: conflict-driven-clause-learning_W-optimal-weight **where**

- state-eq* = state-eq **and**
- state* = state **and**
- trail* = trail **and**
- init-clss* = init-clss **and**
- learned-clss* = learned-clss **and**
- conflicting* = conflicting **and**
- cons-trail* = cons-trail **and**
- tl-trail* = tl-trail **and**
- add-learned-cls* = add-learned-cls **and**
- remove-cls* = remove-cls **and**
- update-conflicting* = update-conflicting **and**

```

init-state = init-state and
 $\varrho = \varrho_e$  and
update-additional-info = update-additional-info
apply unfold-locales
subgoal by (rule  $\varrho_e$ -mono)
subgoal using update-additional-info by fast
subgoal using weight-init-state by fast
done

inductive simple-backtrack-conflict-opt ::  $\langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  where
   $\langle \text{simple-backtrack-conflict-opt } S \ T \rangle$ 
  if
     $\langle \text{backtrack-split } (\text{trail } S) = (M2, \text{Decided } K \ # \ M1) \rangle$  and
     $\langle \text{negate-ann-lits } (\text{trail } S) \in \# \text{enc-weight-opt.conflicting-clss } S \rangle$  and
     $\langle \text{conflicting } S = \text{None} \rangle$  and
     $\langle T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Propagated } (-K) (\text{DECO-clause } (\text{trail } S)))$ 
       $(\text{add-learned-cls } (\text{DECO-clause } (\text{trail } S)) (\text{reduce-trail-to } M1 \ S)) \rangle$ 

inductive-cases simple-backtrack-conflict-optE:  $\langle \text{simple-backtrack-conflict-opt } S \ T \rangle$ 

lemma simple-backtrack-conflict-opt-conflict-analysis:
  assumes  $\langle \text{simple-backtrack-conflict-opt } S \ U \rangle$  and
  inv:  $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-all-struct-inv } (\text{enc-weight-opt.abs-state } S) \rangle$ 
  shows  $\exists T \ T'. \text{enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt } S \ T \wedge \text{resolve}^{**} \ T \ T'$ 
     $\wedge \text{enc-weight-opt.owbacktrack } T' \ U$ 
  using assms
  proof (cases rule: simple-backtrack-conflict-opt.cases)
    case (1 M2 K M1)
      have tr:  $\langle \text{trail } S = M2 @ \text{Decided } K \ # \ M1 \rangle$ 
        using 1 backtrack-split-list-eq[of  $\langle \text{trail } S \rangle$ ]
        by auto
      let ?S =  $\langle \text{update-conflicting } (\text{Some } (\text{negate-ann-lits } (\text{trail } S))) \ S \rangle$ 
      have  $\langle \text{enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt } S \ ?S \rangle$ 
        by (rule enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt.intros[OF 1(2,3)]) auto

      let ?T =  $\langle \lambda n. \text{update-conflicting}$ 
         $(\text{Some } (\text{negate-ann-lits } (\text{drop } n (\text{trail } S))))$ 
         $(\text{reduce-trail-to } (\text{drop } n (\text{trail } S)) \ S) \rangle$ 
      have proped-M2:  $\langle \text{is-proped } (M2 ! n) \rangle$  if  $\langle n < \text{length } M2 \rangle$  for n
        using that 1(1) nth-length-takeWhile[of  $\langle \text{Not } \circ \text{is-decided} \rangle \langle \text{trail } S \rangle$ ]
        length-takeWhile-le[of  $\langle \text{Not } \circ \text{is-decided} \rangle \langle \text{trail } S \rangle$ ]
        unfolding backtrack-split-takeWhile-dropWhile
        apply auto
        by (metis annotated-lit.exhaust-disc comp-apply nth-mem set-takeWhileD)
      have is-dec-M2[simp]:  $\langle \text{filter-mset } \text{is-decided } (\text{mset } M2) = \{\#\} \rangle$ 
        using 1(1) nth-length-takeWhile[of  $\langle \text{Not } \circ \text{is-decided} \rangle \langle \text{trail } S \rangle$ ]
        length-takeWhile-le[of  $\langle \text{Not } \circ \text{is-decided} \rangle \langle \text{trail } S \rangle$ ]
        unfolding backtrack-split-takeWhile-dropWhile
        apply (auto simp: filter-mset-empty-conv)
        by (metis annotated-lit.exhaust-disc comp-apply nth-mem set-takeWhileD)
      have n-d:  $\langle \text{no-dup } (\text{trail } S) \rangle$  and
        le:  $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-conflicting } (\text{enc-weight-opt.abs-state } S) \rangle$  and
        dist:  $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.distinct-cdcl_W\text{-state } (\text{enc-weight-opt.abs-state } S) \rangle$  and
        decomp-imp:  $\langle \text{all-decomposition-implies-m } (\text{clauses } S + (\text{enc-weight-opt.conflicting-clss } S))$ 
           $(\text{get-all-ann-decomposition } (\text{trail } S)) \rangle$  and
        learned:  $\langle \text{cdcl}_W\text{-restart-mset}.cdcl_W\text{-learned-clause } (\text{enc-weight-opt.abs-state } S) \rangle$ 

```

```

using inv
unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
by auto
then have [simp]: ‹K ≠ lit-of (M2 ! n)› if ‹n < length M2› for n
  using that unfolding tr
  by (auto simp: defined-lit-nth)
have n-d-n: ‹no-dup (drop n M2 @ Decided K # M1)› for n
  using n-d unfolding tr
  by (subst (asm) append-take-drop-id[symmetric, of - n])
    (auto simp del: append-take-drop-id dest: no-dup-appendD)
have mark-dist: ‹distinct-mset (mark-of (M2!n))› if ‹n < length M2› for n
  using dist that proped-M2[OF that] nth-mem[OF that]
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def tr
  by (cases ‹M2!n›) (auto simp: tr)

have [simp]: ‹undefined-lit (drop n M2) K› for n
  using n-d defined-lit-mono[of ‹drop n M2› K M2]
  unfolding tr
  by (auto simp: set-drop-subset)
from this[of 0] have [simp]: ‹undefined-lit M2 K›
  by auto
have [simp]: ‹count-decided (drop n M2) = 0› for n
  apply (subst count-decided-0-iff)
  using 1(1) nth-length-takeWhile[of ‹Not o is-decided› ‹trail S›]
  length-takeWhile-le[of ‹Not o is-decided› ‹trail S›]
  unfolding backtrack-split-takeWhile-dropWhile
  by (auto simp: dest!: in-set-dropD set-takeWhileD)
from this[of 0] have [simp]: ‹count-decided M2 = 0› by simp
have proped: ‹ $\bigwedge L$  mark a b.
  a @ Propagated L mark # b = trail S  $\longrightarrow$ 
  b  $\models$  as CNot (remove1-mset L mark)  $\wedge$  L  $\in$  mark›
  using le
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
  by auto
have mark: ‹drop (Suc n) M2 @ Decided K # M1  $\models$  as
  CNot (mark-of (M2 ! n) – unmark (M2 ! n))  $\wedge$ 
  lit-of (M2 ! n)  $\in$  mark-of (M2 ! n)›
  if ‹n < length M2› for n
  using proped-M2[OF that] that
    append-take-drop-id[of n M2, unfolded Cons-nth-drop-Suc[OF that, symmetric]]
    proped[of ‹take n M2› ‹lit-of (M2 ! n)› ‹mark-of (M2 ! n)›
    ‹drop (Suc n) M2 @ Decided K # M1›]
    unfolding tr by (cases ‹M2!n›) auto
have confl: ‹enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt S ?S›
  by (rule enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt.intros) (use 1 in auto)
have res: ‹resolve** ?S (?T n)› if ‹n ≤ length M2› for n
  using that unfolding tr
proof (induction n)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    using get-all-ann-decomposition-backtrack-split[THEN iffD1, OF 1(1)]
    1
    by (cases ‹get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S)›) (auto simp: tr)
next
  case (Suc n)

```

```

have [simp]:  $\neg Suc(\text{length } M2 - \text{Suc } n) < \text{length } M2 \longleftrightarrow n = 0$ 
  using Suc(2) by auto
have [simp]:  $\langle \text{reduce-trail-to}(\text{drop}(Suc 0) M2 @ \text{Decided } K \# M1) S = \text{tl-trail } S \rangle$ 
  apply (subst reduce-trail-to.simps)
  using Suc by (auto simp: tr)
have [simp]:  $\langle \text{reduce-trail-to}(M2 ! 0 \# \text{drop}(Suc 0) M2 @ \text{Decided } K \# M1) S = S \rangle$ 
  apply (subst reduce-trail-to.simps)
  using Suc by (auto simp: tr)
have [simp]:  $\langle (Suc(\text{length } M1) - (\text{length } M2 - n + (Suc(\text{length } M1) - (n - \text{length } M2)))) = 0 \rangle$ 
   $\langle (Suc(\text{length } M2 + \text{length } M1) - (\text{length } M2 - n + (Suc(\text{length } M1) - (n - \text{length } M2)))) = n \rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{length } M2 - n + (Suc(\text{length } M1) - (n - \text{length } M2)) = Suc(\text{length } M2 + \text{length } M1) - n \rangle$ 
  using Suc by auto
have [symmetric,simp]:  $\langle M2 ! n = \text{Propagated}(\text{lit-of}(M2 ! n))(\text{mark-of}(M2 ! n)) \rangle$ 
  using Suc proped-M2[of n]
  by (cases M2 ! n) (auto simp: tr trail-reduce-trail-to-drop hd-drop-conv-nth
    intro!: resolve.intros)
have  $\langle -\text{lit-of}(M2 ! n) \in \# \text{negate-ann-lits}(\text{drop } n M2 @ \text{Decided } K \# M1) \rangle$ 
  using Suc in-set-dropI[of n] map (uminus o lit-of) M2 n
  by (simp add: negate-ann-lits-def comp-def drop-map
    del: nth-mem)
moreover have  $\langle \text{get-maximum-level}(\text{drop } n M2 @ \text{Decided } K \# M1)$ 
   $(\text{remove1-mset}(-\text{lit-of}(M2 ! n))(\text{negate-ann-lits}(\text{drop } n M2 @ \text{Decided } K \# M1))) =$ 
   $Suc(\text{count-decided } M1) \rangle$ 
  using Suc(2) count-decided-ge-get-maximum-level[of drop n M2 @ Decided K # M1]
   $\langle (\text{remove1-mset}(-\text{lit-of}(M2 ! n))(\text{negate-ann-lits}(\text{drop } n M2 @ \text{Decided } K \# M1))) \rangle$ 
  by (auto simp: negate-ann-lits-def tr max-def ac-simps
    remove1-mset-add-mset-If get-maximum-level-add-mset
    split: if-splits)
moreover have  $\langle \text{lit-of}(M2 ! n) \in \# \text{mark-of}(M2 ! n) \rangle$ 
  using mark[of n] Suc by auto
moreover have  $\langle (\text{remove1-mset}(-\text{lit-of}(M2 ! n))$ 
   $(\text{negate-ann-lits}(\text{drop } n M2 @ \text{Decided } K \# M1)) \cup \#$ 
   $(\text{mark-of}(M2 ! n) - \text{unmark}(M2 ! n)) = \text{negate-ann-lits}(\text{drop}(Suc n)(\text{trail } S)) \rangle$ 
  apply (rule distinct-set-mset-eq)
using n-d-n[of n] n-d-n[of Suc n] no-dup-distinct-mset[OF n-d-n[of n]] Suc
  mark[of n] mark-dist[of n]
  by (auto simp: tr Cons-nth-drop-Suc[symmetric, of n]
    entails-CNot-negate-ann-lits
    dest: in-diffD intro: distinct-mset-minus)
moreover { have 1:  $\langle \text{tl-trail}$ 
   $(\text{reduce-trail-to}(\text{drop } n M2 @ \text{Decided } K \# M1) S) \sim$ 
   $(\text{reduce-trail-to}(\text{drop}(Suc n) M2 @ \text{Decided } K \# M1) S) \rangle$ 
  apply (subst Cons-nth-drop-Suc[symmetric, of n M2])
  subgoal using Suc by (auto simp: tl-trail-update-conflicting)
  subgoal
    apply (rule state-eq-trans)
    apply simp
    apply (cases length(M2 ! n # drop(Suc n) M2 @ Decided K # M1) < length(trail S))
    apply (auto simp: tl-trail-reduce-trail-to-cons tr)
    done
  done
have update-conflicting
   $(\text{Some}(\text{negate-ann-lits}(\text{drop}(Suc n) M2 @ \text{Decided } K \# M1)))$ 
   $(\text{reduce-trail-to}(\text{drop}(Suc n) M2 @ \text{Decided } K \# M1) S) \sim$ 

```

```

update-conflicting
(Some (negate-ann-lits (drop (Suc n) M2 @ Decided K # M1)))
(tl-trail
  (update-conflicting (Some (negate-ann-lits (drop n M2 @ Decided K # M1)))
    (reduce-trail-to (drop n M2 @ Decided K # M1) S)))
  apply (rule state-eq-trans)
  prefer 2
  apply (rule update-conflicting-state-eq)
  apply (rule tl-trail-update-conflicting[THEN state-eq-sym[THEN iffD1]])
  apply (subst state-eq-sym)
  apply (subst update-conflicting-update-conflicting)
  apply (rule 1)
  by fast }
ultimately have <resolve (?T n) (?T (n+1))> apply -
apply (rule resolve.intros[of - <lit-of (M2 ! n)> <mark-of (M2 ! n)>])
using Suc
get-all-ann-decomposition-backtrack-split[THEN iffD1, OF 1(1)]
in-get-all-ann-decomposition-trail-update-trail[of <Decided K> M1 <M2> <S>]
by (auto simp: tr trail-reduce-trail-to-drop hd-drop-conv-nth
      intro!: resolve.intros intro: update-conflicting-state-eq)
then show ?case
  using Suc by (auto simp add: tr)
qed

have <get-maximum-level (Decided K # M1) (DECO-clause M1) = get-maximum-level M1 (DECO-clause M1)>
  by (rule get-maximum-level-cong)
    (use n-d in <auto simp: tr get-level-cons-if atm-of-eq-atm-of
      DECO-clause-def Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l lits-of-def>)
also have <... = count-decided M1>
  using n-d unfolding tr apply -
  apply (induction M1 rule: ann-lit-list-induct)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for L M1'
    apply (subgoal-tac <forall La in #DECO-clause M1'. get-level (Decided L # M1') La = get-level M1' La>)
    subgoal
      using count-decided-ge-get-maximum-level[of <M1'> <DECO-clause M1'>]
      get-maximum-level-cong[of <DECO-clause M1'> <Decided L # M1'> <M1'>]
      by (auto simp: get-maximum-level-add-mset tr atm-of-eq-atm-of
            max-def)
    subgoal
      by (auto simp: DECO-clause-def
            get-level-cons-if atm-of-eq-atm-of Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l
            lits-of-def)
    done
  subgoal for L C M1'
    apply (subgoal-tac <forall La in #DECO-clause M1'. get-level (Propagated L C # M1') La = get-level M1' La>)
    subgoal
      using count-decided-ge-get-maximum-level[of <M1'> <DECO-clause M1'>]
      get-maximum-level-cong[of <DECO-clause M1'> <Propagated L C # M1'> <M1'>]
      by (auto simp: get-maximum-level-add-mset tr atm-of-eq-atm-of
            max-def)
    subgoal
      by (auto simp: DECO-clause-def)

```

```

get-level-cons-if atm-of-eq-atm-of Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l
lits-of-def)

done
done
finally have max: <get-maximum-level (Decided K # M1) (DECO-clause M1) = count-decided M1>

have <trail S |=as CNot (negate-ann-lits (trail S))>
by (auto simp: true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model
negate-ann-lits-def lits-of-def)
then have <clauses S + (enc-weight-opt.conflicting-clss S) |=pm DECO-clause (trail S)>
unfolding DECO-clause-def apply -
apply (rule all-decomposition-implies-conflict-DECO-clause[OF decomp-imp,
of <negate-ann-lits (trail S)>])
using 1
by auto

have neg: <trail S |=as CNot (mset (map (uminus o lit-of) (trail S)))>
by (auto simp: true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model
lits-of-def)
have ent: <clauses S + enc-weight-opt.conflicting-clss S |=pm DECO-clause (trail S)>
unfolding DECO-clause-def
by (rule all-decomposition-implies-conflict-DECO-clause[OF decomp-imp,
of <mset (map (uminus o lit-of) (trail S))>])
(use neg 1 in <auto simp: negate-ann-lits-def,>)
have deco: <DECO-clause (M2 @ Decided K # M1) = add-mset (- K) (DECO-clause M1)>
by (auto simp: DECO-clause-def)
have eg: <reduce-trail-to M1 (reduce-trail-to (Decided K # M1) S) ~
reduce-trail-to M1 S>
apply (subst reduce-trail-to-compow-tl-trail-le)
apply (solves <auto simp: tr>)
apply (subst (3)reduce-trail-to-compow-tl-trail-le)
apply (solves <auto simp: tr>)
apply (auto simp: tr)
apply (cases <M2 = []>)
apply (auto simp: reduce-trail-to-compow-tl-trail-le reduce-trail-to-compow-tl-trail-eq tr)
done

have U: <cons-trail (Propagated (- K) (DECO-clause (M2 @ Decided K # M1)))
(add-learned-cls (DECO-clause (M2 @ Decided K # M1))
(reduce-trail-to M1 S)) ~
cons-trail (Propagated (- K) (add-mset (- K) (DECO-clause M1)))
(reduce-trail-to M1
(add-learned-cls (add-mset (- K) (DECO-clause M1)))
(update-conflicting None
(update-conflicting (Some (add-mset (- K) (negate-ann-lits M1)))
(reduce-trail-to (Decided K # M1) S))))>
unfolding deco
apply (rule cons-trail-state-eq)
apply (rule state-eq-trans)
prefer 2
apply (rule state-eq-sym[THEN iffD1])
apply (rule reduce-trail-to-add-learned-cls-state-eq)
apply (solves <auto simp: tr>)
apply (rule add-learned-cls-state-eq)
apply (rule state-eq-trans)
prefer 2

```

```

apply (rule state-eq-sym[THEN iffD1])
apply (rule reduce-trail-to-update-conflicting-state-eq)
apply (solves <auto simp: tr>)
apply (rule state-eq-trans)
prefer 2
apply (rule state-eq-sym[THEN iffD1])
apply (rule update-conflicting-state-eq)
apply (rule reduce-trail-to-update-conflicting-state-eq)
apply (solves <auto simp: tr>)
apply (rule state-eq-trans)
prefer 2
apply (rule state-eq-sym[THEN iffD1])
apply (rule update-conflicting-update-conflicting)
apply (rule eg)
apply (rule state-eq-trans)
prefer 2
apply (rule state-eq-sym[THEN iffD1])
apply (rule update-conflicting-itself)
by (use 1 in auto)

have bt: <enc-weight-opt.obacktrack (?T (length M2)) U>
apply (rule enc-weight-opt.obacktrack.intros[of - <-K> <negate-ann-lits M1> K M1 <[]>
<DECO-clause M1> <count-decided M1>])
subgoal by (auto simp: tr)
subgoal by (auto simp: tr)
subgoal by (auto simp: tr)
subgoal
  using count-decided-ge-get-maximum-level[of <Decided K # M1> <DECO-clause M1>]
  by (auto simp: tr get-maximum-level-add-mset max-def)
subgoal using max by (auto simp: tr)
subgoal by (auto simp: tr)
subgoal by (auto simp: DECO-clause-def negate-ann-lits-def
image-mset-subseteq-mono)
subgoal using ent by (auto simp: tr DECO-clause-def)
subgoal
  apply (rule state-eq-trans [OF 1(4)])
  using 1(4) U by (auto simp: tr)
done

show ?thesis
using confl res[of <length M2>, simplified] bt
by blast
qed

inductive conflict-opt0 :: <'st => 'st => bool> where
<conflict-opt0 S T>
if
<count-decided (trail S) = 0> and
<negate-ann-lits (trail S) ∈# enc-weight-opt.conflicting-clss S> and
<conflicting S = None> and
<T ~ update-conflicting (Some {#}) (reduce-trail-to ([] :: ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits) S)>

inductive-cases conflict-opt0E: <conflict-opt0 S T>

inductive cdcl-dpll-bnb-r :: <'st => 'st => bool> for S :: 'st where
cdcl-conflict: <conflict S S' ==> cdcl-dpll-bnb-r S S'> |

```

```

cdcl-propagate: <propagate S S' => cdcl-dpll-bnb-r S S'> |
cdcl-improve: <enc-weight-opt.improve S S' => cdcl-dpll-bnb-r S S'> |
cdcl-conflict-opt0: <conflict-opt0 S S' => cdcl-dpll-bnb-r S S'> |
cdcl-simple-backtrack-conflict-opt:
  <simple-backtrack-conflict-opt S S' => cdcl-dpll-bnb-r S S'> |
cdcl-o': <ocdclW-o-r S S' => cdcl-dpll-bnb-r S S'>

inductive cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy :: <'st => 'st => bool> for S :: 'st where
  cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-conflict: <conflict S S' => cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy S S'> |
  cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-propagate: <propagate S S' => cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy S S'> |
  cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-improve: <enc-weight-opt.improve S S' => cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy S S'> |
  cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-conflict-opt0: <conflict-opt0 S S' => cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy S S'> |
  cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-simple-backtrack-conflict-opt:
    <simple-backtrack-conflict-opt S S' => cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy S S'> |
  cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-other': <ocdclW-o-r S S' => no-confl-prop-impr S => cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy S S'>

lemma no-dup-dropI:
  <no-dup M => no-dup (drop n M)>
  by (cases <n < length M>) (auto simp: no-dup-def drop-map[symmetric])

lemma tranclp-resolve-state-eq-compatible:
  <resolve++ S T => T ~ T' => resolve++ S T'>
  apply (induction arbitrary: T' rule: tranclp-induct)
  apply (auto dest: resolve-state-eq-compatible)
  by (metis resolve-state-eq-compatible state-eq-ref tranclp-into-rtranclp tranclp-unfold-end)

lemma conflict-opt0-state-eq-compatible:
  <conflict-opt0 S T => S ~ S' => T ~ T' => conflict-opt0 S' T'>
  using state-eq-trans[of T' T]
  <update-conflicting (Some {#}) (reduce-trail-to ([]:(‘v,’v clause) ann-lits) S)>]
  using state-eq-trans[of T]
  <update-conflicting (Some {#}) (reduce-trail-to ([]:(‘v,’v clause) ann-lits) S)>
  <update-conflicting (Some {#}) (reduce-trail-to ([]:(‘v,’v clause) ann-lits) S')>]
  update-conflicting-state-eq[of S S' <Some {#}>]
  apply (auto simp: conflict-opt0.simps state-eq-sym)
  using reduce-trail-to-state-eq state-eq-trans update-conflicting-state-eq by blast

lemma conflict-opt0-conflict-opt:
  assumes <conflict-opt0 S U> and
    inv: <cdclW-restart-mset.ccdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)>
  shows <math>\exists T. \text{enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt } S T \wedge \text{resolve}^{**} T U>
proof –
  have
    1: <count-decided (trail S) = 0> and
    neg: <negate-ann-lits (trail S) ∈# enc-weight-opt.conflicting-clss S> and
    confl: <conflicting S = None> and
    U: <U ~ update-conflicting (Some {#}) (reduce-trail-to ([]:(‘v,’v clause) ann-lits) S)>
    using assms by (auto elim: conflict-opt0E)
  let ?T = <update-conflicting (Some (negate-ann-lits (trail S))) S>
  have confl: <enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt S ?T>
    using neg confl
    by (auto simp: enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt.simps)
  let ?T = <math>\lambda n. \text{update-conflicting} \\
    (\text{Some} (\text{negate-ann-lits} (\text{drop} n (\text{trail} S)))) \\
    (\text{reduce-trail-to} (\text{drop} n (\text{trail} S)) S)>
```

```

have proped-M2: ‹is-proped (trail S ! n)› if ‹n < length (trail S)› for n
  using 1 that by (auto simp: count-decided-0-iff is-decided-no-proped-iff)
have n-d: ‹no-dup (trail S)› and
  le: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)› and
  dist: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)› and
  decomp-imp: ‹all-decomposition-implies-m (clauses S + (enc-weight-opt.conflicting-clss S))›
    (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S)) and
  learned: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)›
  using inv
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
  by auto
have proped: ‹ $\bigwedge L \text{ mark } a \ b.$ 
  a @ Propagated L mark # b = trail S  $\longrightarrow$ 
  b |=as CNot (remove1-mset L mark)  $\wedge$  L ∈# mark›
  using le
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
  by auto
have [simp]: ‹count-decided (drop n (trail S)) = 0› for n
  using 1 unfolding count-decided-0-iff
  by (cases ‹n < length (trail S)›) (auto dest: in-set-dropD)
have [simp]: ‹get-maximum-level (drop n (trail S)) C = 0› for n C
  using count-decided-ge-get-maximum-level[of ‹drop n (trail S)› C]
  by auto
have mark-dist: ‹distinct-mset (mark-of (trail S!n))› if ‹n < length (trail S)› for n
  using dist that proped-M2[OF that] nth-mem[OF that]
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def
  by (cases ‹trail S!n›) auto

have res: ‹resolve (?T n) (?T (Suc n))› if ‹n < length (trail S)› for n
proof –
  define L and E where
    ‹L = lit-of (trail S ! n)› and
    ‹E = mark-of (trail S ! n)›
  have ‹hd (drop n (trail S)) = Propagated L E› and
    tr-Sn: ‹trail S ! n = Propagated L E›
  using proped-M2[OF that]
  by (cases ‹trail S ! n›; auto simp: that hd-drop-conv-nth L-def E-def; fail) +
  have ‹L ∈# E› and
    ent-E: ‹drop (Suc n) (trail S) |=as CNot (remove1-mset L E)›
  using proped[of ‹take n (trail S)› L E ‹drop (Suc n) (trail S)›]
    that unfolding tr-Sn[symmetric]
  by (auto simp: Cons-nth-drop-Suc)
  have 1: ‹negate-ann-lits (drop (Suc n) (trail S)) =
    (remove1-mset (– L) (negate-ann-lits (drop n (trail S)))) ∪#
    remove1-mset L E)›
  apply (subst distinct-set-mset-eq-iff[symmetric])
  subgoal
    using n-d by (auto simp: no-dup-dropI)
  subgoal
    using n-d mark-dist[OF that] unfolding tr-Sn
    by (auto intro: distinct-mset-mono no-dup-dropI
      intro!: distinct-mset-minus)
  subgoal
    using ent-E unfolding tr-Sn[symmetric]

```

```

by (auto simp: negate-ann-lits-def that
  Cons-nth-drop-Suc[symmetric] L-def lits-of-def
  true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model
  uminus-lit-swap
  dest!: multi-member-split)
done

have ⟨update-conflicting (Some (negate-ann-lits (drop (Suc n) (trail S))))⟩
  (reduce-trail-to (drop (Suc n) (trail S)) S) ~
  update-conflicting
  (Some
    (remove1-mset (– L) (negate-ann-lits (drop n (trail S))) ∪#
      remove1-mset L E))
  (tl-trail
    (update-conflicting (Some (negate-ann-lits (drop n (trail S))))))
    (reduce-trail-to (drop n (trail S)) S)))
unfolding 1[symmetric]
apply (rule state-eq-trans)
prefer 2
apply (rule state-eq-sym[THEN iffD1])
apply (rule update-conflicting-state-eq)
apply (rule tl-trail-update-conflicting)
apply (rule state-eq-trans)
prefer 2
apply (rule state-eq-sym[THEN iffD1])
apply (rule update-conflicting-update-conflicting)
apply (rule state-eq-ref)
apply (rule update-conflicting-state-eq)
using that
by (auto simp: reduce-trail-to-compow-tl-trail funpow-swap1)
moreover have ⟨L ∈# E⟩
  using proped[of ⟨take n (trail S)⟩ L E ⟨drop (Suc n) (trail S)⟩]
  that unfolding tr-Sn[symmetric]
  by (auto simp: Cons-nth-drop-Suc)
moreover have ← L ∈# negate-ann-lits (drop n (trail S))
  by (auto simp: negate-ann-lits-def L-def
    in-set-dropI that)
  term ⟨get-maximum-level (drop n (trail S))⟩
ultimately show ?thesis apply –
  by (rule resolve.intros[of - L E])
  (use that in ⟨auto simp: trail-reduce-trail-to-drop
    ⟨hd (drop n (trail S)) = Propagated L E⟩⟩)
qed
have ⟨resolve** (?T 0) (?T n)⟩ if ⟨n ≤ length (trail S)⟩ for n
  using that
  apply (induction n)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for n
    using res[of n] by auto
  done
from this[of ⟨length (trail S)⟩] have ⟨resolve** (?T 0) (?T (length (trail S)))⟩
  by auto
moreover have ⟨?T (length (trail S)) ~ U⟩
  apply (rule state-eq-trans)
  prefer 2 apply (rule state-eq-sym[THEN iffD1], rule U)
  by auto
moreover have False if ⟨(?T 0) = (?T (length (trail S)))⟩ and ⟨length (trail S) > 0⟩

```

```

using arg-cong[OF that(1), of conflicting] that(2)
by (auto simp: negate-ann-lits-def)
ultimately have <length (trail S) > 0  $\longrightarrow$  resolve** (?T 0) U
using tranclp-resolve-state-eq-compatible[of <?T 0>
  <?T (length (trail S))> U] by (subst (asm) rtranclp-unfold) auto
then have ?thesis if <length (trail S) > 0>
  using confl that by auto
moreover have ?thesis if <length (trail S) = 0>
  using that confl U
  enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt-state-eq-compatible[of S <(update-conflicting (Some {#}) S)> S U]
  by (auto simp: state-eq-sym)
ultimately show ?thesis
  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma backtrack-split-some-is-decided-then-snd-has-hd2:
   $\exists l \in \text{set } M. \text{is-decided } l \implies \exists M' L' M''. \text{backtrack-split } M = (M'', \text{Decided } L' \# M')$ 
  by (metis backtrack-split-snd-hd-decided backtrack-split-some-is-decided-then-snd-has-hd
    is-decided-def list.distinct(1) list.sel(1) snd-conv)

```

```

lemma no-step-conflict-opt0-simple-backtrack-conflict-opt:
  <no-step conflict-opt0 S  $\implies$  no-step simple-backtrack-conflict-opt S  $\implies$ 
  no-step enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt S>
using backtrack-split-some-is-decided-then-snd-has-hd2[of <trail S>]
  count-decided-0-iff[of <trail S>]
by (fastforce simp: conflict-opt0.simps simple-backtrack-conflict-opt.simps
  enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt.simps
  annotated-lit.is-decided-def)

```

```

lemma no-step-cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-cdcl-bnb-r:
  assumes <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)>
  shows
    <no-step cdcl-dpll-bnb-r S  $\longleftrightarrow$  no-step cdcl-bnb-r S> (is <?A  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?B>)
proof
  assume ?A
  show ?B
    using <?A> no-step-conflict-opt0-simple-backtrack-conflict-opt[of S]
    by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-r.simps
      cdcl-dpll-bnb-r.simps all-conj-distrib)
next
  assume ?B
  show ?A
    using <?B> simple-backtrack-conflict-opt-conflict-analysis[OF - assms]
    by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-r.simps cdcl-dpll-bnb-r.simps all-conj-distrib assms
      dest!: conflict-opt0-conflict-opt)
qed

```

```

lemma cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-cdcl-bnb-r:
  assumes <cdcl-dpll-bnb-r S T> and
    <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)>
  shows <cdcl-bnb-r** S T>
  using assms
proof (cases rule: cdcl-dpll-bnb-r.cases)
  case cdcl-simple-backtrack-conflict-opt
  then obtain S1 S2 where

```

```

⟨enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt S S1⟩
⟨resolve** S1 S2⟩ and
⟨enc-weight-opt.obacktrack S2 T⟩
using simple-backtrack-conflict-opt-conflict-analysis[OF - assms(2), of T]
by auto
then have ⟨cdcl-bnb-r S S1⟩
⟨cdcl-bnb-r** S1 S2⟩
⟨cdcl-bnb-r S2 T⟩
using mono-rtranclp[of resolve enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj]
mono-rtranclp[of enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj ocdclW-o-r]
mono-rtranclp[of ocdclW-o-r cdcl-bnb-r]
ocdclW-o-r.intros enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj.resolve
cdcl-bnb-r.intros
enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj.intros
by (auto 5 4 dest: cdcl-bnb-r.intros conflict-opt0-conflict-opt)
then show ?thesis
by auto
next
case cdcl-conflict-opt0
then obtain S1 where
⟨enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt S S1⟩
⟨resolve** S1 T⟩
using conflict-opt0-conflict-opt[OF - assms(2), of T]
by auto
then have ⟨cdcl-bnb-r S S1⟩
⟨cdcl-bnb-r** S1 T⟩
using mono-rtranclp[of resolve enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj]
mono-rtranclp[of enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj ocdclW-o-r]
mono-rtranclp[of ocdclW-o-r cdcl-bnb-r]
ocdclW-o-r.intros enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj.resolve
cdcl-bnb-r.intros
enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj.intros
by (auto 5 4 dest: cdcl-bnb-r.intros conflict-opt0-conflict-opt)
then show ?thesis
by auto
qed (auto 5 4 dest: cdcl-bnb-r.intros conflict-opt0-conflict-opt simp: assms)

```

lemma resolve-no-prop-confl: ⟨resolve S T ⟹ no-step propagate S ∧ no-step conflict S⟩
by (auto elim!: rulesE)

lemma cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-res:
⟨resolve S T ⟹ cdcl-bnb-r-stgy S T⟩
using enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj.resolve[of S T]
ocdclW-o-r.intros[of S T]
cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.intros[of S T]
resolve-no-prop-confl[of S T]
by (auto 5 4 dest: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.intros conflict-opt0-conflict-opt)

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-res:
⟨resolve** S T ⟹ cdcl-bnb-r-stgy** S T⟩
using mono-rtranclp[of resolve cdcl-bnb-r-stgy]
cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-res
by (auto)

lemma obacktrack-no-prop-confl: ⟨enc-weight-opt.obacktrack S T ⟹ no-step propagate S ∧ no-step conflict S⟩

```

by (auto elim!: rulesE enc-weight-opt.oBacktrackE)

lemma cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-bt:
  ‹enc-weight-opt.oBacktrack S T ⟹ cdcl-bnb-r-stgy S T›
  using enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj.backtrack[of S T]
  ocdclW-o-r.intros[of S T]
  cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.intros[of S T]
  obacktrack-no-prop-confl[of S T]
  by (auto 5 4 dest: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.intros conflict-opt0-conflict-opt)

lemma cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy:
  assumes ‹cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy S T› and
    ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)›
  shows ‹cdcl-bnb-r-stgy** S T›
  using assms
proof (cases rule: cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy.cases)
  case cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-simple-backtrack-conflict-opt
  then obtain S1 S2 where
    ‹enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt S S1›
    ‹resolve** S1 S2› and
    ‹enc-weight-opt.oBacktrack S2 T›
    using simple-backtrack-conflict-opt-conflict-analysis[OF - assms(2), of T]
    by auto
  then have ‹cdcl-bnb-r-stgy S S1›
    ‹cdcl-bnb-r-stgy** S1 S2›
    ‹cdcl-bnb-r-stgy S2 T›
    using enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj.resolve
    by (auto dest: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.intros conflict-opt0-conflict-opt
      rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-res cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-bt)
  then show ?thesis
    by auto
next
  case cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-conflict-opt0
  then obtain S1 where
    ‹enc-weight-opt.conflict-opt S S1›
    ‹resolve** S1 T›
    using conflict-opt0-conflict-opt[OF - assms(2), of T]
    by auto
  then have ‹cdcl-bnb-r-stgy S S1›
    ‹cdcl-bnb-r-stgy** S1 T›
    using enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj.resolve
    by (auto dest: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.intros conflict-opt0-conflict-opt
      rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-res cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-bt)
  then show ?thesis
    by auto
qed (auto 5 4 dest: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.intros conflict-opt0-conflict-opt)

lemma cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r:
  ‹cdcl-bnb-r-stgy S T ⟹ cdcl-bnb-r S T›
  by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.simps cdcl-bnb-r.simps)

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r:
  ‹cdcl-bnb-r-stgy** S T ⟹ cdcl-bnb-r** S T›
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  (auto dest: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r)

```

```

context
fixes S :: 'st
assumes S- $\Sigma$ :  $\langle \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{init-clss } S) = \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-pos} ' \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-neg} ' \Delta\Sigma \rangle$ 
begin
lemma cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-all-struct-inv:
  ‹cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy S T ⟹
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S) ⟹
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state T)›
  using cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy[of S T]
  rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-all-struct-inv[OF S- $\Sigma$ ]
  rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r[of S T]
  by auto

end

lemma cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy:
  ‹cdcl-bnb-r-stgy S T ⟹ ∃ T. cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy S T›
  by (meson cdcl-bnb-r-stgy.simps cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-conflict cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-conflict-opt0
    cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-other' cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-propagate cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-simple-backtrack-conflict-opt
    cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy.intros(3) no-step-conflict-opt0-simple-backtrack-conflict-opt)

context
fixes S :: 'st
assumes S- $\Sigma$ :  $\langle \text{atms-of-mm} (\text{init-clss } S) = \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-pos} ' \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-neg} ' \Delta\Sigma \rangle$ 
begin

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r:
  assumes ‹cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy** S T› and
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)›
  shows ‹cdcl-bnb-r-stgy** S T›
  using assms
  apply (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for T U
    using cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy[of T U]
    rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-all-struct-inv[OF S- $\Sigma$ , of T]
    rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r[of S T]
  by auto
  done

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-all-struct-inv:
  ‹cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy** S T ⟹
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S) ⟹
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state T)›
  using rtranclp-cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r[of T]
  rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-all-struct-inv[OF S- $\Sigma$ , of T]
  rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r[of S T]
  by auto

lemma full-cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-full-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy:
  assumes ‹full cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy S T› and
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)›
  shows ‹full cdcl-bnb-r-stgy S T›
  using no-step-cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-cdcl-bnb-r[of T]
  rtranclp-cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r[of T]
  rtranclp-cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-all-struct-inv[of T] assms

```

```

rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r[of S T]
by (auto simp: full-def
dest: cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-bnb-r cdcl-bnb-r-stgy-cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy)

end

lemma replace-pos-neg-not-both-decided-highest-lvl:
assumes
struct: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)> and
smaller-propa: <no-smaller-propa S> and
smaller-confl: <no-smaller-confl S> and
dec0: <Pos (A→0) ∈ lits-of-l (trail S)> and
dec1: <Pos (A→1) ∈ lits-of-l (trail S)> and
add: <additional-constraints ⊆# init-clss S> and
[simp]: <A ∈ ΔΣ>
shows <get-level (trail S) (Pos (A→0)) = backtrack-lvl S ∧
      get-level (trail S) (Pos (A→1)) = backtrack-lvl S>
proof (rule ccontr)
assume neg: <¬?thesis>
let ?L0 = <get-level (trail S) (Pos (A→0))>
let ?L1 = <get-level (trail S) (Pos (A→1))>
define KL where <KL = (if ?L0 > ?L1 then (Pos (A→1)) else (Pos (A→0)))>
define KL' where <KL' = (if ?L0 > ?L1 then (Pos (A→0)) else (Pos (A→1)))>
then have <get-level (trail S) KL < backtrack-lvl S> and
le: <?L0 < backtrack-lvl S ∨ ?L1 < backtrack-lvl S>
  <?L0 ≤ backtrack-lvl S ∧ ?L1 ≤ backtrack-lvl S>
using neg count-decided-ge-get-level[of <trail S> <Pos (A→0)>
  count-decided-ge-get-level[of <trail S> <Pos (A→1)>]
unfolding KL-def
by force+
have <KL ∈ lits-of-l (trail S)>
using dec1 dec0 by (auto simp: KL-def)
have add: <additional-constraint A ⊆# init-clss S>
using add multi-member-split[of A <mset-set ΔΣ>] by (auto simp: additional-constraints-def
subset-mset.dual-order.trans)
have n-d: <no-dup (trail S)>
using struct unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
by auto
have H: <¬(M K M' D L)>.
  trail S = M' @ Decided K # M ==>
  D + {#L#} ∈# additional-constraint A ==> undefined-lit M L ==> ¬ M |=as CNot D> and
H': <¬(M K M' D L)>.
  trail S = M' @ Decided K # M ==>
  D ∈# additional-constraint A ==> ¬ M |=as CNot D>
using smaller-propa add smaller-confl unfolding no-smaller-propa-def no-smaller-confl-def clauses-def
by auto

have L1-L0: <?L1 = ?L0>
proof (rule ccontr)
assume neq: <?L1 ≠ ?L0>
define i where <i ≡ min ?L1 ?L0>
obtain K M1 M2 where
decomp: <(Decided K # M1, M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))> and

```

```

⟨get-level (trail S) K = Suc i⟩
using backtrack-ex-decomp[OF n-d, of i] neq le
by (cases ⟨?L1 < ?L0⟩) (auto simp: min-def i-def)
have ⟨get-level (trail S) KL ≤ i⟩ and ⟨get-level (trail S) KL' > i⟩
  using neg neq le by (auto simp: KL-def KL'-def i-def)
then have ⟨undefined-lit M1 KL'⟩
  using n-d decomp ⟨get-level (trail S) K = Suc i⟩
    count-decided-ge-get-level[of ⟨M1⟩ KL']
  by (force dest!: get-all-ann-decomposition-exists-prepend
      simp: get-level-append-if get-level-cons-if atm-of-eq-atm-of
      dest: defined-lit-no-dupD
      split: if-splits)
moreover have ⟨{#-KL', -KL#} ∈# additional-constraint A⟩
  using neq by (auto simp: additional-constraint-def KL-def KL'-def)
moreover have ⟨KL ∈ lits-of-l M1⟩
  using ⟨get-level (trail S) KL ≤ i⟩ ⟨get-level (trail S) K = Suc i⟩
  n-d decomp ⟨KL ∈ lits-of-l (trail S)⟩
    count-decided-ge-get-level[of ⟨M1⟩ KL]
  by (auto dest!: get-all-ann-decomposition-exists-prepend
      simp: get-level-append-if get-level-cons-if atm-of-eq-atm-of
      dest: defined-lit-no-dupD in-lits-of-l-defined-litD
      split: if-splits)
ultimately show False
  using H[of - K M1 ⟨{#-KL#}⟩ ⟨-KL'⟩] decomp
  by force
qed

```

```

obtain K M1 M2 where
  decomp: ⟨(Decided K # M1, M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))⟩ and
  lev-K: ⟨get-level (trail S) K = Suc ?L1⟩
  using backtrack-ex-decomp[OF n-d, of ?L1] le
  by (cases ⟨?L1 < ?L0⟩) (auto simp: min-def L1-L0)
then obtain M3 where
  M3: ⟨trail S = M3 @ Decided K # M1⟩
  by auto
then have [simp]: ⟨undefined-lit M3 (Pos (A→1))⟩ ⟨undefined-lit M3 (Pos (A→0))⟩
  by (solves ⟨use n-d L1-L0 lev-K M3 in auto⟩)
    (solves ⟨use n-d L1-L0[symmetric] lev-K M3 in auto⟩)
then have [simp]: ⟨Pos (A→0) ∉ lits-of-l M3⟩ ⟨Pos (A→1) ∉ lits-of-l M3⟩
  using Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l by blast+
have ⟨Pos (A→1) ∈ lits-of-l M1⟩ ⟨Pos (A→0) ∈ lits-of-l M1⟩
  using n-d L1-L0 lev-K dec0 dec1 Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l
  by (auto dest!: get-all-ann-decomposition-exists-prepend
      simp: M3 get-level-cons-if
      split: if-splits)
then show False
  using H'[of M3 K M1 ⟨{#Neg (A→0), Neg (A→1)#}⟩]
  by (auto simp: additional-constraint-def M3)
qed

```

```

lemma cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-clauses-mono:
  ⟨cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy S T ⟹ clauses S ⊆# clauses T⟩
  by (cases rule: cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy.cases, assumption)
    (auto elim!: rulesE obacktrackE enc-weight-opt.improveE
      conflict-opt0E simple-backtrack-conflict-optE odecideE

```

```

enc-weight-opt.obacktrackE
  simp: ocdclW-o-r.simps enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj.simps)

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-clauses-mono:
  ‹cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy** S T ⟹ clauses S ⊆# clauses T›
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct) (auto dest!: cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-clauses-mono)

lemma cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-init-clss-eq:
  ‹cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy S T ⟹ init-clss S = init-clss T›
  by (cases rule: cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy.cases, assumption)
    (auto elim!: rulesE obacktrackE enc-weight-opt.improveE
      conflict-opt0E simple-backtrack-conflict-optE odecideE
      enc-weight-opt.obacktrackE
      simp: ocdclW-o-r.simps enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-bj.simps)

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-init-clss-eq:
  ‹cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy** S T ⟹ init-clss S = init-clss T›
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct) (auto dest!: cdcl-dpll-bnb-r-stgy-init-clss-eq)

context
  fixes S :: 'st and N :: \'v clauses›
  assumes S-Σ: ‹init-clss S = penc N›
begin

lemma replacement-pos-neg-defined-same-lvl:
  assumes
    struct: ‹cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)› and
    A: ‹A ∈ ΔΣ› and
    lev: ‹get-level (trail S) (Pos (replacement-pos A)) < backtrack-lvl S› and
    smaller-propa: ‹no-smaller-propa S› and
    smaller-confl: ‹cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S›
  shows
    ‹Pos (replacement-pos A) ∈ lits-of-l (trail S) ⟹
      Neg (replacement-neg A) ∈ lits-of-l (trail S)›

proof –
  have n-d: ‹no-dup (trail S)›
  using struct
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
  by auto
  have H: ‹bigcap M K M' D L. trail S = M' @ Decided K # M ⟹
    D + {#L#} ∈# additional-constraint A ⟹ undefined-lit M L ⟹ ¬ M |=as CNot D› and
  H': ‹bigcap M K M' D L. trail S = M' @ Decided K # M ⟹
    D ∈# additional-constraint A ⟹ ¬ M |=as CNot D›
  using smaller-propa S-Σ A smaller-confl unfolding no-smaller-propa-def clauses-def penc-def
    additional-constraints-def cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def no-smaller-confl-def by fastforce+

  show ‹Neg (replacement-neg A) ∈ lits-of-l (trail S)›
  if Pos: ‹Pos (replacement-pos A) ∈ lits-of-l (trail S)›
  proof –
    obtain M1 M2 K where
      ‹trail S = M2 @ Decided K # M1› and
      ‹Pos (replacement-pos A) ∈ lits-of-l M1›

```

```

using lev n-d Pos by (force dest!: split-list elim!: is-decided-ex-Decided
  simp: lits-of-def count-decided-def filter-empty-conv)
then show Neg (replacement-neg A) ∈ lits-of-l (trail S),
  using H[of M2 K M1 ‘{#Neg (replacement-pos A)}’ ‘Neg (replacement-neg A)’]
  H'[of M2 K M1 ‘{#Neg (replacement-pos A), Neg (replacement-neg A)}’]
by (auto simp: additional-constraint-def Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l)
qed
qed

```

lemma replacement-pos-neg-defined-same-lvl':

assumes

```

  struct: ‘cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)’ and
  A: ‘A ∈ ΔΣ’ and
  lev: ‘get-level (trail S) (Pos (replacement-neg A)) < backtrack-lvl S’ and
  smaller-propa: ‘no-smaller-propa S’ and
  smaller-confl: ‘cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S’

```

shows

```

  ‘Pos (replacement-neg A) ∈ lits-of-l (trail S)’ ==>
  Neg (replacement-pos A) ∈ lits-of-l (trail S)

```

proof –

have n-d: ‘no-dup (trail S)’

using struct

unfolding cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-all-struct-inv-def
 cdcl_W-restart-mset.cdcl_W-M-level-inv-def

by auto

have H: ‘ $\bigwedge M K M' D L$.

trail S = M' @ Decided K # M ==>

D + {#L#} ∈# additional-constraint A ==> undefined-lit M L ==> ¬ M |=as CNot D **and**
 H': ‘ $\bigwedge M K M' D L$.

trail S = M' @ Decided K # M ==>

D ∈# additional-constraint A ==> ¬ M |=as CNot D

using smaller-propa S-Σ A smaller-confl **unfolding** no-smaller-propa-def clauses-def penc-def
 additional-constraints-def cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def no-smaller-confl-def **by** fastforce+

show Neg (replacement-pos A) ∈ lits-of-l (trail S)

if Pos: ‘Pos (replacement-neg A) ∈ lits-of-l (trail S)’

proof –

obtain M1 M2 K **where**

‘trail S = M2 @ Decided K # M1’ **and**

‘Pos (replacement-neg A) ∈ lits-of-l M1’

using lev n-d Pos **by** (force dest!: split-list elim!: is-decided-ex-Decided

simp: lits-of-def count-decided-def filter-empty-conv)

then show Neg (replacement-pos A) ∈ lits-of-l (trail S)

using H[of M2 K M1 ‘{#Neg (replacement-neg A)}’ ‘Neg (replacement-pos A)’]

H'[of M2 K M1 ‘{#Neg (replacement-neg A), Neg (replacement-pos A)}’]

by (auto simp: additional-constraint-def Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l)

qed

qed

end

definition all-new-literals :: ‘v list’ **where**

‘all-new-literals = (SOME xs. mset xs = mset-set (replacement-neg ‘ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ‘ΔΣ))’

```

lemma set-all-new-literals[simp]:
  ‹set all-new-literals = (replacement-neg ` ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ` ΔΣ)›
  using finite-Σ apply (simp add: all-new-literals-def)
  apply (metis (mono-tags) ex-mset finite-Un finite-Σ finite-imageI finite-set-mset-set set-mset-mset
someI)
  done

```

This function is basically resolving the clause with all the additional clauses $\{\#Neg(L^{\rightarrow 1}), Neg(L^{\rightarrow 0})\}\#$.

```

fun resolve-with-all-new-literals :: ‹'v clause ⇒ 'v list ⇒ 'v clause› where
  ‹resolve-with-all-new-literals C [] = C› |
  ‹resolve-with-all-new-literals C (L # Ls) =
    remdups-mset (resolve-with-all-new-literals (if Pos L ∈# C then add-mset (Neg (opposite-var L))
(removeAll-mset (Pos L) C) else C) Ls)›

```

abbreviation normalize2 **where**

```
⟨normalize2 C ≡ resolve-with-all-new-literals C all-new-literals⟩
```

```

lemma Neg-in-normalize2[simp]: ‹Neg L ∈# C ⇒ Neg L ∈# resolve-with-all-new-literals C xs›
  by (induction arbitrary: C rule: resolve-with-all-new-literals.induct) auto

```

```

lemma Pos-in-normalize2D[dest]: ‹Pos L ∈# resolve-with-all-new-literals C xs ⇒ Pos L ∈# C›
  by (induction arbitrary: C rule: resolve-with-all-new-literals.induct) (force split: if-splits)+

```

lemma opposite-var-involutive[simp]:

```
⟨L ∈ (replacement-neg ` ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ` ΔΣ) ⇒ opposite-var (opposite-var L) = L›
  by (auto simp: opposite-var-def)
```

lemma Neg-in-resolve-with-all-new-literals-Pos-notin:

```

  ‹L ∈ (replacement-neg ` ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ` ΔΣ) ⇒ set xs ⊆ (replacement-neg ` ΔΣ ∪ replace-
ment-pos ` ΔΣ) ⇒
    Pos (opposite-var L) ≠# C ⇒ Neg L ∈# resolve-with-all-new-literals C xs ↔ Neg L ∈# C›
  apply (induction arbitrary: C rule: resolve-with-all-new-literals.induct)
  apply clarsimp+
  subgoal premises p
    using p(2-)
    by (auto simp del: Neg-in-normalize2 simp: eq-commute[of - ⟨opposite-var -⟩])
  done

```

lemma Pos-in-normalize2-Neg-notin[simp]:

```

  ‹L ∈ (replacement-neg ` ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ` ΔΣ) ⇒
    Pos (opposite-var L) ≠# C ⇒ Neg L ∈# normalize2 C ↔ Neg L ∈# C›
  by (rule Neg-in-resolve-with-all-new-literals-Pos-notin) (auto)

```

lemma all-negation-deleted:

```

  ‹L ∈ set all-new-literals ⇒ Pos L ≠# normalize2 C›
  apply (induction arbitrary: C rule: resolve-with-all-new-literals.induct)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by (auto split: if-splits)
  done

```

lemma Pos-in-resolve-with-all-new-literals-iff-already-in-or-negation-in:

```

  ‹L ∈ set all-new-literals ⇒ set xs ⊆ (replacement-neg ` ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ` ΔΣ) ⇒ Neg L ∈#
  resolve-with-all-new-literals C xs⇒›

```

```

Neg L ∈# C ∨ Pos (opposite-var L) ∈# C
apply (induction arbitrary: C rule: resolve-with-all-new-literals.induct)
subgoal by auto
subgoal premises p for C La Ls Ca
  using p
  by (auto split: if-splits dest: simp: Neg-in-resolve-with-all-new-literals-Pos-notin)
done

```

```

lemma Pos-in-normalize2-iff-already-in-or-negation-in:
  ⟨L ∈ set all-new-literals ⇒ Neg L ∈# normalize2 C ⇒
   Neg L ∈# C ∨ Pos (opposite-var L) ∈# C⟩
using Pos-in-resolve-with-all-new-literals-iff-already-in-or-negation-in[of L <all-new-literals> C]
by auto

```

This proof makes it hard to measure progress because I currently do not see a way to distinguish between *add-mset* ($A^{\rightarrow 1}$) C and *add-mset* ($A^{\rightarrow 1}$) (*add-mset* ($A^{\rightarrow 0}$) C).

```

lemma
  assumes
    ⟨enc-weight-opt.cdcl-bnb-stgy S T⟩ and
    struct: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (enc-weight-opt.abs-state S)⟩ and
    dist: ⟨distinct-mset (normalize-clause '# learned-clss S)⟩ and
    smaller-propa: ⟨no-smaller-propa S⟩ and
    smaller-confl: ⟨cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S⟩
  shows ⟨distinct-mset (remdups-mset (normalize2 '# learned-clss T))⟩
  using assms(1)
proof (cases)
  case cdcl-bnb-conflict
  then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim!: rulesE)
next
  case cdcl-bnb-propagate
  then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim!: rulesE)
next
  case cdcl-bnb-improve
  then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim!: enc-weight-opt.improveE)
next
  case cdcl-bnb-conflict-opt
  then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim!: enc-weight-opt.conflict-optE)
next
  case cdcl-bnb-other'
  then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case decide
  then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim!: rulesE)
next
  case bj
  then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case skip
  then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim!: rulesE)
next
  case resolve
  then show ?thesis using dist by (auto elim!: rulesE)
next
  case backtrack
  then obtain M1 M2 :: ⟨('v, 'v clause) ann-lits⟩ and K L :: ⟨'v literal⟩ and
    D D' :: ⟨'v clause⟩ where

```

```

confl: ⟨conflicting S = Some (add-mset L D)⟩ and
decomp: ⟨(Decided K # M1, M2) ∈ set (get-all-ann-decomposition (trail S))⟩ and
⟨get-maximum-level (trail S) (add-mset L D') = local.backtrack-lvl S⟩ and
⟨get-level (trail S) L = local.backtrack-lvl S⟩ and
lev-K: ⟨get-level (trail S) K = Suc (get-maximum-level (trail S) D')⟩ and
D'-D: ⟨D' ⊆# D⟩ and
⟨set-mset (clauses S) ∪ set-mset (enc-weight-opt.conflicting-clss S) ⊨p
 add-mset L D'⟩ and
T: ⟨T ~
  cons-trail (Propagated L (add-mset L D'))
  (reduce-trail-to M1
    (add-learned-cls (add-mset L D') (update-conflicting None S)))
    by (auto simp: enc-weight-opt.οbacktrack.simps)
  have
    tr-D: ⟨trail S ⊨as CNot (add-mset L D)⟩ and
    ⟨distinct-mset (add-mset L D)⟩ and
    ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv (abs-state S)⟩ and
  n-d: ⟨no-dup (trail S)⟩
    using struct confl
unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def
  cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
by auto
  have tr-D': ⟨trail S ⊨as CNot (add-mset L D')⟩
    using D'-D tr-D
  by (auto simp: true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model)
    have ⟨trail S ⊨as CNot D' ⟹ trail S ⊨as CNot (normalize2 D')⟩
      if ⟨get-maximum-level (trail S) D' < backtrack-lvl S⟩
      for D'
    oops
  find-theorems get-level Pos Neg

end

end
theory CDCL-W-Covering-Models
  imports CDCL-W-Optimal-Model
begin

```

0.2 Covering Models

I am only interested in the extension of CDCL to find covering mdoels, not in the required subsequent extraction of the minimal covering models.

type-synonym 'v cov = ⟨'v literal multiset multiset⟩

lemma true-clss-cls-in-susbssuming:
$$\langle C' \subseteq# C \implies C' \in N \implies N \models_p C \rangle$$
by (metis subset-mset.le-iff-add true-clss-cls-in true-clss-cls-mono-r)

locale covering-models =
 fixes
 $\varrho :: ('v \Rightarrow bool)$

```

begin

definition model-is-dominated ::  $\langle 'v \text{ literal multiset} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal multiset} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  where
 $\langle \text{model-is-dominated } M M' \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{filter-mset } (\lambda L. \text{is-pos } L \wedge \varrho(\text{atm-of } L)) M \subseteq \# \text{ filter-mset } (\lambda L. \text{is-pos } L \wedge \varrho(\text{atm-of } L)) M' \rangle$ 

lemma model-is-dominated-refl:  $\langle \text{model-is-dominated } I I \rangle$ 
by (auto simp: model-is-dominated-def)

lemma model-is-dominated-trans:
 $\langle \text{model-is-dominated } I J \implies \text{model-is-dominated } J K \implies \text{model-is-dominated } I K \rangle$ 
by (auto simp: model-is-dominated-def)

definition is-dominating ::  $\langle 'v \text{ literal multiset multiset} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ literal multiset} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  where
 $\langle \text{is-dominating } \mathcal{M} I \longleftrightarrow (\exists M \in \# \mathcal{M}. \exists J. I \subseteq \# J \wedge \text{model-is-dominated } J M) \rangle$ 

lemma
  is-dominating-in:
     $\langle I \in \# \mathcal{M} \implies \text{is-dominating } \mathcal{M} I \rangle$  and
  is-dominating-mono:
     $\langle \text{is-dominating } \mathcal{M} I \implies \text{set-mset } \mathcal{M} \subseteq \text{set-mset } \mathcal{M}' \implies \text{is-dominating } \mathcal{M}' I \rangle$  and
  is-dominating-mono-model:
     $\langle \text{is-dominating } \mathcal{M} I \implies I' \subseteq \# I \implies \text{is-dominating } \mathcal{M} I' \rangle$ 
  using multiset-filter-mono[of  $I' I \langle \lambda L. \text{is-pos } L \wedge \varrho(\text{atm-of } L) \rangle$ ]
  by (auto 5 5 simp: is-dominating-def model-is-dominated-def
        dest!: multi-member-split)

lemma is-dominating-add-mset:
 $\langle \text{is-dominating } (\text{add-mset } x \mathcal{M}) I \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{is-dominating } \mathcal{M} I \vee (\exists J. I \subseteq \# J \wedge \text{model-is-dominated } J x) \rangle$ 
by (auto simp: is-dominating-def)

definition is-improving-int
  ::  $\langle ('v, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow ('v, 'v \text{ clause}) \text{ ann-lits} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ cov} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ 
where
 $\langle \text{is-improving-int } M M' N \mathcal{M} \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $M = M' \wedge (\forall I \in \# \mathcal{M}. \neg \text{model-is-dominated } (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M) I) \wedge$ 
 $\text{total-over-m } (\text{lits-of-l } M) (\text{set-mset } N) \wedge$ 
 $\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M \in \text{simple-clss } (\text{atms-of-mm } N) \wedge$ 
 $\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M \notin \# \mathcal{M} \wedge$ 
 $M \models_{\text{asm}} N \wedge$ 
 $\text{no-dup } M \rangle$ 

```

This criteria is a bit more general than Weidenbach's version.

```

abbreviation conflicting-clauses-ent where
 $\langle \text{conflicting-clauses-ent } N \mathcal{M} \equiv$ 
 $\{\# p\text{Neg } \{\# L \in \# x. \varrho(\text{atm-of } L)\}\}.$ 
 $x \in \# \text{ filter-mset } (\lambda x. \text{is-dominating } \mathcal{M} x \wedge \text{atms-of } x = \text{atms-of-mm } N)$ 
 $(\text{mset-set } (\text{simple-clss } (\text{atms-of-mm } N)))\# \} + N \rangle$ 

```

```

definition conflicting-clauses
  ::  $\langle 'v \text{ clauses} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ cov} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ clauses} \rangle$ 
where
 $\langle \text{conflicting-clauses } N \mathcal{M} =$ 
 $\{\# C \in \# \text{ mset-set } (\text{simple-clss } (\text{atms-of-mm } N)).$ 
 $\text{conflicting-clauses-ent } N \mathcal{M} \models_{\text{pm}} C \#\} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma conflicting-clauses-insert:
  assumes <M ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm N)> and <atms-of M = atms-of-mm N>
  shows <pNeg M ∈# conflicting-clauses N (add-mset M w)>
  using assms true-clss-cls-in-susbssuming[of <pNeg {#L ∈# M. ρ (atm-of L) #}>]
    <pNeg M> <set-mset (conflicting-clauses-ent N (add-mset M w))>
    is-dominating-in
  by (auto simp: conflicting-clauses-def simple-clss-finite
    pNeg-def image-mset-subseteq-mono)

lemma is-dominating-in-conflicting-clauses:
  assumes <is-dominating M I> and
    atm: <atms-of-s (set-mset I) = atms-of-mm N> and
    <set-mset I ⊨ m N> and
    <consistent-interp (set-mset I)> and
    <¬tautology I> and
    <distinct-mset I>
  shows <pNeg I ∈# conflicting-clauses N M>
proof -
  have simpI: <I ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm N)>
  using assms by (auto simp: simple-clss-def atms-of-s-def atms-of-def)
  obtain I' J where <J ∈# M> and <model-is-dominated I' J> and <I ⊆# I'>
  using assms(1) unfolding is-dominating-def
  by auto
  then have <I ∈ {x ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm N).
    (is-dominating A x ∨ (∃ Ja. x ⊆# Ja ∧ model-is-dominated Ja J)) ∧
    atms-of x = atms-of-mm N}>
  using assms(1) atm
  by (auto simp: conflicting-clauses-def simple-clss-finite simpI atms-of-def
    pNeg-mono true-clss-cls-in-susbssuming is-dominating-add-mset atms-of-s-def
    dest!: multi-member-split)
  then show ?thesis
  using assms(1)
  by (auto simp: conflicting-clauses-def simple-clss-finite simpI
    pNeg-mono is-dominating-add-mset
    dest!: multi-member-split
    intro!: true-clss-cls-in-susbssuming[of <(λx. pNeg {#L ∈# x. ρ (atm-of L) #}) I>])
qed

end

locale conflict-driven-clause-learningW-covering-models =
  conflict-driven-clause-learningW
  state-eq
  state
  — functions for the state:
  — access functions:
  trail init-clss learned-clss conflicting
  — changing state:
  cons-trail tl-trail add-learned-cls remove-cls
  update-conflicting
  — get state:
  init-state +
  covering-models ρ
  for

```

```

state-eq :: <'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool> (infix ∼ 50) and
state :: 'st ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits × 'v clauses × 'v clauses × 'v clause option ×
    'v cov × 'b and
trail :: <'st ⇒ ('v, 'v clause) ann-lits> and
init-clss :: <'st ⇒ 'v clauses> and
learned-clss :: <'st ⇒ 'v clauses> and
conflicting :: <'st ⇒ 'v clause option> and

cons-trail :: <('v, 'v clause) ann-lit ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> and
tl-trail :: <'st ⇒ 'st> and
add-learned-cls :: <'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> and
remove-cls :: <'v clause ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> and
update-conflicting :: <'v clause option ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> and
init-state :: <'v clauses ⇒ 'st> and
ρ :: <'v ⇒ bool> +
fixes
    update-additional-info :: <'v cov × 'b ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st>
assumes
    update-additional-info:
        <state S = (M, N, U, C, M) ⇒ state (update-additional-info K' S) = (M, N, U, C, K')> and
        weight-init-state:
            <∀N :: 'v clauses. fst (additional-info (init-state N)) = {#}>
begin

definition update-weight-information :: <('v, 'v clause) ann-lits ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> where
    <update-weight-information M S =
        update-additional-info (add-mset (lit-of '# mset M) (fst (additional-info S)), snd (additional-info S)) S>

lemma
    trail-update-additional-info[simp]: <trail (update-additional-info w S) = trail S> and
    init-clss-update-additional-info[simp]:
        <init-clss (update-additional-info w S) = init-clss S> and
    learned-clss-update-additional-info[simp]:
        <learned-clss (update-additional-info w S) = learned-clss S> and
    backtrack-lvl-update-additional-info[simp]:
        <backtrack-lvl (update-additional-info w S) = backtrack-lvl S> and
    conflicting-update-additional-info[simp]:
        <conflicting (update-additional-info w S) = conflicting S> and
    clauses-update-additional-info[simp]:
        <clauses (update-additional-info w S) = clauses S>
using update-additional-info[of S] unfolding clauses-def
by (subst (asm) state-prop; subst (asm) state-prop; auto; fail)+

lemma
    trail-update-weight-information[simp]:
        <trail (update-weight-information w S) = trail S> and
    init-clss-update-weight-information[simp]:
        <init-clss (update-weight-information w S) = init-clss S> and
    learned-clss-update-weight-information[simp]:
        <learned-clss (update-weight-information w S) = learned-clss S> and
    backtrack-lvl-update-weight-information[simp]:
        <backtrack-lvl (update-weight-information w S) = backtrack-lvl S> and
    conflicting-update-weight-information[simp]:
        <conflicting (update-weight-information w S) = conflicting S> and
    clauses-update-weight-information[simp]:

```

```

⟨clauses (update-weight-information w S) = clauses S⟩
using update-additional-info[of S] unfolding update-weight-information-def by auto

```

```

definition covering :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'v cov⟩ where
⟨covering S = fst (additional-info S)⟩

```

lemma

```

additional-info-update-additional-info[simp]:
⟨additional-info (update-additional-info w S) = w⟩
unfolding additional-info-def using update-additional-info[of S]
by (cases ⟨state S⟩; auto; fail)+
```

lemma

```

covering-cons-trail2[simp]: ⟨covering (cons-trail L S) = covering S⟩ and
clss-tl-trail2[simp]: ⟨covering (tl-trail S) = covering S⟩ and
covering-add-learned-cls-unfolded:
⟨covering (add-learned-cls U S) = covering S⟩
and
covering-update-conflicting2[simp]: ⟨covering (update-conflicting D S) = covering S⟩ and
covering-remove-cls2[simp]:
⟨covering (remove-cls C S) = covering S⟩ and
covering-add-learned-cls2[simp]:
⟨covering (add-learned-cls C S) = covering S⟩ and
covering-update-covering-information2[simp]:
⟨covering (update-weight-information M S) = add-mset (lit-of '# mset M) (covering S)⟩
by (auto simp: update-weight-information-def covering-def)
```

sublocale conflict-driven-clause-learning_W **where**

```

state-eq = state-eq and
state = state and
trail = trail and
init-clss = init-clss and
learned-clss = learned-clss and
conflicting = conflicting and
cons-trail = cons-trail and
tl-trail = tl-trail and
add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
remove-cls = remove-cls and
update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
init-state = init-state
by unfold-locales
```

sublocale conflict-driven-clause-learning-with-adding-init-clause-bnb_W-no-state

```

where
state = state and
trail = trail and
init-clss = init-clss and
learned-clss = learned-clss and
conflicting = conflicting and
cons-trail = cons-trail and
tl-trail = tl-trail and
add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
remove-cls = remove-cls and
update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
```

```

init-state = init-state and
weight = covering and
update-weight-information = update-weight-information and
is-improving-int = is-improving-int and
conflicting-clauses = conflicting-clauses
by unfold-locales

lemma state-additional-info2':
⟨state S = (trail S, init-clss S, learned-clss S, conflicting S, covering S, additional-info' S)⟩
unfolding additional-info'-def by (cases ⟨state S⟩; auto simp: state-prop covering-def)

lemma state-update-weight-information:
⟨state S = (M, N, U, C, w, other) ⟹
  ∃ w'. state (update-weight-information T S) = (M, N, U, C, w', other)⟩
unfolding update-weight-information-def by (cases ⟨state S⟩; auto simp: state-prop covering-def)

lemma conflicting-clss-incl-init-clss:
⟨atms-of-mm (conflicting-clss S) ⊆ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)⟩
unfolding conflicting-clss-def conflicting-clauses-def
apply (auto simp: simple-clss-finite)
by (auto simp: simple-clss-def atms-of-ms-def split: if-splits)

lemma conflict-clss-update-weight-no-alien:
⟨atms-of-mm (conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M S))
  ⊆ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)⟩
by (auto simp: conflicting-clss-def conflicting-clauses-def atms-of-ms-def
  cdclW-restart-mset-state simple-clss-finite
  dest: simple-clssE)

lemma distinct-mset-mset-conflicting-clss2: ⟨distinct-mset-mset (conflicting-clss S)⟩
unfolding conflicting-clss-def conflicting-clauses-def distinct-mset-set-def
apply (auto simp: simple-clss-finite)
by (auto simp: simple-clss-def)

lemma total-over-m-atms-incl:
assumes ⟨total-over-m M (set-mset N)⟩
shows
  ⟨x ∈ atms-of-mm N ⟹ x ∈ atms-of-s M⟩
by (meson assms contra-subsetD total-over-m-alt-def)

lemma negate-ann-lits-simple-clss-iff[iff]:
⟨negate-ann-lits M ∈ simple-clss N ⟷ lit-of ‘# mset M ∈ simple-clss N’⟩
unfolding negate-ann-lits-def
by (subst uminus-simple-clss-iff[symmetric]) auto

lemma conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in2:
assumes ⟨is-improving M M' S⟩
shows ⟨negate-ann-lits M' ∈# conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M' S)⟩
proof –
have
  [simp]: ⟨M' = M⟩ and
  ⟨∀ I ∈ #covering S. ¬ model-is-dominated (lit-of ‘# mset M) I⟩ and
  tot: ⟨total-over-m (lits-of-l M) (set-mset (init-clss S))⟩ and

```

```

simpI: ⟨lit-of '# mset M ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))⟩ and
⟨lit-of '# mset M ∉# covering S⟩ and
⟨no-dup M⟩ and
⟨M ⊨asm init-clss S⟩
using assms unfolding is-improving-int-def by auto
have ⟨pNeg {#L ∈# lit-of '# mset M. ρ (atm-of L) #} ∈ (λx. pNeg {#L ∈# x. ρ (atm-of L) #}) ‘ {x ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S)). is-dominating (add-mset (lit-of '# mset M) (covering S)) x}⟩
using is-dominating-in[of ⟨lit-of '# mset M⟩ ⟨add-mset (lit-of '# mset M) (covering S)⟩]
by (auto simp: simple-clss-finite multiset-filter-mono2 pNeg-mono
conflicting-clauses-def conflicting-clss-def is-improving-int-def
simpI)
moreover have ⟨atms-of (lit-of '# mset M) = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)⟩
using tot simpI
by (auto simp: simple-clss-finite multiset-filter-mono2 pNeg-mono
conflicting-clauses-def conflicting-clss-def is-improving-int-def
total-over-m-alt-def atms-of-s-def lits-of-def image-image atms-of-def
simple-clss-def)
ultimately have ⟨(∃x. x ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S)) ∧
is-dominating (add-mset (lit-of '# mset M) (covering S)) x ∧
atms-of x = atms-of-mm (init-clss S) ∧
pNeg {#L ∈# lit-of '# mset M. ρ (atm-of L) #} =
pNeg {#L ∈# x. ρ (atm-of L) #})⟩
by (auto intro: exI[of - ⟨lit-of '# mset M⟩] simp add: simpI is-dominating-in)
then show ?thesis
using is-dominating-in
true-clss-cls-in-susbsuming[of ⟨pNeg {#L ∈# lit-of '# mset M. ρ (atm-of L) #}⟩
⟨pNeg (lit-of '# mset M)⟩ ⟨set-mset (conflicting-clauses-ent
(init-clss S) (covering (update-weight-information M' S)))⟩]
by (auto simp: simple-clss-finite multiset-filter-mono2 simpI
conflicting-clauses-def conflicting-clss-def pNeg-mono
negate-ann-lits-pNeg-lit-of image-iff image-mset-subseteq-mono)
qed

```

```

lemma is-improving-conflicting-clss-update-weight-information: ⟨is-improving M M' S ==>
conflicting-clss S ⊆# conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M' S)⟩
by (auto simp: is-improving-int-def conflicting-clss-def conflicting-clauses-def
simp: multiset-filter-mono2 le-less true-clss-cls-tautology-iff simple-clss-finite
is-dominating-add-mset filter-disj-eq image-Un
intro!: image-mset-subseteq-mono
intro: true-clss-cls-subsetI
dest: simple-clssE
split: enat.splits)

```

```

sublocale stateW-no-state
where
state = state and
trail = trail and
init-clss = init-clss and
learned-clss = learned-clss and
conflicting = conflicting and
cons-trail = cons-trail and
tl-trail = tl-trail and
add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
remove-cls = remove-cls and

```

```

update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
init-state = init-state
by unfold-locales

```

```

sublocale stateW-no-state where
  state-eq = state-eq and
  state = state and
  trail = trail and
  init-clss = init-clss and
  learned-clss = learned-clss and
  conflicting = conflicting and
  cons-trail = cons-trail and
  tl-trail = tl-trail and
  add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
  remove-cls = remove-cls and
  update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
  init-state = init-state
by unfold-locales

```

```

sublocale conflict-driven-clause-learningW where
  state-eq = state-eq and
  state = state and
  trail = trail and
  init-clss = init-clss and
  learned-clss = learned-clss and
  conflicting = conflicting and
  cons-trail = cons-trail and
  tl-trail = tl-trail and
  add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
  remove-cls = remove-cls and
  update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
  init-state = init-state
by unfold-locales

```

```

sublocale conflict-driven-clause-learning-with-adding-init-clause-bnbW-ops
  where
    state = state and
    trail = trail and
    init-clss = init-clss and
    learned-clss = learned-clss and
    conflicting = conflicting and
    cons-trail = cons-trail and
    tl-trail = tl-trail and
    add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
    remove-cls = remove-cls and
    update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
    init-state = init-state and
    weight = covering and
    update-weight-information = update-weight-information and
    is-improving-int = is-improving-int and
    conflicting-clauses = conflicting-clauses
  apply unfold-locales
  subgoal by (rule state-additional-info2')
  subgoal by (rule state-update-weight-information)
  subgoal by (rule conflicting-clss-incl-init-clss)
  subgoal by (rule distinct-mset-mset-conflicting-clss2)

```

```

subgoal by (rule is-improving-conflicting-clss-update-weight-information)
subgoal by (rule conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in2)
done

definition covering-simple-clss where
  ‹covering-simple-clss  $N S \longleftrightarrow (\text{set-mset}(\text{covering } S) \subseteq \text{simple-clss}(\text{atms-of-mm } N)) \wedge$ 
     $\text{distinct-mset}(\text{covering } S) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall M \in \# \text{covering } S. \text{total-over-m}(\text{set-mset } M)(\text{set-mset } N))$ ›

lemma [simp]: ‹covering (init-state  $N$ ) = {#}›
  by (simp add: covering-def weight-init-state)

lemma ‹covering-simple-clss  $N$  (init-state  $N$ )›
  by (auto simp: covering-simple-clss-def)

lemma cdcl-bnb-covering-simple-clss:
  ‹cdcl-bnb  $S T \implies \text{init-clss } S = N \implies \text{covering-simple-clss } N S \implies \text{covering-simple-clss } N T$ ›
  by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb.simps covering-simple-clss-def is-improving-int-def
    model-is-dominated-refl cdclW-o.simps cdcl-bnb-bj.simps
    lits-of-def
    elim!: rulesE improveE conflict-optE obacktrackE
    dest!: multi-member-split[of - ‹covering  $S$ ›])]

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-covering-simple-clss:
  ‹cdcl-bnb**  $S T \implies \text{init-clss } S = N \implies \text{covering-simple-clss } N S \implies \text{covering-simple-clss } N T$ ›
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-covering-simple-clss simp: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss
    cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss)

lemma wf-cdcl-bnb-fixed:
  ‹wf {( $T, S$ ). cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state  $S$ )  $\wedge$  cdcl-bnb  $S T$ 
     $\wedge$  covering-simple-clss  $N S \wedge \text{init-clss } S = N$ }›
  apply (rule wf-cdcl-bnb-with-additional-inv[of
    ‹covering-simple-clss  $N$ 
     $N \text{id} \langle (T, S). (T, S) \in \{(\mathcal{M}', \mathcal{M}). \mathcal{M} \subset \# \mathcal{M}' \wedge \text{distinct-mset } \mathcal{M}'$ 
       $\wedge \text{set-mset } \mathcal{M}' \subseteq \text{simple-clss}(\text{atms-of-mm } N)\} \rangle \rangle$ ])

subgoal
  by (auto simp: improveE.simps is-improving-int-def covering-simple-clss-def
    add-mset-eq-add-mset model-is-dominated-refl
    dest!: multi-member-split)

subgoal
  apply (rule wf-bounded-set[of - ‹ $\lambda.$  simple-clss (atms-of-mm  $N$ ) set-mset])
  apply (auto simp: distinct-mset-subset-iff-remdups[symmetric] simple-clss-finite
    simp flip: remdups-mset-def)
  by (metis distinct-mset-mono distinct-mset-set-mset-ident)

subgoal
  by (rule cdcl-bnb-covering-simple-clss)
done

lemma can-always-improve:
assumes
  ent: ‹trail  $S \models_{\text{asm}} \text{clauses } S$ › and
  total: ‹total-over-m (lits-of-l (trail  $S$ )) (set-mset (clauses  $S$ ))› and
  n-s: ‹no-step conflict-opt  $S$ › and
  conf: ‹conflicting  $S = \text{None}$ › and

```

```

all-struct: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)>
shows <Ex (improvep S)>
proof -
have <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv (abs-state S)> and
alien: <cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm (abs-state S)>
using all-struct
unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
by fast+
then have n-d: <no-dup (trail S)>
unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
by auto
have [simp]:
<atms-of-mm (CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state S)) = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)>
unfolding abs-state-def init-clss.simps
by auto
let ?M = <(lit-of '# mset (trail S))>
have trail-simple: <?M ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))>
using n-d alien
by (auto simp: simple-clss-def cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
lits-of-def image-image atms-of-def
dest: distinct-consistent-interp no-dup-not-tautology
no-dup-distinct)
then have [simp]: <atms-of ?M = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)>
using total
by (auto simp: total-over-m-alt-def simple-clss-def atms-of-def image-image
lits-of-def atms-of-s-def clauses-def)
then have K: <is-dominating (covering S) ?M ⟹ pNeg {#L ∈# lit-of '# mset (trail S). ρ (atm-of L) #}>
∈ (λx. pNeg {#L ∈# x. ρ (atm-of L) #}) ‘
{x ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S)).
is-dominating (covering S) x ∧
atms-of x = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)}‘
by (auto simp: image-iff trail-simple
intro!: exI[of - <lit-of '# mset (trail S)>])
have H: <I ∈# covering S ⟹
model-is-dominated ?M I ⟹
pNeg {#L ∈# ?M. ρ (atm-of L) #}
∈ (λx. pNeg {#L ∈# x. ρ (atm-of L) #}) ‘
{x ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S)).
is-dominating (covering S) x}‘ for I
using is-dominating-in[of <lit-of '# mset M> <add-mset (lit-of '# mset M) (covering S)>]
trail-simple
by (auto 5 5 simp: simple-clss-finite multiset-filter-mono2 pNeg-mono
conflicting-clauses-def conflicting-clss-def is-improving-int-def
is-dominating-add-mset filter-disj-eq image-Un
dest!: multi-member-split)
have <I ∈# covering S ⟹
model-is-dominated ?M I ⟹ False> for I
using n-s confl H[of I] K
true-clss-cls-in-susbssuming[of <pNeg {#L ∈# ?M. ρ (atm-of L) #}>,
<pNeg ?M> <set-mset (conflicting-clauses-ent
(init-clss S) (covering S))>]
by (auto simp: conflict-opt.simps simple-clss-finite
conflicting-clss-def conflicting-clauses-def is-dominating-def
is-dominating-add-mset filter-disj-eq image-Un pNeg-mono
multiset-filter-mono2 negate-ann-lits-pNeg-lit-of

```

```

intro: trail-simple)
moreover have False if <lit-of '# mset (trail S) ∈# covering S>
  using n-s confl that trail-simple by (auto simp: conflict-opt.simps
    conflicting-clauses-insert conflicting-clss-def simple-clss-finite
    negate-ann-lits-pNeg-lit-of
    dest!: multi-member-split)
ultimately have imp: <is-improving (trail S) (trail S) S>
  unfolding is-improving-int-def
  using assms trail-simple n-d by (auto simp: clauses-def)
show ?thesis
  by (rule exI) (rule improvep.intros[OF imp confl state-eq-ref])
qed

```

```

lemma exists-model-with-true-lit-entails-conflicting:
assumes
  L-I: <Pos L ∈ I> and
  L: <ρ L> and
  L-in: <L ∈ atms-of-mm (init-clss S)> and
  ent: <I ⊨m init-clss S> and
  cons: <consistent-interp I> and
  total: <total-over-m I (set-mset N)> and
  no-L: <¬(∃ J ∈# covering S. Pos L ∈# J)> and
  cov: <covering-simple-clss N S> and
  NS: <atms-of-mm N = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)>
shows <I ⊨m conflicting-clss S> and
  <I ⊨m CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state S)>

```

```

proof –
  show <I ⊨m conflicting-clss S>
  unfolding conflicting-clss-def conflicting-clauses-def
    set-mset-filter true-cls-mset-def

```

```

proof
  fix C
  assume <C ∈ {a. a ∈# mset-set (simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))) ∧
    {#pNeg {#L ∈# x. ρ (atm-of L)}#}.
    x ∈# {#x ∈# mset-set (simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))) .
      is-dominating (covering S) x ∧
      atms-of x = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)}#}#} +
    init-clss S ⊨pm
    a}>

```

```

then have simp-C: <C ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S))> and
  ent-C: <(λx. pNeg {#L ∈# x. ρ (atm-of L)}#)> ‘
    {x ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S)). is-dominating (covering S) x ∧
    atms-of x = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)} ∪
    set-mset (init-clss S) ⊨p C>

```

```

by (auto simp: simple-clss-finite)

```

```

have tot-I2: <total-over-m I
  ((λx. pNeg {#L ∈# x. ρ (atm-of L)}#)) ‘
  {x ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss S)).
    is-dominating (covering S) x ∧
    atms-of x = atms-of-mm (init-clss S)} ∪
    set-mset (init-clss S) ⊨p
  {C} ↔ total-over-m I (set-mset N)> for I
using simp-C NS[symmetric]
by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def
  simple-clss-def atms-of-ms-def atms-of-def pNeg-def
  dest!: multi-member-split)

```

```

have ⟨ $I \models s (\lambda x. p\text{Neg} \{\#L \in \# x. \varrho(\text{atm-of } L)\})x \in \text{simple-clss}(\text{atms-of-mm}(\text{init-clss } S)). \text{is-dominating}(\text{covering } S) x \wedge$ 
      $\text{atms-of } x = \text{atms-of-mm}(\text{init-clss } S)\}$ }‘
unfolding  $NS[\text{symmetric}]$ 
     $\text{total-over-m-alt-def} \text{ true-clss-def}$ 
proof
  fix  $D$ 
  assume ⟨ $D \in (\lambda x. p\text{Neg} \{\#L \in \# x. \varrho(\text{atm-of } L)\})x \in \text{simple-clss}(\text{atms-of-mm } N). \text{is-dominating}(\text{covering } S) x \wedge$ 
      $\text{atms-of } x = \text{atms-of-mm } N\}$ }‘
  then obtain  $x$  where
     $D: \langle D = p\text{Neg} \{\#L \in \# x. \varrho(\text{atm-of } L)\} \rangle \text{ and}$ 
     $x: \langle x \in \text{simple-clss}(\text{atms-of-mm } N) \rangle \text{ and}$ 
     $\text{dom}: \langle \text{is-dominating}(\text{covering } S) x \rangle \text{ and}$ 
     $\text{tot-}x: \langle \text{atms-of } x = \text{atms-of-mm } N \rangle$ 
    by auto
  then have ⟨ $L \in \text{atms-of } xusing  $\text{cov } L\text{-in no-}L$ 
unfolding  $NS[\text{symmetric}]$ 
    by (auto simp: true-clss-def is-dominating-def model-is-dominated-def
      covering-simple-clss-def atms-of-def pNeg-def image-image
      total-over-m-alt-def atms-of-s-def
      dest!: multi-member-split)
  then have ⟨ $\text{Neg } L \in \# xusing  $\text{no-}L \text{ dom } L$  unfolding  $\text{atm-iff-pos-or-neg-lit}$ 
  by (auto simp: is-dominating-def model-is-dominated-def insert-subset-eq-iff
    dest!: multi-member-split)
    then have ⟨ $\text{Pos } L \in \# Dusing  $L$ 
      by (auto simp: pNeg-def image-image D image-iff
        dest!: multi-member-split)
    then show ⟨ $I \models Dusing  $L\text{-}I$  by (auto dest: multi-member-split)
  qed
  then show ⟨ $I \models Cusing  $\text{total cons ent-C ent}$ 
    unfolding  $\text{true-clss-cls-def tot-}I2$ 
    by auto
  qed
  then show  $I\text{-}S: \langle I \models m \text{ CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss}(\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$ 
    using  $\text{ent}$ 
    by (auto simp: abs-state-def init-clss.simps)
  qed$$$$$ 
```

lemma *exists-model-with-true-lit-still-model*:

assumes

$L\text{-}I: \langle \text{Pos } L \in I \rangle \text{ and}$
 $L: \langle \varrho L \rangle \text{ and}$
 $L\text{-in}: \langle L \in \text{atms-of-mm}(\text{init-clss } S) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{ent}: \langle I \models m \text{ init-clss } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{cons}: \langle \text{consistent-interp } I \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{total}: \langle \text{total-over-m } I (\text{set-mset } N) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{cdcl}: \langle \text{cdcl-bnb } S T \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{no-}L\text{-}T: \neg(\exists J \in \# \text{ covering } T. \text{Pos } L \in \# J) \text{ and}$
 $\text{cov}: \langle \text{covering-simple-clss } N S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{NS}: \langle \text{atms-of-mm } N = \text{atms-of-mm}(\text{init-clss } S) \rangle$

shows $\langle I \models m \text{ CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state } T) \rangle$
proof –
have $\text{no-}L: \neg(\exists J \in \# \text{ covering } S. \text{ Pos } L \in \# J)$
using $\text{cdcl no-}L\text{-}T$
by (cases) (auto elim!: $\text{rulesE improveE conflict-optE obacktrackE}$
 $\text{simp: ocdclW-o.simps cdcl-bnb-bj.simps}$)
have $I\text{-}S: \langle I \models m \text{ CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state } S) \rangle$
by (rule exists-model-with-true-lit-entails-conflicting[$\text{OF assms(1-6) no-}L\text{ assms(9) NS]$])
have $I\text{-}T': \langle I \models m \text{ conflicting-clss (update-weight-information } M' S) \rangle$
if $T: \langle T \sim \text{update-weight-information } M' S \rangle$ **for** M'
unfolding $\text{conflicting-clss-def conflicting-clauses-def}$
 $\text{set-mset-filter true-cls-mset-def}$
proof
let $?T = \langle \text{update-weight-information } M' S \rangle$
fix C
assume $\langle C \in \{a. a \in \# \text{ mset-set (simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss ?T)))} \wedge$
 $\{\#pNeg \{\#L \in \# x. \varrho(\text{atm-of } L)\#\}\}.$
 $x \in \# \{\#x \in \# \text{ mset-set (simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss ?T)))}.$
 $\text{is-dominating (covering } ?T) x \wedge$
 $\text{atms-of } x = \text{atms-of-mm (init-clss ?T)\#\#\}} +$
 $\text{init-clss ?T } \models pm$
 $a\}$
then have $\text{simp-}C: \langle C \in \text{simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss ?T))} \rangle$ **and**
 $\text{ent-}C: \langle (\lambda x. pNeg \{\#L \in \# x. \varrho(\text{atm-of } L)\#\})$ ‘
 $\{x \in \text{simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss ?T))}. \text{is-dominating (covering } ?T) x \wedge$
 $\text{atms-of } x = \text{atms-of-mm (init-clss ?T)}\} \cup$
 $\text{set-mset (init-clss ?T) } \models p C\rangle$
by (auto simp: simple-clss-finite)
have $\text{tot-}I2: \langle \text{total-over-}m I$
 $((\lambda x. pNeg \{\#L \in \# x. \varrho(\text{atm-of } L)\#\})$ ‘
 $\{x \in \text{simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss ?T))}.$
 $\text{is-dominating (covering } ?T) x \wedge$
 $\text{atms-of } x = \text{atms-of-mm (init-clss ?T)}\} \cup$
 $\text{set-mset (init-clss ?T) } \cup$
 $\{C\} \longleftrightarrow \text{total-over-}m I (\text{set-mset } N)$ **for** I
using $\text{simp-}C \text{ NS[symmetric]}$
by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def
 $\text{simple-clss-def atms-of-ms-def atms-of-def pNeg-def}$
 $\text{dest!: multi-member-split})$
have $H: \langle \text{atms-of-mm (init-clss (update-weight-information } M' S)) = \text{atms-of-mm } N \rangle$
by (auto simp: NS)
have $\langle I \models s (\lambda x. pNeg \{\#L \in \# x. \varrho(\text{atm-of } L)\#\})$ ‘
 $\{x \in \text{simple-clss (atms-of-mm (init-clss ?T))}. \text{is-dominating (covering } ?T) x \wedge$
 $\text{atms-of } x = \text{atms-of-mm (init-clss ?T)}\}$
unfolding $\text{NS[symmetric]} H$
 $\text{total-over-}m\text{-alt-def true-cls-def}$
proof
fix D
assume $\langle D \in (\lambda x. pNeg \{\#L \in \# x. \varrho(\text{atm-of } L)\#\})$ ‘
 $\{x \in \text{simple-clss (atms-of-mm } N). \text{is-dominating (covering } ?T) x \wedge$
 $\text{atms-of } x = \text{atms-of-mm } N\}$
then obtain x **where**
 $D: \langle D = pNeg \{\#L \in \# x. \varrho(\text{atm-of } L)\#\} \rangle$ **and**
 $x: \langle x \in \text{simple-clss (atms-of-mm } N) \rangle$ **and**
 $\text{dom}: \langle \text{is-dominating (covering } ?T) x \rangle$ **and**
 $\text{tot-}x: \langle \text{atms-of } x = \text{atms-of-mm } N \rangle$

```

by auto
then have ⟨ $L \in \text{atms-of } xusing cov  $L\text{-in no-}L$ 
unfolding NS[symmetric]
  by (auto simp: true-clss-def is-dominating-def model-is-dominated-def
    covering-simple-clss-def atms-of-def pNeg-def image-image
    total-over-m-alt-def atms-of-s-def
    dest!: multi-member-split)
  then have ⟨ $\text{Neg } L \in \# xusing no- $L\text{-}T$  dom  $L\ T$  unfolding atm-iff-pos-or-neg-lit
  by (auto simp: is-dominating-def model-is-dominated-def insert-subset-eq-iff
    dest!: multi-member-split)
  then have ⟨ $\text{Pos } L \in \# Dusing  $L$ 
    by (auto simp: pNeg-def image-image  $D$  image-iff
      dest!: multi-member-split)
  then show ⟨ $I \models Dusing  $L\text{-}I$  by (auto dest: multi-member-split)
qed
then show ⟨ $I \models Cusing total cons ent- $C$  ent
  unfolding true-clss-cls-def tot- $I2$ 
  by auto
qed
show ?thesis
  using cdcl
proof (cases)
  case cdcl-conflict
  then show ?thesis using  $I\text{-}S$  by (auto elim!: conflictE)
next
  case cdcl-propagate
  then show ?thesis using  $I\text{-}S$  by (auto elim!: rulesE)
next
  case cdcl-improve
  show ?thesis
    using  $I\text{-}S$  cdcl-improve  $I\text{-}T'$ 
    by (auto simp: abs-state-def init-clss.simps
      elim!: improveE)
next
  case cdcl-conflict-opt
  then show ?thesis using  $I\text{-}S$  by (auto elim!: conflict-optE)
next
  case cdcl-other'
  then show ?thesis using  $I\text{-}S$  by (auto elim!: rulesE obacktrackE simp: ocdclW-o.simps cdcl-bnb-bj.simps)
qed
qed$$$$$ 
```

lemma rtranclp-exists-model-with-true-lit-still-model:

assumes

$L\text{-}I$: ⟨ $\text{Pos } L \in Iand
 L : ⟨ $\varrho\ L$ ⟩ **and**
 $L\text{-in}$: ⟨ $L \in \text{atms-of-mm (init-clss } S)$ ⟩ **and**
 ent : ⟨ $I \models_m \text{init-clss } S$ ⟩ **and**
 cons : ⟨ $\text{consistent-interp } I$ ⟩ **and**
 total : ⟨ $\text{total-over-m } I\ (\text{set-mset } N)$ ⟩ **and**
 cdcl : ⟨ $\text{cdcl-bnb}^{**} S\ T$ ⟩ **and**$

```

cov: <covering-simple-clss N S> and
  <N = init-clss S>
shows <I  $\models_m$  CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-clss (abs-state T)  $\vee$  ( $\exists J \in \#$  covering T. Pos L  $\in \#$  J)>
using cdcl assms
apply (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
subgoal using exists-model-with-true-lit-entails-conflicting[of L I S N]
  by auto
subgoal for T U
  apply (rule disjCI)
  apply (rule exists-model-with-true-lit-still-model[OF L-I L - - cons total, of T U])
  by (auto dest: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-no-more-init-clss
    intro: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-covering-simple-clss cdcl-bnb-covering-simple-clss)
done

lemma is-dominating-nil[simp]: < $\neg$ is-dominating {#} x>
  by (auto simp: is-dominating-def)

lemma atms-of-conflicting-clss-init-state:
  <atms-of-mm (conflicting-clss (init-state N))  $\subseteq$  atms-of-mm N>
  by (auto simp: conflicting-clss-def conflicting-clauses-def
    atms-of-ms-def simple-clss-finite
    dest!: simple-clssE)

lemma no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy-empty-conflict2:
  assumes
    n-s: <no-step cdcl-bnb S> and
    all-struct: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state S)> and
    stgy-inv: <cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv S>
  shows <conflicting S = Some {#}>
  by (rule no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy-empty-conflict[OF can-always-improve assms])

theorem cdclcm-correctness:
  assumes
    full: <full cdcl-bnb-stgy (init-state N) T> and
    dist: <distinct-mset-mset N>
  shows
    < $\forall L \in I \implies \rho L \implies L \in \text{atms-of-mm } N \implies \text{total-over-m } I (\text{set-mset } N) \implies \text{consistent-interp}$ 
    I  $\implies I \models_m N \implies$ 
       $\exists J \in \# \text{ covering } T. \text{Pos } L \in \# J$ >
  proof -
    let ?S = <init-state N>
    have ns: <no-step cdcl-bnb-stgy T> and
      st: <cdcl-bnb-stgy** ?S T> and
      st': <cdcl-bnb** ?S T>
    using full unfolding full-def by (auto intro: rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb)
    have ns': <no-step cdcl-bnb T>
    by (meson cdcl-bnb.cases cdcl-bnb-stgy.simps no-confl-prop-impr.elims(3) ns)

    have <distinct-mset C> if <C  $\in \#$  N> for C
      using dist that by (auto simp: distinct-mset-set-def dest: multi-member-split)
    then have dist: <distinct-mset-mset (N)>
      by (auto simp: distinct-mset-set-def)
    then have [simp]: <cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv ([] , N , {#} , None)>
      unfolding init-state.simps[symmetric]
      by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def)

```

```

have [iff]: ⟨cdcl-bnb-struct-invs ?S⟩
  using atms-of-conflicting-clss-init-state[of N]
  by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-struct-invs-def)
have stgy-inv: ⟨cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv ?S⟩
  by (auto simp: cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv-def conflict-is-false-with-level-def)
have ent: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init (abs-state ?S)⟩
  by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init-def)
have all-struct: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state (init-state N))⟩
  unfolding CDCL-W-Abstract-State.init-state.simps abs-state-def
  by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def dist
    cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-M-level-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.distinct-cdclW-state-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-conflicting-def distinct-mset-mset-conflicting-clss
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-alt-def)
have cdcl: ⟨cdcl-bnb** ?S T⟩
  using st rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-cdcl-bnb unfolding full-def by blast
have cov: ⟨covering-simple-clss N ?S⟩
  by (auto simp: covering-simple-clss-def)

have struct-T: ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv (abs-state T)⟩
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-all-struct-inv[OF st' all-struct] .
have stgy-T: ⟨cdcl-bnb-stgy-inv T⟩
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-stgy-stgy-inv[OF st all-struct stgy-inv] .
have confl: ⟨conflicting T = Some {#}⟩
  using no-step-cdcl-bnb-stgy-empty-conflict2[OF ns' struct-T stgy-T] .
have tot-I: ⟨total-over-m I (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T)) ⟷
  total-over-m I (set-mset (init-clss T + conflicting-clss T))⟩ for I
  using struct-T atms-of-conflicting-clss[of T]
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-alt-def satisfiable-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def
  by (auto simp: clauses-def satisfiable-def total-over-m-alt-def
    abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
    cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def)
have ⟨unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses T + conflicting-clss T))⟩
  using full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-unsat[OF - full all-struct - stgy-inv]
  by (auto simp: can-always-improve)
have ⟨cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init
  (abs-state T)⟩
  using rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init[OF st' ent all-struct] .
then have ⟨init-clss T + conflicting-clss T |=pm {#}⟩
  using struct-T confl
  unfolding cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-all-struct-inv-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clause-alt-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.no-strange-atm-def tot-I
    cdclW-restart-mset.cdclW-learned-clauses-entailed-by-init-def
  by (auto simp: clauses-def abs-state-def cdclW-restart-mset-state
    cdclW-restart-mset.clauses-def
    satisfiable-def dest: true-clss-clss-left-right)
then have unsat: ⟨unsatisfiable (set-mset (init-clss T + conflicting-clss T))⟩
  by (auto simp: clauses-def true-clss-cls-def
    satisfiable-def)

assume
L-I: ⟨Pos L ∈ I⟩ and

```

```

L: ⟨ $\varrho$  L⟩ and
L-N: ⟨L ∈ atms-of-mm N⟩ and
tot-I: ⟨total-over-m I (set-mset N)⟩ and
cons: ⟨consistent-interp I⟩ and
I-N: ⟨I ⊨_m N⟩
show ⟨Multiset.Bex (covering T) ((∈#) (Pos L))⟩
using rtranclp-exists-model-with-true-lit-still-model[OF L-I L - - - cdcl, of N] L-N
I-N tot-I cons cov unsat
by (auto simp: abs-state-def cdcl_W-restart-mset-state)
qed

```

end

Now we instantiate the previous with λ -.

True: This means that we aim at making all variables that appears at least ones true.

global-interpretation cover-all-vars: covering-models $\langle \lambda\text{-}.\text{ True} \rangle$

context conflict-driven-clause-learning_W-covering-models
begin

interpretation cover-all-vars: conflict-driven-clause-learning_W-covering-models **where**
 $\varrho = \langle \lambda\text{-}.'v. \text{ True} \rangle$ and
state = state and
trail = trail and
init-clss = init-clss and
learned-clss = learned-clss and
conflicting = conflicting and
cons-trail = cons-trail and
tl-trail = tl-trail and
add-learned-cls = add-learned-cls and
remove-cls = remove-cls and
update-conflicting = update-conflicting and
init-state = init-state
by standard

lemma

$\langle \text{cover-all-vars.model-is-dominated } M M' \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{filter-mset } (\lambda L. \text{is-pos } L) M \subseteq \# \text{filter-mset } (\lambda L. \text{is-pos } L) M' \rangle$
unfolding cover-all-vars.model-is-dominated-def
by auto

lemma

$\langle \text{cover-all-vars.conflicting-clauses } N \mathcal{M} =$
 $\{ \# C \in \# (\text{mset-set } (\text{simple-clss } (\text{atms-of-mm } N))) .$
 $(p\text{Neg} ' \{ a. a \in \# \text{mset-set } (\text{simple-clss } (\text{atms-of-mm } N)) \wedge$
 $(\exists M \in \# \mathcal{M}. \exists J. a \subseteq \# J \wedge \text{cover-all-vars.model-is-dominated } J M) \wedge$
 $\text{atms-of } a = \text{atms-of-mm } N \} \cup$
 $\text{set-mset } N) \models_p C \# \}$
unfolding cover-all-vars.conflicting-clauses-def
cover-all-vars.is-dominating-def
by auto

theorem cdclcm-correctness-all-vars:

assumes

```

full: <full cover-all-vars.cdcl-bnb-stgy (init-state N) T> and
      dist: <distinct-mset-mset N>
shows
  <Pos L ∈ I ⇒ L ∈ atms-of-mm N ⇒ total-over-m I (set-mset N) ⇒ consistent-interp I ⇒ I
  ⊨m N ⇒
    ∃ J ∈# covering T. Pos L ∈# J>
using cover-all-vars.cdclcm-correctness[OF assms]
by blast

end

end
theory DPLL-W-BnB
imports
  CDCL-W-Optimal-Model
  CDCL.DPLL-W
begin

lemma [simp]: <backtrack-split M1 = (M', L # M) ⇒ is-decided L>
  by (metis backtrack-split-snd-hd-decided list.sel(1) list.simps(3) snd-conv)

lemma funpow-tl-append-skip-ge:
  <n ≥ length M' ⇒ ((tl ^ n) (M' @ M)) = (tl ^ (n - length M')) M>
apply (induction n arbitrary: M')
subgoal by auto
subgoal for n M'
  by (cases M')
    (auto simp del: funpow.simps(2) simp: funpow-Suc-right)
done

The following version is more suited than ∃ l∈set ?M. is-decided l ⇒ ∃ M' L' M''. backtrack-split ?M = (M'', L' # M') for direct use.

lemma backtrack-split-some-is-decided-then-snd-has-hd':
  <l∈set M ⇒ is-decided l ⇒ ∃ M' L' M''. backtrack-split M = (M'', L' # M')>
  by (metis backtrack-snd-empty-not-decided list.exhaust prod.collapse)

lemma total-over-m-entailed-or-conflict:
shows <total-over-m M N ⇒ M ⊨s N ∨ (∃ C ∈ N. M ⊨s CNot C)>
by (metis Set.set-insert total-not-true-cls-true-clss-CNot total-over-m-empty total-over-m-insert true-clss-def)

The locales on DPLL should eventually be moved to the DPLL theory, but currently it is only a discount version (in particular, we cheat and don't use  $S \sim T$  in the transition system below, even if it would be cleaner to do as as we de for CDCL).

locale dpll-ops =
fixes
  trail :: <'st ⇒ 'v dpllW-ann-lits> and
  clauses :: <'st ⇒ 'v clauses> and
  tl-trail :: <'st ⇒ 'st> and
  cons-trail :: <'v dpllW-ann-lit ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> and
  state-eq :: <'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool> (infix ‘~’ 50) and
  state :: <'st ⇒ 'v dpllW-ann-lits × 'v clauses × 'b>
begin

definition additional-info :: <'st ⇒ 'b> where
  <additional-info S = (λ(M, N, w). w) (state S)>

```

```

definition reduce-trail-to :: <'v dpllW-ann-lits ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> where
  <reduce-trail-to M S = (tl-trail ∼(length (trail S) − length M)) S>

end

locale bnb-ops =
fixes
  trail :: <'st ⇒ 'v dpllW-ann-lits> and
  clauses :: <'st ⇒ 'v clauses> and
  tl-trail :: <'st ⇒ 'st> and
  cons-trail :: <'v dpllW-ann-lit ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> and
  state-eq :: <'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool> (infix ∼~ 50) and
  state :: <'st ⇒ 'v dpllW-ann-lits × 'v clauses × 'a × 'b> and
  weight :: <'st ⇒ 'a> and
  update-weight-information :: <'v dpllW-ann-lits ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> and
  is-improving-int :: <'v dpllW-ann-lits ⇒ 'v dpllW-ann-lits ⇒ 'v clauses ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool> and
  conflicting-clauses :: <'v clauses ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'v clauses>
begin

interpretation dpll: dpll-ops where
  trail = trail and
  clauses = clauses and
  tl-trail = tl-trail and
  cons-trail = cons-trail and
  state-eq = state-eq and
  state = state
  .

definition conflicting-clss :: <'st ⇒ 'v literal multiset multiset> where
  <conflicting-clss S = conflicting-clauses (clauses S) (weight S)>

definition abs-state where
  <abs-state S = (trail S, clauses S + conflicting-clss S)>

abbreviation is-improving where
  <is-improving M M' S ≡ is-improving-int M M' (clauses S) (weight S)>

definition state' :: <'st ⇒ 'v dpllW-ann-lits × 'v clauses × 'a × 'v clauses> where
  <state' S = (trail S, clauses S, weight S, conflicting-clss S)>

definition additional-info :: <'st ⇒ 'b> where
  <additional-info S = (λ(M, N, -, w). w) (state S)>

end

locale dpllW-state =
dpll-ops trail clauses
  tl-trail cons-trail state-eq state
for
  trail :: <'st ⇒ 'v dpllW-ann-lits> and

```

```

clauses :: <'st ⇒ 'v clauses> and
tl-trail :: <'st ⇒ 'st> and
cons-trail :: <'v dpllW-ann-lit ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st> and
state-eq :: <'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool> (infix ∼ 50) and
state :: <'st ⇒ 'v dpllW-ann-lits × 'v clauses × 'b> +
assumes
  state-eq-ref[simp, intro]: <S ~ S> and
  state-eq-sym: <S ~ T ⇔ T ~ S> and
  state-eq-trans: <S ~ T ⇒ T ~ U' ⇒ S ~ U'> and
  state-eq-state: <S ~ T ⇒ state S = state T> and

```

cons-trail:
 $\bigwedge S'. \text{state } st = (M, S') \Rightarrow$
 $\text{state } (\text{cons-trail } L \text{ st}) = (L \# M, S')$ and

tl-trail:
 $\bigwedge S'. \text{state } st = (M, S') \Rightarrow \text{state } (\text{tl-trail } st) = (\text{tl } M, S')$ and
state:
 $\text{state } S = (\text{trail } S, \text{clauses } S, \text{additional-info } S)$

begin

lemma [simp]:

```

<clauses (cons-trail uu S) = clauses S>
<trail (cons-trail uu S) = uu # trail S>
<trail (tl-trail S) = tl (trail S)>
<clauses (tl-trail S) = clauses (S)>
<additional-info (cons-trail L S) = additional-info S>
<additional-info (tl-trail S) = additional-info S>

```

using

cons-trail[of S]
tl-trail[of S]

by (auto simp: state)

lemma state-simp[simp]:

```

<T ~ S ⇒ trail T = trail S>
<T ~ S ⇒ clauses T = clauses S>
by (auto dest!: state-eq-state simp: state)

```

lemma state-tl-trail: <state (tl-trail S) = (tl (trail S), clauses S, additional-info S)>
by (auto simp: state)

lemma state-tl-trail-comp-pow: <state ((tl-trail ∘ n) S) = ((tl ∘ n) (trail S), clauses S, additional-info S)>
apply (induction n)
using state apply fastforce
apply (auto simp: state-tl-trail state)[]
done

lemma reduce-trail-to-simps[simp]:

```

<backtrack-split (trail S) = (M', L # M) ⇒ trail (reduce-trail-to M S) = M>
<clauses (reduce-trail-to M S) = clauses S>
<additional-info (reduce-trail-to M S) = additional-info S>
using state-tl-trail-comp-pow[of <Suc (length M')> S] backtrack-split-list-eq[of <trail S>, symmetric]
unfolding reduce-trail-to-def

```

```

apply (auto simp: state funpow-tl-append-skip-ge)
using state state-tl-trail-comp-pow apply auto
done

inductive dpll-backtrack :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool' where
⟨dpll-backtrack S T⟩
if
⟨D  $\in\#$  clauses S⟩ and
⟨trail S  $\models_{as}$  CNot D⟩ and
⟨backtrack-split (trail S) = (M', L # M)⟩ and
⟨T ~ cons-trail (Propagated (–lit-of L) ()) (reduce-trail-to M S)⟩

inductive dpll-propagate :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool' where
⟨dpll-propagate S T⟩
if
⟨add-mset L D  $\in\#$  clauses S⟩ and
⟨trail S  $\models_{as}$  CNot D⟩ and
⟨undefined-lit (trail S) L⟩
⟨T ~ cons-trail (Propagated L ()) S⟩

inductive dpll-decide :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool' where
⟨dpll-decide S T⟩
if
⟨undefined-lit (trail S) L⟩
⟨T ~ cons-trail (Decided L) S⟩
⟨atm-of L  $\in$  atms-of-mm (clauses S)⟩

inductive dpll :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool' where
⟨dpll S T⟩ if ⟨dpll-decide S T⟩ |
⟨dpll S T⟩ if ⟨dpll-propagate S T⟩ |
⟨dpll S T⟩ if ⟨dpll-backtrack S T⟩

lemma dpll-is-dpllW:
⟨dpll S T  $\Longrightarrow$  dpllW (trail S, clauses S) (trail T, clauses T)⟩
apply (induction rule: dpll.induct)
subgoal for S T
apply (auto simp: dpll.simps dpllW.simps dpll-decide.simps dpll-backtrack.simps dpll-propagate.simps
dest!: multi-member-split[- ⟨clauses S⟩])
done
subgoal for S T
unfolding dpll.simps dpllW.simps dpll-decide.simps dpll-backtrack.simps dpll-propagate.simps
by auto
subgoal for S T
unfolding dpllW.simps dpll-decide.simps dpll-backtrack.simps dpll-propagate.simps
by (auto simp: state)
done

end

locale bnb =
bnb-ops trail clauses
tl-trail cons-trail state-eq state weight update-weight-information is-improving-int conflicting-clauses
for
weight :: 'st  $\Rightarrow$  'a' and
update-weight-information :: 'v dpllW-ann-lits  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st' and

```

```

is-improving-int :: <'v dpllW-ann-lits  $\Rightarrow$  'v dpllW-ann-lits  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool> and
trail :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v dpllW-ann-lits> and
clauses :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses> and
tl-trail :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st> and
cons-trail :: <'v dpllW-ann-lit  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st> and
state-eq :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'st  $\Rightarrow$  bool> (infix  $\sim\sim 50$ ) and
conflicting-clauses :: <'v clauses  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'v clauses> and
state :: <'st  $\Rightarrow$  'v dpllW-ann-lits  $\times$  'v clauses  $\times$  'a  $\times$  'b> +
assumes
state-eq-ref[simp, intro]: <S ~ S> and
state-eq-sym: <S ~ T  $\longleftrightarrow$  T ~ S> and
state-eq-trans: <S ~ T  $\Longrightarrow$  T ~ U'  $\Longrightarrow$  S ~ U'> and
state-eq-state: <S ~ T  $\Longrightarrow$  state S = state T> and

cons-trail:
 $\bigwedge S'. \text{state } st = (M, S') \implies$ 
  state (cons-trail L st) = (L # M, S') and

tl-trail:
 $\bigwedge S'. \text{state } st = (M, S') \implies \text{state } (\text{tl-trail } st) = (\text{tl } M, S') \text{ and}$ 
update-weight-information:
 $\langle \text{state } S = (M, N, w, oth) \implies$ 
 $\exists w'. \text{state } (\text{update-weight-information } M' S) = (M, N, w', oth) \text{ and}$ 

conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-mono:
 $\langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-all-inv } (\text{abs-state } S) \implies \text{is-improving } M M' S \implies$ 
  conflicting-clss S  $\subseteq \#$  conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M' S) and
conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in:
 $\langle \text{is-improving } M M' S \implies \text{negate-ann-lits } M' \in \# \text{ conflicting-clss } (\text{update-weight-information } M'$ 
S) and
atms-of-conflicting-clss:
 $\langle \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{conflicting-clss } S) \subseteq \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses } S) \text{ and}$ 
state:
 $\langle \text{state } S = (\text{trail } S, \text{clauses } S, \text{weight } S, \text{additional-info } S) \rangle$ 
begin

lemma [simp]: <DPLL-W.clauses (abs-state S) = clauses S + conflicting-clss S>
<DPLL-W.trail (abs-state S) = trail S>
by (auto simp: abs-state-def)

lemma [simp]: <trail (update-weight-information M' S) = trail S>
using update-weight-information[of S]
by (auto simp: state)

lemma [simp]:
<clauses (update-weight-information M' S) = clauses S>
<weight (cons-trail uu S) = weight S>
<clauses (cons-trail uu S) = clauses S>
<conflicting-clss (cons-trail uu S) = conflicting-clss S>
<trail (cons-trail uu S) = uu # trail S>
<trail (tl-trail S) = tl (trail S)>
<clauses (tl-trail S) = clauses (S)>
<weight (tl-trail S) = weight (S)>
<conflicting-clss (tl-trail S) = conflicting-clss (S)>
<additional-info (cons-trail L S) = additional-info S>

```

```

⟨additional-info (tl-trail S) = additional-info S⟩
⟨additional-info (update-weight-information M' S) = additional-info S⟩
using update-weight-information[of S]
  cons-trail[of S]
  tl-trail[of S]
by (auto simp: state conflicting-clss-def)

```

```

lemma state-simp[simp]:
  ⟨T ~ S ⟹ trail T = trail S⟩
  ⟨T ~ S ⟹ clauses T = clauses S⟩
  ⟨T ~ S ⟹ weight T = weight S⟩
  ⟨T ~ S ⟹ conflicting-clss T = conflicting-clss S⟩
by (auto dest!: state-eq-state simp: state conflicting-clss-def)

```

interpretation dpll: dpll-ops trail clauses tl-trail cons-trail state-eq state

```

interpretation dpll: dpllW-state trail clauses tl-trail cons-trail state-eq state
apply standard
apply (auto dest: state-eq-sym[THEN iffD1] intro: state-eq-trans dest: state-eq-state)
apply (auto simp: state cons-trail dpll.additional-info-def)
done

```

```

lemma [simp]:
  ⟨conflicting-clss (dpll.reduce-trail-to M S) = conflicting-clss S⟩
  ⟨weight (dpll.reduce-trail-to M S) = weight S⟩
using dpll.reduce-trail-to-simps(2−)[of M S] state[of S]
unfolding dpll.additional-info-def
apply (auto simp: )
by (smt conflicting-clss-def dpll.reduce-trail-to-simps(2) dpll.state dpll-ops.additional-info-def
      old.prod.inject state)+
```

```

inductive backtrack-opt :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool⟩ where
backtrack-opt: backtrack-split (trail S) = (M', L # M) ⟹ is-decided L ⟹ D ∈# conflicting-clss S
  ⟹ trail S ⊨as CNot D
  ⟹ T ~ cons-trail (Propagated (−lit-of L) ())
  ⟹ dpll.reduce-trail-to M S
  ⟹ backtrack-opt S T

```

In the definition below the *state'* $T = (\text{Propagated } L \text{ } () \# \text{trail } S, \text{clauses } S, \text{weight } S, \text{conflicting-clss } S)$ are not necessary, but avoids to change the DPLL formalisation with proper locales, as we did for CDCL.

The DPLL calculus looks slightly more general than the CDCL calculus because we can take any conflicting clause from *conflicting-clss S*. However, this does not make a difference for the trail, as we backtrack to the last decision independantly of the conflict.

```

inductive dpllW-core :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool⟩ for S T where
propagate: ⟨dpll.dpll-propagate S T ⟹ dpllW-core S T⟩ |
decided: ⟨dpll.dpll-decide S T ⟹ dpllW-core S T⟩ |
backtrack: ⟨dpll.dpll-backtrack S T ⟹ dpllW-core S T⟩ |
backtrack-opt: ⟨backtrack-opt S T ⟹ dpllW-core S T⟩

```

inductive-cases dpll_W-coreE: ⟨dpll_W-core S T⟩

```

inductive dpllW-bound :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool⟩ where
update-info:
  ⟨is-improving M M' S ⟹ T ~ (update-weight-information M' S)⟩

```

```

 $\implies \text{dpll}_W\text{-bound } S \ T$ 

inductive  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-bnb} :: \langle 'st \Rightarrow 'st \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  where
   $\text{dpll}:$ 
     $\langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-bnb } S \ T \rangle$ 
    if  $\langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-core } S \ T \rangle$  |
   $\text{bnb}:$ 
     $\langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-bnb } S \ T \rangle$ 
    if  $\langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-bound } S \ T \rangle$ 

inductive-cases  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-bnbE}: \langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-bnb } S \ T \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-core-is-dpll}_W:$ 
   $\langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-core } S \ T \implies \text{dpll}_W \ (\text{abs-state } S) \ (\text{abs-state } T) \rangle$ 
  supply  $\text{abs-state-def[simp]} \ \text{state}'\text{-def[simp]}$ 
  apply (induction rule:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-core.induct}$ )
  subgoal
    by (auto simp:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{.simps} \ \text{dpll}\text{.dpll-propagate.simps}$ )
  subgoal
    by (auto simp:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{.simps} \ \text{dpll}\text{.dpll-decide.simps}$ )
  subgoal
    by (auto simp:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{.simps} \ \text{dpll}\text{.dpll-backtrack.simps}$ )
  subgoal
    by (auto simp:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{.simps} \ \text{backtrack-opt.simps}$ )
  done

lemma  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-core-abs-state-all-inv}:$ 
   $\langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-core } S \ T \implies \text{dpll}_W\text{-all-inv } (\text{abs-state } S) \implies \text{dpll}_W\text{-all-inv } (\text{abs-state } T) \rangle$ 
  by (auto dest!:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-core-is-dpll}_W \ \text{intro}$ :  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-all-inv}$ )

lemma  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-core-same-weight}:$ 
   $\langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-core } S \ T \implies \text{weight } S = \text{weight } T \rangle$ 
  supply  $\text{abs-state-def[simp]} \ \text{state}'\text{-def[simp]}$ 
  apply (induction rule:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-core.induct}$ )
  subgoal
    by (auto simp:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{.simps} \ \text{dpll}\text{.dpll-propagate.simps}$ )
  subgoal
    by (auto simp:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{.simps} \ \text{dpll}\text{.dpll-decide.simps}$ )
  subgoal
    by (auto simp:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{.simps} \ \text{dpll}\text{.dpll-backtrack.simps}$ )
  subgoal
    by (auto simp:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{.simps} \ \text{backtrack-opt.simps}$ )
  done

lemma  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-bound-trail}:$ 
   $\langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-bound } S \ T \implies \text{trail } S = \text{trail } T \rangle \ \mathbf{and}$ 
   $\text{dpll}_W\text{-bound-clauses}:$ 
     $\langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-bound } S \ T \implies \text{clauses } S = \text{clauses } T \rangle \ \mathbf{and}$ 
   $\text{dpll}_W\text{-bound-conflicting-clss}:$ 
     $\langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-bound } S \ T \implies \text{dpll}_W\text{-all-inv } (\text{abs-state } S) \implies \text{conflicting-clss } S \subseteq \# \text{ conflicting-clss } T \rangle$ 
  subgoal
    by (induction rule:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-bound.induct}$ )
    (auto simp:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-all-inv-def state dest!}: \text{conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-mono}$ )
  subgoal
    by (induction rule:  $\text{dpll}_W\text{-bound.induct}$ )

```

```

(auto simp: dpllW-all-inv-def state dest!: conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-mono)
subgoal
  by (induction rule: dpllW-bound.induct)
    (auto simp: state conflicting-clss-def
      dest!: conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-mono)
done

lemma dpllW-bound-abs-state-all-inv:
  ‹dpllW-bound S T ⟹ dpllW-all-inv (abs-state S) ⟹ dpllW-all-inv (abs-state T)›
  using dpllW-bound-conflicting-clss[of S T] dpllW-bound-clauses[of S T]
  atms-of-conflicting-clss[of T] atms-of-conflicting-clss[of S]
  apply (auto simp: dpllW-all-inv-def dpllW-bound-trail lits-of-def image-image
    intro: all-decomposition-implies-mono[OF set-mset-mono] dest: dpllW-bound-conflicting-clss)
  by (blast intro: all-decomposition-implies-mono)

lemma dpllW-bnb-abs-state-all-inv:
  ‹dpllW-bnb S T ⟹ dpllW-all-inv (abs-state S) ⟹ dpllW-all-inv (abs-state T)›
  by (auto elim!: dpllW-bnb.cases intro: dpllW-bound-abs-state-all-inv dpllW-core-abs-state-all-inv)

lemma rtranclp-dpllW-bnb-abs-state-all-inv:
  ‹dpllW-bnb** S T ⟹ dpllW-all-inv (abs-state S) ⟹ dpllW-all-inv (abs-state T)›
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  (auto simp: dpllW-bnb-abs-state-all-inv)

lemma dpllW-core-clauses:
  ‹dpllW-core S T ⟹ clauses S = clauses T›
  supply abs-state-def[simp] state'-def[simp]
  apply (induction rule: dpllW-core.induct)
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: dpllW.simps dpll.dpll-propagate.simps)
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: dpllW.simps dpll.dpll-decide.simps)
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: dpllW.simps dpll.dpll-backtrack.simps)
  subgoal
    by (auto simp: dpllW.simps backtrack-opt.simps)
  done

lemma dpllW-bnb-clauses:
  ‹dpllW-bnb S T ⟹ clauses S = clauses T›
  by (auto elim!: dpllW-bnbE simp: dpllW-bound-clauses dpllW-core-clauses)

lemma rtranclp-dpllW-bnb-clauses:
  ‹dpllW-bnb** S T ⟹ clauses S = clauses T›
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  (auto simp: dpllW-bnb-clauses)

lemma atms-of-clauses-conflicting-clss[simp]:
  ‹atms-of-mm (clauses S) ∪ atms-of-mm (conflicting-clss S) = atms-of-mm (clauses S)›
  using atms-of-conflicting-clss[of S] by blast

lemma wf-dpllW-bnb-bnb:
  assumes improve: ‹∀S T. dpllW-bound S T ⟹ clauses S = N ⟹ (ν (weight T), ν (weight S)) ∈ R› and
  wf-R: ‹wf R›

```

```

shows ⟨wf {⟨(T, S). dpllW-all-inv (abs-state S) ∧ dpllW-bnb S T ∧
clauses S = N⟩}⟩
(is ⟨wf ?A⟩)
proof –
let ?R = ⟨{⟨(T, S). (ν (weight T), ν (weight S)) ∈ R⟩}⟩

have ⟨wf {⟨(T, S). dpllW-all-inv S ∧ dpllW S T⟩}⟩
by (rule wf-dpllW)
from wf-if-measure-f[OF this, of abs-state]
have wf: ⟨wf {⟨(T, S). dpllW-all-inv (abs-state S) ∧
dpllW (abs-state S) (abs-state T) ∧ weight S = weight T⟩}⟩
(is ⟨wf ?CDCL⟩)
by (rule wf-subset) auto
have ⟨wf (?R ∪ ?CDCL)⟩
apply (rule wf-union-compatible)
subgoal by (rule wf-if-measure-f[OF wf-R, of ⟨λx. ν (weight x)⟩])
subgoal by (rule wf)
subgoal by (auto simp: dpllW-core-same-weight)
done

moreover have ⟨?A ⊆ ?R ∪ ?CDCL⟩
by (auto elim!: dpllW-bnbE dest: dpllW-core-abs-state-all-inv dpllW-core-is-dpllW
simp: dpllW-core-same-weight improve)
ultimately show ?thesis
by (rule wf-subset)
qed

```

```

lemma [simp]:
⟨weight ((tl-trail ∘ n) S) = weight S⟩
⟨trail ((tl-trail ∘ n) S) = (tl ∘ n) (trail S)⟩
⟨clauses ((tl-trail ∘ n) S) = clauses S⟩
⟨conflicting-clss ((tl-trail ∘ n) S) = conflicting-clss S⟩
using dpll.state-tl-trail-comp-pow[of n S]
apply (auto simp: state-conflicting-clss-def)
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Pair-inject dpll.state state) +
done

lemma dpllW-core-Ex-propagate:
⟨add-mset L C ∈# clauses S ⇒ trail S ⊨as CNot C ⇒ undefined-lit (trail S) L ⇒
Ex (dpllW-core S)⟩ and
dpllW-core-Ex-decide:
undefined-lit (trail S) L ⇒ atm-of L ∈ atms-of-mm (clauses S) ⇒
Ex (dpllW-core S) and
dpllW-core-Ex-backtrack: backtrack-split (trail S) = (M', L' # M) ⇒ is-decided L' ⇒ D ∈#
clauses S ⇒
trail S ⊨as CNot D ⇒ Ex (dpllW-core S) and
dpllW-core-Ex-backtrack-opt: backtrack-split (trail S) = (M', L' # M) ⇒ is-decided L' ⇒ D ∈#
conflicting-clss S
⇒ trail S ⊨as CNot D ⇒ Ex (dpllW-core S)
subgoal
by (rule exI[of - ⟨cons-trail (Propagated L ()) S⟩])
(fastforce simp: dpllW-core.simps state-eq-ref dpll.dpll-propagate.simps)
subgoal
by (rule exI[of - ⟨cons-trail (Decided L) S⟩])

```

```

(auto simp: dpllW-core.simps state'-def dpll.dpll-decide.simps dpll.dpll-backtrack.simps
backtrack-opt.simps dpll.dpll-propagate.simps)
subgoal
  using backtrack-split-list-eq[of <trail S>, symmetric] apply -
  apply (rule exI[of - <cons-trail (Propagated (-lit-of L') ()) (dpll.reduce-trail-to M S)>])
  apply (auto simp: dpllW-core.simps state'-def funpow-tl-append-skip-ge
    dpll.dpll-decide.simps dpll.dpll-backtrack.simps backtrack-opt.simps
    dpll.dpll-propagate.simps)
  done
subgoal
  using backtrack-split-list-eq[of <trail S>, symmetric] apply -
  apply (rule exI[of - <cons-trail (Propagated (-lit-of L') ()) (dpll.reduce-trail-to M S)>])
  apply (auto simp: dpllW-core.simps state'-def funpow-tl-append-skip-ge
    dpll.dpll-decide.simps dpll.dpll-backtrack.simps backtrack-opt.simps
    dpll.dpll-propagate.simps)
  done
done

```

Unlike the CDCL case, we do not need assumptions on improve. The reason behind it is that we do not need any strategy on propagation and decisions.

lemma no-step-dpll-bnb-dpll_W:

assumes

ns: <no-step dpll_W-bnb S> **and**
 struct-invs: <dpll_W-all-inv (abs-state S)>
shows <no-step dpll_W (abs-state S)>

proof -

```

have no-decide: <atm-of L ∈ atms-of-mm (clauses S) ==>
  defined-lit (trail S) L for L
  using spec[OF ns, of <cons-trail - S>]
  apply (fastforce simp: dpllW-bnb.simps total-over-m-def total-over-set-def
    dpllW-core.simps state'-def
    dpll.dpll-decide.simps dpll.dpll-backtrack.simps backtrack-opt.simps
    dpll.dpll-propagate.simps)
done
have [intro]: <is-decided L ==>
  backtrack-split (trail S) = (M', L ≠ M) ==>
  trail S ⊨as CNot D ==> D ∈# clauses S ==> False for M' L M D
  using dpllW-core-Ex-backtrack[of S M' L M D] ns
  by (auto simp: dpllW-bnb.simps)
have [intro]: <is-decided L ==>
  backtrack-split (trail S) = (M', L ≠ M) ==>
  trail S ⊨as CNot D ==> D ∈# conflicting-clss S ==> False for M' L M D
  using dpllW-core-Ex-backtrack-opt[of S M' L M D] ns
  by (auto simp: dpllW-bnb.simps)
have tot: <total-over-m (lits-of-l (trail S)) (set-mset (clauses S))>
  using no-decide
  by (force simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def state'-def
    Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l)
have [simp]: <add-mset L C ∈# clauses S ==> defined-lit (trail S) L for L C
  using no-decide
  by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
have [simp]: <add-mset L C ∈# conflicting-clss S ==> defined-lit (trail S) L for L C
  using no-decide atms-of-conflicting-clss[of S]
  by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
show ?thesis
  by (auto simp: dpllW.simps no-decide)

```

qed

context

assumes *can-always-improve*:

$\langle \bigwedge S. \text{trail } S \models \text{asm clauses } S \rangle \implies (\forall C \in \# \text{ conflicting-clss } S. \neg \text{trail } S \models \text{as } C \text{Not } C) \implies$
 $dpll_W\text{-all-inv}(\text{abs-state } S) \implies$
 $\text{total-over-m}(\text{lits-of-l}(\text{trail } S)) (\text{set-mset}(\text{clauses } S)) \implies \text{Ex}(dpll_W\text{-bound } S)$

begin

lemma *no-step-dpllW-bnb-conflict*:

assumes

$ns: \langle \text{no-step } dpll_W\text{-bnb } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $inv: \langle dpll_W\text{-all-inv}(\text{abs-state } S) \rangle$

shows $\langle \exists C \in \# \text{ clauses } S + \text{conflicting-clss } S. \text{trail } S \models \text{as } C \text{Not } C \rangle \text{ (is } ?A\text{) and}$
 $\langle \text{count-decided}(\text{trail } S) = 0 \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{unsatisfiable}(\text{set-mset}(\text{clauses } S + \text{conflicting-clss } S)) \rangle$

proof (*rule ccontr*)

have *no-decide*: $\langle \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm}(\text{clauses } S) \rangle \implies \text{defined-lit}(\text{trail } S) L \text{ for } L$
using *spec[OF ns, of cons-trail - S]*
apply (*fastforce simp: dpllW-bnb.simps total-over-m-def total-over-set-def dpllW-core.simps state'-def dpll.dpll-decide.simps dpll.dpll-backtrack.simps backtrack-opt.simps dpll.dpll-propagate.simps*)

done

have *tot*: $\langle \text{total-over-m}(\text{lits-of-l}(\text{trail } S)) (\text{set-mset}(\text{clauses } S)) \rangle$

using *no-decide*
by (*force simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def state'-def Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l*)

have *dec0*: $\langle \text{count-decided}(\text{trail } S) = 0 \rangle \text{ if ent: } ?A$

proof –

obtain *C* **where**

$\langle C \in \# \text{ clauses } S + \text{conflicting-clss } S \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\langle \text{trail } S \models \text{as } C \text{Not } C \rangle$
using *ent tot ns invs*
by (*auto simp: dpllW-bnb.simps*)

then show $\langle \text{count-decided}(\text{trail } S) = 0 \rangle$

using *ns dpllW-core-Ex-backtrack[of S - - - C] dpllW-core-Ex-backtrack-opt[of S - - - C]*
unfolding *count-decided-0-iff*

apply *clarify*
apply (*frule backtrack-split-some-is-decided-then-snd-has-hd'[of - <trail S>], assumption*)
apply (*auto simp: dpllW-bnb.simps count-decided-0-iff*)
done
qed

show *A: False if* $\neg ?A$
proof –
have $\langle \text{trail } S \models a C \rangle \text{ if } \langle C \in \# \text{ clauses } S + \text{conflicting-clss } S \rangle \text{ for } C$
proof –
have $\langle \neg \text{trail } S \models \text{as } C \text{Not } C \rangle$
using $\neg ?A$ **that by** (*auto dest!: multi-member-split*)
then show $\langle ?\text{thesis} \rangle$
using *tot that*
total-not-true-cls-true-clss-CNot[of <lits-of-l(trail S)> C]
apply (*auto simp: true-annots-def simp del: true-clss-def-iff-negation-in-model dest!: multi-member-split*)

```

  using true-annot-def apply blast
  using true-annot-def apply blast
  by (metis Decided-Propagated-in-iff-in-lits-of-l atms-of-clauses-conflicting-clss atms-of-ms-union
       in-m-in-literals no-decide set-mset-union that true-annot-def true-cls-add-mset)
qed
then have <trail S |=asm clauses S + conflicting-clss S>
  by (auto simp: true-annots-def dest!: multi-member-split )
then show ?thesis
  using can-always-improve[of S] <¬?A> tot invs ns by (auto simp: dpllW-bnb.simps)
qed
then show <count-decided (trail S) = 0>
  using dec0 by blast
moreover have ?A
  using A by blast
ultimately show <unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses S + conflicting-clss S))>
  using only-propagated-vars-unsat[of <trail S> - <set-mset (clauses S + conflicting-clss S)>] invs
  unfolding dpllW-all-inv-def count-decided-0-iff
  by auto
qed

end

inductive dpllW-core-stgy :: <'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool> for S T where
propagate: <dpll.dpll-propagate S T ⇒ dpllW-core-stgy S T> |
decided: <dpll.dpll-decide S T ⇒ no-step dpll.dpll-propagate S ⇒ dpllW-core-stgy S T> |
backtrack: <dpll.dpll-backtrack S T ⇒ dpllW-core-stgy S T> |
backtrack-opt: <backtrack-opt S T ⇒ dpllW-core-stgy S T>

lemma dpllW-core-stgy-dpllW-core: <dpllW-core-stgy S T ⇒ dpllW-core S T>
  by (induction rule: dpllW-core-stgy.induct)
    (auto intro: dpllW-core.intros)

lemma rtranclp-dpllW-core-stgy-dpllW-core: <dpllW-core-stgy** S T ⇒ dpllW-core** S T>
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
    (auto dest: dpllW-core-stgy-dpllW-core)

lemma no-step-stgy-iff: <no-step dpllW-core-stgy S ↔ no-step dpllW-core S>
  by (auto simp: dpllW-core-stgy.simps dpllW-core.simps)

lemma full-dpllW-core-stgy-dpllW-core: <full dpllW-core-stgy S T ⇒ full dpllW-core S T>
  unfolding full-def by (simp add: no-step-stgy-iff rtranclp-dpllW-core-stgy-dpllW-core)

lemma dpllW-core-stgy-clauses:
  <dpllW-core-stgy S T ⇒ clauses T = clauses S>
  by (induction rule: dpllW-core-stgy.induct)
    (auto simp: dpll.dpll-propagate.simps dpll.dpll-decide.simps dpll.dpll-backtrack.simps
      backtrack-opt.simps)

lemma rtranclp-dpllW-core-stgy-clauses:
  <dpllW-core-stgy** S T ⇒ clauses T = clauses S>
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
    (auto dest: dpllW-core-stgy-clauses)

end

```

```

end
theory DPPLL-W-Optimal-Model
imports
  DPPLL-W-BnB
begin

locale dpllW-state-optimal-weight =
  dpllW-state trail clauses
    tl-trail cons-trail state-eq state +
    ocdcl-weight ρ
  for
    trail :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'v dpllW-ann-lits⟩ and
    clauses :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'v clauses⟩ and
    tl-trail :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st⟩ and
    cons-trail :: ⟨'v dpllW-ann-lit ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st⟩ and
    state-eq :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'st ⇒ bool⟩ (infix ⟨~> 50) and
    state :: ⟨'st ⇒ 'v dpllW-ann-lits × 'v clauses × 'v clause option × 'b⟩ and
    ρ :: ⟨'v clause ⇒ 'a :: {linorder}⟩ +
  fixes
    update-additional-info :: ⟨'v clause option × 'b ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st⟩
  assumes
    update-additional-info:
      ⟨state S = (M, N, K) ⟹ state (update-additional-info K' S) = (M, N, K')⟩
begin

definition update-weight-information :: ⟨('v literal, 'v literal, unit) annotated-lits ⇒ 'st ⇒ 'st⟩ where
  ⟨update-weight-information M S =
    update-additional-info (Some (lit-of '# mset M), snd (additional-info S)) S⟩

lemma [simp]:
  ⟨trail (update-weight-information M' S) = trail S⟩
  ⟨clauses (update-weight-information M' S) = clauses S⟩
  ⟨clauses (update-additional-info c S) = clauses S⟩
  ⟨additional-info (update-additional-info (w, oth) S) = (w, oth)⟩
using update-additional-info[of S] unfolding update-weight-information-def
by (auto simp: state)

lemma state-update-weight-information: ⟨state S = (M, N, w, oth) ⟹
  ∃ w'. state (update-weight-information M' S) = (M, N, w', oth)⟩
apply (auto simp: state)
apply (auto simp: update-weight-information-def)
done

definition weight where
  ⟨weight S = fst (additional-info S)⟩

lemma [simp]: ⟨(weight (update-weight-information M' S)) = Some (lit-of '# mset M')⟩
unfolding weight-def by (auto simp: update-weight-information-def)

We test here a slightly different decision. In the CDCL version, we renamed additional-info from the BNB version to avoid collisions. Here instead of renaming, we add the prefix bnn. to every name.

sublocale bnn: bnn-ops where
  trail = trail and

```

```

clauses = clauses and
tl-trail = tl-trail and
cons-trail = cons-trail and
state-eq = state-eq and
state = state and
weight = weight and
conflicting-clauses = conflicting-clauses and
is-improving-int = is-improving-int and
update-weight-information = update-weight-information
by unfold-locales

```

```

lemma atms-of-mm-conflicting-clss-incl-init-clauses:
  ‹atms-of-mm (bnb.conflicting-clss S) ⊆ atms-of-mm (clauses S)›
  using conflicting-clss-incl-init-clauses[of ‹clauses S› ‹weight S›]
  unfolding bnb.conflicting-clss-def
  by auto

lemma is-improving-conflicting-clss-update-weight-information: ‹bnb.is-improving M M' S ==>
  bnb.conflicting-clss S ⊆# bnb.conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M' S)›
  using is-improving-conflicting-clss-update-weight-information[of M M' ‹clauses S› ‹weight S›]
  unfolding bnb.conflicting-clss-def
  by (auto simp: update-weight-information-def weight-def)

lemma conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in2:
  assumes ‹bnb.is-improving M M' S›
  shows ‹negate-ann-lits M' ∈# bnb.conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M' S)›
  using conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in2[of M M' ‹clauses S› ‹weight S›] assms
  unfolding bnb.conflicting-clss-def
  unfolding bnb.conflicting-clss-def
  by (auto simp: update-weight-information-def weight-def)

lemma state-additional-info':
  ‹state S = (trail S, clauses S, weight S, bnb.additional-info S)›
  unfolding additional-info-def by (cases ‹state S›; auto simp: state weight-def bnb.additional-info-def)

sublocale bnb: bnb where
  trail = trail and
  clauses = clauses and
  tl-trail = tl-trail and
  cons-trail = cons-trail and
  state-eq = state-eq and
  state = state and
  weight = weight and
  conflicting-clauses = conflicting-clauses and
  is-improving-int = is-improving-int and
  update-weight-information = update-weight-information
  apply unfold-locales
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by (rule state-eq-sym)
  subgoal by (rule state-eq-trans)
  subgoal by (auto dest!: state-eq-state)
  subgoal by (rule cons-trail)
  subgoal by (rule tl-trail)
  subgoal by (rule state-update-weight-information)

```

```

subgoal by (rule is-improving-conflicting-clss-update-weight-information)
subgoal by (rule conflicting-clss-update-weight-information-in2; assumption)
subgoal by (rule atms-of-mm-conflicting-clss-incl-init-clauses)
subgoal by (rule state-additional-info')
done

lemma improve-model-still-model:
assumes
  ‹bnn.dpllW-bound S T› and
  all-struct: ‹dpllW-all-inv (bnn.abs-state S)› and
  ent: ‹set-mset I ⊨sm clauses S› ‹set-mset I ⊨sm bnb.conflicting-clss S› and
  dist: ‹distinct-mset I› and
  cons: ‹consistent-interp (set-mset I)› and
  tot: ‹atms-of I = atms-of-mm (clauses S)› and
  le: ‹Found (ρ I) < ρ' (weight T)›
shows
  ‹set-mset I ⊨sm clauses T ∧ set-mset I ⊨sm bnb.conflicting-clss T›
using assms(1)
proof (cases rule: bnn.dpllW-bound.cases)
  case (update-info M M') note imp = this(1) and T = this(2)
  have atm-trail: ‹atms-of (lit-of '# mset (trail S)) ⊆ atms-of-mm (clauses S)› and
    dist2: ‹distinct-mset (lit-of '# mset (trail S))› and
    taut2: ‹¬ tautology (lit-of '# mset (trail S))›
  using all-struct unfolding dpllW-all-inv-def by (auto simp: lits-of-def atms-of-def dest: no-dup-distinct no-dup-not-tautology)
  have tot2: ‹total-over-m (set-mset I) (set-mset (clauses S))›
  using tot[symmetric]
  by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def atm-iff-pos-or-neg-lit)
  have atm-trail: ‹atms-of (lit-of '# mset M') ⊆ atms-of-mm (clauses S)› and
  dist2: ‹distinct-mset (lit-of '# mset M')› and
  taut2: ‹¬ tautology (lit-of '# mset M')›
  using imp by (auto simp: lits-of-def atms-of-def is-improving-int-def simple-clss-def)
  have tot2: ‹total-over-m (set-mset I) (set-mset (clauses S))›
  using tot[symmetric]
  by (auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def atm-iff-pos-or-neg-lit)
  have
    ‹set-mset I ⊨m conflicting-clauses (clauses S) (weight (update-weight-information M' S))›
    using entails-conflicting-clauses-if-le[of I (clauses S) M' M (weight S)]
    using T dist cons tot le imp by auto
  then have ‹set-mset I ⊨m bnb.conflicting-clss (update-weight-information M' S)›
    by (auto simp: update-weight-information-def bnb.conflicting-clss-def)
  then show ?thesis
    using ent T by (auto simp: bnb.conflicting-clss-def state)
qed

```

```

lemma cdcl-bnn-still-model:
assumes
  ‹bnn.dpllW-bnn S T› and
  all-struct: ‹dpllW-all-inv (bnn.abs-state S)› and
  ent: ‹set-mset I ⊨sm clauses S› ‹set-mset I ⊨sm bnb.conflicting-clss S› and
  dist: ‹distinct-mset I› and
  cons: ‹consistent-interp (set-mset I)› and
  tot: ‹atms-of I = atms-of-mm (clauses S)›

```

```

shows
  ⟨(set-mset I ⊨sm clauses T ∧ set-mset I ⊨sm bnb.conflicting-clss T) ∨ Found (ρ I) ≥ ρ' (weight T)⟩
  using assms
proof (induction rule: bnb.dpllW-bnb.induct)
  case (dpll S T)
  then show ?case using ent by (auto elim!: bnb.dpllW-coreE simp: bnb.state'-def
    dpll-decide.simps dpll-backtrack.simps bnb.backtrack-opt.simps
    dpll-propagate.simps)
next
  case (bnb S T)
  then show ?case
    using improve-model-still-model[of S T I] using assms(2-) by auto
qed

lemma cdcl-bnb-larger-still-larger:
assumes
  ⟨bnb.dpllW-bnb S T⟩
shows ⟨ρ' (weight S) ≥ ρ' (weight T)⟩
using assms apply (cases rule: bnb.dpllW-bnb.cases)
by (auto simp: bnb.dpllW-bound.simps is-improving-int-def bnb.dpllW-core-same-weight)

lemma rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-still-model:
assumes
  st: ⟨bnb.dpllW-bnb** S T⟩ and
  all-struct: ⟨dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state S)⟩ and
  ent: ⟨(set-mset I ⊨sm clauses S ∧ set-mset I ⊨sm bnb.conflicting-clss S) ∨ Found (ρ I) ≥ ρ' (weight S)⟩ and
  dist: ⟨distinct-mset I⟩ and
  cons: ⟨consistent-interp (set-mset I)⟩ and
  tot: ⟨atms-of I = atms-of-mm (clauses S)⟩
shows
  ⟨(set-mset I ⊨sm clauses T ∧ set-mset I ⊨sm bnb.conflicting-clss T) ∨ Found (ρ I) ≥ ρ' (weight T)⟩
  using st
proof (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  case base
  then show ?case
    using ent by auto
next
  case (step T U) note star = this(1) and st = this(2) and IH = this(3)
  have 1: ⟨dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state T)⟩
  using bnb.rtranclp-dpllW-bnb-abs-state-all-inv[OF star all-struct] .
  have 3: ⟨atms-of I = atms-of-mm (clauses T)⟩
  using bnb.rtranclp-dpllW-bnb-clauses[OF star] tot by auto
  show ?case
    using cdcl-bnb-still-model[OF st 1 - - dist cons 3] IH
    cdcl-bnb-larger-still-larger[OF st]
    order.trans by blast
qed

lemma simple-clss-entailed-by-too-heavy-in-conflicting:
  ⟨C ∈# mset-set (simple-clss (atms-of-mm (clauses S))) ⟹
  too-heavy-clauses (clauses S) (weight S) ⊨pm
  (C) ⟹ C ∈# bnb.conflicting-clss S,
  by (auto simp: conflicting-clauses-def bnb.conflicting-clss-def)

```

lemma *can-always-improve*:

assumes

- ent*: $\langle \text{trail } S \models \text{asm clauses } S \rangle$ **and**
- total*: $\langle \text{total-over-m} (\text{lits-of-l} (\text{trail } S)) (\text{set-mset} (\text{clauses } S)) \rangle$ **and**
- n-s*: $\langle (\forall C \in \# \text{ bnb.conflicting-clss } S. \neg \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot } C) \rangle$ **and**
- all-struct*: $\langle \text{dpll}_W\text{-all-inv} (\text{bnb.abs-state } S) \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{Ex} (\text{bnb.dpll}_W\text{-bound } S) \rangle$

proof –

have $H: \langle (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset} (\text{trail } S)) \in \# \text{ mset-set} (\text{simple-clss} (\text{atms-of-mm} (\text{clauses } S))) \rangle$

- $\langle (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset} (\text{trail } S)) \in \text{simple-clss} (\text{atms-of-mm} (\text{clauses } S)) \rangle$
- $\langle \text{no-dup} (\text{trail } S) \rangle$

apply (*subst finite-set-mset-mset-set[OF simple-clss-finite]*)

using *all-struct by* (*auto simp: simple-clss-def dpll_W-all-inv-def atms-of-def lits-of-def image-image clauses-def dest: no-dup-not-tautology no-dup-distinct*)

moreover have $\langle \text{trail } S \models_{\text{as}} \text{CNot} (\text{pNeg} (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset} (\text{trail } S))) \rangle$

by (*auto simp: pNeg-def true-annots-true-cls-def-iff-negation-in-model lits-of-def*)

ultimately have $le: \langle \text{Found} (\varrho (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset} (\text{trail } S))) < \varrho' (\text{weight } S) \rangle$

using *n-s total not-entailed-too-heavy-clauses-ge[of <lit-of ‘# mset (trail S)> <clauses S> <weight S>] simple-clss-entailed-by-too-heavy-in-conflicting[of <pNeg (lit-of ‘# mset (trail S))> S]*

by (*cases <¬ too-heavy-clauses (clauses S) (weight S) |=pm pNeg (lit-of ‘# mset (trail S))> (auto simp: lits-of-def conflicting-clauses-def clauses-def negate-ann-lits-pNeg-lit-of image-iff simple-clss-finite subset-iff dest: bspec[of - - <(lit-of ‘# mset (trail S))>] dest: total-over-m-atms-incl true-cls-in too-heavy-clauses-contains-itself dest!: multi-member-split)*)

have $tr: \langle \text{trail } S \models \text{asm clauses } S \rangle$

using *ent by* (*auto simp: clauses-def*)

have $tot': \langle \text{total-over-m} (\text{lits-of-l} (\text{trail } S)) (\text{set-mset} (\text{clauses } S)) \rangle$

using *total all-struct by* (*auto simp: total-over-m-def total-over-set-def*)

have $M': \langle \varrho (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M') = \varrho (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset} (\text{trail } S)) \rangle$

if $\langle \text{total-over-m} (\text{lits-of-l } M') (\text{set-mset} (\text{clauses } S)) \rangle$ **and**

incl: <mset (trail S) ⊆# mset M’> and <lit-of ‘# mset M’ ∈ simple-clss (atms-of-mm (clauses S))>

for M'

proof –

have [*simp*]: $\langle \text{lits-of-l } M' = \text{set-mset} (\text{lit-of } \# \text{ mset } M') \rangle$

by (*auto simp: lits-of-def*)

obtain A **where** $A: \langle \text{mset } M' = A + \text{mset} (\text{trail } S) \rangle$

using *incl by* (*auto simp: mset-subset-eq-exists-conv*)

have $M': \langle \text{lits-of-l } M' = \text{lit-of } ‘\text{set-mset } A \cup \text{lits-of-l} (\text{trail } S)’ \rangle$

unfolding *lits-of-def*

by (*metis A image-Un set-mset-mset set-mset-union*)

have $\langle \text{mset } M' = \text{mset} (\text{trail } S) \rangle$

using *that tot’ total unfolding A total-over-m-alt-def apply (case-tac A)*

apply (*auto simp: A simple-clss-def distinct-mset-add M’ image-Un tautology-union mset-inter-empty-set-mset atms-of-def atms-of-s-def atm-of-in-atm-of-set-iff-in-set-or-uminus-in-set image-image tautology-add-mset*)

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) atm-of-in-atm-of-set-iff-in-set-or-uminus-in-set lits-of-def subsetCE*)

```

then show ?thesis
  using total by auto
qed
have ‹bnb.is-improving (trail S) (trail S) S›
  if ‹Found (ρ (lit-of '# mset (trail S))) < ρ' (weight S)›
  using that total H tr tot' M' unfolding is-improving-int-def lits-of-def
  by fast
then show ?thesis
  using bnb.dpllW-bound.intros[of ‹trail S› - S ‹update-weight-information (trail S) S›] total H le
  by fast
qed

```

lemma no-step-dpllW-bnb-conflict:

```

assumes
  ns: ‹no-step bnb.dpllW-bnb S› and
  invs: ‹dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state S)›
shows ‹∃ C ∈# clauses S + bnb.conflicting-clss S. trail S |=as CNot C› (is ?A) and
  ‹count-decided (trail S) = 0› and
  ‹unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses S + bnb.conflicting-clss S))›
apply (rule bnb.no-step-dpllW-bnb-conflict[OF - assms])
subgoal using can-always-improve by blast
apply (rule bnb.no-step-dpllW-bnb-conflict[OF - assms])
subgoal using can-always-improve by blast
apply (rule bnb.no-step-dpllW-bnb-conflict[OF - assms])
subgoal using can-always-improve by blast
done

```

lemma full-cdcl-bnb-stgy-larger-or-equal-weight:

```

assumes
  st: ‹full bnb.dpllW-bnb S T› and
  all-struct: ‹dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state S)› and
  ent: ‹(set-mset I |=sm clauses S ∧ set-mset I |=sm bnb.conflicting-clss S) ∨ Found (ρ I) ≥ ρ' (weight
S)› and
  dist: ‹distinct-mset I› and
  cons: ‹consistent-interp (set-mset I)› and
  tot: ‹atms-of I = atms-of-mm (clauses S)›
shows
  ‹Found (ρ I) ≥ ρ' (weight T)› and
  ‹unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses T + bnb.conflicting-clss T))›

```

proof –

```

have ns: ‹no-step bnb.dpllW-bnb T› and
  st: ‹bnb.dpllW-bnb** S T›
  using st unfolding full-def by (auto intro: )
have struct-T: ‹dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state T)›
  using bnb.rtranclp-dpllW-bnb-abs-state-all-inv[OF st all-struct] .

```

```

have atms-eq: ‹atms-of I ∪ atms-of-mm (bnb.conflicting-clss T) = atms-of-mm (clauses T)›
  using atms-of-mm-conflicting-clss-incl-init-clauses[of T]
    bnb.rtranclp-dpllW-bnb-clauses[OF st] tot
  by auto

```

```

show ‹unsatisfiable (set-mset (clauses T + bnb.conflicting-clss T))›
  using no-step-dpllW-bnb-conflict[of T] ns struct-T
  by fast
then have ‹¬set-mset I |=sm clauses T + bnb.conflicting-clss T›

```

```

using dist cons by auto
then have ‹False› if ‹Found (ρ I) < ρ' (weight T)›
using ent that rtranclp-cdcl-bnb-still-model[OF st assms(2-)]
  bnb.rtranclp-dpllW-bnb-clauses[OF st]
apply simp
using leD by blast

then show ‹Found (ρ I) ≥ ρ' (weight T)›
  by force
qed

```

```

end

end
theory DPLL-W-Partial-Encoding
imports
  DPLL-W-Optimal-Model
  CDCL-W-Partial-Encoding
begin

context optimal-encoding-ops
begin

```

We use the following list to generate an upper bound of the derived trails by ODPLL: using lists makes it possible to use recursion. Using *inductive-set* does not work, because it is not possible to recurse on the arguments of a predicate.

The idea is similar to an earlier definition of *simple-clss*, although in that case, we went for recursion over the set of literals directly, via a choice in the recursive call.

```

definition list-new-vars :: ‹'v list› where
  ‹list-new-vars = (SOME v. set v = ΔΣ ∧ distinct v)›

lemma
  set-list-new-vars: ‹set list-new-vars = ΔΣ› and
  distinct-list-new-vars: ‹distinct list-new-vars› and
  length-list-new-vars: ‹length list-new-vars = card ΔΣ›
  using someI[of ‹λv. set v = ΔΣ ∧ distinct v›]
  unfolding list-new-vars-def[symmetric]
  using finite-Σ finite-distinct-list apply blast
  using someI[of ‹λv. set v = ΔΣ ∧ distinct v›]
  unfolding list-new-vars-def[symmetric]
  using finite-Σ finite-distinct-list apply blast
  using someI[of ‹λv. set v = ΔΣ ∧ distinct v›]
  unfolding list-new-vars-def[symmetric]
  by (metis distinct-card finite-Σ finite-distinct-list)

fun all-sound-trails where
  ‹all-sound-trails [] = simple-clss (Σ - ΔΣ)› | 
  ‹all-sound-trails (L # M) =
    all-sound-trails M ∪ add-mset (Pos (replacement-pos L)) ` all-sound-trails M
    ∪ add-mset (Pos (replacement-neg L)) ` all-sound-trails M›

lemma all-sound-trails-atms:

```

```

`set xs ⊆ ΔΣ ==>
C ∈ all-sound-trails xs ==>
atms-of C ⊆ Σ – ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ` set xs ∪ replacement-neg ` set xs
apply (induction xs arbitrary: C)
subgoal by (auto simp: simple-clss-def)
subgoal for x xs C
  apply (auto simp: tautology-add-mset)
  apply blast+
  done
done

```

```

lemma all-sound-trails-distinct-mset:
`set xs ⊆ ΔΣ ==> distinct xs ==>
C ∈ all-sound-trails xs ==>
distinct-mset C
using all-sound-trails-atms[of xs C]
apply (induction xs arbitrary: C)
subgoal by (auto simp: simple-clss-def)
subgoal for x xs C
  apply clarsimp
  apply (auto simp: tautology-add-mset)
  apply (simp add: all-sound-trails-atms; fail)+
  apply (frule all-sound-trails-atms, assumption)
  apply (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: subsetD)
  apply (simp add: all-sound-trails-atms; fail)+
  apply (frule all-sound-trails-atms, assumption)
  apply (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: subsetD)
  apply (simp add: all-sound-trails-atms; fail)+
  done
done

```

```

lemma all-sound-trails-tautology:
`set xs ⊆ ΔΣ ==> distinct xs ==>
C ∈ all-sound-trails xs ==>
¬tautology C
using all-sound-trails-atms[of xs C]
apply (induction xs arbitrary: C)
subgoal by (auto simp: simple-clss-def)
subgoal for x xs C
  apply clarsimp
  apply (auto simp: tautology-add-mset)
  apply (simp add: all-sound-trails-atms; fail)+
  apply (frule all-sound-trails-atms, assumption)
  apply (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: subsetD)
  apply (simp add: all-sound-trails-atms; fail)+
  apply (frule all-sound-trails-atms, assumption)
  apply (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: subsetD)
  done
done

```

```

lemma all-sound-trails-simple-clss:
`set xs ⊆ ΔΣ ==> distinct xs ==>
all-sound-trails xs ⊆ simple-clss (Σ – ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ` set xs ∪ replacement-neg ` set xs)`
using all-sound-trails-tautology[of xs]
  all-sound-trails-distinct-mset[of xs]
  all-sound-trails-atms[of xs]

```

```

by (fastforce simp: simple-clss-def)

lemma in-all-sound-trails-inD:
  ‹set xs ⊆ ΔΣ ==> distinct xs ==> a ∈ ΔΣ ==>
    add-mset (Pos (a↑0)) xa ∈ all-sound-trails xs ==> a ∈ set xs›
  using all-sound-trails-simple-clss[of xs]
  apply (auto simp: simple-clss-def)
  apply (rotate-tac 3)
  apply (frule set-mp, assumption)
  apply auto
  done

lemma in-all-sound-trails-inD':
  ‹set xs ⊆ ΔΣ ==> distinct xs ==> a ∈ ΔΣ ==>
    add-mset (Pos (a↑1)) xa ∈ all-sound-trails xs ==> a ∈ set xs›
  using all-sound-trails-simple-clss[of xs]
  apply (auto simp: simple-clss-def)
  apply (rotate-tac 3)
  apply (frule set-mp, assumption)
  apply auto
  done

context
  assumes [simp]: ‹finite Σ›
begin

lemma all-sound-trails-finite[simp]:
  ‹finite (all-sound-trails xs)›
  by (induction xs)
    (auto intro!: simple-clss-finite finite-Σ)

lemma card-all-sound-trails:
  assumes ‹set xs ⊆ ΔΣ› and ‹distinct xs›
  shows ‹card (all-sound-trails xs) = card (simple-clss (Σ - ΔΣ)) * 3 ^ (length xs)›
  using assms
  apply (induction xs)
  apply auto
  apply (subst card-Un-disjoint)
  apply (auto simp: add-mset-eq-add-mset dest: in-all-sound-trails-inD)
  apply (subst card-Un-disjoint)
  apply (auto simp: add-mset-eq-add-mset dest: in-all-sound-trails-inD')
  apply (subst card-image)
  apply (auto simp: inj-on-def)
  apply (subst card-image)
  apply (auto simp: inj-on-def)
  done

end

lemma simple-clss-all-sound-trails: ‹simple-clss (Σ - ΔΣ) ⊆ all-sound-trails ys›
  apply (induction ys)
  apply auto
  done

lemma all-sound-trails-decomp-in:
  assumes

```

```

⟨C ⊆ ΔΣ⟩ ⟨C' ⊆ ΔΣ⟩ ⟨C ∩ C' = {}⟩ ⟨C ∪ C' ⊆ set xs⟩
⟨D ∈ simple-clss (Σ − ΔΣ)⟩
shows
⟨(Pos o replacement-pos) ‘# mset-set C + (Pos o replacement-neg) ‘# mset-set C' + D ∈ all-sound-trails
xs⟩
using assms
apply (induction xs arbitrary: C C' D)
subgoal
using simple-clss-all-sound-trails[of ⟨⟩]
by auto
subgoal premises p for a xs C C' D
apply (cases ⟨a ∈# mset-set C⟩)
subgoal
using p(1)[of ⟨C − {a}⟩ C' D] p(2−)
finite-subset[OF p(3)]
apply –
apply (subgoal-tac ⟨finite C ∧ C − {a} ⊆ ΔΣ ∧ C' ⊆ ΔΣ ∧ (C − {a}) ∩ C' = {} ∧ C − {a} ∪
C' ⊆ set xs⟩)
defer
apply (auto simp: disjoint-iff-not-equal finite-subset) []
apply (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
by (simp add: mset-set.remove)
apply (cases ⟨a ∈# mset-set C'⟩)
subgoal
using p(1)[of C ⟨C' − {a}⟩ D] p(2−)
finite-subset[OF p(3)]
apply –
apply (subgoal-tac ⟨finite C ∧ C ⊆ ΔΣ ∧ C' − {a} ⊆ ΔΣ ∧ (C) ∩ (C' − {a}) = {} ∧ C ∪ C' −
{a} ⊆ set xs ∧
C ⊆ set xs ∧ C' − {a} ⊆ set xs⟩)
defer
apply (auto simp: disjoint-iff-not-equal finite-subset) []
apply (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
by (simp add: mset-set.remove)
subgoal
using p(1)[of C C' D] p(2−)
finite-subset[OF p(3)]
apply –
apply (subgoal-tac ⟨finite C ∧ C ⊆ ΔΣ ∧ C' ⊆ ΔΣ ∧ (C) ∩ (C') = {} ∧ C ∪ C' ⊆ set xs ∧
C ⊆ set xs ∧ C' ⊆ set xs⟩)
defer
apply (auto simp: disjoint-iff-not-equal finite-subset) []
by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
done
done

```

lemma (in −)image-union-subset-decomp:

```

⟨f ‘ (C) ⊆ A ∪ B ↔ (exists A' B'. f ‘ A' ⊆ A ∧ f ‘ B' ⊆ B ∧ C = A' ∪ B' ∧ A' ∩ B' = {})⟩
apply (rule iffI)
apply (rule exI[of _ ⟨{x ∈ C. f x ∈ A}⟩])
apply (rule exI[of _ ⟨{x ∈ C. f x ∈ B ∧ f x ∉ A}⟩])
apply auto
done

```

lemma in-all-sound-trails:

assumes

```

⟨ $\bigwedge L. L \in \Delta\Sigma \implies \text{Neg}(\text{replacement-pos } L) \notin \# C$ ⟩
⟨ $\bigwedge L. L \in \Delta\Sigma \implies \text{Neg}(\text{replacement-neg } L) \notin \# C$ ⟩
⟨ $\bigwedge L. L \in \Delta\Sigma \implies \text{Pos}(\text{replacement-pos } L) \in \# C \implies \text{Pos}(\text{replacement-neg } L) \notin \# C$ ⟩
⟨ $C \in \text{simple-clss } (\Sigma - \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-pos}`\text{set } xs \cup \text{replacement-neg}`\text{set } xs)$ ⟩ and
 $xs: \langle \text{set } xs \subseteq \Delta\Sigma \rangle$ 
shows
 $\langle C \in \text{all-sound-trails } xs \rangle$ 
proof –
have
 $\text{atms}: \langle \text{atms-of } C \subseteq (\Sigma - \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-pos}`\text{set } xs \cup \text{replacement-neg}`\text{set } xs) \rangle$  and
 $\text{taut}: \langle \neg \text{tautology } C \rangle$  and
 $\text{dist}: \langle \text{distinct-mset } C \rangle$ 
using assms unfolding simple-clss-def
by blast+

obtain  $A' B' A'a B''$  where
 $A'a: \langle \text{atm-of } 'A'a \subseteq \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma \rangle$  and
 $B'': \langle \text{atm-of } 'B'' \subseteq \text{replacement-pos}`\text{set } xs \rangle$  and
 $\langle A' = A'a \cup B'' \rangle$  and
 $B': \langle \text{atm-of } 'B' \subseteq \text{replacement-neg}`\text{set } xs \rangle$  and
 $C: \langle \text{set-mset } C = A'a \cup B'' \cup B' \rangle$  and
inter:
 $\langle B'' \cap B' = \{\} \rangle$ 
 $\langle A'a \cap B' = \{\} \rangle$ 
 $\langle A'a \cap B'' = \{\} \rangle$ 
using atms unfolding atm-of-def
apply (subst (asm)image-union-subset-decomp)
apply (subst (asm)image-union-subset-decomp)
by (auto simp: Int-Un-distrib2)

have  $H: \langle f ` A \subseteq B \implies x \in A \implies f x \in B \rangle$  for  $x A B f$ 
by auto
have [simp]:  $\langle \text{finite } A'a \rangle$   $\langle \text{finite } B'' \rangle$   $\langle \text{finite } B' \rangle$ 
by (metis C finite-Un finite-set-mset)+
obtain  $CB'' CB'$  where
 $CB: \langle CB' \subseteq \text{set } xs \rangle$   $\langle CB'' \subseteq \text{set } xs \rangle$  and
decomp:
 $\langle \text{atm-of } 'B'' = \text{replacement-pos}`CB'' \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{atm-of } 'B' = \text{replacement-neg}`CB' \rangle$ 
using B' B'' by (auto simp: subset-image-iff)
have  $C: \langle C = \text{mset-set } B'' + \text{mset-set } B' + \text{mset-set } A'a \rangle$ 
using inter
apply (subst distinct-set-mset-eq-iff[symmetric, OF dist])
apply (auto simp: C distinct-mset-mset-set simp flip: mset-set-Union)
apply (subst mset-set-Union[symmetric])
using inter
apply auto
done
have  $B'': \langle B'' = (\text{Pos})`(\text{atm-of } 'B'') \rangle$ 
using assms(1–3) B'' xs A'a B'' unfolding C
apply (auto simp: )
apply (frule H, assumption)
apply (case-tac x)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x = ⟨replacement-pos A⟩ in imageI)
apply (auto simp add: rev-image-eqI)

```

```

apply (frule H, assumption)
apply (case-tac xb)
apply auto
done
have B': ‹B' = (Pos) ` (atm-of ` B')›
using assms(1–3) B' xs A'a B' unfolding C
apply (auto simp: )
apply (frule H, assumption)
apply (case-tac x)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x = ‹replacement-neg A› in imageI)
apply (auto simp add: rev-image-eqI)
apply (frule H, assumption)
apply (case-tac xb)
apply auto
done

have simple: ‹mset-set A'a ∈ simple-clss (Σ – ΔΣ)›
using assms A'a
by (auto simp: simple-clss-def C atms-of-def image-Un tautology-decomp distinct-mset-mset-set)

have [simp]: ‹finite (Pos ` replacement-pos ` CB'')› ‹finite (Pos ` replacement-neg ` CB')›
using B'' ‹finite B''› decomp ‹finite B'› B' apply auto
by (meson CB(1) finite-Σ finite-imageI finite-subset xs)
show ?thesis
unfolding C
apply (subst B'', subst B')
unfolding decomp image-image
apply (subst image-mset-mset-set[symmetric])
subgoal
using decomp xs B' B'' inter CB
by (auto simp: C inj-on-def subset-iff)
apply (subst image-mset-mset-set[symmetric])
subgoal
using decomp xs B' B'' inter CB
by (auto simp: C inj-on-def subset-iff)
apply (rule all-sound-trails-decomp-in[unfolded comp-def])
using decomp xs B' B'' inter CB assms(3) simple
unfolding C
apply (auto simp: image-image)
subgoal for x
apply (subgoal-tac ‹x ∈ ΔΣ›)
using assms(3)[of x]
apply auto
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) B' ‹finite (Pos ` replacement-neg ` CB')› ‹finite B''› decomp(2)
finite-set-mset-mset-set image-iff)
done
qed

end

```

```

locale dpll-optimal-encoding-opt =
dpllW-state-optimal-weight trail clauses
tl-trail cons-trail state-eq state ρ update-additional-info +
optimal-encoding-opt-ops Σ ΔΣ new-vars

```

```

for
  trail ::  $\langle' st \Rightarrow ' v \ dpll_W\text{-}ann\text{-}lits\rangle$  and
  clauses ::  $\langle' st \Rightarrow ' v \ clauses\rangle$  and
  tl-trail ::  $\langle' st \Rightarrow ' st\rangle$  and
  cons-trail ::  $\langle' v \ dpll_W\text{-}ann\text{-}lit \Rightarrow ' st \Rightarrow ' st\rangle$  and
  state-eq ::  $\langle' st \Rightarrow ' st \Rightarrow bool\rangle$  (infix  $\langle\sim\rangle 50$ ) and
  state ::  $\langle' st \Rightarrow ' v \ dpll_W\text{-}ann\text{-}lits \times ' v \ clauses \times ' v \ clause \ option \times ' b\rangle$  and
  update-additional-info ::  $\langle' v \ clause \ option \times ' b \Rightarrow ' st \Rightarrow ' st\rangle$  and
   $\Sigma \Delta\Sigma :: \langle' v \ set\rangle$  and
   $\varrho :: \langle' v \ clause \Rightarrow ' a :: \{linorder\}\rangle$  and
  new-vars ::  $\langle' v \Rightarrow ' v \times ' v\rangle$ 
begin

end

locale dpll-optimal-encoding =
  dpll-optimal-encoding-opt trail clauses
  tl-trail cons-trail state-eq state
  update-additional-info  $\Sigma \Delta\Sigma \varrho$  new-vars +
  optimal-encoding-ops
   $\Sigma \Delta\Sigma$ 
  new-vars  $\varrho$ 
for
  trail ::  $\langle' st \Rightarrow ' v \ dpll_W\text{-}ann\text{-}lits\rangle$  and
  clauses ::  $\langle' st \Rightarrow ' v \ clauses\rangle$  and
  tl-trail ::  $\langle' st \Rightarrow ' st\rangle$  and
  cons-trail ::  $\langle' v \ dpll_W\text{-}ann\text{-}lit \Rightarrow ' st \Rightarrow ' st\rangle$  and
  state-eq ::  $\langle' st \Rightarrow ' st \Rightarrow bool\rangle$  (infix  $\langle\sim\rangle 50$ ) and
  state ::  $\langle' st \Rightarrow ' v \ dpll_W\text{-}ann\text{-}lits \times ' v \ clauses \times ' v \ clause \ option \times ' b\rangle$  and
  update-additional-info ::  $\langle' v \ clause \ option \times ' b \Rightarrow ' st \Rightarrow ' st\rangle$  and
   $\Sigma \Delta\Sigma :: \langle' v \ set\rangle$  and
   $\varrho :: \langle' v \ clause \Rightarrow ' a :: \{linorder\}\rangle$  and
  new-vars ::  $\langle' v \Rightarrow ' v \times ' v\rangle$ 
begin

inductive odecide ::  $\langle' st \Rightarrow ' st \Rightarrow bool\rangle$  where
  odecide-noweight:  $\langle\text{o}\text{decide } S \ T\rangle$ 
if
   $\langle\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ L\rangle$  and
   $\langle\text{atm-of } L \in \text{atms-of-mm } (\text{clauses } S)\rangle$  and
   $\langle T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } L) \ S\rangle$  and
   $\langle\text{atm-of } L \in \Sigma - \Delta\Sigma\rangle$  |
  odecide-replacement-pos:  $\langle\text{o}\text{decide } S \ T\rangle$ 
if
   $\langle\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ (Pos \ (\text{replacement-pos } L))\rangle$  and
   $\langle T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } (Pos \ (\text{replacement-pos } L))) \ S\rangle$  and
   $\langle L \in \Delta\Sigma\rangle$  |
  odecide-replacement-neg:  $\langle\text{o}\text{decide } S \ T\rangle$ 
if
   $\langle\text{undefined-lit } (\text{trail } S) \ (Pos \ (\text{replacement-neg } L))\rangle$  and
   $\langle T \sim \text{cons-trail } (\text{Decided } (Pos \ (\text{replacement-neg } L))) \ S\rangle$  and
   $\langle L \in \Delta\Sigma\rangle$ 

inductive-cases odecideE:  $\langle\text{o}\text{decide } S \ T\rangle$ 

```

```

inductive dpll-conflict :: <'st => 'st => bool> where
  ⟨dpll-conflict S S⟩
if ⟨C ∈# clauses S⟩ and
  ⟨trail S ⊨as CNot C⟩

inductive odpllW-core-stgy :: <'st => 'st => bool> for S T where
  propagate: ⟨dpll-propagate S T ==> odpllW-core-stgy S T⟩ |
  decided: ⟨odecide S T ==> no-step dpll-propagate S ==> odpllW-core-stgy S T⟩ |
  backtrack: ⟨dpll-backtrack S T ==> odpllW-core-stgy S T⟩ |
  backtrack-opt: ⟨bnb.backtrack-opt S T ==> odpllW-core-stgy S T⟩

lemma odpllW-core-stgy-clauses:
  ⟨odpllW-core-stgy S T ==> clauses T = clauses S⟩
  by (induction rule: odpllW-core-stgy.induct)
  (auto simp: dpll-propagate.simps odecide.simps dpll-backtrack.simps
    bnb.backtrack-opt.simps)

lemma rtranclp-odpllW-core-stgy-clauses:
  ⟨odpllW-core-stgy** S T ==> clauses T = clauses S⟩
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  (auto dest: odpllW-core-stgy-clauses)

inductive odpllW-bnb-stgy :: <'st => 'st => bool> for S T :: 'st where
  dpll:
  ⟨odpllW-bnb-stgy S T⟩
  if ⟨odpllW-core-stgy S T⟩ |
  bnb:
  ⟨odpllW-bnb-stgy S T⟩
  if ⟨bnb.dpllW-bound S T⟩

lemma odpllW-bnb-stgy-clauses:
  ⟨odpllW-bnb-stgy S T ==> clauses T = clauses S⟩
  by (induction rule: odpllW-bnb-stgy.induct)
  (auto simp: bnb.dpllW-bound.simps dest: odpllW-core-stgy-clauses)

lemma rtranclp-odpllW-bnb-stgy-clauses:
  ⟨odpllW-bnb-stgy** S T ==> clauses T = clauses S⟩
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  (auto dest: odpllW-bnb-stgy-clauses)

lemma odecide-dpll-decide-iff:
  assumes ⟨clauses S = penc N⟩ ⟨atms-of-mm N = Σ⟩
  shows ⟨odecide S T ==> dpll-decide S T⟩
  ⟨dpll-decide S T ==> Ex(odecide S)⟩
  using assms atms-of-mm-penc-subset2[of N] ΔΣ-Σ
  unfolding odecide.simps dpll-decide.simps
  apply (auto simp: odecide.simps dpll-decide.simps)
  apply (metis defined-lit-Pos-atm-iff state-eq-ref)+
  done

lemma
  assumes ⟨clauses S = penc N⟩ ⟨atms-of-mm N = Σ⟩
  shows
  odpllW-core-stgy-dpllW-core-stgy: ⟨odpllW-core-stgy S T ==> bnb.dpllW-core-stgy S T⟩

```

```

using odecide-dpll-decide-iff[OF assms]
by (auto simp: odpllW-core-stgy.simps bnb.dpllW-core-stgy.simps)

lemma
assumes ‹clauses S = penc N› ‹atms-of-mm N = Σ›
shows
  odpllW-bnb-stgy-dpllW-bnb-stgy: ‹odpllW-bnb-stgy S T ⟹ bnb.dpllW-bnb S T›
using odecide-dpll-decide-iff[OF assms]
by (auto simp: odpllW-bnb-stgy.simps bnb.dpllW-bnb.simps dest: odpllW-core-stgy-dpllW-core-stgy[OF assms]
  bnb.dpllW-core-stgy-dpllW-core)

lemma
assumes ‹clauses S = penc N› and [simp]: ‹atms-of-mm N = Σ›
shows
  rtranclp-odpllW-bnb-stgy-dpllW-bnb-stgy: ‹odpllW-bnb-stgy** S T ⟹ bnb.dpllW-bnb** S T›
using assms(1) apply –
apply (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for T U
  using odpllW-bnb-stgy-dpllW-bnb-stgy[of T N U] rtranclp-odpllW-bnb-stgy-clauses[of S T]
  by auto
done

lemma no-step-odpllW-core-stgy-no-step-dpllW-core-stgy:
assumes ‹clauses S = penc N› and [simp]: ‹atms-of-mm N = Σ›
shows
  ‹no-step odpllW-core-stgy S ⟷ no-step bnb.dpllW-core-stgy S›
using odecide-dpll-decide-iff[of S, OF assms]
by (auto simp: odpllW-core-stgy.simps bnb.dpllW-core-stgy.simps)

lemma no-step-odpllW-bnb-stgy-no-step-dpllW-bnb:
assumes ‹clauses S = penc N› and [simp]: ‹atms-of-mm N = Σ›
shows
  ‹no-step odpllW-bnb-stgy S ⟷ no-step bnb.dpllW-bnb S›
using no-step-odpllW-core-stgy-no-step-dpllW-core-stgy[of S, OF assms] bnb.no-step-stgy-iff
by (auto simp: odpllW-bnb-stgy.simps bnb.dpllW-bnb.simps dest: odpllW-core-stgy-dpllW-core-stgy[OF assms]
  bnb.dpllW-core-stgy-dpllW-core)

lemma full-odpllW-core-stgy-full-dpllW-core-stgy:
assumes ‹clauses S = penc N› and [simp]: ‹atms-of-mm N = Σ›
shows
  ‹full odpllW-bnb-stgy S T ⟹ full bnb.dpllW-bnb S T›
using no-step-odpllW-bnb-stgy-no-step-dpllW-bnb[of T, OF - assms(2)]
  rtranclp-odpllW-bnb-stgy-clauses[of S T, symmetric, unfolded assms]
  rtranclp-odpllW-bnb-stgy-dpllW-bnb-stgy[of S N T, OF assms]
by (auto simp: full-def)

lemma decided-cons-eq-append-decide-cons:
Decided L # Ms = M' @ Decided K # M ⟷
  (L = K ∧ Ms = M ∧ M' = []) ∨
  (hd M' = Decided L ∧ Ms = tl M' @ Decided K # M ∧ M' ≠ [])
by (cases M')
  auto

```

```

lemma no-step-dpll-backtrack-iff:
  ⟨no-step dpll-backtrack S ⟷ (count-decided (trail S) = 0 ∨ (∀ C ∈ # clauses S. ¬trail S |=as CNot C))⟩
    using backtrack-snd-empty-not-decided[of ⟨trail S⟩] backtrack-split-list-eq[of ⟨trail S⟩, symmetric]
    apply (cases ⟨backtrack-split (trail S)⟩; cases ⟨snd(backtrack-split (trail S))⟩)
    by (auto simp: dpll-backtrack.simps count-decided-0-iff)

lemma no-step-dpll-conflict:
  ⟨no-step dpll-conflict S ⟷ (∀ C ∈ # clauses S. ¬trail S |=as CNot C)⟩
    by (auto simp: dpll-conflict.simps)

definition no-smaller-propa :: 'st ⇒ bool' where
no-smaller-propa (S :: 'st) ⟷
  (∀ M K M' D L. trail S = M' @ Decided K # M → add-mset L D ∈# clauses S → undefined-lit M L → ¬M |=as CNot D)

lemma [simp]: ⟨T ~ S ⟹ no-smaller-propa T = no-smaller-propa S⟩
  by (auto simp: no-smaller-propa-def)

lemma no-smaller-propa-cons-trail[simp]:
  ⟨no-smaller-propa (cons-trail (Propagated L C) S) ⟷ no-smaller-propa S⟩
  ⟨no-smaller-propa (update-weight-information M' S) ⟷ no-smaller-propa S⟩
  by (force simp: no-smaller-propa-def cdclW-restart-mset.propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons)+

lemma no-smaller-propa-cons-trail-decided[simp]:
  ⟨no-smaller-propa S ⟹ no-smaller-propa (cons-trail (Decided L) S) ⟷ (∀ L C. add-mset L C ∈# clauses S → undefined-lit (trail S)L → ¬trail S |=as CNot C)⟩
  by (auto simp: no-smaller-propa-def cdclW-restart-mset.propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons decided-cons-eq-append-decide-cons)

lemma no-step-dpll-propagate-iff:
  ⟨no-step dpll-propagate S ⟷ (∀ L C. add-mset L C ∈# clauses S → undefined-lit (trail S)L → ¬trail S |=as CNot C)⟩
  by (auto simp: dpll-propagate.simps)

lemma count-decided-0-no-smaller-propa: ⟨count-decided (trail S) = 0 ⟹ no-smaller-propa S⟩
  by (auto simp: no-smaller-propa-def)

lemma no-smaller-propa-backtrack-split:
  ⟨no-smaller-propa S ⟹
    backtrack-split (trail S) = (M', L # M) ⟹
    no-smaller-propa (reduce-trail-to M S)⟩
  using backtrack-split-list-eq[of ⟨trail S⟩, symmetric]
  by (auto simp: no-smaller-propa-def)

lemma odpllW-core-stgy-no-smaller-propa:
  ⟨odpllW-core-stgy S T ⟹ no-smaller-propa S ⟹ no-smaller-propa T⟩
  using no-step-dpll-backtrack-iff[of S] apply –
  by (induction rule: odpllW-core-stgy.induct)
  (auto 5 5 simp: cdclW-restart-mset.propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons count-decided-0-no-smaller-propa dpll-propagate.simps dpll-decide.simps odecide.simps decided-cons-eq-append-decide-cons bnb.backtrack-opt.simps dpll-backtrack.simps no-step-dpll-conflict no-smaller-propa-backtrack-split)

lemma odpllW-bound-stgy-no-smaller-propa: ⟨bnb.dpllW-bound S T ⟹ no-smaller-propa S ⟹ no-smaller-propa T⟩

```

```

by (auto simp: cdclW-restart-mset.propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons count-decided-0-no-smaller-propa
      dpll-propagate.simps dpll-decide.simps odecide.simps decided-cons-eq-append-decide-cons bnb.dpllW-bound.simps
      bnb.backtrack-opt.simps dpll-backtrack.simps no-step-dpll-conflict no-smaller-propa-backtrack-split)

lemma odpllW-bnb-stgy-no-smaller-propa:
  ‹odpllW-bnb-stgy S T ⟹ no-smaller-propa S ⟹ no-smaller-propa T›
  by (induction rule: odpllW-bnb-stgy.induct)
    (auto simp: odpllW-core-stgy-no-smaller-propa odpllW-bound-stgy-no-smaller-propa)

lemma filter-disjoint-union:
  ‹(∀x. x ∈ set xs ⟹ P x ⟹ ¬Q x) ⟹
    length (filter P xs) + length (filter Q xs) =
    length (filter (λx. P x ∨ Q x) xs)›
  by (induction xs) auto

lemma Collect-req-remove1:
  ‹{a ∈ A. a ≠ b ∧ P a} = (if P b then Set.remove b {a ∈ A. P a} else {a ∈ A. P a})› and
  Collect-req-remove2:
  ‹{a ∈ A. b ≠ a ∧ P a} = (if P b then Set.remove b {a ∈ A. P a} else {a ∈ A. P a})›
  by auto

lemma card-remove:
  ‹card (Set.remove a A) = (if a ∈ A then card A - 1 else card A)›
  by (auto simp: Set.remove-def)

lemma isabelle-should-do-that-automatically: ‹Suc (a - Suc 0) = a ⟷ a ≥ 1›
  by auto
lemma distinct-count-list-if: ‹distinct xs ⟹ count-list xs x = (if x ∈ set xs then 1 else 0)›
  by (induction xs) auto

abbreviation (input) cut-and-complete-trail :: ‹'st ⇒ -> where
  ‹cut-and-complete-trail S ≡ trail S›

inductive odpllW-core-stgy-count :: ‹'st × - ⇒ 'st × - ⇒ bool› where
propagate: ‹dpll-propagate S T ⟹ odpllW-core-stgy-count (S, C) (T, C)› |
decided: ‹odecide S T ⟹ no-step dpll-propagate S ⟹ odpllW-core-stgy-count (S, C) (T, C)› |
backtrack: ‹dpll-backtrack S T ⟹ odpllW-core-stgy-count (S, C) (T, add-mset (cut-and-complete-trail
S) C)› |
backtrack-opt: ‹bnb.backtrack-opt S T ⟹ odpllW-core-stgy-count (S, C) (T, add-mset (cut-and-complete-trail
S) C)›

inductive odpllW-bnb-stgy-count :: ‹'st × - ⇒ 'st × - ⇒ bool› where
dpll:
  ‹odpllW-bnb-stgy-count S T›
  if ‹odpllW-core-stgy-count S T› |
bnb:
  ‹odpllW-bnb-stgy-count (S, C) (T, C)›
  if ‹bnb.dpllW-bound S T›

lemma odpllW-core-stgy-countD:
  ‹odpllW-core-stgy-count S T ⟹ odpllW-core-stgy (fst S) (fst T)›

```

```

⟨odpllW-core-stgy-count S T ⟩ ⟹ snd S ⊆# snd T
by (induction rule: odpllW-core-stgy-count.induct; auto intro: odpllW-core-stgy.intros)+

lemma odpllW-bnb-stgy-countD:
⟨odpllW-bnb-stgy-count S T ⟩ ⟹ odpllW-bnb-stgy (fst S) (fst T)
⟨odpllW-bnb-stgy-count S T ⟩ ⟹ snd S ⊆# snd T
by (induction rule: odpllW-bnb-stgy-count.induct; auto dest: odpllW-core-stgy-countD intro: odpllW-bnb-stgy.intros)+

lemma rtranclp-odpllW-bnb-stgy-countD:
⟨odpllW-bnb-stgy-count** S T ⟩ ⟹ odpllW-bnb-stgy** (fst S) (fst T)
⟨odpllW-bnb-stgy-count** S T ⟩ ⟹ snd S ⊆# snd T
by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct; auto dest: odpllW-bnb-stgy-countD)+

lemmas odpllW-core-stgy-count-induct = odpllW-core-stgy-count.induct[of ⟨(S, n)⟩ ⟨(T, m)⟩ for S n T
m, split-format(complete), OF dpll-optimal-encoding-axioms,
consumes 1]

definition conflict-clauses-are-entailed :: ⟨'st × - ⇒ bool⟩ where
⟨conflict-clauses-are-entailed =
(λ(S, Cs). ∀ C ∈# Cs. (exists M' K M M''. trail S = M' @ Propagated K () # M ∧ C = M'' @ Decided
(-K) # M))⟩

definition conflict-clauses-are-entailed2 :: ⟨'st × ('v literal, 'v literal, unit) annotated-lits multiset ⇒
bool⟩ where
⟨conflict-clauses-are-entailed2 =
(λ(S, Cs). ∀ C ∈# Cs. ∀ C' ∈# remove1-mset C Cs. (exists L. Decided L ∈ set C ∧ Propagated (-L) () ∈ set C') ∨
(exists L. Propagated (L) () ∈ set C ∧ Decided (-L) ∈ set C'))⟩

lemma propagated-cons-eq-append-propagated-cons:
⟨Propagated L () # M = M' @ Propagated K () # Ma ⟷
(M' = [] ∧ K = L ∧ M = Ma) ∨
(M' ≠ [] ∧ hd M' = Propagated L () ∧ M = tl M' @ Propagated K () # Ma)⟩
by (cases M')
auto

lemma odpllW-core-stgy-count-conflict-clauses-are-entailed:
assumes
⟨odpllW-core-stgy-count S T⟩ and
⟨conflict-clauses-are-entailed S⟩
shows
⟨conflict-clauses-are-entailed T⟩
using assms
apply (induction rule: odpllW-core-stgy-count.induct)
subgoal
apply (auto simp: dpll-propagate.simps conflict-clauses-are-entailed-def
cdclW-restart-mset.propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons)
by (metis append-Cons)
subgoal for S T
apply (auto simp: odecide.simps conflict-clauses-are-entailed-def
dest!: multi-member-split intro: exI[of - ⟨Decided - # -⟩])
by (metis append-Cons)+
subgoal for S T C
using backtrack-split-list-eq[of ⟨trail S⟩, symmetric]

```

```

backtrack-split-snd-hd-decided[of <trail S>]
apply (auto simp: dpll-backtrack.simps conflict-clauses-are-entailed-def
propagated-cons-eq-append-propagated-cons is-decided-def append-eq-append-conv2
eq-commute[of - <Propagated - () # ->] conj-disj-distribR ex-disj-distrib
cdclW-restart-mset.propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons dpllW-all-inv-def
dest!: multi-member-split
simp del: backtrack-split-list-eq
)
apply (case-tac us)
by force+
subgoal for S T C
using backtrack-split-list-eq[of <trail S>, symmetric]
backtrack-split-snd-hd-decided[of <trail S>]
apply (auto simp: bnb.backtrack-opt.simps conflict-clauses-are-entailed-def
propagated-cons-eq-append-propagated-cons is-decided-def append-eq-append-conv2
eq-commute[of - <Propagated - () # ->] conj-disj-distribR ex-disj-distrib
cdclW-restart-mset.propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons
dpllW-all-inv-def
dest!: multi-member-split
simp del: backtrack-split-list-eq
)
apply (case-tac us)
by force+
done

```

```

lemma odpllW-bnb-stgy-count-conflict-clauses-are-entailed:
assumes
<odpllW-bnb-stgy-count S T> and
<conflict-clauses-are-entailed S>
shows
<conflict-clauses-are-entailed T>
using assms odpllW-core-stgy-count-conflict-clauses-are-entailed[of S T]
apply (auto simp: odpllW-bnb-stgy-count.simps)
apply (auto simp: conflict-clauses-are-entailed-def
bnb.dpllW-bound.simps)
done

```

```

lemma odpllW-core-stgy-count-no-dup-clss:
assumes
<odpllW-core-stgy-count S T> and
<!> C ∈# snd S. no-dup C and
invs: <dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state (fst S))>
shows
<!> C ∈# snd T. no-dup C
using assms
by (induction rule: odpllW-core-stgy-count.induct)
(auto simp: dpllW-all-inv-def)

```

```

lemma odpllW-bnb-stgy-count-no-dup-clss:
assumes
<odpllW-bnb-stgy-count S T> and
<!> C ∈# snd S. no-dup C and
invs: <dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state (fst S))>
shows
<!> C ∈# snd T. no-dup C

```

```

using assms
by (induction rule: odpllW-bnb-stgy-count.induct)
  (auto simp: dpllW-all-inv-def
    bnb.dpllW-bound.simps dest!: odpllW-core-stgy-count-no-dup-clss)

lemma backtrack-split-conflict-clauses-are-entailed-itself:
assumes
  ‹backtrack-split (trail S) = (M', L # M)› and
  invs: ‹dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state S)›
shows ‹¬ conflict-clauses-are-entailed
  (S, add-mset (trail S) C)› (is ‹¬ ?A›)

proof
  assume ?A
  then obtain M' K Ma where
    tr: ‹trail S = M' @ Propagated K () # Ma› and
    ‹add-mset (- K) (lit-of '# mset Ma) ⊆#
      add-mset (lit-of L) (lit-of '# mset M)›
  by (clarsimp simp: conflict-clauses-are-entailed-def)

  then have ‹-K ∈# add-mset (lit-of L) (lit-of '# mset M)›
    by (meson member-add-mset mset-subset-eqD)
  then have ‹-K ∈# lit-of '# mset (trail S)›
    using backtrack-split-list-eq[of ‹trail S›, symmetric] assms(1)
    by auto
  moreover have ‹K ∈# lit-of '# mset (trail S)›
    by (auto simp: tr)
  ultimately show False using invs unfolding dpllW-all-inv-def
    by (auto simp add: no-dup-cannot-not-lit-and-uminus uminus-lit-swap)
qed

```

```

lemma odpllW-core-stgy-count-distinct-mset:
assumes
  ‹odpllW-core-stgy-count S T› and
  ‹conflict-clauses-are-entailed S› and
  ‹distinct-mset (snd S)› and
  invs: ‹dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state (fst S))›
shows
  ‹distinct-mset (snd T)›
using assms(1,2,3,4) odpllW-core-stgy-count-conflict-clauses-are-entailed[OF assms(1,2)]
apply (induction rule: odpllW-core-stgy-count.induct)
subgoal
  by (auto simp: dpll-propagate.simps conflict-clauses-are-entailed-def
    cdclW-restart-mset.propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons)
subgoal
  by (auto simp:)
subgoal for S T C
  by (clarsimp simp: dpll-backtrack.simps backtrack-split-conflict-clauses-are-entailed-itself
    dest!: multi-member-split)
subgoal for S T C
  by (clarsimp simp: bnb.backtrack-opt.simps backtrack-split-conflict-clauses-are-entailed-itself
    dest!: multi-member-split)
done

lemma odpllW-bnb-stgy-count-distinct-mset:

```

```

assumes
  ‹odpllW-bnb-stgy-count S T› and
  ‹conflict-clauses-are-entailed S› and
  ‹distinct-mset (snd S)› and
  invs: ‹odpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state (fst S))›
shows
  ‹distinct-mset (snd T)›
using assms odpllW-core-stgy-count-distinct-mset[OF - assms(2-), of T]
by (auto simp: odpllW-bnb-stgy-count.simps)

lemma odpllW-core-stgy-count-conflict-clauses-are-entailed2:
assumes
  ‹odpllW-core-stgy-count S T› and
  ‹conflict-clauses-are-entailed S› and
  ‹conflict-clauses-are-entailed2 S› and
  ‹distinct-mset (snd S)› and
  invs: ‹odpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state (fst S))›
shows
  ‹conflict-clauses-are-entailed2 T›
using assms
proof (induction rule: odpllW-core-stgy-count.induct)
case (propagate S T C)
then show ?case
  by (auto simp: dpll-propagate.simps conflict-clauses-are-entailed2-def)
next
case (decided S T C)
then show ?case
  by (auto simp: dpll-decide.simps conflict-clauses-are-entailed2-def)
next
case (backtrack S T C) note bt = this(1) and ent = this(2) and ent2 = this(3) and dist = this(4)
  and invs = this(5)
let ?M = ‹(cut-and-complete-trail S)›
have ‹conflict-clauses-are-entailed (T, add-mset ?M C)› and
  dist': ‹distinct-mset (add-mset ?M C)›
using odpllW-core-stgy-count-conflict-clauses-are-entailed[OF - ent, of ‹(T, add-mset ?M C)›]
odpllW-core-stgy-count-distinct-mset[OF - ent dist invs, of ‹(T, add-mset ?M C)›]
  bt by (auto dest!: odpllW-core-stgy-count.intros(3)[of S T C])

obtain M1 K M2 where
  spl: ‹backtrack-split (trail S) = (M2, Decided K # M1)›
  using bt backtrack-split-snd-hd-decided[of ‹trail S›]
  by (cases ‹hd (snd (backtrack-split (trail S)))›) (auto simp: dpll-backtrack.simps)
have has-dec: ‹ $\exists l \in \text{set}(\text{trail } S)$ . is-decided l›
  using bt apply (auto simp: dpll-backtrack.simps)
  using bt count-decided-0-iff no-step-dpll-backtrack-iff by blast

let ?P = ‹ $\lambda Ca\;C'$ .
   $(\exists L.\; \text{Decided } L \in \text{set } Ca \wedge \text{Propagated } (-L)\; () \in \text{set } C') \vee$ 
   $(\exists L.\; \text{Propagated } L\; () \in \text{set } Ca \wedge \text{Decided } (-L)\; \in \text{set } C')›$ 
have ‹ $\forall C' \in \# \text{remove1-mset } ?M\; C$ . ?P ?M C'›
proof
  fix C'
  assume ‹C'  $\in \# \text{remove1-mset } ?M\; Cthen have ‹C'  $\in \# C$ › and ‹C'  $\neq ?M$ ›
  using dist' by auto$ 
```

```

then obtain M' L M M'' where
  ⟨trail S = M' @ Propagated L () # M⟩ and
  ⟨C' = M'' @ Decided (– L) # M⟩
  using ent unfolding conflict-clauses-are-entailed-def
  by auto
then show ⟨?P ?M C'⟩
  using backtrack-split-some-is-decided-then-snd-has-hd[of ⟨trail S⟩, OF has-dec]
  spl backtrack-split-list-eq[of ⟨trail S⟩, symmetric]
  by (clar simp simp: conj-disj-distribR ex-disj-distrib decided-cons-eq-append-decide-cons
    cdclW-restart-mset.propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons propagated-cons-eq-append-propagated-cons
    append-eq-append-conv2)
qed
moreover have H: ⟨?case  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall Ca \in \#add\text{-}mset ?M C.$ 
 $\forall C' \in \#\text{remove1}\text{-}mset Ca C. ?P Ca C'$ )⟩
  unfolding conflict-clauses-are-entailed2-def prod.case
  apply (intro conjI iffI impI ballI)
  subgoal for Ca C'
  by (auto dest: multi-member-split dest: in-diffD)
  subgoal for Ca C'
  using dist'
  by (auto 5 3 dest!: multi-member-split[of Ca] dest: in-diffD)
  done
moreover have ⟨( $\forall Ca \in \#C. \forall C' \in \#\text{remove1}\text{-}mset Ca C. ?P Ca C'$ )⟩
  using ent2 unfolding conflict-clauses-are-entailed2-def
  by auto
ultimately show ?case
  unfolding H
  by auto
next
case (backtrack-opt S T C) note bt = this(1) and ent = this(2) and ent2 = this(3) and dist = this(4)
  and invs = this(5)
  let ?M = ⟨(cut-and-complete-trail S)⟩
  have ⟨conflict-clauses-are-entailed (T, add-mset ?M C)⟩ and
  dist': ⟨distinct-mset (add-mset ?M C)⟩
  using odpllW-core-stgy-count-conflict-clauses-are-entailed[OF - ent, of ⟨(T, add-mset ?M C)⟩]
  odpllW-core-stgy-count-distinct-mset[OF - ent dist invs, of ⟨(T, add-mset ?M C)⟩]
  bt by (auto dest!: odpllW-core-stgy-count.intros(4)[of S T C])

obtain M1 K M2 where
  spl: ⟨backtrack-split (trail S) = (M2, Decided K # M1)⟩
  using bt backtrack-split-snd-hd-decided[of ⟨trail S⟩]
  by (cases ⟨hd (snd (backtrack-split (trail S)))⟩) (auto simp: bnb.backtrack-opt.simps)
  have has-dec:  $\exists l \in \text{set} (\text{trail } S). \text{is-decided } l$ 
  using bt apply (auto simp: bnb.backtrack-opt.simps)
  by (metis annotated-lit.disc(1) backtrack-split-list-eq in-set-conv-decomp snd-conv spl)

let ?P = ⟨ $\lambda Ca C'.$ 
   $(\exists L. \text{Decided } L \in \text{set } Ca \wedge \text{Propagated } (-L) () \in \text{set } C') \vee$ 
   $(\exists L. \text{Propagated } L () \in \text{set } Ca \wedge \text{Decided } (-L) \in \text{set } C')$ ⟩
have ⟨ $\forall C' \in \#\text{remove1}\text{-}mset ?M C. ?P ?M C'$ ⟩
proof
  fix C'
  assume ⟨C' ∈ #remove1-mset ?M C⟩
  then have ⟨C' ∈ # C⟩ and ⟨C' ≠ ?M⟩
  using dist' by auto

```

```

then obtain  $M' L M M''$  where
  ⟨trail  $S = M' @ \text{Propagated } L () \# M$ ⟩ and
  ⟨ $C' = M'' @ \text{Decided } (-L) \# M$ ⟩
  using ent unfolding conflict-clauses-are-entailed-def
  by auto
then show ⟨?P ?M C'⟩
  using backtrack-split-some-is-decided-then-snd-has-hd[of ⟨trail S⟩, OF has-dec]
  spl backtrack-split-list-eq[of ⟨trail S⟩, symmetric]
  by (clar simp simp: conj-disj-distribR ex-disj-distrib decided-cons-eq-append-decide-cons
    cdclW-restart-mset.propagated-cons-eq-append-decide-cons propagated-cons-eq-append-propagated-cons
    append-eq-append-conv2)
qed
moreover have  $H: \langle ?\text{case} \longleftrightarrow (\forall Ca \in \# \text{add-mset } ?M C. \forall C' \in \# \text{remove1-mset } Ca C. ?P Ca C') \rangle$ 
  unfolding conflict-clauses-are-entailed2-def prod.case
  apply (intro conjI iffI impI ballI)
  subgoal for  $Ca C'$ 
    by (auto dest: multi-member-split dest: in-diffD)
  subgoal for  $Ca C'$ 
    using dist'
    by (auto 5 3 dest!: multi-member-split[of Ca] dest: in-diffD)
  done
moreover have ⟨⟨ $\forall Ca \in \# C. \forall C' \in \# \text{remove1-mset } Ca C. ?P Ca C'$ ⟩⟩
  using ent2 unfolding conflict-clauses-are-entailed2-def
  by auto
ultimately show ?case
  unfolding H
  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma odpllW-bnb-stgy-count-conflict-clauses-are-entailed2:
assumes
  ⟨odpllW-bnb-stgy-count S T⟩ and
  ⟨conflict-clauses-are-entailed S⟩ and
  ⟨conflict-clauses-are-entailed2 S⟩ and
  ⟨distinct-mset (snd S)⟩ and
  invs: ⟨dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state (fst S))⟩
shows
  ⟨conflict-clauses-are-entailed2 T⟩
  using assms odpllW-core-stgy-count-conflict-clauses-are-entailed2[of S T]
  apply (auto simp: odpllW-bnb-stgy-count.simps)
  apply (auto simp: conflict-clauses-are-entailed2-def
    bnb.dpllW-bound.simps)
  done

```

```

definition no-complement-set-lit :: ⟨'v dpllW-ann-lits ⇒ bool⟩ where
  ⟨no-complement-set-lit M ⟷
    (⟨ $L \in \Delta\Sigma. \text{Decided } (\text{Pos } (\text{replacement-pos } L)) \in \text{set } M \longrightarrow \text{Decided } (\text{Pos } (\text{replacement-neg } L)) \notin \text{set } M$ ⟩ ∧
     ⟨ $\forall L \in \Delta\Sigma. \text{Decided } (\text{Neg } (\text{replacement-pos } L)) \notin \text{set } M$ ⟩ ∧
     ⟨ $\forall L \in \Delta\Sigma. \text{Decided } (\text{Neg } (\text{replacement-neg } L)) \notin \text{set } M$ ⟩ ∧
     atm-of ‘lits-of-l M ⊆  $\Sigma - \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-pos } \Delta\Sigma \cup \text{replacement-neg } \Delta\Sigma$ )
  ⟩

```

```

definition no-complement-set-lit-st :: ⟨'st × 'v dpllW-ann-lits multiset ⇒ bool⟩ where
  ⟨no-complement-set-lit-st = ( $\lambda(S, Cs). (\forall C \in \# Cs. \text{no-complement-set-lit } C) \wedge \text{no-complement-set-lit}$ )⟩

```

```

(trail S))›

lemma backtrack-no-complement-set-lit: ‹no-complement-set-lit (trail S) ==>
  backtrack-split (trail S) = (M', L # M) ==>
    no-complement-set-lit (Propagated (- lit-of L) () # M)›
using backtrack-split-list-eq[of ‹trail S›, symmetric]
by (auto simp: no-complement-set-lit-def)

lemma odpllW-core-stgy-count-no-complement-set-lit-st:
assumes
  ‹odpllW-core-stgy-count S T› and
  ‹conflict-clauses-are-entailed S› and
  ‹conflict-clauses-are-entailed2 S› and
  ‹distinct-mset (snd S)› and
  invs: ‹dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state (fst S))› and
  ‹no-complement-set-lit-st S› and
  atms: ‹clauses (fst S) = penc N› ‹atms-of-mm N = Σ› and
  ‹no-smaller-propa (fst S)›
shows
  ‹no-complement-set-lit-st T›
using assms
proof (induction rule: odpllW-core-stgy-count.induct)
case (propagate S T C)
then show ?case
  using atms-of-mm-penc-subset2[of N] ΔΣ-Σ
  apply (auto simp: dpll-propagate.simps no-complement-set-lit-st-def no-complement-set-lit-def
    dpllW-all-inv-def dest!: multi-member-split)
  apply blast
  apply blast
  apply auto
  done
next
case (decided S T C)
have H1: False if ‹Decided (Pos (L→0) ∈ set (trail S))›
  ‹undefined-lit (trail S) (Pos (L→1))› ‹L ∈ ΔΣ› for L
proof -
  have ‹{#Neg (L→0), Neg (L→1)#} ∈# clauses S›
    using decided that
    by (fastforce simp: penc-def additional-constraints-def additional-constraint-def)
  then show False
    using decided(2) that
    apply (auto 7 4 simp: dpll-propagate.simps add-mset-eq-add-mset all-conj-distrib
      imp-conjR imp-conjL remove1-mset-empty-iff defined-lit-Neg-Pos-iff lits-of-def
      dest!: multi-member-split dest: in-lits-of-l-defined-litD)
    apply (metis (full-types) image-iff lit-of.simps(1))
    apply auto
    apply (metis (full-types) image-iff lit-of.simps(1))
    done
qed
have H2: False if ‹Decided (Pos (L→1) ∈ set (trail S))›
  ‹undefined-lit (trail S) (Pos (L→0))› ‹L ∈ ΔΣ› for L
proof -
  have ‹{#Neg (L→0), Neg (L→1)#} ∈# clauses S›
    using decided that
    by (fastforce simp: penc-def additional-constraints-def additional-constraint-def)
  then show False

```

```

using decided(2) that
apply (auto 7 4 simp: dpll-propagate.simps add-mset-eq-add-mset all-conj-distrib
      imp-conjR imp-conjL remove1-mset-empty-iff defined-lit-Neg-Pos-iff lits-of-def
      dest!: multi-member-split dest: in-lits-of-l-defined-litD)
apply (metis (full-types) image-iff lit-of.simps(1))
apply auto
apply (metis (full-types) image-iff lit-of.simps(1))
done
qed
have ‹?case ⟷ no-complement-set-lit (trail T)›
  using decided(1,7) unfolding no-complement-set-lit-st-def
  by (auto simp: odecide.simps)
moreover have ‹no-complement-set-lit (trail T)›
proof -
  have H: ‹L ∈ ΔΣ ⟹
    Decided (Pos (L↑¹)) ∈ set (trail S) ⟹
    Decided (Pos (L↑⁰)) ∈ set (trail S) ⟹ False›
  ‹L ∈ ΔΣ ⟹ Decided (Neg (L↑¹)) ∈ set (trail S) ⟹ False›
  ‹L ∈ ΔΣ ⟹ Decided (Neg (L↑⁰)) ∈ set (trail S) ⟹ False›
  ‹atm-of ` lits-of-l (trail S) ⊆ Σ - ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ` ΔΣ ∪ replacement-neg ` ΔΣ›
  for L
  using decided(7) unfolding no-complement-set-lit-st-def no-complement-set-lit-def
  by blast+
have ‹L ∈ ΔΣ ⟹
  Decided (Pos (L↑¹)) ∈ set (trail T) ⟹
  Decided (Pos (L↑⁰)) ∈ set (trail T) ⟹ False, for L
using decided(1) H(1)[of L] H1[of L] H2[of L]
by (auto simp: odecide.simps no-complement-set-lit-def)
moreover have ‹L ∈ ΔΣ ⟹ Decided (Neg (L↑¹)) ∈ set (trail T) ⟹ False, for L
using decided(1) H(2)[of L]
by (auto simp: odecide.simps no-complement-set-lit-def)
moreover have ‹L ∈ ΔΣ ⟹ Decided (Neg (L↑⁰)) ∈ set (trail T) ⟹ False, for L
using decided(1) H(3)[of L]
by (auto simp: odecide.simps no-complement-set-lit-def)
moreover have ‹atm-of ` lits-of-l (trail T) ⊆ Σ - ΔΣ ∪ replacement-pos ` ΔΣ ∪ replacement-neg
` ΔΣ›
using decided(1) H(4)
by (auto 5 3 simp: odecide.simps no-complement-set-lit-def lits-of-def image-image)

ultimately show ?thesis
  by (auto simp: no-complement-set-lit-def)
qed
ultimately show ?case
  by fast

next
  case (backtrack S T C) note bt = this(1) and ent = this(2) and ent2 = this(3) and dist = this(4)
  and invs = this(6)
  show ?case
    using bt invs
    by (auto simp: dpll-backtrack.simps no-complement-set-lit-st-def
      backtrack-no-complement-set-lit)

next
  case (backtrack-opt S T C) note bt = this(1) and ent = this(2) and ent2 = this(3) and dist =
  this(4)

```

```

and invs = this(6)
show ?case
  using bt invs
  by (auto simp: bnn.backtrack-opt.simps no-complement-set-lit-st-def
    backtrack-no-complement-set-lit)
qed

lemma odpllW-bnn-stgy-count-no-complement-set-lit-st:
assumes
  ‹odpllW-bnn-stgy-count S T› and
  ‹conflict-clauses-are-entailed S› and
  ‹conflict-clauses-are-entailed2 S› and
  ‹distinct-mset (snd S)› and
  invs: ‹dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state (fst S))› and
  ‹no-complement-set-lit-st S› and
  atms: ‹clauses (fst S) = penc N› ‹atms-of-mm N = Σ› and
  ‹no-smaller-propa (fst S)›
shows
  ‹no-complement-set-lit-st T›
using odpllW-core-stgy-count-no-complement-set-lit-st[of S T, OF - assms(2-)] assms(1,6)
by (auto simp: odpllW-bnn-stgy-count.simps no-complement-set-lit-st-def
  bnb.dpllW-bound.simps)
definition stgy-invs :: ‹'v clauses ⇒ 'st × - ⇒ bool› where
  ‹stgy-invs N S ↔
    no-smaller-propa (fst S) ∧
    conflict-clauses-are-entailed S ∧
    conflict-clauses-are-entailed2 S ∧
    distinct-mset (snd S) ∧
    ( ∀ C ∈# snd S. no-dup C) ∧
    dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state (fst S)) ∧
    no-complement-set-lit-st S ∧
    clauses (fst S) = penc N ∧
    atms-of-mm N = Σ
  ›
lemma odpllW-bnn-stgy-count-stgy-invs:
assumes
  ‹odpllW-bnn-stgy-count S T› and
  ‹stgy-invs N S›
shows ‹stgy-invs N T›
using odpllW-bnn-stgy-count-conflict-clauses-are-entailed2[of S T]
  odpllW-bnn-stgy-count-conflict-clauses-are-entailed[of S T]
  odpllW-bnn-stgy-no-smaller-propa[of (fst S) (fst T)]
  odpllW-bnn-stgy-countD[of S T]
  odpllW-bnn-stgy-clauses[of (fst S) (fst T)]
  odpllW-core-stgy-count-distinct-mset[of S T]
  odpllW-bnn-stgy-count-no-dup-clss[of S T]
  odpllW-bnn-stgy-count-distinct-mset[of S T]
assms
  odpllW-bnn-stgy-dpllW-bnn-stgy[of (fst S) N (fst T)]
  odpllW-bnn-stgy-count-no-complement-set-lit-st[of S T]
using local.bnn.dpllW-bnn-abs-state-all-inv
unfolding stgy-invs-def
by auto

```

```

lemma stgy-invs-size-le:
  assumes <stgy-invs N S>
  shows <size (snd S) ≤ 3 ∧ (card Σ)>
proof -
  have <no-smaller-propa (fst S)> and
    <conflict-clauses-are-entailed S> and
    ent2: <conflict-clauses-are-entailed2 S> and
    dist: <distinct-mset (snd S)> and
    n-d: <(∀ C ∈# snd S. no-dup C)> and
    <dpllW-all-inv (bnb.abs-state (fst S))> and
    nc: <no-complement-set-lit-st S> and
    Σ: <atms-of-mm N = Σ>
    using assms unfolding stgy-invs-def by fast+
  let ?f = <(filter-mset is-decided o mset)>
  have <distinct-mset (?f '# (snd S))>
  apply (subst distinct-image-mset-inj)
  subgoal
    using ent2 n-d
    apply (auto simp: conflict-clauses-are-entailed2-def
      inj-on-def add-mset-eq-add-mset dest!: multi-member-split split-list)
    using n-d apply auto
    apply (metis defined-lit-def multiset-partition set-mset-mset union-iff union-single-eq-member) +
    done
  subgoal
    using dist by auto
    done
  have H: <lit-of '# ?f C ∈ all-sound-trails list-new-vars> if <C ∈# (snd S)> for C
  proof -
    have nc: <no-complement-set-lit C> and n-d: <no-dup C>
      using nc that n-d unfolding no-complement-set-lit-st-def
      by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
    have taut: <¬tautology (lit-of '# mset C)>
      using n-d no-dup-not-tautology by blast
    have taut: <¬tautology (lit-of '# ?f C)>
      apply (rule not-tautology-mono[OF - taut])
      by (simp add: image-mset-subseteq-mono)
    have dist: <distinct-mset (lit-of '# mset C)>
      using n-d no-dup-distinct by blast
    have dist: <distinct-mset (lit-of '# ?f C)>
      apply (rule distinct-mset-mono[OF - dist])
      by (simp add: image-mset-subseteq-mono)
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule in-all-sound-trails)
    subgoal
      using nc unfolding no-complement-set-lit-def
      by (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: is-decided-def)
    subgoal
      using nc unfolding no-complement-set-lit-def
      by (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: is-decided-def)
    subgoal
      using nc unfolding no-complement-set-lit-def
      by (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: is-decided-def)
    subgoal
      using nc n-d taut dist unfolding no-complement-set-lit-def set-list-new-vars

```

```

by (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: set-list-new-vars
    is-decided-def simple-clss-def atms-of-def lits-of-def
    image-image dest!: split-list)
subgoal
  by (auto simp: set-list-new-vars)
  done
qed
then have incl: ‹set-mset ((image-mset lit-of o ?f) '# (snd S)) ⊆ all-sound-trails list-new-vars›
  by auto
have K: ‹xs ≠ [] ⟹ ∃ y ys. xs = y # ys› for xs
  by (cases xs) auto
have K2: ‹Decided La # zsb = us @ Propagated (L) () # zsa ⟷
  (us ≠ [] ∧ hd us = Decided La ∧ zsb = tl us @ Propagated (L) () # zsa)› for La zsb us L zsa
  apply (cases us)
  apply auto
  done
have inj: ‹inj-on ((#) lit-of ∘ (filter-mset is-decided ∘ mset))
  (set-mset (snd S))›
  unfolding inj-on-def
proof (intro ballI impI, rule ccontr)
  fix x y
  assume x: ‹x ∈# snd S› and
  y: ‹y ∈# snd S› and
  eq: ‹((#) lit-of ∘ (filter-mset is-decided ∘ mset)) x =
  ((#) lit-of ∘ (filter-mset is-decided ∘ mset)) y› and
  neq: ‹x ≠ y›
  consider
    L where ‹Decided L ∈ set x› ‹Propagated (– L) () ∈ set y› |
    L where ‹Decided L ∈ set y› ‹Propagated (– L) () ∈ set x›
    using ent2 n-d x y unfolding conflict-clauses-are-entailed2-def
    by (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: add-mset-eq-add-mset neq)
  then show False
proof cases
  case 1
  show False
  using eq 1(1) multi-member-split[of ‹Decided L› ‹mset x›]
  apply auto
  by (smt 1(2) lit-of.simps(2) msed-map-invR multiset-partition n-d
    no-dup-cannot-not-lit-and-uminus set-mset-mset union-mset-add-mset-left union-single-eq-member
    y)
  next
    case 2
    show False
    using eq 2 multi-member-split[of ‹Decided L› ‹mset y›]
    apply auto
    by (smt lit-of.simps(2) msed-map-invR multiset-partition n-d
      no-dup-cannot-not-lit-and-uminus set-mset-mset union-mset-add-mset-left union-single-eq-member
      x)
  qed
  qed

have [simp]: ‹finite Σ›
  unfolding Σ[symmetric]
  by auto
have [simp]: ‹Σ ∪ ΔΣ = Σ›
  using ΔΣ-Σ blast

```

```

have <size (snd S) = size (((image-mset lit-of o ?f) '# (snd S)))>
  by auto
also have <... = card (set-mset ((image-mset lit-of o ?f) '# (snd S)))>
  supply [[goals-limit=1]]
  apply (subst distinct-mset-size-eq-card)
  apply (subst distinct-image-mset-inj[OF inj])
  using dist by auto
also have <... ≤ card (all-sound-trails list-new-vars)>
  by (rule card-mono[OF - incl]) simp
also have <... ≤ card (simple-clss (Σ - ΔΣ)) * 3 ^ card ΔΣ>
  using card-all-sound-trails[of list-new-vars]
  by (auto simp: set-list-new-vars distinct-list-new-vars
    length-list-new-vars)
also have <... ≤ 3 ^ card (Σ - ΔΣ) * 3 ^ card ΔΣ>
  using simple-clss-card[of <Σ - ΔΣ>]
  unfolding set-list-new-vars distinct-list-new-vars
    length-list-new-vars
  by (auto simp: set-list-new-vars distinct-list-new-vars
    length-list-new-vars)
also have <... = (3 :: nat) ^ (card Σ)>
  unfolding comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules(26)
  by (subst card-Un-disjoint[symmetric])
    auto
finally show <size (snd S) ≤ 3 ^ card Σ>
.
qed

```

```

lemma rtranclp-odpllW-bnb-stgy-count-stgy-invs: <odpllW-bnb-stgy-count** S T ==> stgy-invs N S ==>
stgy-invs N T>
  apply (induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  apply (auto dest!: odpllW-bnb-stgy-count-stgy-invs)
done

```

theorem

```

assumes <clauses S = penc N> <atms-of-mm N = Σ> and
<odpllW-bnb-stgy-count** (S, {#}) (T, D)> and
  tr: <trail S = []>
shows <size D ≤ 3 ^ (card Σ)>

```

proof –

```

have i: <stgy-invs N (S, {#})>
  using tr unfolding no-smaller-propa-def
    stgy-invs-def conflict-clauses-are-entailed-def
    conflict-clauses-are-entailed2-def assms(1,2)
    no-complement-set-lit-st-def no-complement-set-lit-def
    dpllW-all-inv-def
  by (auto simp: assms(1))
show ?thesis
  using rtranclp-odpllW-bnb-stgy-count-stgy-invs[OF assms(3) i]
    stgy-invs-size-le[of N <(T, D)>]
  by auto
qed

```

end

end