

Formalisation of Ground Resolution and CDCL in Isabelle/HOL

Mathias Fleury and Jasmin Blanchette

July 17, 2023

Contents

1 More Standard Theorems	5
1.1 Transitions	5
1.1.1 More theorems about Closures	5
1.1.2 Full Transitions	6
1.1.3 Well-Foundedness and Full Transitions	8
1.1.4 More Well-Foundedness	8
1.2 Various Lemmas	13
1.2.1 Not-Related to Refinement or lists	13
1.3 More Lists	15
1.3.1 set, nth, tl	15
1.3.2 List Updates	18
1.3.3 Take and drop	18
1.3.4 Replicate	19
1.3.5 List intervals (<i>upt</i>)	20
1.3.6 Lexicographic Ordering	23
1.3.7 Remove	26
1.3.8 Sorting	28
1.3.9 Distinct Multisets	29
1.3.10 Set of Distinct Multisets	29
1.3.11 Sublists	30
1.3.12 Product Case	33
1.3.13 More about <i>list-all2</i> and <i>map</i>	36
1.3.14 Multisets	37
1.4 Finite maps and multisets	45
1.4.1 Multiset version of Pow	51

Chapter 1

More Standard Theorems

This chapter contains additional lemmas built on top of HOL. Some of the additional lemmas are not included here. Most of them are too specialised to move to HOL.

1.1 Transitions

This theory contains some facts about closure, the definition of full transformations, and well-foundedness.

```
theory Wellfounded-More
imports Main
```

```
begin
```

1.1.1 More theorems about Closures

This is the equivalent of the theorem *rtranclp-mono* for *tranclp*

```
lemma tranclp-mono-explicit:
  ‹r++ a b ⟹ r ≤ s ⟹ s++ a b›
  using rtranclp-mono by (auto dest!: tranclpD intro: rtranclp-into-tranclp2)
```

```
lemma tranclp-mono:
  assumes mono: ‹r ≤ s›
  shows ‹r++ ≤ s++›
  using rtranclp-mono[OF mono] mono by (auto dest!: tranclpD intro: rtranclp-into-tranclp2)
```

```
lemma tranclp-idemp-rel:
  ‹R++++ a b ↔ R++ a b›
  apply (rule iffI)
  prefer 2 apply blast
  by (induction rule: tranclp-induct) auto
```

Equivalent of the theorem *rtranclp-idemp*

```
lemma trancl-idemp: ‹(r+)+ = r+›
  by simp

lemmas tranclp-idemp[simp] = trancl-idemp[to-pred]
```

This theorem already exists as theoreom *Nitpick.rtranclp-unfold* (and sledgehammer uses it), but

it makes sense to duplicate it, because it is unclear how stable the lemmas in the `~~/src/HOL/Nitpick.thy` theory are.

```
lemma rtranclp-unfold:  $\langle rtranclp\ r\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow (a = b \vee tranclp\ r\ a\ b) \rangle$ 
  by (meson rtranclp.simps rtranclpD tranclp-into-rtranclp)
```

```
lemma tranclp-unfold-end:  $\langle tranclp\ r\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists a'. rtranclp\ r\ a\ a' \wedge r\ a'\ b) \rangle$ 
  by (metis rtranclp.rtrancl-refl rtranclp-into-tranclp1 tranclp.cases tranclp-into-rtranclp)
```

Near duplicate of theorem `tranclpD`:

```
lemma tranclp-unfold-begin:  $\langle tranclp\ r\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists a'. r\ a\ a' \wedge rtranclp\ r\ a'\ b) \rangle$ 
  by (meson rtranclp-into-tranclp2 tranclpD)
```

```
lemma trancl-set-tranclp:  $\langle (a, b) \in \{(b, a). P\ a\ b\}^+ \longleftrightarrow P^{++}\ b\ a \rangle$ 
  apply (rule iffI)
    apply (induction rule: trancl-induct; simp)
    apply (induction rule: tranclp-induct; auto simp: trancl-into-trancl2)
  done
```

```
lemma tranclp-rtranclp-rtranclp-rel:  $\langle R^{++**}\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow R^{**}\ a\ b \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: rtranclp-unfold)
```

```
lemma tranclp-rtranclp-rtranclp[simp]:  $\langle R^{++**} = R^{**} \rangle$ 
  by (fastforce simp: rtranclp-unfold)
```

```
lemma rtranclp-exists-last-with-prop:
  assumes  $\langle R\ x\ z \rangle$  and  $\langle R^{**}\ z\ z' \rangle$  and  $\langle P\ x\ z \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle \exists y\ y'. R^{**}\ x\ y \wedge R\ y\ y' \wedge P\ y\ y' \wedge (\lambda a\ b. R\ a\ b \wedge \neg P\ a\ b)^{**}\ y'\ z' \rangle$ 
  using assms(2,1,3)
proof induction
  case base
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (step z' z'') note z = this(2) and IH = this(3)[OF this(4-5)]
  show ?case
    apply (cases  $\langle P\ z'\ z'' \rangle$ )
      apply (rule exI[of - z'], rule exI[of - z''])
      using z assms(1) step.hyps(1) step.prem(2) apply (auto; fail)[1]
      using IH z by (fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
qed
```

```
lemma rtranclp-and-rtranclp-left:  $\langle (\lambda a\ b. P\ a\ b \wedge Q\ a\ b)^{**}\ S\ T \implies P^{**}\ S\ T \rangle$ 
  by (induction rule: rtranclp-induct) auto
```

1.1.2 Full Transitions

Definition We define here predicates to define properties after all possible transitions.

```
abbreviation (input) no-step :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
no-step step S  $\equiv$   $\forall S'. \neg$ step S S'
```

```
definition full1 :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
full1 transf =  $(\lambda S\ S'. tranclp\ transf\ S\ S' \wedge no-step\ transf\ S')$ 
```

```
definition full:: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
```

full transf = ($\lambda S S'. rtranclp\ transf\ S\ S' \wedge no-step\ transf\ S'$)

We define output notations only for printing (to ease reading):

notation (output) *full1* (- $^{+\downarrow}$)
notation (output) *full* (- \downarrow)

Some Properties **lemma** *rtranclp-full1I*:

$\langle R^{**} a b \implies full1 R b c \implies full1 R a c \rangle$
unfolding *full1-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *tranclp-full1I*:

$\langle R^{++} a b \implies full1 R b c \implies full1 R a c \rangle$
unfolding *full1-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *rtranclp-full1I*:

$\langle R^{**} a b \implies full R b c \implies full R a c \rangle$
unfolding *full-def* *full1-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *tranclp-full-full1I*:

$\langle R^{++} a b \implies full R b c \implies full1 R a c \rangle$
unfolding *full-def* *full1-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *full-full1I*:

$\langle R a b \implies full R b c \implies full1 R a c \rangle$
unfolding *full-def* *full1-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *full-unfold*:

$\langle full r S S' \longleftrightarrow ((S = S' \wedge no-step r S') \vee full1 r S S') \rangle$
unfolding *full-def* *full1-def* **by** (*auto simp add: rtranclp-unfold*)

lemma *full1-is-full[intro]*: $\langle full1 R S T \implies full R S T \rangle$
by (*simp add: full-unfold*)

lemma *not-full1-rtranclp-relation*: $\neg full1 R^{**} a b$
by (*auto simp: full1-def*)

lemma *not-full-rtranclp-relation*: $\neg full R^{**} a b$
by (*auto simp: full-def*)

lemma *full1-tranclp-relation-full*:

$\langle full1 R^{++} a b \longleftrightarrow full1 R a b \rangle$
by (*metis converse-tranclpE full1-def reflclp-tranclp rtranclpD rtranclp-idemp rtranclp-reflclp tranclp.r-into-trancl tranclp-into-rtranclp*)

lemma *full-tranclp-relation-full*:

$\langle full R^{++} a b \longleftrightarrow full R a b \rangle$
by (*metis full-unfold full1-tranclp-relation-full tranclp.r-into-trancl tranclpD*)

lemma *tranclp-full1-full1*:

$\langle (full1 R)^{++} a b \longleftrightarrow full1 R a b \rangle$
by (*metis (mono-tags) full1-def predicate2I tranclp.r-into-trancl tranclp-idemp tranclp-mono-explicit tranclp-unfold-end*)

lemma *rtranclp-full1-eq-or-full1*:

$\langle (full1 R)^{**} a b \longleftrightarrow (a = b \vee full1 R a b) \rangle$

unfolding *rtranclp-unfold* *tranclp-full1-full1* **by** *simp*

```
lemma no-step-full-iff-eq:
  ‹no-step R S ⟹ full R S T ⟷ S = T›
  unfolding full-def
  by (meson rtranclp.rtrancl-refl rtranclpD tranclpD)
```

1.1.3 Well-Foundedness and Full Transitions

```
lemma wf-exists-normal-form:
  assumes wf: ‹wf {(x, y). R y x}›
  shows ‹∃ b. R** a b ∧ no-step R b›
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume ‹¬ ?thesis›
  then have H: ‹¬(R** a b ∨ ¬no-step R b)›
  by blast
  define F where ‹F = rec-nat a (λi b. SOME c. R b c)›
  have [simp]: ‹F 0 = a›
  unfolding F-def by auto
  have [simp]: ‹¬(SOME i. F (Suc i) = (SOME b. R (F i) b))›
  unfolding F-def by simp
  { fix i
    have ‹¬(SOME j < i. R (F j) (F (Suc j)))›
    proof (induction i)
      case 0
      then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (Suc i)
      then have ‹¬(SOME j < Suc i. R (F j) (F (Suc j)))›
      proof (induction i)
        case 0
        then have ‹¬(SOME j < 0. R (F j) (F (Suc j)))›
        by (induction 0) auto
        then have ‹¬(SOME b. R (F 0) b)›
        using H by (simp add: someI-ex)
        then have ‹¬(SOME j < Suc 0. R (F j) (F (Suc j)))›
        using H Suc by (simp add: less-Suc-eq)
        then show ?case by fast
      qed
    qed
  }
  then have ‹¬(SOME j. R (F j) (F (Suc j)))› by blast
  then show False
  using wf unfolding wfP-def wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain by blast
qed
```

```
lemma wf-exists-normal-form-full:
  assumes wf: ‹wf {(x, y). R y x}›
  shows ‹∃ b. full R a b›
  using wf-exists-normal-form[OF assms] unfolding full-def by blast
```

1.1.4 More Well-Foundedness

A little list of theorems that could be useful, but are hidden:

- link between *wf* and infinite chains: theorems *wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain* and *wf-no-infinite-down-chain*

```
lemma wf-if-measure-in-wf:
  ‹wf R ⟹ (∀a b. (a, b) ∈ S ⟹ (ν a, ν b) ∈ R) ⟹ wf S›
```

by (metis in-inv-image wfE-min wfI-min wf-inv-image)

lemma wfP-if-measure: **fixes** $f :: \lambda a : \text{nat}$
shows $\langle (\forall x y. P x \implies g x y \implies f y < f x) \implies \text{wf } \{(y,x). P x \wedge g x y\} \rangle$
apply (insert wf-measure[of f])
apply (simp only: measure-def inv-image-def less-than-def less-eq)
apply (erule wf-subset)
apply auto
done

lemma wf-if-measure-f:
assumes $\langle \text{wf } r \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{wf } \{(b, a). (f b, f a) \in r\} \rangle$
using assms by (metis inv-image-def wf-inv-image)

lemma wf-wf-if-measure':
assumes $\langle \text{wf } r \rangle$ **and** $H: \langle \forall x y. P x \implies g x y \implies (f y, f x) \in r \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{wf } \{(y,x). P x \wedge g x y\} \rangle$
proof –
have $\langle \text{wf } \{(b, a). (f b, f a) \in r\} \rangle$ **using** assms(1) wf-if-measure-f **by** auto
then have $\langle \text{wf } \{(b, a). P a \wedge g a b \wedge (f b, f a) \in r\} \rangle$
using wf-subset[of - $\{(b, a). P a \wedge g a b \wedge (f b, f a) \in r\}$] **by** auto
moreover have $\langle \{(b, a). P a \wedge g a b \wedge (f b, f a) \in r\} \subseteq \{(b, a). (f b, f a) \in r\} \rangle$ **by** auto
moreover have $\langle \{(b, a). P a \wedge g a b \wedge (f b, f a) \in r\} = \{(b, a). P a \wedge g a b\} \rangle$ **using** H **by** auto
ultimately show ?thesis **using** wf-subset **by** simp
qed

lemma wf-lex-less: $\langle \text{wf } (\text{lex less-than}) \rangle$
by (auto simp: wf-less)

lemma wfP-if-measure2: **fixes** $f :: \lambda a : \text{nat}$
shows $\langle (\forall x y. P x y \implies g x y \implies f x < f y) \implies \text{wf } \{(x,y). P x y \wedge g x y\} \rangle$
apply (insert wf-measure[of f])
apply (simp only: measure-def inv-image-def less-than-def less-eq)
apply (erule wf-subset)
apply auto
done

lemma lexord-on-finite-set-is-wf:
assumes
P-finite: $\langle \bigwedge U. P U \longrightarrow U \in A \rangle$ **and**
finite: $\langle \text{finite } A \rangle$ **and**
wf: $\langle \text{wf } R \rangle$ **and**
trans: $\langle \text{trans } R \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{wf } \{(T, S). (P S \wedge P T) \wedge (T, S) \in \text{lexord } R\} \rangle$
proof (rule wfP-if-measure2)
fix $T S$
assume $P: \langle P S \wedge P T \rangle$ **and**
s-le-t: $\langle (T, S) \in \text{lexord } R \rangle$
let $?f = \langle \lambda S. \{U. (U, S) \in \text{lexord } R \wedge P U \wedge P S\} \rangle$
have $\langle ?f T \subseteq ?f S \rangle$
using s-le-t P lexord-trans trans **by** auto
moreover have $\langle T \in ?f S \rangle$
using s-le-t P **by** auto
moreover have $\langle T \notin ?f T \rangle$
using s-le-t **by** (auto simp add: lexord-irreflexive local.wf)

```

ultimately have ⟨{U. (U, T) ∈ lexord R ∧ P U ∧ P T} ⊂ {U. (U, S) ∈ lexord R ∧ P U ∧ P S}⟩
  by auto
moreover have ⟨finite {U. (U, S) ∈ lexord R ∧ P U ∧ P S}⟩
  using finite by (metis (no-types, lifting) P-finite finite-subset mem-Collect-eq subsetI)
ultimately show ⟨card (?f T) < card (?f S)⟩ by (simp add: psubset-card-mono)
qed

```

```

lemma wf-fst-wf-pair:
assumes ⟨wf {(M', M). R M' M}⟩
shows ⟨wf {((M', N'), (M, N)). R M' M}⟩
proof –
  have ⟨wf {((M', M). R M' M) <*lex*> {}}⟩
    using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis
    by (rule wf-subset) auto
qed

```

```

lemma wf-snd-wf-pair:
assumes ⟨wf {(M', M). R M' M}⟩
shows ⟨wf {((M', N'), (M, N)). R N' N}⟩
proof –
  have wf: ⟨wf {((M', N'), (M, N)). R M' M}⟩
    using assms wf-fst-wf-pair by auto
  then have wf: ⟨ $\bigwedge P. (\forall x. (\forall y. (y, x) \in \{(M', N'), M, N\}. R M' M) \rightarrow P y) \rightarrow P x$ ⟩  $\implies$  All P
    unfolding wf-def by auto
  show ?thesis
    unfolding wf-def
    proof (intro allI impI)
      fix P :: ⟨'c × 'a ⇒ bool⟩ and x :: ⟨'c × 'a⟩
      assume H: ⟨ $\forall x. (\forall y. (y, x) \in \{(M', N'), M, N\}. R N' y) \rightarrow P y$ ⟩  $\rightarrow$  P x
      obtain a b where x: ⟨x = (a, b)⟩ by (cases x)
      have P: ⟨P x = (P o (λ(a, b). (b, a))) (b, a)⟩
        unfolding x by auto
      show ⟨P x⟩
        using wf[of ⟨P o (λ(a, b). (b, a))⟩] apply rule
          using H apply simp
          unfolding P by blast
    qed
qed

```

```

lemma wf-if-measure-f-notation2:
assumes ⟨wf r⟩
shows ⟨wf {((b, h a)|b a. (f b, f (h a)) ∈ r}⟩
apply (rule wf-subset)
using wf-if-measure-f[OF assms, of f] by auto

```

```

lemma wf-wf-if-measure'-notation2:
assumes ⟨wf r⟩ and H: ⟨ $\bigwedge x y. P x \implies g x y \implies (f y, f (h x)) \in r$ ⟩
shows ⟨wf {((y, h x)| y x. P x ∧ g x y}⟩
proof –
  have ⟨wf {((b, h a)|b a. (f b, f (h a)) ∈ r}⟩ using assms(1) wf-if-measure-f-notation2 by auto
  then have ⟨wf {((b, h a)|b a. P a ∧ g a b ∧ (f b, f (h a)) ∈ r}⟩
    using wf-subset[of - ⟨{(b, h a)| b a. P a ∧ g a b ∧ (f b, f (h a)) ∈ r}⟩] by auto
  moreover have ⟨{((b, h a)|b a. P a ∧ g a b ∧ (f b, f (h a)) ∈ r}⟩
     $\subseteq$  ⟨{(b, h a)|b a. (f b, f (h a)) ∈ r}⟩ by auto

```

```

moreover have ⟨{(b, h a)|b a. P a ∧ g a b ∧ (f b, f (h a)) ∈ r} = {(b, h a)|b a. P a ∧ g a b}⟩
  using H by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using wf-subset by simp
qed

```

```

lemma power-ex-decomp:
assumes ⟨(R ^ n) S T⟩
shows
  ⟨∃f. f 0 = S ∧ f n = T ∧ (∀i. i < n → R (f i) (f (Suc i)))⟩
using assms
proof (induction n arbitrary: T)
  case 0
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc n) note IH = this(1) and R = this(2)
  from R obtain T' where
    ST: ⟨(R ^ n) S T'⟩ and
    T'T: ⟨R T' T⟩
    by auto
  obtain f where
    [simp]: ⟨f 0 = S⟩ and
    [simp]: ⟨f n = T'⟩ and
    H: ⟨∀i. i < n → R (f i) (f (Suc i))⟩
    using IH[OF ST] by fast
  let ?f = ⟨f (Suc n := T')⟩
  show ?case
    by (rule exI[of - ?f])
      (use H ST T'T in auto)
qed

```

The following lemma gives a bound on the maximal number of transitions of a sequence that is well-founded under the lexicographic ordering *lexn* on natural numbers.

```

lemma lexn-number-of-transition:
assumes
  le: ⟨∀i. i < n → ((f (Suc i)), (f i)) ∈ lexn less-than m⟩ and
  upper: ⟨∀i j. i ≤ n → j < m → (f i) ! j ∈ {0..<k}⟩ and
  finite A and
  k: ⟨k > 1⟩
shows ⟨n < k ^ Suc m⟩
proof -
  define r where
    ⟨r x = zip x (map (λi. k ^ (length x - i)) [0..<length x])⟩ for x :: ⟨nat list⟩
  define s where
    ⟨s x = foldr (λa b. a + b) (map (λ(a, b). a * b) x) 0⟩ for x :: ⟨(nat × nat) list⟩
  have [simp]: ⟨r [] = []⟩ ⟨s [] = 0⟩
    by (auto simp: r-def s-def)
  have upt': ⟨m > 0 → [0..<m] = 0 # map Suc [0..<m - 1]⟩ for m
    by (auto simp: map-Suc-upt upt-conv-Cons)
  have upt'': ⟨m > 0 → [0..<m] = [0..<m - 1] @ [m - 1]⟩ for m
    by (cases m) (auto simp: )
  have Cons: ⟨r (x # xs) = (x, k ^ (Suc (length xs))) # (r xs)⟩ for x xs

```

```

unfolding r-def
apply (subst upto')
apply (clar simp simp add: upto'' comp-def nth-append Suc-diff-le simp flip: zip-map2)
apply (clar simp simp add: upto'' comp-def nth-append Suc-diff-le simp flip: zip-map2)
done

have [simp]: ⟨s (ab # xs) = fst ab * snd ab + s xs⟩ for ab xs
unfolding s-def by (cases ab) auto

have le2: ⟨(∀ a ∈ set b. a < k) ⟹ (k ^ (Suc (length b))) > s ((r b))⟩ for b
apply (induction b arbitrary: f)
using k apply (auto simp: Cons)
apply (rule order.strict-trans1)
apply (rule-tac j = ⟨(k - 1) * k * k ^ length b⟩ in Nat.add-le-mono1)
subgoal for a b
by auto
apply (rule order.strict-trans2)
apply (rule-tac b = ⟨(k - 1) * k * k ^ length b⟩ and d = ⟨(k * k ^ length b)⟩ in add-le-less-mono)
apply (auto simp: mult.assoc comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules(2))
done

have ⟨s (r (f (Suc i))) < s (r (f i))⟩ if ⟨i < n⟩ for i
proof –
have i-n: ⟨Suc i ≤ n⟩ ⟨i ≤ n⟩
using that by auto
have length: ⟨length (f i) = m⟩ ⟨length (f (Suc i)) = m⟩
using le[OF that] by (auto dest: lexn-length)
define xs and ys where ⟨xs = f i⟩ and ⟨ys = f (Suc i)⟩

show ?thesis
using le[OF that] upper[OF i-n(2)] upper[OF i-n(1)] length Cons
unfolding xs-def[symmetric] ys-def[symmetric]
proof (induction m arbitrary: xs ys)
case 0 then show ?case by auto
next
case (Suc m) note IH = this(1) and H = this(2) and p = this(3-)
have IH: ⟨(tl ys, tl xs) ∈ lexn less-than m ⟹ s (r (tl ys)) < s (r (tl xs))⟩
apply (rule IH)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for i using p(1)[of ⟨Suc i⟩] p by (cases xs; auto)
subgoal for i using p(2)[of ⟨Suc i⟩] p by (cases ys; auto)
subgoal using p by (cases xs) auto
subgoal using p by auto
subgoal using p by auto
done
have ⟨s (r (tl ys)) < k ^ (Suc (length (tl ys)))⟩
apply (rule le2)
unfolding all-set-conv-all-nth
using p by (simp add: nth-tl)
then have ⟨ab * (k * k ^ length (tl ys)) + s (r (tl ys)) <
ab * (k * k ^ length (tl ys)) + (k * k ^ length (tl ys))⟩ for ab
by auto
also have ⟨... ab ≤ (ab + 1) * (k * k ^ length (tl ys))⟩ for ab
by auto
finally have less: ⟨ab < ac ⟹ ab * (k * k ^ length (tl ys)) + s (r (tl ys)) <
ac * (k * k ^ length (tl ys))⟩ for ab ac

```

```

proof -
  assume a1:  $\bigwedge ab. ab * (k * k \wedge \text{length}(\text{tl } ys)) + s(r(\text{tl } ys)) <$ 
             $(ab + 1) * (k * k \wedge \text{length}(\text{tl } ys))$ 
  assume ab < ac
  then have  $\neg ac * (k * k \wedge \text{length}(\text{tl } ys)) < (ab + 1) * (k * k \wedge \text{length}(\text{tl } ys))$ 
    by (metis (no-types) One-nat-def Suc-leI add.right-neutral add-Suc-right
         mult-less-cancel2 not-less)
  then show ?thesis
    using a1 by (meson le-less-trans not-less)
  qed

have  $\langle ab < ac \Rightarrow$ 
   $ab * (k * k \wedge \text{length}(\text{tl } ys)) + s(r(\text{tl } ys))$ 
   $< ac * (k * k \wedge \text{length}(\text{tl } xs)) + s(r(\text{tl } xs)) \rangle$  for ab ac
    using less[of ab ac] p by auto
  then show ?case
    apply (cases xs; cases ys)
    using IH H p(3-5) by auto
  qed
qed
then have  $\langle i \leq n \Rightarrow s(r(f i)) + i \leq s(r(f 0)) \rangle$  for i
  apply (induction i)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal premises p for i
    using p(3)[of <i-1>] p(1,2)
    apply auto
    by (meson Nat.le-diff-conv2 Suc-leI Suc-le-lessD add-leD2 less-diff-conv less-le-trans p(3))
  done
from this[of n] show  $\langle n < k \wedge \text{Suc } m \rangle$ 
  using le2[of <f 0>] upper[of 0] k
  using le[of 0] apply (cases <n = 0>)
  by (auto dest!: lexn-length simp: all-set-conv-all-nth eq-commute[of - m])
qed

end
theory WB-List-More
imports HOL-Library.Finite-Map
Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Duplicate-Free-Multiset
HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach-Tools
HOL-Library.FuncSet
begin

```

This theory contains various lemmas that have been used in the formalisation. Some of them could probably be moved to the Isabelle distribution or *Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Multiset-More*.

More Sledgehammer parameters

1.2 Various Lemmas

1.2.1 Not-Related to Refinement or lists

Unlike clarify/auto/simp, this does not split tuple of the form $\exists T. P T$ in the assumption. After calling it, as the variable are not quantified anymore, the simproc does not trigger, allowing to safely call auto/simp/...

```

method normalize-goal =
  (match premises in
    |  $J[\text{thin}]: \exists x. \rightarrow \Rightarrow \langle \text{rule } exE[OF J] \rangle$ 
    |  $J[\text{thin}]: \neg \wedge \rightarrow \Rightarrow \langle \text{rule } conjE[OF J] \rangle$ 
  )

```

Close to the theorem *nat-less-induct* $((\bigwedge n. \forall m < n. ?P m \implies ?P n) \implies ?P ?n)$, but with a separation between the zero and non-zero case.

```
lemma nat-less-induct-case[case-names 0 Suc]:
```

```

  assumes  $\langle P 0 \rangle$  and
   $\langle \bigwedge n. (\forall m < Suc n. P m) \implies P (Suc n) \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle P n \rangle$ 
  apply (induction rule: nat-less-induct)
  by (rename-tac n, case-tac n) (auto intro: assms)
```

This is only proved in simple cases by auto. In assumptions, nothing happens, and the theorem *if-split-asm* can blow up goals (because of other if-expressions either in the context or as simplification rules).

```
lemma if-0-1-ge-0[simp]:
```

```

   $\langle 0 < (\text{if } P \text{ then } a \text{ else } (0::nat)) \longleftrightarrow P \wedge 0 < a \rangle$ 
  by auto
```

```
lemma bex-lessI:  $P j \implies j < n \implies \exists j < n. P j$ 
```

```
  by auto
```

```
lemma bex-gtI:  $P j \implies j > n \implies \exists j > n. P j$ 
```

```
  by auto
```

```
lemma bex-geI:  $P j \implies j \geq n \implies \exists j \geq n. P j$ 
```

```
  by auto
```

```
lemma bex-leI:  $P j \implies j \leq n \implies \exists j \leq n. P j$ 
```

```
  by auto
```

Bounded function have not yet been defined in Isabelle.

```
definition bounded ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'b::ord) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
   $\langle \text{bounded } f \longleftrightarrow (\exists b. \forall n. f n \leq b) \rangle$ 
```

```
abbreviation unbounded ::  $\langle ('a \Rightarrow 'b::ord) \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  where
   $\langle \text{unbounded } f \equiv \neg \text{bounded } f \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma not-bounded-nat-exists-larger:
```

```

  fixes  $f :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$ 
  assumes  $\text{unbound}: \langle \text{unbounded } f \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle \exists n. f n > m \wedge n > n_0 \rangle$ 
  proof (rule econtr)
    assume  $H: \langle \neg ?\text{thesis} \rangle$ 
    have  $\langle \text{finite } \{f n | n. n \leq n_0\} \rangle$ 
    by auto
    have  $\langle \bigwedge n. f n \leq \text{Max } (\{f n | n. n \leq n_0\} \cup \{m\}) \rangle$ 
    apply (case-tac  $\langle n \leq n_0 \rangle$ )
    apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Max-ge Un-insert-right  $\langle \text{finite } \{f n | n. n \leq n_0\} \rangle$ 
      finite-insert insertCI mem-Collect-eq sup-bot.right-neutral)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) H Max-less-iff Un-insert-right  $\langle \text{finite } \{f n | n. n \leq n_0\} \rangle$ )
```

```

finite-insert insertI1 insert-not-empty leI sup-bot.right-neutral)
then show False
using unbound unfolding bounded-def by auto
qed

```

A function is bounded iff its product with a non-zero constant is bounded. The non-zero condition is needed only for the reverse implication (see for example $k = 0$ and $f = (\lambda i. i)$ for a counter-example).

```

lemma bounded-const-product:
fixes k :: nat and f :: <nat ⇒ nat>
assumes <k > 0>
shows <bounded f ↔ bounded (λi. k * f i)>
unfolding bounded-def apply (rule iffI)
using mult-le-mono2 apply blast
by (metis Suc-leI add.right-neutral assms mult.commute mult-0-right mult-Suc-right mult-le-mono
    nat-mult-le-cancel1)

```

```

lemma bounded-const-add:
fixes k :: nat and f :: <nat ⇒ nat>
assumes <k > 0>
shows <bounded f ↔ bounded (λi. k + f i)>
unfolding bounded-def apply (rule iffI)
using nat-add-left-cancel-le apply blast
using add-leE by blast

```

This lemma is not used, but here to show that property that can be expected from *bounded* holds.

```

lemma bounded-finite-linorder:
fixes f :: <'a::finite ⇒ 'b :: {linorder}>
shows <bounded f>
proof –
have <finite (f ` UNIV)>
  by simp
then have <∀x. f x ≤ Max (f ` UNIV)>
  by (auto intro: Max-ge)
then show ?thesis
  unfolding bounded-def by blast
qed

```

1.3 More Lists

1.3.1 set, nth, tl

```

lemma ex-geI: <P n ==> n ≥ m ==> ∃ n≥m. P n>
  by auto

```

```

lemma Ball-atLeastLessThan-iff: <(∀ L∈{a..b}. P L) ↔ (∀ L. L ≥ a ∧ L < b → P L)>
  unfolding set-nths by auto

```

```

lemma nth-in-set-tl: <i > 0 ==> i < length xs ==> xs ! i ∈ set (tl xs)>
  by (cases xs) auto

```

```

lemma tl-drop-def: <tl N = drop 1 N>
  by (cases N) auto

```

```

lemma in-set-remove1D:
  ‹ $a \in \text{set}(\text{remove1 } x \text{ } xs) \implies a \in \text{set } xsby (meson notin-set-remove1)

lemma take-length-takeWhile-eq-takeWhile:
  ‹ $\text{take}(\text{length } (\text{takeWhile } P \text{ } xs)) \text{ } xs = \text{takeWhile } P \text{ } xsby (induction xs) auto

lemma fold-cons-replicate: ‹ $\text{fold } (\lambda \text{- } xs. \text{ } a \# xs) [0..<n] \text{ } xs = \text{replicate } n \text{ } a @ xsby (induction n) auto

lemma Collect-minus-single-Collect: ‹ $\{x. \text{ } P \text{ } x\} - \{a\} = \{x. \text{ } P \text{ } x \wedge x \neq a\}by auto

lemma in-set-image-subsetD: ‹ $f`A \subseteq B \implies x \in A \implies f \text{ } x \in Bby blast

lemma mset-tl:
  ‹ $\text{mset}(\text{tl } xs) = \text{remove1-mset}(\text{hd } xs) \text{ } (\text{mset } xs)by (cases xs) auto

lemma hd-list-update-If:
  ‹ $\text{outl}' \neq [] \implies \text{hd } (\text{outl}'[i := w]) = (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } w \text{ else } \text{hd } \text{outl}')by (cases outl') (auto split: nat.splits)

lemma list-update-id':
  ‹ $x = xs ! i \implies xs[i := x] = xsby auto$$$$$$$$ 
```

This lemma is not general enough to move to Isabelle, but might be interesting in other cases.

```

lemma set-Collect-Pair-to-fst-snd:
  ‹ $\{(a, b), (a', b')\}. \text{P } a \text{ } b \text{ } a' \text{ } b' = \{(e, f). \text{P } (\text{fst } e) \text{ } (\text{snd } e) \text{ } (\text{fst } f) \text{ } (\text{snd } f)\}by auto

lemma butlast-Nil-iff: ‹ $\text{butlast } xs = [] \longleftrightarrow \text{length } xs = 1 \vee \text{length } xs = 0by (cases xs) auto

lemma Set-remove-diff-insert: ‹ $a \in B - A \implies B - \text{Set.remove } a \text{ } A = \text{insert } a \text{ } (B - A)by auto

lemma Set-insert-diff-remove: ‹ $B - \text{insert } a \text{ } A = \text{Set.remove } a \text{ } (B - A)by auto

lemma Set-remove-insert: ‹ $a \notin A' \implies \text{Set.remove } a \text{ } (\text{insert } a \text{ } A') = A'by (auto simp: Set.remove-def)

lemma diff-eq-insertD:
  ‹ $B - A = \text{insert } a \text{ } A' \implies a \in Bby auto

lemma in-set-tlD: ‹ $x \in \text{set}(\text{tl } xs) \implies x \in \text{set } xsby (cases xs) auto$$$$$$$ 
```

This lemmma is only useful if $\text{set } xs$ can be simplified (which also means that this simp-rule should not be used...)

```
lemma (in -) in-list-in-setD: ‹ $xs = it @ x \# \sigma \implies x \in \text{set } xs$ 
```

by auto

lemma Collect-eq-comp': $\{ (x, y). P x y \} O \{ (c, a). c = f a \} = \{ (x, a). P x (f a) \}$
by auto

lemma (in -) filter-disj-eq:
 $\{ x \in A. P x \vee Q x \} = \{ x \in A. P x \} \cup \{ x \in A. Q x \}$
by auto

lemma zip-cong:
 $\langle (\bigwedge i. i < \min(\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys) \implies (xs ! i, ys ! i) = (xs' ! i, ys' ! i)) \implies$
 $\text{length } xs = \text{length } xs' \implies \text{length } ys = \text{length } ys' \implies \text{zip } xs \text{ ys} = \text{zip } xs' \text{ ys}' \rangle$
proof (induction xs arbitrary: $xs' \text{ ys}' \text{ ys}$)
case Nil
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Cons x xs xs' ys' ys) note IH = this(1) and eq = this(2) and p = this(3-)
thm IH
have $\langle \text{zip } xs \text{ (tl } ys) = \text{zip } (\text{tl } xs') \text{ (tl } ys') \rangle$ for i
apply (rule IH)
subgoal for i
using p eq[of Suc i] by (auto simp: nth-tl)
subgoal using p by auto
subgoal using p by auto
done
moreover have $\langle \text{hd } xs' = x \rangle \langle \text{hd } ys = \text{hd } ys' \rangle$ if $\langle ys \neq [] \rangle$
using eq[of 0] that p[symmetric] apply (auto simp: hd-conv-nth)
apply (subst hd-conv-nth)
apply auto
apply (subst hd-conv-nth)
apply auto
done
ultimately show ?case
using p by (cases xs'; cases ys'; cases ys)
auto
qed

lemma zip-cong2:
 $\langle (\bigwedge i. i < \min(\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys) \implies (xs ! i, ys ! i) = (xs' ! i, ys' ! i)) \implies$
 $\text{length } xs = \text{length } xs' \implies \text{length } ys \leq \text{length } ys' \implies \text{length } ys \geq \text{length } xs \implies$
 $\text{zip } xs \text{ ys} = \text{zip } xs' \text{ ys}' \rangle$
proof (induction xs arbitrary: $xs' \text{ ys}' \text{ ys}$)
case Nil
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Cons x xs xs' ys' ys) note IH = this(1) and eq = this(2) and p = this(3-)
have $\langle \text{zip } xs \text{ (tl } ys) = \text{zip } (\text{tl } xs') \text{ (tl } ys') \rangle$ for i
apply (rule IH)
subgoal for i
using p eq[of Suc i] by (auto simp: nth-tl)
subgoal using p by auto
subgoal using p by auto
subgoal using p by auto
done
moreover have $\langle \text{hd } xs' = x \rangle \langle \text{hd } ys = \text{hd } ys' \rangle$ if $\langle ys \neq [] \rangle$

```

using eq[of 0] that p apply (auto simp: hd-conv-nth)
apply (subst hd-conv-nth)
apply auto
apply (subst hd-conv-nth)
apply auto
done
ultimately show ?case
using p by (cases xs'; cases ys'; cases ys)
    auto
qed

```

1.3.2 List Updates

```

lemma tl-update-swap:
   $i \geq 1 \implies tl(N[i := C]) = (tl N)[i-1 := C]$ 
  by (auto simp: drop-Suc[of 0, symmetric, simplified] drop-update-swap)

lemma tl-update-0[simp]:  $\langle tl(N[0 := x]) = tl N \rangle$ 
  by (cases N) auto

declare nth-list-update[simp]

```

This a version of $?i < length ?xs \implies ?xs[?i := ?x] ! ?j = (\text{if } ?i = ?j \text{ then } ?x \text{ else } ?xs ! ?j)$ with a different condition (j instead of i). This is more useful in some cases.

```

lemma nth-list-update-le'[simp]:
   $j < length xs \implies (xs[i:=x])!j = (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } x \text{ else } xs!j)$ 
  by (induct xs arbitrary: i j) (auto simp add: nth-Cons split: nat.split)

```

1.3.3 Take and drop

```

lemma take-2-if:
   $\langle \text{take } 2 C = (\text{if } C = [] \text{ then } []) \text{ else if } length C = 1 \text{ then } [\text{hd } C] \text{ else } [C!0, C!1] \rangle$ 
  by (cases C; cases ⟨tl C⟩) auto

```

```

lemma in-set-take-conv-nth:
   $\langle x \in set(\text{take } n xs) \longleftrightarrow (\exists m < min n (\text{length } xs). xs ! m = x) \rangle$ 
  by (metis in-set-conv-nth length-take min.commute min.strict-boundedE nth-take)

```

```

lemma in-set-dropI:
   $\langle m < length xs \implies m \geq n \implies xs ! m \in set(\text{drop } n xs) \rangle$ 
  unfolding in-set-conv-nth
  by (rule exI[of - ⟨m - n⟩]) auto

```

```

lemma in-set-drop-conv-nth:
   $\langle x \in set(\text{drop } n xs) \longleftrightarrow (\exists m \geq n. m < length xs \wedge xs ! m = x) \rangle$ 
  apply (rule iffI)
  subgoal
    apply (subst (asm) in-set-conv-nth)
    apply clarsimp
    apply (rule-tac x = ⟨n+i⟩ in exI)
    apply (auto)
    done
  subgoal
    by (auto intro: in-set-dropI)
  done

```

Taken from the Word library.

```

lemma atd-lem:  $\langle \text{take } n \text{ xs} = t \Rightarrow \text{drop } n \text{ xs} = d \Rightarrow \text{xs} = t @ d \rangle$ 
  by (auto intro: append-take-drop-id [symmetric])

lemma drop-take-drop-drop:
   $\langle j \geq i \Rightarrow \text{drop } i \text{ xs} = \text{take } (j - i) (\text{drop } i \text{ xs}) @ \text{drop } j \text{ xs} \rangle$ 
  apply (induction j - i arbitrary: j i)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by (auto simp add: atd-lem)
  done

lemma in-set-conv-iff:
   $\langle x \in \text{set} (\text{take } n \text{ xs}) \longleftrightarrow (\exists i < n. i < \text{length } \text{xs} \wedge \text{xs} ! i = x) \rangle$ 
  apply (induction n)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for n
    apply (cases Suc n < length xs)
    subgoal by (auto simp: take-Suc-conv-app-nth less-Suc-eq dest: in-set-takeD)
    subgoal
      apply (cases n < length xs)
      subgoal
        apply (auto simp: in-set-conv-nth)
        by (rule-tac x=i in exI; auto; fail) +
      subgoal
        apply (auto simp: take-Suc-conv-app-nth dest: in-set-takeD)
        by (rule-tac x=i in exI; auto; fail) +
      done
    done
  done

lemma distinct-in-set-take-iff:
   $\langle \text{distinct } D \Rightarrow b < \text{length } D \Rightarrow D ! b \in \text{set} (\text{take } a D) \longleftrightarrow b < a \rangle$ 
  apply (induction a arbitrary: b)
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal for a
    by (cases Suc a < length D)
    (auto simp: take-Suc-conv-app-nth nth-eq-iff-index-eq)
  done

lemma in-set-distinct-take-drop-iff:
  assumes
     $\langle \text{distinct } D \rangle \text{ and}$ 
     $\langle b < \text{length } D \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle D ! b \in \text{set} (\text{take } (a - \text{init}) (\text{drop } \text{init } D)) \longleftrightarrow (\text{init} \leq b \wedge b < a) \rangle$ 
  using assms apply (auto 5 5 simp: distinct-in-set-take-iff in-set-conv-iff
    nth-eq-iff-index-eq dest: in-set-takeD)
  by (metis add-diff-cancel-left' diff-less-mono le-iff-add)

```

1.3.4 Replicate

```

lemma list-eq-replicate-iff-nempty:
   $\langle n > 0 \Rightarrow \text{xs} = \text{replicate } n x \longleftrightarrow n = \text{length } \text{xs} \wedge \text{set } \text{xs} = \{x\} \rangle$ 
  by (metis length-replicate neq0-conv replicate-length-same set-replicate singletonD)

lemma list-eq-replicate-iff:
   $\langle \text{xs} = \text{replicate } n x \longleftrightarrow (n = 0 \wedge \text{xs} = []) \vee (n = \text{length } \text{xs} \wedge \text{set } \text{xs} = \{x\}) \rangle$ 

```

```
by (cases n) (auto simp: list-eq-replicate-iff-nempty simp del: replicate.simps)
```

1.3.5 List intervals (*upt*)

The simplification rules are not very handy, because theorem *upt.simps* (2) (i.e. $[?i..<Suc ?j] = (\text{if } ?i \leq ?j \text{ then } [?i..<?j] @ [?j] \text{ else } [])$) leads to a case distinction, that we usually do not want if the condition is not already in the context.

```
lemma upt-Suc-le-append: ‹¬i ≤ j ⇒ [i..<Suc j] = []›
  by auto
```

```
lemmas upt-simps[simp] = upt-Suc-append upt-Suc-le-append
```

```
declare upt.simps(2)[simp del]
```

The counterpart for this lemma when $n - m < i$ is theorem *take-all*. It is close to theorem $?i + ?m \leq ?n \Rightarrow take ?m [?i..<?n] = [?i..<?i + ?m]$, but seems more general.

```
lemma take-upt-bound-minus[simp]:
```

```
assumes ‹i ≤ n - m›
shows ‹take i [m..<n] = [m ..<m+i]›
using assms by (induction i) auto
```

```
lemma append-cons-eq-upt:
```

```
assumes ‹A @ B = [m..<n]›
shows ‹A = [m ..<m+length A]› and ‹B = [m + length A..<n]›
```

```
proof –
```

```
have ‹take (length A) (A @ B) = A› by auto
```

```
moreover {
```

```
have ‹length A ≤ n - m› using assms linear calculation by fastforce
```

```
then have ‹take (length A) [m..<n] = [m ..<m+length A]› by auto }
```

```
ultimately show ‹A = [m ..<m+length A]› using assms by auto
```

```
show ‹B = [m + length A..<n]› using assms by (metis append-eq-conv-conj drop-upt)
```

```
qed
```

The converse of theorem *append-cons-eq-upt* does not hold, for example if @ term *B*:: nat list is empty and *A* is $[0::'a]$:

```
lemma ‹A @ B = [m..<n] ↔ A = [m ..<m+length A] ∧ B = [m + length A..<n]›
oops
```

A more restrictive version holds:

```
lemma ‹B ≠ [] ⇒ A @ B = [m..<n] ↔ A = [m ..<m+length A] ∧ B = [m + length A..<n]›
  (is ‹?P ⇒ ?A = ?B›)
```

```
proof
```

```
assume ?A then show ?B by (auto simp add: append-cons-eq-upt)
```

```
next
```

```
assume ?P and ?B
```

```
then show ?A using append-eq-conv-conj by fastforce
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma append-cons-eq-upt-length-i:
```

```
assumes ‹A @ i # B = [m..<n]›
shows ‹A = [m ..<i]›
```

```
proof –
```

```
have ‹A = [m ..<m + length A]› using assms append-cons-eq-upt by auto
```

```
have ‹(A @ i # B) ! (length A) = i› by auto
```

```

moreover have ⟨ $n - m = \text{length}(A @ i \# B)using assms length-upt by presburger
then have ⟨ $[m..<n] ! (\text{length } A) = m + \text{length } Aby simp
ultimately have ⟨ $i = m + \text{length } Ausing assms by auto
  then show ?thesis using ⟨ $A = [m ..< m + \text{length } A]by auto
qed

lemma append-cons-eq-upt-length:
  assumes ⟨ $A @ i \# B = [m..<n]shows ⟨ $\text{length } A = i - musing assms
proof (induction A arbitrary: m)
  case Nil
    then show ?case by (metis append-Nil diff-is-0-eq list.size(3) order-refl upt-eq-Cons-conv)
next
  case (Cons a A)
    then have  $A : A @ i \# B = [m + 1..<n]$  by (metis append-Cons upt-eq-Cons-conv)
    then have ⟨ $m < i$ ⟩ by (metis Cons.preds append-cons-eq-upt-length-i upt-eq-Cons-conv)
    with Cons.IH[OF A] show ?case by auto
qed

lemma append-cons-eq-upt-length-i-end:
  assumes ⟨ $A @ i \# B = [m..<n]shows ⟨ $B = [\text{Suc } i ..<n]proof –
  have ⟨ $B = [\text{Suc } m + \text{length } A ..<n]$ ⟩ using assms append-cons-eq-upt[of ⟨ $A @ [i]$ ⟩ B m n] by auto
  have ⟨ $(A @ i \# B) ! (\text{length } A) = i$ ⟩ by auto
  moreover have ⟨ $n - m = \text{length}(A @ i \# B)using assms length-upt by auto
  then have ⟨ $[m..<n] ! (\text{length } A) = m + \text{length } A$ ⟩ by simp
  ultimately have ⟨ $i = m + \text{length } A$ ⟩ using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis using ⟨ $B = [\text{Suc } m + \text{length } A ..<n]$ ⟩ by auto
qed

lemma Max-n-upt: ⟨ $\text{Max}(\text{insert } 0 \{\text{Suc } 0..<n\}) = n - \text{Suc } 0$ ⟩
proof (induct n)
  case 0
    then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc n) note IH = this
  have  $i : \text{insert } 0 \{\text{Suc } 0..<\text{Suc } n\} = \text{insert } 0 \{\text{Suc } 0..< n\} \cup \{n\}$  by auto
  show ?case using IH unfolding i by auto
qed

lemma upt-decomp-lt:
  assumes H: ⟨ $xs @ i \# ys @ j \# zs = [m ..< n]$ ⟩
  shows ⟨ $i < j$ ⟩
proof –
  have xs: ⟨ $xs = [m ..< i]$ ⟩ and ys: ⟨ $ys = [\text{Suc } i ..< j]$ ⟩ and zs: ⟨ $zs = [\text{Suc } j ..< n]$ ⟩
    using H by (auto dest: append-cons-eq-upt-length-i append-cons-eq-upt-length-i-end)
    show ?thesis
      by (metis append-cons-eq-upt-length-i-end assms lessI less-trans self-append-conv2
        upt-eq-Cons-conv upt-rec ys)
qed

lemma nths-upt-upto-Suc: ⟨ $aa < \text{length } xs \implies \text{nths } xs \{0..<\text{Suc } aa\} = \text{nths } xs \{0..<aa\} @ [xs ! aa]$ ⟩$$$$$$$$$ 
```

by (simp add: atLeast0LessThan take-Suc-conv-app-nth)

The following two lemmas are useful as simp rules for case-distinction. The case $length l = 0$ is already simplified by default.

lemma length-list-Suc-0:

```
<length W = Suc 0 <→ (exists L. W = [L])>
apply (cases W)
  apply (simp; fail)
apply (rename-tac a W', case-tac W')
apply auto
done
```

lemma length-list-2: <length S = 2 <→ (exists a b. S = [a, b])>

```
apply (cases S)
  apply (simp; fail)
apply (rename-tac a S')
apply (case-tac S')
by simp-all
```

lemma finite-bounded-list:

```
fixes b :: nat
shows <finite {xs. length xs < s ∧ (∀ i < length xs. xs ! i < b)}> (is <finite (?S s)>)
proof –
  have H: <finite {xs. set xs ⊆ {0..b} ∧ length xs ≤ s}>
    by (rule finite-lists-length-le[of <{0..bshow ?thesis
    by (rule finite-subset[OF - H]) (auto simp: in-set-conv-nth)
qed
```

lemma last-in-set-drop While:

```
assumes <exists L ∈ set (xs @ [x]). ¬P L>
shows <x ∈ set (dropWhile P (xs @ [x]))>
using assms by (induction xs) auto
```

lemma mset-drop-upto: <mset (drop a N) = {#N!i. i ∈# mset-set {a..<length N}#}>

proof (induction N arbitrary: a)

```
case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons c N)
  have upt: <{0..<Suc (length N)} = insert 0 {1..<Suc (length N)}>
    by auto
  then have H: <mset-set {0..<Suc (length N)} = add-mset 0 (mset-set {1..<Suc (length N)})>
    unfolding upt by auto
  have mset-case-Suc: <#case x of 0 ⇒ c | Suc x ⇒ N ! x . x ∈# mset-set {Suc a..<Suc b}#> =
    <#N ! (x-1) . x ∈# mset-set {Suc a..<Suc b}#> for a b
    by (rule image-mset-cong) (auto split: nat.splits)
  have Suc-Suc: <{Suc a..<Suc b} = Suc ‘{a..<b}’ for a b
    by auto
  then have mset-set-Suc-Suc: <mset-set {Suc a..<Suc b} = {#Suc n. n ∈# mset-set {a..<b}#}> for
    a b
    unfolding Suc-Suc by (subst image-mset-mset-set[symmetric]) auto
  have *: <#N ! (x-Suc 0) . x ∈# mset-set {Suc a..<Suc b}#> = <#N ! x . x ∈# mset-set {a..<b}#>
    for a b
    by (auto simp add: mset-set-Suc-Suc)
  show ?case
```

```

apply (cases a)
  using Cons[of 0] Cons by (auto simp: nth-Cons drop-Cons H mset-case-Suc *)
qed

lemma last-list-update-to-last:
  ‹last (xs[x := last xs]) = last xs›
  by (metis last-list-update list-update.simps(1))

lemma take-map-nth-alt-def: ‹take n xs = map ((!) xs) [0..proof (induction xs rule: rev-induct)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (snoc x xs) note IH = this
  show ?case
  proof (cases ‹n < length (xs @ [x])›)
    case True
    then show ?thesis
    using IH by (auto simp: min-def nth-append)
next
  case False
  have [simp]:
    ‹map (λa. if a < length xs then xs ! a else [x] ! (a - length xs)) [0..for xs and x :: 'b
    by (rule map-cong) auto
  show ?thesis
  using IH False by (auto simp: nth-append min-def)
qed
qed

```

1.3.6 Lexicographic Ordering

```

lemma lexn-Suc:
  ‹(x # xs, y # ys) ∈ lexn r (Suc n) ↔
  (length xs = n ∧ length ys = n) ∧ ((x, y) ∈ r ∨ (x = y ∧ (xs, ys) ∈ lexn r n))›
  by (auto simp: map-prod-def image-iff lexn-prod-def)

lemma lexn-n:
  ‹n > 0 ⇒ (x # xs, y # ys) ∈ lexn r n ↔
  (length xs = n - 1 ∧ length ys = n - 1) ∧ ((x, y) ∈ r ∨ (x = y ∧ (xs, ys) ∈ lexn r (n - 1)))›
  apply (cases n)
  apply simp
  by (auto simp: map-prod-def image-iff lexn-prod-def)

```

There is some subtle point in the previous theorem explaining *why* it is useful. The term 1 is converted to *Suc 0*, but 2 is not, meaning that 1 is automatically simplified by default allowing the use of the default simplification rule *lexn.simps*. However, for 2 one additional simplification rule is required (see the proof of the theorem above).

```

lemma lexn2-conv:
  ‹([a, b], [c, d]) ∈ lexn r 2 ↔ (a, c) ∈ r ∨ (a = c ∧ (b, d) ∈ r)›
  by (auto simp: lexn-n simp del: lexn.simps(2))

```

```

lemma lexn3-conv:
  ‹([a, b, c], [a', b', c']) ∈ lexn r 3 ↔
  (a, a') ∈ r ∨ (a = a' ∧ (b, b') ∈ r) ∨ (a = a' ∧ b = b' ∧ (c, c') ∈ r)›

```

```

by (auto simp: lexn-n simp del: lexn.simps(2))

lemma prepend-same-lexn:
  assumes irrefl: `irrefl R`
  shows `(A @ B, A @ C) ∈ lexn R n ↔ (B, C) ∈ lexn R (n - length A)` (is `?A ↔ ?B`)
proof
  assume ?A
  then obtain xys x xs y ys where
    len-B: `length B = n - length A` and
    len-C: `length C = n - length A` and
    AB: `A @ B = xys @ x # xs` and
    AC: `A @ C = xys @ y # ys` and
    xy: `⟨(x, y) ∈ R` by (auto simp: lexn-conv)
  have x-neq-y: `x ≠ y`
    using xy irrefl by (auto simp add: irrefl-def)
  then have `B = drop (length A) xys @ x # xs` using arg-cong[OF AB, of `drop (length A)`]
  apply (cases `length A = length xys`)
  apply (auto; fail)
  by (metis AB AC nth-append nth-append-length zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)

moreover have `C = drop (length A) xys @ y # ys` using arg-cong[OF AC, of `drop (length A)`] x-neq-y
apply (cases `length A = length xys`)
apply (auto; fail)
by (metis AB AC nth-append nth-append-length zero-less-Suc zero-less-diff)
ultimately show ?B
  using len-B[symmetric] len-C[symmetric] xy by (auto simp: lexn-conv)

next
  assume ?B
  then obtain xys x xs y ys where
    len-B: `length B = n - length A` and
    len-C: `length C = n - length A` and
    AB: `B = xys @ x # xs` and
    AC: `C = xys @ y # ys` and
    xy: `⟨(x, y) ∈ R` by (auto simp: lexn-conv)
  define Axys where `Axys = A @ xys`

  have `A @ B = Axys @ x # xs` using AB Axys-def by auto

moreover have `A @ C = Axys @ y # ys` using AC Axys-def by auto
moreover have `Suc (length Axys + length xs) = n` and
`length ys = length xs` using len-B len-C AB AC Axys-def by auto
ultimately show ?A
  using len-B[symmetric] len-C[symmetric] xy by (auto simp: lexn-conv)

qed

lemma append-same-lexn:
  assumes irrefl: `irrefl R`

```

```

shows  $\langle B @ A, C @ A \rangle \in \text{lexn } R \ n \longleftrightarrow \langle B, C \rangle \in \text{lexn } R \ (n - \text{length } A)$  (is  $\langle ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B \rangle$ )
proof
  assume ?A
  then obtain xys x xs y ys where
    len-B:  $\langle n = \text{length } B + \text{length } A \rangle$  and
    len-C:  $\langle n = \text{length } C + \text{length } A \rangle$  and
    AB:  $\langle B @ A = xys @ x \# xs \rangle$  and
    AC:  $\langle C @ A = xys @ y \# ys \rangle$  and
    xy:  $\langle (x, y) \in R \rangle$ 
    by (auto simp: lexn-conv)
  have x-neq-y:  $\langle x \neq y \rangle$ 
    using xy irrefl by (auto simp add: irrefl-def)
  have len-C-B:  $\langle \text{length } C = \text{length } B \rangle$ 
    using len-B len-C by simp
  have len-B-xys:  $\langle \text{length } B > \text{length } xys \rangle$ 
    apply (rule ccontr)
    using arg-cong[OF AB, of "take (length B)"] arg-cong[OF AB, of "drop (length B)"]
    arg-cong[OF AC, of "drop (length C)"] x-neq-y len-C-B
    by auto

  then have B:  $\langle B = xys @ x \# \text{take}(\text{length } B - \text{Suc}(\text{length } xys)) \ xs \rangle$ 
    using arg-cong[OF AB, of "take (length B)"]
    by (cases "length B - length xys") simp-all

  have C:  $\langle C = xys @ y \# \text{take}(\text{length } C - \text{Suc}(\text{length } xys)) \ ys \rangle$ 
    using arg-cong[OF AC, of "take (length C)"] x-neq-y len-B-xys unfolding len-C-B[symmetric]
    by (cases "length C - length xys") auto
  show ?B
    using len-B[symmetric] len-C[symmetric] xy B C
    by (auto simp: lexn-conv)

next
  assume ?B
  then obtain xys x xs y ys where
    len-B:  $\langle \text{length } B = n - \text{length } A \rangle$  and
    len-C:  $\langle \text{length } C = n - \text{length } A \rangle$  and
    AB:  $\langle B = xys @ x \# xs \rangle$  and
    AC:  $\langle C = xys @ y \# ys \rangle$  and
    xy:  $\langle (x, y) \in R \rangle$ 
    by (auto simp: lexn-conv)
  define Ays Axss where "Ays = ys @ A" and "Axss = xs @ A"

  have  $\langle B @ A = xys @ x \# Axss \rangle$ 
    using AB Axss-def by auto

  moreover have  $\langle C @ A = xys @ y \# Ays \rangle$ 
    using AC Ays-def by auto

  moreover have  $\langle \text{Suc}(\text{length } xys + \text{length } Axss) = n \rangle$  and
     $\langle \text{length } Ays = \text{length } Axss \rangle$ 
    using len-B len-C AB AC Axss-def Ays-def by auto
  ultimately show ?A
    using len-B[symmetric] len-C[symmetric] xy
    by (auto simp: lexn-conv)

qed

lemma irrefl-less-than [simp]:  $\langle \text{irrefl less-than} \rangle$ 
  by (auto simp: irrefl-def)

```

1.3.7 Remove

More lemmas about remove

```

lemma distinct-remove1-last-butlast:
  ‹distinct xs ==> xs ≠ [] ==> remove1 (last xs) xs = butlast xs›
  by (metis append-Nil2 append-butlast-last-id distinct-butlast not-distinct-conv-prefix
       remove1.simps(2) remove1-append)

lemma remove1-Nil-iff:
  ‹remove1 x xs = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs = [] ∨ xs = [x]›
  by (cases xs) auto

lemma removeAll-up:
  ‹removeAll k [a..<b] = (if k ≥ a ∧ k < b then [a..<k] @ [Suc k..<b] else [a..<b])›
  by (induction b) auto

lemma remove1-up:
  ‹remove1 k [a..<b] = (if k ≥ a ∧ k < b then [a..<k] @ [Suc k..<b] else [a..<b])›
  by (subst distinct-remove1-removeAll) (auto simp: removeAll-up)

lemma sorted-removeAll: ‹sorted C ==> sorted (removeAll k C)›
  by (metis map-ident removeAll-filter-not-eq sorted-filter)

lemma distinct-remove1-rev: ‹distinct xs ==> remove1 x (rev xs) = rev (remove1 x xs)›
  using split-list[of x xs]
  by (cases ‹x ∈ set xs›) (auto simp: remove1-append remove1-idem)

```

Remove under condition

This function removes the first element such that the condition f holds. It generalises $remove1$.

```

fun remove1-cond where
  ‹remove1-cond f [] = []› | 
  ‹remove1-cond f (C' # L) = (if f C' then L else C' # remove1-cond f L)›

lemma ‹remove1 x xs = remove1-cond ((=) x) xs›
  by (induction xs) auto

lemma mset-map-mset-remove1-cond:
  ‹mset (map mset (remove1-cond (λL. mset L = mset a) C)) =
    remove1-mset (mset a) (mset (map mset C))›
  by (induction C) auto

```

We can also generalise $removeAll$, which is close to $filter$:

```

fun removeAll-cond :: ‹('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list› where
  ‹removeAll-cond f [] = []› | 
  ‹removeAll-cond f (C' # L) = (if f C' then removeAll-cond f L else C' # removeAll-cond f L)›

lemma removeAll-removeAll-cond: ‹removeAll x xs = removeAll-cond ((=) x) xs›
  by (induction xs) auto

lemma removeAll-cond-filter: ‹removeAll-cond P xs = filter (λx. ¬P x) xs›
  by (induction xs) auto

lemma mset-map-mset-removeAll-cond:
  ‹mset (map mset (removeAll-cond (λb. mset b = mset a) C))›

```

```

= removeAll-mset (mset a) (mset (map mset C))>
by (induction C) auto

lemma count-mset-count-list:
<count (mset xs) x = count-list xs x>
by (induction xs) auto

lemma length-removeAll-count-list:
<length (removeAll x xs) = length xs - count-list xs x>
proof -
have <length (removeAll x xs) = size (removeAll-mset x (mset xs))>
by auto
also have <... = size (mset xs) - count (mset xs) x>
by (metis count-le-replicate-mset-subset-eq le-refl size-Diff-submset size-replicate-mset)
also have <... = length xs - count-list xs x>
unfolding count-mset-count-list by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma removeAll-notin: <a ∉ A ⇒ removeAll-mset a A = A>
using count-inI by force

```

Filter

```

lemma distinct-filter-eq-if:
<distinct C ⇒ length (filter ((=) L) C) = (if L ∈ set C then 1 else 0)>
by (induction C) auto

lemma length-filter-update-true:
assumes <i < length xs> and <P (xs ! i)>
shows <length (filter P (xs[i := x])) = length (filter P xs) - (if P x then 0 else 1)>
apply (subst (5) append-take-drop-id[of i, symmetric])
using assms upd-conv-take-nth-drop[of i xs x] Cons-nth-drop-Suc[of i xs, symmetric]
unfolding filter-append length-append
by simp

lemma length-filter-update-false:
assumes <i < length xs> and <¬P (xs ! i)>
shows <length (filter P (xs[i := x])) = length (filter P xs) + (if P x then 1 else 0)>
apply (subst (5) append-take-drop-id[of i, symmetric])
using assms upd-conv-take-nth-drop[of i xs x] Cons-nth-drop-Suc[of i xs, symmetric]
unfolding filter-append length-append
by simp

lemma mset-set-mset-set-minus-id-iff:
assumes <finite A>
shows <mset-set A = mset-set (A - B) ⇔ (∀ b ∈ B. b ∉ A)>
proof -
have f1: mset-set A = mset-set (A - B) ⇔ A - B = A
using assms by (metis (no-types) finite-Diff finite-set-mset-mset-set)
then show ?thesis
by blast
qed

lemma mset-set-eq-mset-set-more-conds:
<finite {x. P x} ⇒ mset-set {x. P x} = mset-set {x. Q x ∧ P x} ⇔ (∀ x. P x → Q x)>

```

```

(is ‹?F ==> ?A <=> ?B›)
proof -
  assume ?F
  then have ‹?A <=> (∀x ∈ {x. P x}. x ∈ {x. Q x ∧ P x})›
    by (subst mset-set-eq-iff) auto
  also have ‹... <=> (∀x. P x → Q x)›
    by blast
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma count-list-filter: ‹count-list xs x = length (filter ((=) x) xs)›
  by (induction xs) auto

lemma sum-length-filter-compl': ‹length [x←xs . ¬ P x] + length (filter P xs) = length xs›
  using sum-length-filter-compl[of P xs] by auto

```

1.3.8 Sorting

See $\llbracket \text{sorted } ?xs; \text{distinct } ?xs; \text{sorted } ?ys; \text{distinct } ?ys; \text{set } ?xs = \text{set } ?ys \rrbracket \implies ?xs = ?ys$.

```

lemma sorted-mset-unique:
  fixes xs :: ‹'a :: linorder list›
  shows ‹sorted xs ==> sorted ys ==> mset xs = mset ys ==> xs = ys›
  using properties-for-sort by auto

lemma insort-up: ‹insort k [a..<b] =
  (if k < a then k # [a..<b]
   else if k < b then [a..<k] @ k # [k ..<b]
   else [a..<b] @ [k])›
proof -
  have H: ‹k < Suc b ==> ¬ k < a ==> {a..<b} = {a..<k} ∪ {k..<b}› for a b :: nat
    by (simp add: ivl-disj-un-two(3))
  show ?thesis
    apply (induction b)
    apply (simp; fail)
    apply (case-tac ‹¬ k < a ∧ k < Suc b›)
    apply (rule sorted-mset-unique)
      apply ((auto simp add: sorted-append sorted-insort ac-simps mset-set-Union
              dest!: H; fail)+)[2]
    apply (auto simp: insort-is-Cons sorted-insort-is-snoc sorted-append mset-set-Union
                  ac-simps dest: H; fail)+
  done
qed

lemma removeAll-insert-removeAll: ‹removeAll k (insort k xs) = removeAll k xs›
  by (simp add: filter-insort-triv removeAll-filter-not-eq)

lemma filter-sorted: ‹sorted xs ==> sorted (filter P xs)›
  by (metis list.map-ident sorted-filter)

lemma removeAll-insort:
  ‹sorted xs ==> k ≠ k' ==> removeAll k' (insort k xs) = insort k (removeAll k' xs)›
  by (simp add: filter-insort removeAll-filter-not-eq)

```

1.3.9 Distinct Multisets

```

lemma distinct-mset-remdups-mset-id: ⟨distinct-mset C ⟹ remdups-mset C = C⟩
  by (induction C) auto

lemma notin-add-mset-remdups-mset:
  ⟨a ∉# A ⟹ add-mset a (remdups-mset A) = remdups-mset (add-mset a A)⟩
  by auto

lemma distinct-mset-image-mset:
  ⟨distinct-mset (image-mset f (mset xs)) ⟷ distinct (map f xs)⟩
  apply (subst mset-map[symmetric])
  apply (subst distinct-mset-mset-distinct)
  ..

lemma distinct-image-mset-not-equal:
  assumes
    LL': ⟨L ≠ L'⟩ and
    dist: ⟨distinct-mset (image-mset f M)⟩ and
    L: ⟨L ∈# M⟩ and
    L': ⟨L' ∈# M⟩ and
    fLL'[simp]: ⟨f L = f L'⟩
  shows ⟨False⟩
  proof –
    obtain M1 where M1: ⟨M = add-mset L M1⟩
      using multi-member-split[OF L] by blast
    obtain M2 where M2: ⟨M1 = add-mset L' M2⟩
      using multi-member-split[of L' M1] LL' L' unfolding M1 by (auto simp: add-mset-eq-add-mset)
    show False
      using dist unfolding M1 M2 by auto
  qed

lemma distinct-mset-remdups-mset[simp]: ⟨distinct-mset (remdups-mset S)⟩
  using count-remdups-mset-eq-1 unfolding distinct-mset-def by metis

lemma remdups-mset-idem: ⟨remdups-mset (remdups-mset a) = remdups-mset a⟩
  using distinct-mset-remdups-mset distinct-mset-remdups-mset-id by fast

```

1.3.10 Set of Distinct Multisets

```

definition distinct-mset-set :: ⟨'a multiset set ⇒ bool⟩ where
  ⟨distinct-mset-set Σ ⟷ (∀ S ∈ Σ. distinct-mset S)⟩

lemma distinct-mset-set-empty[simp]: ⟨distinct-mset-set {}⟩
  unfolding distinct-mset-set-def by auto

lemma distinct-mset-set-singleton[iff]: ⟨distinct-mset-set {A} ⟷ distinct-mset A⟩
  unfolding distinct-mset-set-def by auto

lemma distinct-mset-set-insert[iff]:
  ⟨distinct-mset-set (insert S Σ) ⟷ (distinct-mset S ∧ distinct-mset-set Σ)⟩
  unfolding distinct-mset-set-def by auto

lemma distinct-mset-set-union[iff]:
  ⟨distinct-mset-set (Σ ∪ Σ') ⟷ (distinct-mset-set Σ ∧ distinct-mset-set Σ')⟩
  unfolding distinct-mset-set-def by auto

```

```

lemma in-distinct-mset-set-distinct-mset:
  ⟨a ∈ Σ ⇒ distinct-mset-set Σ ⇒ distinct-mset a⟩
  unfolding distinct-mset-set-def by auto

lemma distinct-mset-mset-set: ⟨distinct-mset (mset-set A)⟩
  unfolding distinct-mset-def count-mset-set-if by (auto simp: not-in-iff)

lemma distinct-mset-filter-mset-set[simp]: ⟨distinct-mset {#a ∈ # mset-set A. P a#}⟩
  by (simp add: distinct-mset-filter distinct-mset-mset-set)

lemma distinct-mset-set-distinct: ⟨distinct-mset-set (mset ` set Cs) ←→ (∀ c ∈ set Cs. distinct c)⟩
  unfolding distinct-mset-set-def by auto

```

1.3.11 Sublists

```

lemma nths-single-if: ⟨nths l {n} = (if n < length l then [l!n] else [])⟩
proof -
  have [simp]: ⟨0 < n ⇒ {j. Suc j = n} = {n-1}⟩ for n
    by auto
  show ?thesis
    apply (induction l arbitrary: n)
    subgoal by (auto simp: nths-def)
    subgoal by (auto simp: nths-Cons)
    done
qed

lemma atLeastLessThan-Collect: ⟨{a..} = {j. j ≥ a ∧ j < b}⟩
  by auto

lemma mset-nths-subset-mset: ⟨mset (nthxs xs A) ⊆# mset xs⟩
  apply (induction xs arbitrary: A)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for a xs A
    using subset-mset.add-increasing2[of ⟨add-mset - {#}⟩ ⟨mset (nthxs xs {j. Suc j ∈ A})⟩
      ⟨mset xs⟩]
    by (auto simp: nths-Cons)
  done

lemma nths-id-iff:
  ⟨nthxs xs A = xs ←→ {0..<length xs} ⊆ A⟩
proof -
  have ⟨{j. Suc j ∈ A} = (λj. j-1) ` (A - {0})⟩ for A
    using DiffI by (fastforce simp: image-iff)
  have 1: ⟨{0..} ⊆ {j. Suc j ∈ A} ←→ (∀x. x-1 < b → x ≠ 0 → x ∈ A)⟩
    for A :: nat set and b :: nat
    by auto
  have [simp]: ⟨{0..} ⊆ {j. Suc j ∈ A} ←→ (∀x. x-1 < b → x ∈ A)⟩
    if ⟨0 ∈ A⟩ for A :: nat set and b :: nat
    using that unfolding 1 by auto
  have [simp]: ⟨nthxs xs {j. Suc j ∈ A} = a # xs ←→ False⟩
    for a :: 'a and xs :: 'a list and A :: nat set
    using mset-nths-subset-mset[of xs ⟨{j. Suc j ∈ A}⟩] by auto
  show ?thesis
    apply (induction xs arbitrary: A)
    subgoal by auto

```

```

subgoal
  by (auto 5 5 simp: nths-Cons) fastforce
  done
qed

lemma nts-upt-length[simp]: <nths xs {0..<length xs} = xs>
  by (auto simp: nths-id-iff)

lemma nths-shift-lemma':
  <map fst [p←zip xs [i..<i + n]. snd p + b ∈ A] = map fst [p←zip xs [0..<n]. snd p + b + i ∈ A]>
proof (induct xs arbitrary: i n b)
  case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a xs)
  have 1: <map fst [p←zip (a # xs) (i # [Suc i..<i + n]). snd p + b ∈ A] =
    (if i + b ∈ A then a#map fst [p←zip xs [Suc i..<i + n]. snd p + b ∈ A]
     else map fst [p←zip xs [Suc i..<i + n]. snd p + b ∈ A])>
  by simp
  have 2: <map fst [p←zip (a # xs) [0..<n] . snd p + b + i ∈ A] =
    (if i + b ∈ A then a # map fst [p←zip xs [1..<n]. snd p + b + i ∈ A]
     else map fst [p←zip (xs) [1..<n] . snd p + b + i ∈ A])>
  if <n > 0
    by (subst upt-conv-Cons) (use that in <auto simp: ac-simps>)
  show ?case
proof (cases n)
  case 0
    then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case n: (Suc m)
  then have i-n-m: <i + n = Suc i + m>
    by auto
  have 3: <map fst [p←zip xs [Suc i..<i+n] . snd p + b ∈ A] =
    map fst [p←zip xs [0..<m] . snd p + b + Suc i ∈ A]>
  using Cons[of b <Suc i> m] unfolding i-n-m .
  have 4: <map fst [p←zip xs [1..<n] . snd p + b + i ∈ A] =
    map fst [p←zip xs [0..<m] . Suc (snd p + b + i) ∈ A]>
  using Cons[of <b+i> 1 m] unfolding n Suc-eq-plus1-left add.commute[of 1]
  by (simp-all add: ac-simps)
  show ?thesis
    apply (subst upt-conv-Cons)
    using n apply (simp; fail)
    apply (subst 1)
    apply (subst 2)
    using n apply (simp; fail)
    apply (subst 3)
    apply (subst 3)

    apply (subst 4)
    apply (subst 4)
    by force
qed
qed

lemma nths-Cons-upt-Suc: <nths (a # xs) {0..<Suc n} = a # nths xs {0..<n}>
  unfolding nths-def

```

```

apply (subst upto-conv-Cons)
  apply simp
  using nths-shift-lemma'[of 0 <{0.. $\langle$ Suc n $\rangle$ } <xs $\rangle$  1 <length xs $\rangle$ ]
  by (simp-all add: ac-simps)

lemma nths-empty-iff: <nths xs A = [] $\longleftrightarrow$  {.. $\langle$ length xs $\rangle$ } ∩ A = {}>
proof (induction xs arbitrary: A)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons a xs) note IH = this(1)
  have <(forall x<length xs. x ≠ 0 → x ∉ A)>
    if a1: <{.. $\langle$ length xs $\rangle$ } ∩ {j. Suc j ∈ A} = {}>
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix nn
    assume nn: <nn < length xs> <nn ≠ 0>
    moreover have ∀n. Suc n ∉ A ∨ n < length xs
      using a1 by blast
    then show nn ∉ A
      using nn
      by (metis (no-types) lessI less-trans list-decode.cases)
  qed
  show ?case
  proof (cases <0 ∈ A>)
    case True
    then show ?thesis by (subst nths-Cons) auto
  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis
    by (subst nths-Cons) (use less-Suc-eq-0-disj IH in auto)
  qed
qed

lemma nths-up-Suc:
  assumes <i < length xs>
  shows <nths xs {i.. $\langle$ length xs $\rangle$ } = xs!i # nths xs {Suc i.. $\langle$ length xs $\rangle$ }>
proof -
  have upt: <{i.. $\langle$ k}> = {j. i ≤ j ∧ j < k}> for i k :: nat
    by auto
  show ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (induction xs arbitrary: i)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons a xs i) note IH = this(1) and i-le = this(2)
    have [simp]: <i - Suc 0 ≤ j ↔ i ≤ Suc j> if <i > 0> for j
      using that by auto
    show ?case
      using IH[of <i-1>] i-le
      by (auto simp add: nths-Cons upt)
  qed
qed

lemma nths-up-Suc':

```

```

assumes ‹i < b› and ‹b <= length xs›
shows ‹nths xs {i..<b} = xs!i # nths xs {Suc i..<b}›
proof –
  have S1: ‹{j. i ≤ Suc j ∧ j < b - Suc 0} = {j. i ≤ Suc j ∧ Suc j < b}› for i b
    by auto
  have S2: ‹{j. i ≤ j ∧ j < b - Suc 0} = {j. i ≤ j ∧ Suc j < b}› for i b
    by auto
  have upt: ‹{i..<k} = {j. i ≤ j ∧ j < k}› for i k :: nat
    by auto
  show ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (induction xs arbitrary: i b)
    case Nil
      then show ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons a xs i) note IH = this(1) and i-le = this(2,3)
      have [simp]: ‹i - Suc 0 ≤ j ↔ i ≤ Suc j› if ‹i > 0› for j
        using that by auto
      have ‹i - Suc 0 < b - Suc 0 ∨ (i = 0)›
        using i-le by linarith
      moreover have ‹b - Suc 0 ≤ length xs ∨ xs = []›
        using i-le by auto
      ultimately show ?case
        using IH[of ‹i-1› ‹b-1›] i-le
        apply (subst nths-Cons)
        apply (subst nths-Cons)
        by (auto simp: upt S1 S2)
    qed
  qed

```

```

lemma Ball-set-nths: ‹(∀ L ∈ set (nths xs A). P L) ↔ (∀ i ∈ A ∩ {0..<length xs}. P (xs ! i))›
  unfolding set-nths by fastforce

```

1.3.12 Product Case

The splitting of tuples is done for sizes strictly less than 8. As we want to manipulate tuples of size 8, here is some more setup for larger sizes.

```

lemma prod-cases8 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)
  by (cases y, cases ‹snd y›) auto

```

```

lemma prod-induct8 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (¬ a b c d e f g h. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)) ⇒ P x
  by (cases x) blast

```

```

lemma prod-cases9 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)
  by (cases y, cases ‹snd y›) auto

```

```

lemma prod-induct9 [case-names fields, induct type]:
  (¬ a b c d e f g h i. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)) ⇒ P x
  by (cases x) blast

```

```

lemma prod-cases10 [cases type]:
  obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j)

```

```

by (cases y, cases `snd y) auto

lemma prod-induct10 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j)) \implies P x$ 
by (cases x) blast

lemma prod-cases11 [cases type]:
obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k)
by (cases y, cases `snd y) auto

lemma prod-induct11 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k)) \implies P x$ 
by (cases x) blast

lemma prod-cases12 [cases type]:
obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l)
by (cases y, cases `snd y) auto

lemma prod-induct12 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l)) \implies P x$ 
by (cases x) blast

lemma prod-cases13 [cases type]:
obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m)
by (cases y, cases `snd y) auto

lemma prod-induct13 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m)) \implies P x$ 
by (cases x) blast

lemma prod-cases14 [cases type]:
obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m n where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n)
by (cases y, cases `snd y) auto

lemma prod-induct14 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n)) \implies P x$ 
by (cases x) blast

lemma prod-cases15 [cases type]:
obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p where
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p)$ 
by (cases y, cases `snd y) auto

lemma prod-induct15 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p)) \implies P x$ 
by (cases x) blast

lemma prod-cases16 [cases type]:
obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q where
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q)$ 
by (cases y, cases `snd y) auto

lemma prod-induct16 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q)) \implies P x$ 
by (cases x) blast

```

lemma prod-cases17 [cases type]:
obtains (fields) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r)$
by (cases y , cases $\langle\text{snd } y\rangle$) auto

lemma prod-induct17 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r. P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r)) \implies P x$
by (cases x) blast

lemma prod-cases18 [cases type]:
obtains (fields) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s)$
by (cases y , cases $\langle\text{snd } y\rangle$) auto

lemma prod-induct18 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s. P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s)) \implies P x$
by (cases x) blast

lemma prod-cases19 [cases type]:
obtains (fields) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t)$
by (cases y , cases $\langle\text{snd } y\rangle$) auto

lemma prod-induct19 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t. P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t)) \implies P x$
by (cases x) blast

lemma prod-cases20 [cases type]:
obtains (fields) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u)$
by (cases y , cases $\langle\text{snd } y\rangle$) auto

lemma prod-induct20 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u. P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u)) \implies P x$
by (cases x) blast

lemma prod-cases21 [cases type]:
obtains (fields) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v)$
by (cases y , cases $\langle\text{snd } y\rangle$) auto

lemma prod-induct21 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v. P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v)) \implies P x$
by (cases x) (blast 43)

lemma prod-cases22 [cases type]:
obtains (fields) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v w$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w)$
by (cases y , cases $\langle\text{snd } y\rangle$) auto

lemma prod-induct22 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 $(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v w. P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w)) \implies P x$

by (cases x) (blast 43)

lemma prod-cases23 [cases type]:

obtains (fields) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v w x$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, x)$
by (cases y , cases $\langle \text{snd } y \rangle$) auto

lemma prod-induct23 [case-names fields, induct type]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v w y.$
 $P(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, y)) \implies P x$
by (cases x) (blast 43)

1.3.13 More about $list\text{-}all2$ and map

More properties on the relator $list\text{-}all2$ and map . These theorems are mostly used during the refinement and especially the lifting from a deterministic relator to its list version.

lemma list-all2-op-eq-map-right-iff: $\langle list\text{-}all2 (\lambda L. (=) (f L)) a aa \longleftrightarrow aa = map f a \rangle$

apply (induction a arbitrary: aa)
apply (auto; fail)
by (rename-tac aa , case-tac aa) (auto)

lemma list-all2-op-eq-map-right-iff': $\langle list\text{-}all2 (\lambda L L'. L' = f L) a aa \longleftrightarrow aa = map f a \rangle$

apply (induction a arbitrary: aa)
apply (auto; fail)
by (rename-tac aa , case-tac aa) (auto)

lemma list-all2-op-eq-map-left-iff: $\langle list\text{-}all2 (\lambda L' L. L' = (f L)) a aa \longleftrightarrow a = map f aa \rangle$

apply (induction a arbitrary: aa)
apply (auto; fail)
by (rename-tac aa , case-tac aa) (auto)

lemma list-all2-op-eq-map-map-right-iff:

$\langle list\text{-}all2 (list\text{-}all2 (\lambda L. (=) (f L))) xs' x \longleftrightarrow x = map (map f) xs' \rangle$ **for** x
apply (induction xs' arbitrary: x)
apply (auto; fail)
apply (case-tac x)
by (auto simp: list-all2-op-eq-map-right-iff)

lemma list-all2-op-eq-map-map-left-iff:

$\langle list\text{-}all2 (list\text{-}all2 (\lambda L' L. L' = f L)) xs' x \longleftrightarrow xs' = map (map f) x \rangle$
apply (induction xs' arbitrary: x)
apply (auto; fail)
apply (rename-tac x , case-tac x)
by (auto simp: list-all2-op-eq-map-left-iff)

lemma list-all2-conj:

$\langle list\text{-}all2 (\lambda x y. P x y \wedge Q x y) xs ys \longleftrightarrow list\text{-}all2 P xs ys \wedge list\text{-}all2 Q xs ys \rangle$
by (auto simp: list-all2-conv-all-nth)

lemma list-all2-replicate:

$\langle (bi, b) \in R' \implies list\text{-}all2 (\lambda x x'. (x, x') \in R') (replicate n bi) (replicate n b) \rangle$
by (induction n) auto

1.3.14 Multisets

We have a lit of lemmas about multisets. Some of them have already moved to *Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Multiset* but others are too specific (especially the *distinct-mset* property, which roughly corresponds to finite sets).

notation *image-mset* (**infixr** ‘#’ 90)

lemma *in-multiset-nempty*: ⟨ $L \in \# D \implies D \neq \{\#\}
by *auto*$

The definition and the correctness theorem are from the multiset theory `~/src/HOL/Library/Multiset.thy`, but a name is necessary to refer to them:

definition *union-mset-list* **where**

⟨ $\text{union-mset-list } xs\ ys \equiv \text{case-prod append} (\text{fold } (\lambda x\ (ys,\ zs).\ (\text{remove1}\ x\ ys,\ x\ #\ zs))\ xs\ (ys,\ []))$ ⟩

lemma *union-mset-list*:

⟨ $\text{mset } xs \cup \# \text{mset } ys = \text{mset } (\text{union-mset-list } xs\ ys)$ ⟩

proof –

have ⟨ $\bigwedge zs.\ \text{mset } (\text{case-prod append} (\text{fold } (\lambda x\ (ys,\ zs).\ (\text{remove1}\ x\ ys,\ x\ #\ zs))\ xs\ (ys,\ zs))) = (\text{mset } xs \cup \# \text{mset } ys) + \text{mset } zs$ ⟩

by (*induct xs arbitrary: ys*) (*simp-all add: multiset-eq-iff*)

then show ?thesis **by** (*simp add: union-mset-list-def*)

qed

lemma *union-mset-list-Nil*[*simp*]: ⟨ $\text{union-mset-list } []\ bi = bi$ ⟩
by (*auto simp: union-mset-list-def*)

lemma *size-le-Suc-0-iff*: ⟨ $\text{size } M \leq \text{Suc } 0 \longleftrightarrow ((\exists a\ b.\ M = \{\#a\#\}) \vee M = \{\#\})$ ⟩
using *size-1-singleton-mset* **by** (*auto simp: le-Suc-eq*)

lemma *size-2-iff*: ⟨ $\text{size } M = 2 \longleftrightarrow (\exists a\ b.\ M = \{\#a,\ b\#\})$ ⟩

by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-1 Suc-pred empty-not-add-mset nonempty-has-size size-Diff-singleton size-eq-Suc-imp-eq-union size-single union-single-eq-diff union-single-eq-member*)

lemma *subset-eq-mset-single-iff*: ⟨ $x2 \subseteq \# \{ \#L\# \} \longleftrightarrow x2 = \{\#\} \vee x2 = \{\#L\#\}$ ⟩
by (*metis single-is-union subset-mset.add-diff-inverse subset-mset.eq-refl subset-mset.zero-le*)

lemma *mset-eq-size-2*:

⟨ $\text{mset } xs = \{\#a,\ b\#\} \longleftrightarrow xs = [a,\ b] \vee xs = [b,\ a]$ ⟩

by (*cases xs*) (*auto simp: add-mset-eq-add-mset Diff-eq-empty-iff-mset subset-eq-mset-single-iff*)

lemma *butlast-list-update*:

⟨ $w < \text{length } xs \implies \text{butlast } (xs[w := \text{last } xs]) = \text{take } w\ xs @ \text{butlast } (\text{last } xs \# \text{drop } (\text{Suc } w)\ xs)$ ⟩
by (*induction xs arbitrary: w*) (*auto split: nat.splits if-splits simp: upd-conv-take-nth-drop*)

lemma *mset-butlast-remove1-mset*: ⟨ $xs \neq [] \implies \text{mset } (\text{butlast } xs) = \text{remove1-mset } (\text{last } xs) (\text{mset } xs)$ ⟩

apply (*subst(2) append-butlast-last-id[of xs, symmetric]*)

apply *assumption*

apply (*simp only: mset-append*)

by *auto*

lemma *distinct-mset-mono*: ⟨ $D' \subseteq \# D \implies \text{distinct-mset } D \implies \text{distinct-mset } D'$ ⟩

by (*metis distinct-mset-union subset-mset.le-iff-add*)

```

lemma distinct-mset-mono-strict:  $\langle D' \subset\# D \implies \text{distinct-mset } D \implies \text{distinct-mset } D' \rangle$ 
  using distinct-mset-mono by auto

lemma subset-mset-trans-add-mset:
   $\langle D \subseteq\# D' \implies D \subseteq\# \text{add-mset } L D' \rangle$ 
  by (metis add-mset-remove-trivial diff-subset-eq-self subset-mset.dual-order.trans)

lemma subset-add-mset-notin-subset:  $\langle L \notin\# E \implies E \subseteq\# \text{add-mset } L D \longleftrightarrow E \subseteq\# D \rangle$ 
  by (meson subset-add-mset-notin-subset-mset subset-mset-trans-add-mset)

lemma remove1-mset-empty-iff:  $\langle \text{remove1-mset } L N = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow N = \{\#L\#} \vee N = \{\#\} \rangle$ 
  by (cases  $\langle L \in\# N \rangle$ ; cases  $N$ ) auto

lemma mset-set-subset-iff:
   $\langle \text{mset-set } A \subseteq\# I \longleftrightarrow \text{infinite } A \vee A \subseteq \text{set-mset } I \rangle$ 
  by (metis finite-set-mset finite-set-mset-mset-set mset-set.infinite mset-set-set-mset-subseteq
    set-mset-mono subset-imp-msubset-mset-set subset-mset.dual-order.trans subset-mset.max-bot
    subset-mset.max-def)

lemma distinct-subseteq-iff:
  assumes dist:  $\langle \text{distinct-mset } M \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{set-mset } M \subseteq \text{set-mset } N \longleftrightarrow M \subseteq\# N \rangle$ 
proof
  assume  $\langle \text{set-mset } M \subseteq \text{set-mset } N \rangle$ 
  then show  $\langle M \subseteq\# N \rangle$ 
  using dist by (metis distinct-mset-set-mset-ident mset-set-subset-iff)
next
  assume  $\langle M \subseteq\# N \rangle$ 
  then show  $\langle \text{set-mset } M \subseteq \text{set-mset } N \rangle$ 
  by (metis set-mset-mono)
qed

lemma distinct-set-mset-eq-iff:
  assumes  $\langle \text{distinct-mset } M \rangle \langle \text{distinct-mset } N \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{set-mset } M = \text{set-mset } N \longleftrightarrow M = N \rangle$ 
  using assms distinct-mset-set-mset-ident by fastforce

lemma (in -) distinct-mset-union2:
   $\langle \text{distinct-mset } (A + B) \implies \text{distinct-mset } B \rangle$ 
  using distinct-mset-union[of B A]
  by (auto simp: ac-simps)

lemma in-remove1-msetI:  $\langle x \neq a \implies x \in\# M \implies x \in\# \text{remove1-mset } a M \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: in-remove1-mset-neq)

lemma count-multi-member-split:
   $\langle \text{count } M a \geq n \implies \exists M'. M = \text{replicate-mset } n a + M' \rangle$ 
  apply (induction n arbitrary: M)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal premises IH for n M
  using IH(1)[of ⟨remove1-mset a M⟩] IH(2)
  apply (cases ⟨n ≤ count M a – Suc 0⟩)
  apply (auto dest!: Suc-le-D)
  by (metis count-greater-zero-iff insert-DiffM zero-less-Suc)
done

```

```

lemma count-image-mset-multi-member-split:
  ‹count (image-mset f M) L ≥ Suc 0 ⟹ ∃ K. f K = L ∧ K ∈# M›
  by auto

lemma count-image-mset-multi-member-split-2:
  assumes count: ‹count (image-mset f M) L ≥ 2›
  shows ‹∃ K K' M'. f K = L ∧ K ∈# M ∧ f K' = L ∧ K' ∈# remove1-mset K M ∧
    M = {#K, K'#} + M'›
  proof –
    obtain K where
      K: ‹f K = L› ‹K ∈# M›
    using count-image-mset-multi-member-split[of f M L] count by fastforce
    then obtain K' where
      K': ‹f K' = L› ‹K' ∈# remove1-mset K M›
    using count-image-mset-multi-member-split[of f ⟨remove1-mset K M⟩ L] count
    by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
    moreover have ‹∃ M'. M = {#K, K'#} + M'›
    using multi-member-split[of K M] multi-member-split[of K' ⟨remove1-mset K M⟩] K K'
    by (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
    then show ?thesis
    using K K' by blast
  qed

lemma minus-notin-trivial: L ≠# A ⟹ A - add-mset L B = A - B
  by (metis diff-intersect-left-idem inter-add-right1)

lemma minus-notin-trivial2: ‹b ≠# A ⟹ A - add-mset e (add-mset b B) = A - add-mset e B›
  by (subst add-mset-commute) (auto simp: minus-notin-trivial)

lemma diff-union-single-conv3: ‹a ≠# I ⟹ remove1-mset a (I + J) = I + remove1-mset a J›
  by (metis diff-union-single-conv remove-1-mset-id-iff-notin union-iff)

lemma filter-union-or-split:
  ‹{#L ∈# C. P L ∨ Q L#} = {#L ∈# C. P L#} + {#L ∈# C. ¬P L ∧ Q L#}›
  by (induction C) auto

lemma subset-mset-minus-eq-add-mset-noteq: ‹A ⊂# C ⟹ A - B ≠ C›
  by (auto simp: dest: in-diffD)

lemma minus-eq-id-forall-notin-mset:
  ‹A - B = A ⟷ (∀ L ∈# B. L ≠# A)›
  by (induction A)
  (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: subset-mset-minus-eq-add-mset-noteq)

lemma in-multiset-minus-notin-snd[simp]: ‹a ≠# B ⟹ a ∈# A - B ⟷ a ∈# A›
  by (metis count-greater-zero-iff count-inI in-diff-count)

lemma distinct-mset-in-diff:
  ‹distinct-mset C ⟹ a ∈# C - D ⟷ a ∈# C ∧ a ≠# D›
  by (meson distinct-mem-diff-mset in-multiset-minus-notin-snd)

lemma diff-le-mono2-mset: ‹A ⊆# B ⟹ C - B ⊆# C - A›
  apply (auto simp: subseteq-mset-def ac-simps)
  by (simp add: diff-le-mono2)

lemma subseteq-remove1[simp]: ‹C ⊆# C' ⟹ remove1-mset L C ⊆# C'›

```

by (meson diff-subset-eq-self subset-mset.dual-order.trans)

lemma filter-mset-cong2:

$(\bigwedge x. x \in \# M \implies f x = g x) \implies M = N \implies \text{filter-mset } f M = \text{filter-mset } g N$
by (hypsubst, rule filter-mset-cong, simp)

lemma filter-mset-cong-inner-outer:

assumes

$M\text{-eq}: \langle (\bigwedge x. x \in \# M \implies f x = g x) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $\text{notin}: \langle (\bigwedge x. x \in \# N - M \implies \neg g x) \rangle \text{ and}$
 $MN: \langle M \subseteq \# N \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{filter-mset } f M = \text{filter-mset } g N \rangle$

proof –

define NM where $\langle NM = N - M \rangle$

have $N: \langle N = M + NM \rangle$

unfolding $NM\text{-def}$ using MN by simp

have $\langle \text{filter-mset } g NM = \{\#\} \rangle$

using notin unfolding $NM\text{-def}[symmetric]$ by (auto simp: filter-mset-empty-conv)

moreover have $\langle \text{filter-mset } f M = \text{filter-mset } g M \rangle$

by (rule filter-mset-cong) (use $M\text{-eq}$ in auto)

ultimately show ?thesis

unfolding N by simp

qed

lemma notin-filter-mset:

$\langle K \notin \# C \implies \text{filter-mset } P C = \text{filter-mset } (\lambda L. P L \wedge L \neq K) C \rangle$
by (rule filter-mset-cong) auto

lemma distinct-mset-add-mset-filter:

assumes $\langle \text{distinct-mset } C \rangle \text{ and } \langle L \in \# C \rangle \text{ and } \langle \neg P L \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{add-mset } L (\text{filter-mset } P C) = \text{filter-mset } (\lambda x. P x \vee x = L) C \rangle$

using assms

proof (induction C)

case empty

then show ?case by simp

next

case ($\text{add } x C$) note $dist = \text{this}(2)$ and $LC = \text{this}(3)$ and $P[\text{simp}] = \text{this}(4)$ and $- = \text{this}$

then have $IH: \langle L \in \# C \implies \text{add-mset } L (\text{filter-mset } P C) = \{\#x \in \# C. P x \vee x = L\#} \rangle$ by auto
show ?case

proof (cases $x = L$)

case [simp]: True

have $\langle \text{filter-mset } P C = \{\#x \in \# C. P x \vee x = L\#} \rangle$

by (rule filter-mset-cong2) (use $dist$ in auto)

then show ?thesis

by auto

next

case False

then show ?thesis

using IH LC by auto

qed

qed

lemma set-mset-set-mset-eq-iff: $\langle \text{set-mset } A = \text{set-mset } B \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \# A. a \in \# B) \wedge (\forall a \in \# B. a \in \# A) \rangle$

by blast

```

lemma remove1-mset-union-distrib:
  ⟨remove1-mset a (M ∪# N) = remove1-mset a M ∪# remove1-mset a N⟩
  by (auto simp: multiset-eq-iff)

lemma member-add-mset: ⟨a ∈# add-mset x xs ↔ a = x ∨ a ∈# xs⟩
  by simp

lemma sup-union-right-if:
  ⟨N ∪# add-mset x M =
    (if x ∉# N then add-mset x (N ∪# M) else add-mset x (remove1-mset x N ∪# M))⟩
  by (auto simp: sup-union-right2)

lemma same-mset-distinct-iff:
  ⟨mset M = mset M' ⟹ distinct M ↔ distinct M'⟩
  by (auto simp: distinct-mset-mset-distinct[symmetric] simp del: distinct-mset-mset-distinct)

lemma inj-on-image-mset-eq-iff:
  assumes inj: ⟨inj-on f (set-mset (M + M'))⟩
  shows ⟨image-mset f M' = image-mset f M ↔ M' = M⟩ (is ⟨?A = ?B⟩)
proof
  assume ?B
  then show ?A by auto
next
  assume ?A
  then show ?B
  using inj
proof(induction M arbitrary: M')
  case empty
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (add x M) note IH = this(1) and H = this(2) and inj = this(3)

  obtain M1 x' where
    M': ⟨M' = add-mset x' M1⟩ and
    f-xx': ⟨f x' = f x⟩ and
    M1-M: ⟨image-mset f M1 = image-mset f M⟩
    using H by (auto dest!: msed-map-invR)
  moreover have ⟨M1 = M⟩
    apply (rule IH[OF M1-M])
    using inj by (auto simp: M')
  moreover have ⟨x = x'⟩
    using inj f-xx' by (auto simp: M')
  ultimately show ?case by fast
qed
qed

lemma image-msetI: ⟨x ∈# A ⟹ f x ∈# f `# A⟩
  by (auto)

lemma inj-image-mset-eq-iff:
  assumes inj: ⟨inj f⟩
  shows ⟨image-mset f M' = image-mset f M ↔ M' = M⟩
  using inj-on-image-mset-eq-iff[of f M' M] assms
  by (simp add: inj-eq multiset.inj-map)

```

```

lemma singleton-eq-image-mset-iff:  $\langle \{\#a\#} = f \# NE' \longleftrightarrow (\exists b. NE' = \{\#b\#} \wedge f b = a) \rangle$ 
  by (cases  $NE'$ ) auto

lemma image-mset-If-eq-notin:
   $\langle C \notin A \implies \{\#f(\text{if } x = C \text{ then } a \text{ else } b \text{ } x). x \in A\#} = \{\# f(b \text{ } x). x \in A\#} \rangle$ 
  by (induction  $A$ ) auto

lemma finite-mset-set-inter:
   $\langle \text{finite } A \implies \text{finite } B \implies \text{mset-set } (A \cap B) = \text{mset-set } A \cap \# \text{mset-set } B \rangle$ 
  apply (induction  $A$  rule: finite-induct)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for a A
    apply (cases  $\langle a \in B \rangle$ ; cases  $\langle a \in \# \text{mset-set } B \rangle$ )
    using multi-member-split[of a  $\langle \text{mset-set } B \rangle$ ]
    by (auto simp: mset-set.insert-remove)
  done

lemma distinct-mset-inter-remdups-mset:
  assumes dist:  $\langle \text{distinct-mset } A \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle A \cap \# \text{remdups-mset } B = A \cap \# B \rangle$ 
proof -
  have [simp]:  $\langle A' \cap \# \text{remove1-mset } a \text{ (remdups-mset } Aa) = A' \cap \# Aa \rangle$ 
  if
     $\langle A' \cap \# \text{remdups-mset } Aa = A' \cap \# Aa \rangle \text{ and}$ 
     $\langle a \notin A' \rangle \text{ and}$ 
     $\langle a \in \# Aa \rangle$ 
  for  $A' Aa :: \langle a \text{ multiset} \rangle \text{ and a}$ 
  by (metis insert-DiffM inter-add-right1 set-mset-remdups-mset that)

  show ?thesis
  using dist
  apply (induction  $A$ )
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for a A'
    apply (cases  $\langle a \in \# B \rangle$ )
    using multi-member-split[of a  $\langle B \rangle$ ] multi-member-split[of a  $\langle A \rangle$ ]
    by (auto simp: mset-set.insert-remove)
  done
qed

lemma mset-butlast-update-last[simp]:
   $\langle w < \text{length } xs \implies \text{mset } (\text{butlast } (xs[w := \text{last } (xs)])) = \text{remove1-mset } (xs ! w) \text{ (mset } xs) \rangle$ 
  by (cases  $\langle xs = [] \rangle$ )
  (auto simp add: last-list-update-to-last mset-butlast-remove1-mset mset-update)

lemma in-multiset-ge-Max:  $\langle a \in N \implies a > \text{Max } (\text{insert } 0 \text{ (set-mset } N)) \implies \text{False} \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: leD)

lemma distinct-mset-set-mset-remove1-mset:
   $\langle \text{distinct-mset } M \implies \text{set-mset } (\text{remove1-mset } c M) = \text{set-mset } M - \{c\} \rangle$ 
  by (cases  $\langle c \in M \rangle$ ) (auto dest!: multi-member-split simp: add-mset-eq-add-mset)

lemma distinct-count-msetD:
   $\langle \text{distinct } xs \implies \text{count } (\text{mset } xs) a = (\text{if } a \in \text{set } xs \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) \rangle$ 
  unfolding distinct-count-atmost-1 by auto

```

lemma filter-mset-and-implied:
 $\langle (\bigwedge ia. ia \in \# xs \Rightarrow Q ia \Rightarrow P ia) \Rightarrow \{\#ia \in \# xs. P ia \wedge Q ia\} = \{\#ia \in \# xs. Q ia\} \rangle$
by (rule filter-mset-cong2) auto

lemma filter-mset-eq-add-msetD: $\langle \text{filter-mset } P xs = \text{add-mset } a A \Rightarrow a \in \# xs \wedge P a \rangle$
by (induction xs arbitrary: A)
(auto split: if-splits simp: add-mset-eq-add-mset)

lemma filter-mset-eq-add-msetD': $\langle \text{add-mset } a A = \text{filter-mset } P xs \Rightarrow a \in \# xs \wedge P a \rangle$
using filter-mset-eq-add-msetD[of P xs a A] **by** auto

lemma image-filter-replicate-mset:
 $\langle \{\#Ca \in \# \text{replicate-mset } m C. P Ca\} = (\text{if } P C \text{ then replicate-mset } m C \text{ else } \{\#\}) \rangle$
by (induction m) auto

lemma size-Union-mset-image-mset:
 $\langle \text{size } (\sum \# (A :: 'a multiset multiset)) = (\sum i \in \# A. \text{size } i) \rangle$
by (induction A) auto

lemma image-mset-minus-inj-on:
 $\langle \text{inj-on } f (\text{set-mset } A \cup \text{set-mset } B) \Rightarrow f \# (A - B) = f \# A - f \# B \rangle$
apply (induction A arbitrary: B)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for x A B
apply (cases x in B)
apply (auto dest!: multi-member-split)
apply (subst diff-add-mset-swap)
apply auto
done
done

lemma filter-mset-mono-subset:
 $\langle A \subseteq \# B \Rightarrow (\bigwedge x. x \in \# A \Rightarrow P x \Rightarrow Q x) \Rightarrow \text{filter-mset } P A \subseteq \# \text{filter-mset } Q B \rangle$
by (metis multiset-filter-mono multiset-filter-mono2 subset-mset.order-trans)

lemma mset-inter-empty-set-mset: $\langle M \cap \# xc = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow \text{set-mset } M \cap \text{set-mset } xc = \{\} \rangle$
by (induction xc) auto

lemma sum-mset-cong:
 $\langle (\bigwedge A. A \in \# M \Rightarrow f A = g A) \Rightarrow (\sum A \in \# M. f A) = (\sum A \in \# M. g A) \rangle$
by (induction M) auto

lemma sum-mset-mset-set-sum-set:
 $\langle (\sum A \in \# \text{mset-set } As. f A) = (\sum A \in As. f A) \rangle$
apply (cases finite As)
by (induction As rule: finite-induct) auto

lemma sum-mset-sum-count:
 $\langle (\sum A \in \# As. f A) = (\sum A \in \text{set-mset } As. \text{count } As A * f A) \rangle$
proof (induction As)
case empty
then show ?case **by** auto
next
case (add x As)
define n where $n = \text{count } As x$

```

define As' where <As' ≡ removeAll-mset x As>
have As: <As = As' + replicate-mset n x>
  by (auto simp: As'-def n-def intro!: multiset-eqI)
have [simp]: <set-mset As' - {x} = set-mset As'> <count As' x = 0> <x ∉# As'>
  unfolding As'-def
  by auto
have <(∑ A∈set-mset As'.
  (if x = A then Suc (count (As' + replicate-mset n x) A)
    else count (As' + replicate-mset n x) A) *
  f A) =
  (∑ A∈set-mset As'.
  (count (As' + replicate-mset n x) A) *
  f A)>
  by (rule sum.cong) auto
then show ?case using add by (auto simp: As sum.insert-remove)
qed

```

```

lemma sum-mset-inter-restrict:
<(∑ x ∈# filter-mset P M. f x) = (∑ x ∈# M. if P x then f x else 0)>
by (induction M) auto

```

```

lemma sumset-diff-constant-left:
assumes <∀x. x ∈# A ⇒ f x ≤ n>
shows <(∑ x ∈# A . n - f x) = size A * n - (∑ x ∈# A . f x)>
proof -
have <size A * n ≥ (∑ x ∈# A . f x)>
  if <∀x. x ∈# A ⇒ f x ≤ n> for A
  using that
  by (induction A) (force simp: ac-simps)+
then show ?thesis
  using assms
  by (induction A) (auto simp: ac-simps)
qed

```

```

lemma mset-set-eq-mset-iff: <finite x ⇒ mset-set x = mset xs ↔ distinct xs ∧ x = set xs>
apply (auto simp flip: distinct-mset-mset-distinct eq-commute[of - <mset-set ->]
  simp: distinct-mset-mset-set mset-set-set)
apply (metis finite-set-mset-mset-set set-mset-mset)
apply (metis finite-set-mset-mset-set set-mset-mset)
done

```

```

lemma distinct-mset-iff:
<¬distinct-mset C ↔ (exists a C'. C = add-mset a (add-mset a C'))>
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) One-nat-def
  count-add-mset distinct-mset-add-mset distinct-mset-def
  member-add-mset mset-add not-in-iff)

```

```

lemma diff-add-mset-remove1: <NO-MATCH {#} N ⇒ M - add-mset a N = remove1-mset a (M - N)>
by auto

```

```

lemma remdups-mset-sum-subset: <C ⊆# C' ⇒ remdups-mset (C + C') = remdups-mset C'>
  <C ⊆# C' ⇒ remdups-mset (C' + C) = remdups-mset C'>
apply (metis remdups-mset-def set-mset-mono set-mset-union sup.absorb-iff2)
by (metis add.commute le-iff-sup remdups-mset-def set-mset-mono set-mset-union)

```

```

lemma distinct-mset-subset-iff-remdups:
  ‹distinct-mset a ==> a ⊆# b <=> a ⊆# remdups-mset b›
  by (simp add: distinct-mset-inter-remdups-mset subset-mset.le-iff-inf)

lemma remdups-mset-subset-add-mset: ‹remdups-mset C' ⊆# add-mset L C'›
  by (meson distinct-mset-remdups-mset distinct-mset-subset-iff-remdups subset-mset.order-refl
    subset-mset-trans-add-mset)

lemma subset-mset-removeAll-iff:
  ‹M ⊆# removeAll-mset a M' <=> a ∉# M ∧ M ⊆# M'›
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) count-replicate-mset diff-le-mono diff-subset-eq-self in-diff-count
    in-replicate-mset minus-eq-id-forall-notin-mset minus-multiset.rep-eq mset-subset-eqD
    nat-less-le subset-mset.trans subseteq-mset-def)

lemma remdups-mset-removeAll: ‹remdups-mset (removeAll-mset a A) = removeAll-mset a (remdups-mset A)›
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) add-mset-remove-trivial count-eq-zero-iff diff-zero
    distinct-mset-remdups-mset distinct-mset-remove1-All insert-DiffM order.refl remdups-mset-def
    remdups-mset-singleton-sum removeAll-subseteq-remove1-mset replicate-mset-eq-empty-iff
    set-mset-minus-replicate-mset(1) set-mset-remdups-mset subset-mset-removeAll-iff)

```

This is an alternative to *remdups-mset-singleton-sum*.

```

lemma remdups-mset-singleton-removeAll:
  remdups-mset (add-mset a A) = add-mset a (removeAll-mset a (remdups-mset A))
  by (metis dual-order.refl finite-set-mset mset-set.insert-remove remdups-mset-def
    remdups-mset-removeAll set-mset-add-mset-insert set-mset-minus-replicate-mset(1))

lemma mset-remove-filtered: ‹C - {#x ∈# C. P x#} = {#x ∈# C. ¬P x#}›
  by (metis add-implies-diff union-filter-mset-complement)

```

1.4 Finite maps and multisets

Finite sets and multisets

```

abbreviation mset-fset :: ‹'a fset ⇒ 'a multiset› where
  ‹mset-fset N ≡ mset-set (fset N)›

definition fset-mset :: ‹'a multiset ⇒ 'a fset› where
  ‹fset-mset N ≡ Abs-fset (set-mset N)›

lemma fset-mset-mset-fset: ‹fset-mset (mset-fset N) = N›
  by (auto simp: fset.fset-inverse fset-mset-def)

lemma mset-fset-fset-mset[simp]:
  ‹mset-fset (fset-mset N) = remdups-mset N›
  by (auto simp: fset.fset-inverse fset-mset-def Abs-fset-inverse remdups-mset-def)

lemma in-mset-fset-fmember[simp]: ‹x ∈# mset-fset N <=> x |∈| N›
  by (auto simp: fmember.rep-eq)

lemma in-fset-mset-mset[simp]: ‹x |∈| fset-mset N <=> x ∈# N›
  by (auto simp: fmember.rep-eq fset-mset-def Abs-fset-inverse)

```

Finite map and multisets

Roughly the same as *ran* and *dom*, but with duplication in the content (unlike their finite sets counterpart) while still working on finite domains (unlike a function mapping). Remark that *dom-m* (the keys) does not contain duplicates, but we keep for symmetry (and for easier use of multiset operators as in the definition of *ran-m*).

definition *dom-m* **where**

$\langle \text{dom-m } N = \text{mset-fset } (\text{fmdom } N) \rangle$

definition *ran-m* **where**

$\langle \text{ran-m } N = \text{the } \# \text{ fmlookup } N \# \text{ dom-m } N \rangle$

lemma *dom-m-fmdrop*[simp]: $\langle \text{dom-m } (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{remove1-mset } C (\text{dom-m } N) \rangle$

unfoldng *dom-m-def*

by (cases $\langle C \in \text{fmdom } N \rangle$)

(auto simp: mset-set.remove fmmember.rep-eq)

lemma *dom-m-fmdrop-All*: $\langle \text{dom-m } (\text{fmdrop } C N) = \text{removeAll-mset } C (\text{dom-m } N) \rangle$

unfoldng *dom-m-def*

by (cases $\langle C \in \text{fmdom } N \rangle$)

(auto simp: mset-set.remove fmmember.rep-eq)

lemma *dom-m-fmupd*[simp]: $\langle \text{dom-m } (\text{fmupd } k C N) = \text{add-mset } k (\text{remove1-mset } k (\text{dom-m } N)) \rangle$

unfoldng *dom-m-def*

by (cases $\langle k \in \text{fmdom } N \rangle$)

(auto simp: mset-set.remove fmmember.rep-eq mset-set.insert-remove)

lemma *distinct-mset-dom*: $\langle \text{distinct-mset } (\text{dom-m } N) \rangle$

by (simp add: distinct-mset-mset-set dom-m-def)

lemma *in-dom-m-lookup-iff*: $\langle C \in \# \text{ dom-m } N' \longleftrightarrow \text{fmlookup } N' C \neq \text{None} \rangle$

by (auto simp: dom-m-def fmdom.rep-eq fmlookup-dom'-iff)

lemma *in-dom-in-ran-m*[simp]: $\langle i \in \# \text{ dom-m } N \implies \text{the } (\text{fmlookup } N i) \in \# \text{ ran-m } N \rangle$

by (auto simp: ran-m-def)

lemma *fmupd-same*[simp]:

$\langle x_1 \in \# \text{ dom-m } x_1aa \implies \text{fmupd } x_1 (\text{the } (\text{fmlookup } x_1aa x_1)) x_1aa = x_1aa \rangle$

by (metis fmap-ext fmupd-lookup in-dom-m-lookup-iff option.collapse)

lemma *ran-m-fmempty*[simp]: $\langle \text{ran-m } \text{fmempty} = \{\#\} \rangle$ **and**

dom-m-fmempty[simp]: $\langle \text{dom-m } \text{fmempty} = \{\#\} \rangle$

by (auto simp: ran-m-def dom-m-def)

lemma *fmrestrict-set-fmupd*:

$\langle a \in xs \implies \text{fmrestrict-set } xs (\text{fmupd } a C N) = \text{fmupd } a C (\text{fmrestrict-set } xs N) \rangle$

$\langle a \notin xs \implies \text{fmrestrict-set } xs (\text{fmupd } a C N) = \text{fmrestrict-set } xs N \rangle$

by (auto simp: fmfilter-alt-defs)

lemma *fset-fmdom-fmrestrict-set*:

$\langle \text{fset } (\text{fmdom } (\text{fmrestrict-set } xs N)) = \text{fset } (\text{fmdom } N) \cap xs \rangle$

by (auto simp: fmfilter-alt-defs)

lemma *dom-m-fmrestrict-set*: $\langle \text{dom-m } (\text{fmrestrict-set } (\text{set } xs) N) = \text{mset } xs \cap \# \text{ dom-m } N \rangle$

using *fset-fmdom-fmrestrict-set*[of $\langle \text{set } xs \rangle N$] *distinct-mset-dom*[of N]

```

distinct-mset-inter-remdups-mset[of <mset-fset (fmdom N)> <mset xs>]
by (auto simp: dom-m-def fset-fset-mset-fset finite-mset-set-inter multiset-inter-commute remdups-mset-def)

lemma dom-m-fmrestrict-set': <dom-m (fmrestrict-set xs N) = mset-set (xs ∩ set-mset (dom-m N))>
using fset-fmdom-fmrestrict-set[of <xs> N] distinct-mset-dom[of N]
by (auto simp: dom-m-def fset-fset-mset-fset finite-mset-set-inter multiset-inter-commute remdups-mset-def)

lemma indom-mI: <fmlookup m x = Some y  $\implies$  x ∈# dom-m m>
by (drule fmdomI) (auto simp: dom-m-def fmmember.rep-eq)

lemma fmupd-fmdrop-id:
assumes <k  $\in|$  fmdom N'>
shows <fmupd k (the (fmlookup N' k)) (fmdrop k N') = N'>
proof –
  have [simp]: <map-upd k (the (fmlookup N' k))
    ( $\lambda x. \text{if } x \neq k \text{ then } \text{fmlookup } N' x \text{ else } \text{None}$ ) =  

    map-upd k (the (fmlookup N' k))  

    (fmlookup N')>
  by (auto intro!: ext simp: map-upd-def)
  have [simp]: <map-upd k (the (fmlookup N' k)) (fmlookup N') = fmlookup N'>
  using assms
  by (auto intro!: ext simp: map-upd-def)
  have [simp]: <finite (dom (λx. if x = k then None else fmlookup N' x))>
  by (subst dom-if) auto
  show ?thesis
    apply (auto simp: fmupd-def fmupd.abs-eq[symmetric])
    unfolding fmlookup-drop
    apply (simp add: fmlookup-inverse)
    done
qed

lemma fm-member-split: <k  $\in|$  fmdom N'  $\implies$   $\exists N'' v. N' = fmupd k v N'' \wedge \text{the} (\text{fmlookup } N' k) = v$ >
 $\wedge$ 
  <k  $\notin|$  fmdom N''>
by (rule exI[- fmdrop k N'])
  (auto simp: fmupd-fmdrop-id)

lemma <fmdrop k (fmupd k va N'') = fmdrop k N''>
by (simp add: fmap-ext)

lemma fmap-ext-fmdom:
<fmdom N = fmdom N'  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge x. x \in| fmdom N \implies \text{fmlookup } N x = \text{fmlookup } N' x$ )  $\implies$ 
  N = N'>
by (rule fmap-ext)
  (case-tac <x  $\in|$  fmdom N>, auto simp: fmdom-notD)

lemma fmrestrict-set-insert-in:
<xa ∈ fset (fmdom N)  $\implies$ 
  fmrestrict-set (insert xa l1) N = fmupd xa (the (fmlookup N xa)) (fmrestrict-set l1 N)>
apply (rule fmap-ext-fmdom)
apply (auto simp: fset-fmdom-fmrestrict-set fmmember.rep-eq notin-fset; fail)
apply (auto simp: fmlookup-dom-iff; fail)
done

```

```

lemma fmrestrict-set-insert-notin:
  ⟨xa ∈ fset (fmdom N) ⟹
    fmrestrict-set (insert xa l1) N = fmrestrict-set l1 N⟩
  by (rule fmap-ext-fmdom)
  (auto simp: fset-fmdom-fmrestrict-set fmmember.rep_eq notin-fset)

lemma fmrestrict-set-insert-in-dom-m[simp]:
  ⟨xa ∈# dom-m N ⟹
    fmrestrict-set (insert xa l1) N = fmupd xa (the (fmlookup N xa)) (fmrestrict-set l1 N)⟩
  by (simp add: fmrestrict-set-insert-in dom-m-def)

lemma fmrestrict-set-insert-notin-dom-m[simp]:
  ⟨xa ∉# dom-m N ⟹
    fmrestrict-set (insert xa l1) N = fmrestrict-set l1 N⟩
  by (simp add: fmrestrict-set-insert-notin dom-m-def)

lemma fmlookup-restrict-set-id: ⟨fset (fmdom N) ⊆ A ⟹ fmrestrict-set A N = N⟩
  by (metis fmap-ext fmdom'-alt-def fmdom'-notD fmlookup-restrict-set subset-iff)

lemma fmlookup-restrict-set-id': ⟨set-mset (dom-m N) ⊆ A ⟹ fmrestrict-set A N = N⟩
  by (rule fmlookup-restrict-set-id)
  (auto simp: dom-m-def)

lemma ran-m-mapsto-upd:
  assumes
    NC: ⟨C ∈# dom-m N⟩
  shows ⟨ran-m (fmupd C C' N) =
    add-mset C' (remove1-mset (the (fmlookup N C)) (ran-m N))⟩
  proof –
    define N' where
      ⟨N' = fmdrop C N⟩
    have N-N': ⟨dom-m N = add-mset C (dom-m N')⟩
      using NC unfolding N'-def by auto
    have ⟨C ∉# dom-m N'⟩
      using NC distinct-mset-dom[of N] unfolding N-N' by auto
    then show ?thesis
      by (auto simp: N-N' ran-m-def mset-set.insert-remove image-mset-remove1-mset-if
        intro!: image-mset-cong)
  qed

lemma ran-m-mapsto-upd-notin:
  assumes NC: ⟨C ∉# dom-m N⟩
  shows ⟨ran-m (fmupd C C' N) = add-mset C' (ran-m N)⟩
  using NC
  by (auto simp: ran-m-def mset-set.insert-remove image-mset-remove1-mset-if
    intro!: image-mset-cong split: if-splits)

lemma ran-m-fmdrop:
  ⟨C ∈# dom-m N ⟹ ran-m (fmdrop C N) = remove1-mset (the (fmlookup N C)) (ran-m N)⟩
  using distinct-mset-dom[of N]
  by (cases ⟨fmlookup N C⟩)
  (auto simp: ran-m-def image-mset-If-eq-notin[of C - ⟨λx. fst (the x)⟩]
    dest!: multi-member-split
    intro!: filter-mset-cong2 image-mset-cong2)

lemma ran-m-fmdrop-notin:

```

```

<C  $\notin$  dom-m N  $\implies$  ran-m (fmdrop C N) = ran-m N>
using distinct-mset-dom[of N]
by (auto simp: ran-m-def image-mset-If-eq-notin[of C - < $\lambda x. fst (the x)$ >]
dest!: multi-member-split
intro!: filter-mset-cong2 image-mset-cong2)

lemma ran-m-fmdrop-If:
<ran-m (fmdrop C N) = (if C  $\in$  dom-m N then remove1-mset (the (fmlookup N C)) (ran-m N) else
ran-m N)>
using distinct-mset-dom[of N]
by (auto simp: ran-m-def image-mset-If-eq-notin[of C - < $\lambda x. fst (the x)$ >]
dest!: multi-member-split
intro!: filter-mset-cong2 image-mset-cong2)

```

Compact domain for finite maps

packed is a predicate to indicate that the domain of finite mapping starts at 1 and does not contain holes. We used it in the SAT solver for the mapping from indexes to clauses, to ensure that there are no holes and therefore giving an upper bound on the highest key.

TODO KILL!

```

definition Max-dom where
<Max-dom N = Max (set-mset (add-mset 0 (dom-m N)))>

```

```

definition packed where
<packed N  $\longleftrightarrow$  dom-m N = mset [1..<Suc (Max-dom N)]>

```

Marking this rule as *simp* is not compatible with unfolding the definition of *packed* when marked as:

```

lemma Max-dom-empty: <dom-m b = {#}  $\implies$  Max-dom b = 0>
by (auto simp: Max-dom-def)

```

```

lemma Max-dom-fmempty: <Max-dom fmempty = 0>
by (auto simp: Max-dom-empty)

```

```

lemma packed-empty[simp]: <packed fmempty>
by (auto simp: packed-def Max-dom-empty)

```

```

lemma packed-Max-dom-size:
assumes p: <packed N>
shows <Max-dom N = size (dom-m N)>
proof -
have 1: <dom-m N = mset [1..<Suc (Max-dom N)]>
using p unfolding packed-def Max-dom-def[symmetric].
have <size (dom-m N) = size (mset [1..<Suc (Max-dom N)])>
unfolding 1 ..
also have <... = length [1..<Suc (Max-dom N)]>
unfolding size-mset ..
also have <... = Max-dom N>
unfolding length-upt by simp
finally show ?thesis
by simp
qed

```

```

lemma Max-dom-le:

```

```

<L ∈# dom-m N ⇒ L ≤ Max-dom N>
by (auto simp: Max-dom-def)

lemma remove1-mset-ge-Max-some: <a > Max-dom b ⇒ remove1-mset a (dom-m b) = dom-m b>
by (auto simp: Max-dom-def remove1-mset-id-iff-notin
dest!: multi-member-split)

lemma Max-dom-fmupd-irrel:
assumes
  <(a :: 'a :: {zero,linorder}) > Max-dom M>
shows <Max-dom (fmupd a C M) = max a (Max-dom M)>
proof -
have [simp]: <max 0 (max a A) = max a A> for A
  using assms
  by (auto simp: Max-dom-def remove1-mset-ge-Max-some ac-simps
    Max.insert-remove split: if-splits)
have [iff]: max a A = a ↔ (A ≤ a) for A
  by (auto split: if-splits simp: max-def)

show ?thesis
  using assms
  apply (cases <dom-m M>)
  apply (auto simp: Max-dom-def remove1-mset-ge-Max-some ac-simps) []
  apply (auto simp: Max-dom-def remove1-mset-ge-Max-some ac-simps)
  using order-less-imp-le apply blast
  by (meson in-diffD less-le-not-le)
qed

lemma Max-dom-alt-def: <Max-dom b = Max (insert 0 (set-mset (dom-m b)))>
unfolding Max-dom-def by auto

lemma Max-insert-Suc-Max-dim-dom[simp]:
<Max (insert (Suc (Max-dom b)) (set-mset (dom-m b))) = Suc (Max-dom b)>
unfolding Max-dom-alt-def
by (cases <set-mset (dom-m b) = {}>) auto

lemma size-dom-m-Max-dom:
<size (dom-m N) ≤ Suc (Max-dom N)>
proof -
have <dom-m N ⊆# mset-set {0.. < Suc (Max-dom N)}>
  apply (rule distinct-finite-set-mset-subseteq-iff[THEN iffD1])
  subgoal by (auto simp: distinct-mset-dom)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by (auto dest: Max-dom-le)
  done
from size-mset-mono[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma Max-atLeastLessThan-plus: <Max {(a::nat) .. < a+n} = (if n = 0 then Max {} else a+n - 1)>
apply (induction n arbitrary: a)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for n a
  by (cases n)
  (auto simp: image-Suc-atLeastLessThan[symmetric] mono-Max-commute[symmetric] mono-def
    atLeastLessThanSuc
    simp del: image-Suc-atLeastLessThan)

```

done

lemma *Max-atLeastLessThan*: $\langle \text{Max } \{(a:\text{nat}) .. < b\} = (\text{if } b \leq a \text{ then Max } \{\} \text{ else } b - 1) \rangle$
using *Max-atLeastLessThan-plus*[*of a* $\langle b-a \rangle$]
by *auto*

lemma *Max-insert-Max-dom-into-packed*:
 $\langle \text{Max } (\text{insert } (\text{Max-dom } bc) \{ \text{Suc } 0 .. < \text{Max-dom } bc \}) = \text{Max-dom } bc \rangle$
by (*cases* $\langle \text{Max-dom } bc \rangle$; *cases* $\langle \text{Max-dom } bc - 1 \rangle$)
(*auto simp*: *Max-dom-empty Max-atLeastLessThan*)

lemma *packed0-fmud-Suc-Max-dom*: $\langle \text{packed } b \implies \text{packed } (\text{fmupd } (\text{Suc } (\text{Max-dom } b)) C b) \rangle$
by (*auto simp*: *packed-def remove1-mset-ge-Max-some Max-dom-fmupd-irrel max-def*)

lemma *ge-Max-dom-notin-dom-m*: $\langle a > \text{Max-dom } ao \implies a \notin \# \text{ dom-m } ao \rangle$
by (*auto simp*: *Max-dom-def*)

lemma *packed-in-dom-mI*: $\langle \text{packed } bc \implies j \leq \text{Max-dom } bc \implies 0 < j \implies j \in \# \text{ dom-m } bc \rangle$
by (*auto simp*: *packed-def*)

lemma *mset-fset-empty-iff*: $\langle \text{mset-fset } a = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow a = fempty \rangle$
by (*cases a*) (*auto simp*: *mset-set-empty-iff*)

lemma *dom-m-empty-iff*[*iff*]:
 $\langle \text{dom-m } NU = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow NU = fmempty \rangle$
by (*cases NU*) (*auto simp*: *dom-m-def mset-fset-empty-iff mset-set.insert-remove*)

lemma *nat-power-div-base*:
fixes *k* :: nat
assumes $0 < m \ 0 < k$
shows $k \wedge m \text{ div } k = (k:\text{nat}) \wedge (m - \text{Suc } 0)$
proof –
have *eq*: $k \wedge m = k \wedge ((m - \text{Suc } 0) + \text{Suc } 0)$
by (*simp add*: *assms*)
show ?thesis
using *assms* **by** (*simp only*: *power-add eq*) *auto*
qed

lemma *eq-insertD*: $\langle A = \text{insert } a B \implies a \in A \wedge B \subseteq A \rangle$
by *auto*

lemma *length-list-ge2*: $\langle \text{length } S \geq 2 \longleftrightarrow (\exists a b S'. S = [a, b] @ S') \rangle$
apply (*cases S*)
apply (*simp; fail*)
apply (*rename-tac a S'*)
apply (*case-tac S'*)
by *simp-all*

1.4.1 Multiset version of Pow

This development was never useful in my own formalisation, but some people saw an interest in this or in things related to this (even if they discarded it eventually). Therefore, I finally

decided to save the definition from my mailbox.

If anyone ever uses that and adds the concept to the AFP, please tell me such that I can delete it.

definition Pow-mset **where**

$\langle \text{Pow-mset } A = \text{fold-mset } (\lambda a. A + (\text{add-mset } a) \# A) \# \# \# \rangle A$

interpretation pow-mset-commute: comp-fun-commute $\langle (\lambda a. A + (\text{add-mset } a) \# A) \rangle$

by (auto simp: comp-fun-commute-def add-mset-commute intro!: ext)

lemma Pow-mset-alt-def:

$\text{Pow-mset } (\text{mset } A) = \text{mset } \# \text{ mset } (\text{subseqs } A)$

apply (induction A)

subgoal by (auto simp: Pow-mset-def)

subgoal

by (auto simp: Let-def Pow-mset-def)

done

lemma Pow-mset-empty[simp]:

$\langle \text{Pow-mset } \# = \# \# \# \rangle$

by (auto simp: Pow-mset-def)

lemma Pow-mset-add-mset[simp]:

$\langle \text{Pow-mset } (\text{add-mset } a A) = \text{Pow-mset } A + (\text{add-mset } a) \# \text{ Pow-mset } A \rangle$

by (auto simp: Let-def Pow-mset-def)

lemma in-Pow-mset-iff:

$\langle A \in \# \text{ Pow-mset } B \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq \# B \rangle$

proof

assume $\langle A \subseteq \# B \rangle$

then show $\langle A \in \# \text{ Pow-mset } B \rangle$

apply (induction B arbitrary: A)

subgoal by auto

subgoal premises p for b B A

using p(1)[of A] p(1)[of $\langle A - \{ \# b \# \} \rangle$] p(2)

apply (cases $\langle b \in \# A \rangle$)

by (auto dest: subset-add-mset-notin-subset-mset
dest!: multi-member-split)

done

next

assume $\langle A \in \# \text{ Pow-mset } B \rangle$

then show $\langle A \subseteq \# B \rangle$

apply (induction B arbitrary: A)

subgoal by auto

subgoal premises p for b B A

using p by (auto simp: subset-mset-trans-add-mset)

done

qed

lemma size-Pow-mset[simp]: $\langle \text{size } (\text{Pow-mset } A) = 2^\gamma(\text{size } A) \rangle$

by (induction A) auto

lemma set-Pow-mset:

$\langle \text{set-mset } (\text{Pow-mset } A) = \{ B. B \subseteq \# A \} \rangle$

by (auto simp: in-Pow-mset-iff)

Proof by Manuel Eberl on Zulip <https://isabelle.zulipchat.com/#narrow/stream/238552-Beginner-Questions/topic/Cardinality.20of.20powerset.20of.20a.20multiset/near/220827959>.

```

lemma bij-betw-submultisets:
  card {B. B ⊆# A} = (Π x∈set-mset A. count A x + 1)
proof –
  define f :: 'a multiset ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat
  where f = (λB x. if x ∈# A then count B x else undefined)
  define g :: ('a ⇒ nat) ⇒ 'a multiset
  where g = (λh. Abs-multiset (λx. if x ∈# A then h x else 0))

  have count-g: count (g h) x = (if x ∈# A then h x else 0)
  if h ∈ (ΠE x∈set-mset A. {0..count A x}) for h x
  proof –
    have finite {x. (if x ∈# A then h x else 0) > 0}
    by (rule finite-subset[of - set-mset A]) (use that in auto)
    thus ?thesis
      using g-def by auto
  qed

  have f: f B ∈ (ΠE x∈set-mset A. {0..count A x}) if B ⊆# A for B
  using that by (auto simp: f-def subseteq-mset-def)

  have bij-betw f {B. B ⊆# A} (ΠE x∈set-mset A. {0..count A x})
  proof (rule bij-betwI[where g = g], goal-cases)
    case 1
    thus ?case using f by auto
  next
    case 2
    show ?case
      by (auto simp: Pi-def PiE-def count-g subseteq-mset-def)
  next
    case (3 B)
    have count (g (f B)) x = count B x for x
    proof –
      have count (g (f B)) x = (if x ∈# A then f B x else 0)
      using f 3 by (simp add: count-g)
      also have ... = count B x
      using 3 by (auto simp: f-def)
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    thus ?case
      by (auto simp: multiset-eq-iff)
  next
    case 4
    thus ?case
      by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff f-def count-g)
  qed
  hence card {B. B ⊆# A} = card (ΠE x∈set-mset A. {0..count A x})
  using bij-betw-same-card by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: card-PiE set-Pow-mset)
  qed

lemma empty-in-Pow-mset[iff]: «{#} ∈# Pow-mset B»
  by (induction B) auto

```

```

lemma full-in-Pow-mset[iff]:  $\langle B \in \# \text{ Pow-mset } B \rangle$   

  by (induction B) auto

lemma Pow-mset-nempty[iff]:  $\langle \text{Pow-mset } B \neq \{\#\} \rangle$   

  using full-in-Pow-mset[of B] by force

lemma Pow-mset-single-empty[iff]:  $\langle \text{Pow-mset } B = \{\#\#\#\} \longleftrightarrow B = \{\#\} \rangle$   

  using full-in-Pow-mset[of B] by fastforce

lemma Pow-mset-mono:  $\langle A \subseteq \# B \implies \text{Pow-mset } A \subseteq \# \text{ Pow-mset } B \rangle$   

  apply (induction A arbitrary: B)  

  subgoal by auto  

  subgoal premises p for x A B  

    using p(1)[of ⟨remove1-mset x B⟩] p(2)  

    by (cases ⟨x ∈ #B⟩)  

    (auto dest!: multi-member-split  

     simp add: image-mset-subseteq-mono subset-mset.add-mono)  

  done

```

Variants around head and last

```

definition option-hd ::  $\langle 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \rangle$  where  

  ⟨option-hd xs = (if xs = [] then None else Some (hd xs))⟩

lemma option-hd-None-iff[iff]:  $\langle \text{option-hd } zs = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow zs = [] \rangle$   $\langle \text{None} = \text{option-hd } zs \longleftrightarrow zs = [] \rangle$   

  by (auto simp: option-hd-def)

lemma option-hd-Some-iff[iff]:  $\langle \text{option-hd } zs = \text{Some } y \longleftrightarrow (zs \neq [] \wedge y = \text{hd } zs) \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{Some } y = \text{option-hd } zs \longleftrightarrow (zs \neq [] \wedge y = \text{hd } zs) \rangle$   

  by (auto simp: option-hd-def)

lemma option-hd-Some-hd[simp]:  $\langle zs \neq [] \implies \text{option-hd } zs = \text{Some } (\text{hd } zs) \rangle$   

  by (auto simp: option-hd-def)

lemma option-hd-Nil[simp]:  $\langle \text{option-hd } [] = \text{None} \rangle$   

  by (auto simp: option-hd-def)

definition option-last where  

  ⟨option-last l = (if l = [] then None else Some (last l))⟩

lemma  

  option-last-None-iff[iff]:  $\langle \text{option-last } l = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow l = [] \rangle$   $\langle \text{None} = \text{option-last } l \longleftrightarrow l = [] \rangle$  and  

  option-last-Some-iff[iff]:  

    ⟨option-last l = Some a ⟷ l ≠ [] ∧ a = last l⟩  

    ⟨Some a = option-last l ⟷ l ≠ [] ∧ a = last l⟩  

  by (auto simp: option-last-def)

lemma option-last-Some[simp]:  $\langle l \neq [] \implies \text{option-last } l = \text{Some } (\text{last } l) \rangle$   

  by (auto simp: option-last-def)

lemma option-last-Nil[simp]:  $\langle \text{option-last } [] = \text{None} \rangle$   

  by (auto simp: option-last-def)

lemma option-last-remove1-not-last:  

  ⟨x ≠ last xs ⟹ option-last xs = option-last (remove1 x xs)⟩

```

```
by (cases xs rule: rev-cases)
  (auto simp: option-last-def remove1-Nil-iff remove1-append)

lemma option-hd-rev: `option-hd (rev xs) = option-last xs`
  by (cases xs rule: rev-cases) auto

lemma map-option-option-last:
  `map-option f (option-last xs) = option-last (map f xs)`
  by (cases xs rule: rev-cases) auto

end
```