

Formalisation of Ground Resolution and CDCL in Isabelle/HOL

Mathias Fleury and Jasmin Blanchette

July 17, 2023

Contents

1	More Standard Theorems	5
1.1	Transitions	5
1.1.1	More theorems about Closures	5
1.1.2	Full Transitions	6
1.1.3	Well-Foundedness and Full Transitions	7
1.1.4	More Well-Foundedness	8
1.2	Various Lemmas	9
1.2.1	Not-Related to Refinement or lists	9
1.3	More Lists	11
1.3.1	set, nth, tl	11
1.3.2	List Updates	12
1.3.3	Take and drop	13
1.3.4	Replicate	13
1.3.5	List intervals (<i>upt</i>)	14
1.3.6	Lexicographic Ordering	15
1.3.7	Remove	16
1.3.8	Sorting	18
1.3.9	Distinct Multisets	18
1.3.10	Set of Distinct Multisets	19
1.3.11	Sublists	19
1.3.12	Product Case	20
1.3.13	More about <i>list-all2</i> and <i>map</i>	23
1.3.14	Multisets	23
1.4	Finite maps and multisets	29
1.4.1	Multiset version of Pow	33

Chapter 1

More Standard Theorems

This chapter contains additional lemmas built on top of HOL. Some of the additional lemmas are not included here. Most of them are too specialised to move to HOL.

1.1 Transitions

This theory contains some facts about closure, the definition of full transformations, and well-foundedness.

```
theory Wellfounded-More  
imports Main
```

```
begin
```

1.1.1 More theorems about Closures

This is the equivalent of the theorem *rtranclp-mono* for *tranclp*

```
lemma tranclp-mono-explicit:  
   $\langle r^{++} a b \implies r \leq s \implies s^{++} a b \rangle$   
  <proof>
```

```
lemma tranclp-mono:  
  assumes mono:  $\langle r \leq s \rangle$   
  shows  $\langle r^{++} \leq s^{++} \rangle$   
  <proof>
```

```
lemma tranclp-idemp-rel:  
   $\langle R^{++++} a b \longleftrightarrow R^{++} a b \rangle$   
  <proof>
```

Equivalent of the theorem *rtranclp-idemp*

```
lemma trancl-idemp:  $\langle (r^+)^+ = r^+ \rangle$   
  <proof>
```

```
lemmas tranclp-idemp[simp] = trancl-idemp[to-pred]
```

This theorem already exists as theorem *Nitpick.rtranclp-unfold* (and sledgehammer uses it), but it makes sense to duplicate it, because it is unclear how stable the lemmas in the `~/src/HOL/Nitpick.thy` theory are.

lemma *rtranclp-unfold*: $\langle rtranclp\ r\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow (a = b \vee tranclp\ r\ a\ b) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-unfold-end*: $\langle tranclp\ r\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists a'. rtranclp\ r\ a\ a' \wedge r\ a'\ b) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Near duplicate of theorem *tranclpD*:

lemma *tranclp-unfold-begin*: $\langle tranclp\ r\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists a'. r\ a\ a' \wedge rtranclp\ r\ a'\ b) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-set-tranclp*: $\langle (a, b) \in \{(b, a). P\ a\ b\}^+ \longleftrightarrow P^{++}\ b\ a \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-rtranclp-rtranclp-rel*: $\langle R^{+++}\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow R^{**}\ a\ b \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-rtranclp-rtranclp[simp]*: $\langle R^{+++} = R^{**} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-exists-last-with-prop*:
assumes $\langle R\ x\ z \rangle$ **and** $\langle R^{**}\ z\ z' \rangle$ **and** $\langle P\ x\ z \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists y\ y'. R^{**}\ x\ y \wedge R\ y\ y' \wedge P\ y\ y' \wedge (\lambda a\ b. R\ a\ b \wedge \neg P\ a\ b)^{**}\ y'\ z' \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-and-rtranclp-left*: $\langle (\lambda a\ b. P\ a\ b \wedge Q\ a\ b)^{**}\ S\ T \Longrightarrow P^{**}\ S\ T \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.2 Full Transitions

Definition We define here predicates to define properties after all possible transitions.

abbreviation (*input*) *no-step* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
no-step step $S \equiv \forall S'. \neg step\ S\ S'$

definition *full1* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
full1 transf $= (\lambda S\ S'. tranclp\ transf\ S\ S' \wedge no\text{-}step\ transf\ S')$

definition *full*:: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
full transf $= (\lambda S\ S'. rtranclp\ transf\ S\ S' \wedge no\text{-}step\ transf\ S')$

We define output notations only for printing (to ease reading):

notation (**output**) *full1* $(-^{+\downarrow})$

notation (**output**) *full* $(-^{\downarrow})$

Some Properties **lemma** *rtranclp-full1I*:
 $\langle R^{**}\ a\ b \Longrightarrow full1\ R\ b\ c \Longrightarrow full1\ R\ a\ c \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-full1I*:
 $\langle R^{++}\ a\ b \Longrightarrow full1\ R\ b\ c \Longrightarrow full1\ R\ a\ c \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-fullI*:
 $\langle R^{**}\ a\ b \Longrightarrow full\ R\ b\ c \Longrightarrow full\ R\ a\ c \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-full-full1I*:

$\langle R^{++} a b \implies \text{full } R b c \implies \text{full1 } R a c \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-full1*:

$\langle R a b \implies \text{full } R b c \implies \text{full1 } R a c \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-unfold*:

$\langle \text{full } r S S' \longleftrightarrow ((S = S' \wedge \text{no-step } r S') \vee \text{full1 } r S S') \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-is-full[intro]*: $\langle \text{full1 } R S T \implies \text{full } R S T \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-full1-rtranclp-relation*: $\neg \text{full1 } R^{**} a b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-full-rtranclp-relation*: $\neg \text{full } R^{**} a b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full1-tranclp-relation-full*:

$\langle \text{full1 } R^{++} a b \longleftrightarrow \text{full1 } R a b \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-tranclp-relation-full*:

$\langle \text{full } R^{++} a b \longleftrightarrow \text{full } R a b \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-full1-full1*:

$\langle (\text{full1 } R)^{++} a b \longleftrightarrow \text{full1 } R a b \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtranclp-full1-eq-or-full1*:

$\langle (\text{full1 } R)^{**} a b \longleftrightarrow (a = b \vee \text{full1 } R a b) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-step-full-iff-eq*:

$\langle \text{no-step } R S \implies \text{full } R S T \longleftrightarrow S = T \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.1.3 Well-Foundedness and Full Transitions

lemma *wf-exists-normal-form*:

assumes *wf*: $\langle \text{wf } \{(x, y). R y x\} \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists b. R^{**} a b \wedge \text{no-step } R b \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-exists-normal-form-full*:

assumes *wf*: $\langle \text{wf } \{(x, y). R y x\} \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists b. \text{full } R a b \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.1.4 More Well-Foundedness

A little list of theorems that could be useful, but are hidden:

- link between *wf* and infinite chains: theorems *wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain* and *wf-no-infinite-down-chain*.

lemma *wf-if-measure-in-wf*:

$\langle wf\ R \implies (\bigwedge a\ b. (a, b) \in S \implies (\nu\ a, \nu\ b) \in R) \implies wf\ S \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wfP-if-measure*: **fixes** $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow nat \rangle$

shows $\langle (\bigwedge x\ y. P\ x \implies g\ x\ y \implies f\ y < f\ x) \implies wf\ \{(y, x). P\ x \wedge g\ x\ y\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-if-measure-f*:

assumes $\langle wf\ r \rangle$
shows $\langle wf\ \{(b, a). (f\ b, f\ a) \in r\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-wf-if-measure'*:

assumes $\langle wf\ r \rangle$ **and** $H: \langle \bigwedge x\ y. P\ x \implies g\ x\ y \implies (f\ y, f\ x) \in r \rangle$
shows $\langle wf\ \{(y, x). P\ x \wedge g\ x\ y\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-lex-less*: $\langle wf\ (lex\ less-than) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wfP-if-measure2*: **fixes** $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow nat \rangle$

shows $\langle (\bigwedge x\ y. P\ x\ y \implies g\ x\ y \implies f\ x < f\ y) \implies wf\ \{(x, y). P\ x\ y \wedge g\ x\ y\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lexord-on-finite-set-is-wf*:

assumes
P-finite: $\langle \bigwedge U. P\ U \longrightarrow U \in A \rangle$ **and**
finite: $\langle finite\ A \rangle$ **and**
wf: $\langle wf\ R \rangle$ **and**
trans: $\langle trans\ R \rangle$
shows $\langle wf\ \{(T, S). (P\ S \wedge P\ T) \wedge (T, S) \in lexord\ R\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-fst-wf-pair*:

assumes $\langle wf\ \{(M', M). R\ M' M\} \rangle$
shows $\langle wf\ \{((M', N'), (M, N)). R\ M' M\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-snd-wf-pair*:

assumes $\langle wf\ \{(M', M). R\ M' M\} \rangle$
shows $\langle wf\ \{((M', N'), (M, N)). R\ N' N\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-if-measure-f-notation2*:

assumes $\langle wf\ r \rangle$
shows $\langle wf\ \{(b, h\ a) | b\ a. (f\ b, f\ (h\ a)) \in r\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-wf-if-measure'-notation2*:
assumes $\langle wf\ r \rangle$ **and** $H: \langle \bigwedge x\ y. P\ x \implies g\ x\ y \implies (f\ y, f\ (h\ x)) \in r \rangle$
shows $\langle wf\ \{(y, h\ x) \mid y\ x. P\ x \wedge g\ x\ y\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *power-ex-decomp*:
assumes $\langle (R \overset{\sim}{\sim} n)\ S\ T \rangle$
shows
 $\langle \exists f. f\ 0 = S \wedge f\ n = T \wedge (\forall i. i < n \longrightarrow R\ (f\ i)\ (f\ (Suc\ i))) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following lemma gives a bound on the maximal number of transitions of a sequence that is well-founded under the lexicographic ordering *lexn* on natural numbers.

lemma *lexn-number-of-transition*:
assumes
le: $\langle \bigwedge i. i < n \implies ((f\ (Suc\ i)), (f\ i)) \in lexn\ less-than\ m \rangle$ **and**
upper: $\langle \bigwedge i\ j. i \leq n \implies j < m \implies (f\ i) \# j \in \{0..<k\} \rangle$ **and**
finite A **and**
k: $\langle k > 1 \rangle$
shows $\langle n < k \wedge Suc\ m \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *WB-List-More*

imports *HOL-Library.Finite-Map*
Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Duplicate-Free-Multiset
HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach-Tools
HOL-Library.FuncSet

begin

This theory contains various lemmas that have been used in the formalisation. Some of them could probably be moved to the Isabelle distribution or *Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Multiset-More*.

More Sledgehammer parameters

1.2 Various Lemmas

1.2.1 Not-Related to Refinement or lists

Unlike *clarify/auto/simp*, this does not split tuple of the form $\exists T. P\ T$ in the assumption. After calling it, as the variable are not quantified anymore, the *simproc* does not trigger, allowing to safely call *auto/simp/...*

method *normalize-goal* =
 $(match\ premises\ in$
 $\quad J[thin]: \langle \exists x. \rightarrow \implies \langle rule\ exE[OF\ J] \rangle$
 $\quad | J[thin]: \langle \leftarrow \wedge \rightarrow \implies \langle rule\ conjE[OF\ J] \rangle$
 $\quad)$

Close to the theorem *nat-less-induct* ($(\bigwedge n. \forall m < n. ?P\ m \implies ?P\ n) \implies ?P\ ?n$), but with a separation between the zero and non-zero case.

lemma *nat-less-induct-case*[*case-names 0 Suc*]:
assumes
 $\langle P\ 0 \rangle$ **and**

$\langle \wedge n. (\forall m < \text{Suc } n. P m) \implies P (\text{Suc } n) \rangle$
shows $\langle P n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This is only proved in simple cases by auto. In assumptions, nothing happens, and the theorem *if-split-asm* can blow up goals (because of other if-expressions either in the context or as simplification rules).

lemma *if-0-1-ge-0[simp]*:
 $\langle 0 < (\text{if } P \text{ then } a \text{ else } (0::\text{nat})) \iff P \wedge 0 < a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bx-lessI*: $P j \implies j < n \implies \exists j < n. P j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bx-gtI*: $P j \implies j > n \implies \exists j > n. P j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bx-geI*: $P j \implies j \geq n \implies \exists j \geq n. P j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bx-leI*: $P j \implies j \leq n \implies \exists j \leq n. P j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Bounded function have not yet been defined in Isabelle.

definition *bounded* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b::\text{ord}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\langle \text{bounded } f \iff (\exists b. \forall n. f n \leq b) \rangle$

abbreviation *unbounded* :: $\langle ('a \Rightarrow 'b::\text{ord}) \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{unbounded } f \equiv \neg \text{bounded } f \rangle$

lemma *not-bounded-nat-exists-larger*:
fixes $f :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$
assumes *unbound*: $\langle \text{unbounded } f \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists n. f n > m \wedge n > n_0 \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A function is bounded iff its product with a non-zero constant is bounded. The non-zero condition is needed only for the reverse implication (see for example $k = 0$ and $f = (\lambda i. i)$ for a counter-example).

lemma *bounded-const-product*:
fixes $k :: \text{nat}$ **and** $f :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$
assumes $\langle k > 0 \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{bounded } f \iff \text{bounded } (\lambda i. k * f i) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bounded-const-add*:
fixes $k :: \text{nat}$ **and** $f :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$
assumes $\langle k > 0 \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{bounded } f \iff \text{bounded } (\lambda i. k + f i) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This lemma is not used, but here to show that property that can be expected from *bounded* holds.

lemma *bounded-finite-linorder*:

fixes $f :: \langle 'a::finite \Rightarrow 'b :: \{linorder\} \rangle$
shows $\langle bounded\ f \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.3 More Lists

1.3.1 set, nth, tl

lemma *ex-geI*: $\langle P\ n \Longrightarrow n \geq m \Longrightarrow \exists n \geq m. P\ n \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ball-atLeastLessThan-iff*: $\langle (\forall L \in \{a..<b\}. P\ L) \longleftrightarrow (\forall L. L \geq a \wedge L < b \longrightarrow P\ L) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nth-in-set-tl*: $\langle i > 0 \Longrightarrow i < length\ xs \Longrightarrow xs\ !\ i \in set\ (tl\ xs) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tl-drop-def*: $\langle tl\ N = drop\ 1\ N \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-set-remove1D*:
 $\langle a \in set\ (remove1\ x\ xs) \Longrightarrow a \in set\ xs \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-length-takeWhile-eq-takeWhile*:
 $\langle take\ (length\ (takeWhile\ P\ xs))\ xs = takeWhile\ P\ xs \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fold-cons-replicate*: $\langle fold\ (\lambda\ xs. a\ \#\ xs)\ [0..<n]\ xs = replicate\ n\ a\ @\ xs \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Collect-minus-single-Collect*: $\langle \{x. P\ x\} - \{a\} = \{x. P\ x \wedge x \neq a\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-set-image-subsetD*: $\langle f\ 'A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow x \in A \Longrightarrow f\ x \in B \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mset-tl*:
 $\langle mset\ (tl\ xs) = remove1\ mset\ (hd\ xs)\ (mset\ xs) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-list-update-If*:
 $\langle outl' \neq [] \Longrightarrow hd\ (outl'[i := w]) = (if\ i = 0\ then\ w\ else\ hd\ outl') \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-update-id'*:
 $\langle x = xs\ !\ i \Longrightarrow xs[i := x] = xs \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This lemma is not general enough to move to Isabelle, but might be interesting in other cases.

lemma *set-Collect-Pair-to-fst-snd*:
 $\langle \{(a, b), (a', b')\}. P\ a\ b\ a'\ b'\} = \{(e, f). P\ (fst\ e)\ (snd\ e)\ (fst\ f)\ (snd\ f)\} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *butlast-Nil-iff*: $\langle butlast\ xs = [] \longleftrightarrow length\ xs = 1 \vee length\ xs = 0 \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *Set-remove-diff-insert*: $\langle a \in B - A \implies B - \text{Set.remove } a A = \text{insert } a (B - A) \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *Set-insert-diff-remove*: $\langle B - \text{insert } a A = \text{Set.remove } a (B - A) \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *Set-remove-insert*: $\langle a \notin A' \implies \text{Set.remove } a (\text{insert } a A') = A' \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *diff-eq-insertD*:

$\langle B - A = \text{insert } a A' \implies a \in B \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-set-tlD*: $\langle x \in \text{set } (\text{tl } xs) \implies x \in \text{set } xs \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

This lemma is only useful if *set xs* can be simplified (which also means that this simp-rule should not be used...)

lemma (**in** $-$) *in-list-in-setD*: $\langle xs = \text{it } @ x \# \sigma \implies x \in \text{set } xs \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *Collect-eq-comp'*: $\langle \{(x, y). P x y\} O \{(c, a). c = f a\} = \{(x, a). P x (f a)\} \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (**in** $-$) *filter-disj-eq*:

$\langle \{x \in A. P x \vee Q x\} = \{x \in A. P x\} \cup \{x \in A. Q x\} \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *zip-cong*:

$\langle (\bigwedge i. i < \min (\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys) \implies (xs ! i, ys ! i) = (xs' ! i, ys' ! i)) \implies$
 $\text{length } xs = \text{length } xs' \implies \text{length } ys = \text{length } ys' \implies \text{zip } xs \text{ } ys = \text{zip } xs' \text{ } ys' \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *zip-cong2*:

$\langle (\bigwedge i. i < \min (\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys) \implies (xs ! i, ys ! i) = (xs' ! i, ys' ! i)) \implies$
 $\text{length } xs = \text{length } xs' \implies \text{length } ys \leq \text{length } ys' \implies \text{length } ys \geq \text{length } xs \implies$
 $\text{zip } xs \text{ } ys = \text{zip } xs' \text{ } ys' \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

1.3.2 List Updates

lemma *tl-update-swap*:

$\langle i \geq 1 \implies \text{tl } (N[i := C]) = (\text{tl } N)[i-1 := C] \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *tl-update-0[simp]*: $\langle \text{tl } (N[0 := x]) = \text{tl } N \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

declare *nth-list-update[simp]*

This a version of $?i < \text{length } ?xs \implies ?xs[?i := ?x] ! ?j = (\text{if } ?i = ?j \text{ then } ?x \text{ else } ?xs ! ?j)$ with a different condition (*j* instead of *i*). This is more useful in some cases.

lemma *nth-list-update-le'[simp]*:

$j < \text{length } xs \implies (xs[i:=x])!j = (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } x \text{ else } xs!j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

1.3.3 Take and drop

lemma *take-2-if*:

⟨take 2 C = (if C = [] then [] else if length C = 1 then [hd C] else [C!0, C!1])⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-set-take-conv-nth*:

⟨ $x \in \text{set } (\text{take } n \text{ } xs) \iff (\exists m < \min n \ (\text{length } xs). \text{xs } ! \ m = x)$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-set-dropI*:

⟨ $m < \text{length } xs \implies m \geq n \implies \text{xs } ! \ m \in \text{set } (\text{drop } n \text{ } xs)$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-set-drop-conv-nth*:

⟨ $x \in \text{set } (\text{drop } n \text{ } xs) \iff (\exists m \geq n. m < \text{length } xs \wedge \text{xs } ! \ m = x)$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

Taken from the Word library.

lemma *atd-lem*: ⟨take n xs = t \implies drop n xs = d \implies xs = t @ d⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *drop-take-drop-drop*:

⟨ $j \geq i \implies \text{drop } i \text{ } xs = \text{take } (j - i) \ (\text{drop } i \text{ } xs) \ @ \ \text{drop } j \text{ } xs$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-set-conv-iff*:

⟨ $x \in \text{set } (\text{take } n \text{ } xs) \iff (\exists i < n. i < \text{length } xs \wedge \text{xs } ! \ i = x)$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct-in-set-take-iff*:

⟨distinct D $\implies b < \text{length } D \implies D ! \ b \in \text{set } (\text{take } a \text{ } D) \iff b < a$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-set-distinct-take-drop-iff*:

assumes
 ⟨distinct D⟩ and
 ⟨b < length D⟩
shows ⟨D ! b \in set (take (a - init) (drop init D)) \iff (init \leq b \wedge b < a)⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

1.3.4 Replicate

lemma *list-eq-replicate-iff-nempty*:

⟨n > 0 $\implies xs = \text{replicate } n \ x \iff n = \text{length } xs \wedge \text{set } xs = \{x\}$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *list-eq-replicate-iff*:

⟨xs = replicate n x \iff (n = 0 \wedge xs = []) \vee (n = length xs \wedge set xs = {x})⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

1.3.5 List intervals (*upt*)

The simplification rules are not very handy, because theorem *upt.simps* (2) (i.e. $[?i..<Suc\ ?j] = (if\ ?i \leq\ ?j\ then\ [?i..<?j]\ @\ [?j]\ else\ [])$) leads to a case distinction, that we usually do not want if the condition is not already in the context.

lemma *upt-Suc-le-append*: $\langle \neg i \leq j \implies [i..<Suc\ j] = [] \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *upt.simps[simp]* = *upt-Suc-append upt-Suc-le-append*

declare *upt.simps(2)[simp del]*

The counterpart for this lemma when $n - m < i$ is theorem *take-all*. It is close to theorem $?i + ?m \leq ?n \implies take\ ?m\ [?i..<?n] = [?i..<?i + ?m]$, but seems more general.

lemma *take-upt-bound-minus[simp]*:
assumes $\langle i \leq n - m \rangle$
shows $\langle take\ i\ [m..<n] = [m..<m+i] \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-cons-eq-upt*:
assumes $\langle A @ B = [m..<n] \rangle$
shows $\langle A = [m..<m+length\ A] \rangle$ **and** $\langle B = [m + length\ A..<n] \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The converse of theorem *append-cons-eq-upt* does not hold, for example if @ term $B::\ nat\ list$ is empty and A is $[0::'a]$:

lemma $\langle A @ B = [m..<n] \iff A = [m..<m+length\ A] \wedge B = [m + length\ A..<n] \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A more restrictive version holds:

lemma $\langle B \neq [] \implies A @ B = [m..<n] \iff A = [m..<m+length\ A] \wedge B = [m + length\ A..<n] \rangle$
(is $\langle ?P \implies ?A = ?B \rangle$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-cons-eq-upt-length-i*:
assumes $\langle A @ i \# B = [m..<n] \rangle$
shows $\langle A = [m..<i] \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-cons-eq-upt-length*:
assumes $\langle A @ i \# B = [m..<n] \rangle$
shows $\langle length\ A = i - m \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-cons-eq-upt-length-i-end*:
assumes $\langle A @ i \# B = [m..<n] \rangle$
shows $\langle B = [Suc\ i..<n] \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Max-n-upt*: $\langle Max\ (insert\ 0\ \{Suc\ 0..<n\}) = n - Suc\ 0 \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upt-decomp-lt*:
assumes $H: \langle xs @ i \# ys @ j \# zs = [m..<n] \rangle$

shows $\langle i < j \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nths-upt-upto-Suc*: $\langle aa < \text{length } xs \implies \text{nths } xs \{0..<Suc\ aa\} = \text{nths } xs \{0..<aa\} @ [xs ! aa] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The following two lemmas are useful as simp rules for case-distinction. The case *length l = 0* is already simplified by default.

lemma *length-list-Suc-0*:
 $\langle \text{length } W = Suc\ 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists L. W = [L]) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-list-2*: $\langle \text{length } S = 2 \longleftrightarrow (\exists a\ b. S = [a, b]) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-bounded-list*:
fixes $b :: \text{nat}$
shows $\langle \text{finite } \{xs. \text{length } xs < s \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } xs. xs ! i < b)\} \rangle$ (**is** $\langle \text{finite } (?S\ s) \rangle$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *last-in-set-dropWhile*:
assumes $\langle \exists L \in \text{set } (xs @ [x]). \neg P\ L \rangle$
shows $\langle x \in \text{set } (\text{dropWhile } P\ (xs @ [x])) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-drop-upto*: $\langle \text{mset } (\text{drop } a\ N) = \{\#N!i. i \in \# \text{mset-set } \{a..<\text{length } N\}\#\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *last-list-update-to-last*:
 $\langle \text{last } (xs[x := \text{last } xs]) = \text{last } xs \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-map-nth-alt-def*: $\langle \text{take } n\ xs = \text{map } (!)\ xs [0..<\min\ n\ (\text{length } xs)] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3.6 Lexicographic Ordering

lemma *lexn-Suc*:
 $\langle (x \# xs, y \# ys) \in \text{lexn } r\ (Suc\ n) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{length } xs = n \wedge \text{length } ys = n) \wedge ((x, y) \in r \vee (x = y \wedge (xs, ys) \in \text{lexn } r\ n)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lexn-n*:
 $\langle n > 0 \implies (x \# xs, y \# ys) \in \text{lexn } r\ n \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{length } xs = n-1 \wedge \text{length } ys = n-1) \wedge ((x, y) \in r \vee (x = y \wedge (xs, ys) \in \text{lexn } r\ (n-1))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

There is some subtle point in the previous theorem explaining *why* it is useful. The term *1* is converted to *Suc 0*, but *2* is not, meaning that *1* is automatically simplified by default allowing the use of the default simplification rule *lexn.simps*. However, for *2* one additional simplification rule is required (see the proof of the theorem above).

lemma *lexn2-conv*:
 $\langle ([a, b], [c, d]) \in \text{lexn } r\ 2 \longleftrightarrow (a, c) \in r \vee (a = c \wedge (b, d) \in r) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lexn3-conv*:

$\langle ([a, b, c], [a', b', c']) \in \text{lexn } r \text{ } \mathcal{R} \longleftrightarrow$
 $(a, a') \in r \vee (a = a' \wedge (b, b') \in r) \vee (a = a' \wedge b = b' \wedge (c, c') \in r) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prepend-same-lexn*:

assumes *irrefl*: $\langle \text{irrefl } R \rangle$
shows $\langle (A @ B, A @ C) \in \text{lexn } R \ n \longleftrightarrow (B, C) \in \text{lexn } R \ (n - \text{length } A) \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B \rangle$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *append-same-lexn*:

assumes *irrefl*: $\langle \text{irrefl } R \rangle$
shows $\langle (B @ A, C @ A) \in \text{lexn } R \ n \longleftrightarrow (B, C) \in \text{lexn } R \ (n - \text{length } A) \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B \rangle$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *irrefl-less-than [simp]*: $\langle \text{irrefl less-than} \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3.7 Remove

More lemmas about remove

lemma *distinct-remove1-last-butlast*:

$\langle \text{distinct } xs \implies xs \neq [] \implies \text{remove1 } (\text{last } xs) \ xs = \text{butlast } xs \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove1-Nil-iff*:

$\langle \text{remove1 } x \ xs = [] \longleftrightarrow xs = [] \vee xs = [x] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *removeAll-upt*:

$\langle \text{removeAll } k \ [a..<b] = (\text{if } k \geq a \wedge k < b \text{ then } [a..<k] @ [\text{Suc } k..<b] \text{ else } [a..<b]) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove1-upt*:

$\langle \text{remove1 } k \ [a..<b] = (\text{if } k \geq a \wedge k < b \text{ then } [a..<k] @ [\text{Suc } k..<b] \text{ else } [a..<b]) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sorted-removeAll*: $\langle \text{sorted } C \implies \text{sorted } (\text{removeAll } k \ C) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-remove1-rev*: $\langle \text{distinct } xs \implies \text{remove1 } x \ (\text{rev } xs) = \text{rev } (\text{remove1 } x \ xs) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Remove under condition

This function removes the first element such that the condition f holds. It generalises *remove1*.

fun *remove1-cond* **where**

$\langle \text{remove1-cond } f \ [] = [] \rangle$ |
 $\langle \text{remove1-cond } f \ (C' \# L) = (\text{if } f \ C' \text{ then } L \text{ else } C' \# \text{remove1-cond } f \ L) \rangle$

lemma $\langle \text{remove1 } x \ xs = \text{remove1-cond } ((=) \ x) \ xs \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-map-mset-remove1-cond*:

$\langle \text{mset } (\text{map } \text{mset } (\text{remove1-cond } (\lambda L. \text{mset } L = \text{mset } a) \ C)) =$

remove1-mset (*mset a*) (*mset (map mset C)*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

We can also generalise *removeAll*, which is close to *filter*:

fun *removeAll-cond* :: ⟨('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list⟩ **where**
 ⟨*removeAll-cond* *f* [] = [] |
 ⟨*removeAll-cond* *f* (*C' # L*) = (if *f C'* then *removeAll-cond f L* else *C' # removeAll-cond f L*)⟩

lemma *removeAll-removeAll-cond*: ⟨*removeAll* *x xs* = *removeAll-cond* ((=) *x*) *xs*⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *removeAll-cond-filter*: ⟨*removeAll-cond* *P xs* = *filter* (λ*x*. ¬*P x*) *xs*⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mset-map-mset-removeAll-cond*:
 ⟨*mset (map mset (removeAll-cond* (λ*b*. *mset b* = *mset a*) *C*)
 = removeAll-mset (mset a) (mset (map mset C))⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *count-mset-count-list*:
 ⟨*count* (*mset xs*) *x* = *count-list* *xs x*⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *length-removeAll-count-list*:
 ⟨*length* (*removeAll* *x xs*) = *length* *xs* − *count-list* *xs x*⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *removeAll-notin*: ⟨*a* ∉ # *A* ⇒ *removeAll-mset* *a A* = *A*⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Filter

lemma *distinct-filter-eq-if*:
 ⟨*distinct* *C* ⇒ *length* (*filter* ((=) *L*) *C*) = (if *L* ∈ *set C* then 1 else 0)⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *length-filter-update-true*:
assumes ⟨*i* < *length* *xs*⟩ **and** ⟨*P* (*xs* ! *i*)⟩
shows ⟨*length* (*filter* *P* (*xs*[*i* := *x*])) = *length* (*filter* *P* *xs*) − (if *P x* then 0 else 1)⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *length-filter-update-false*:
assumes ⟨*i* < *length* *xs*⟩ **and** ⟨¬*P* (*xs* ! *i*)⟩
shows ⟨*length* (*filter* *P* (*xs*[*i* := *x*])) = *length* (*filter* *P* *xs*) + (if *P x* then 1 else 0)⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mset-set-mset-set-minus-id-iff*:
assumes ⟨*finite* *A*⟩
shows ⟨*mset-set* *A* = *mset-set* (*A* − *B*) ⟷ (∀ *b* ∈ *B*. *b* ∉ *A*)⟩
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mset-set-eq-mset-set-more-conds*:
 ⟨*finite* {*x*. *P x*} ⇒ *mset-set* {*x*. *P x*} = *mset-set* {*x*. *Q x* ∧ *P x*} ⟷ (∀ *x*. *P x* ⟶ *Q x*)⟩
 (is ⟨?*F* ⇒ ?*A* ⟷ ?*B*⟩)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *count-list-filter*: $\langle \text{count-list } xs \ x = \text{length } (\text{filter } ((=) \ x) \ xs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-length-filter-compl'*: $\langle \text{length } [x \leftarrow xs \ . \ \neg \ P \ x] + \text{length } (\text{filter } P \ xs) = \text{length } xs \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3.8 Sorting

See $\llbracket \text{sorted } ?xs; \text{distinct } ?xs; \text{sorted } ?ys; \text{distinct } ?ys; \text{set } ?xs = \text{set } ?ys \rrbracket \implies ?xs = ?ys$.

lemma *sorted-mset-unique*:
fixes $xs :: \langle 'a :: \text{linorder list} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{sorted } xs \implies \text{sorted } ys \implies \text{mset } xs = \text{mset } ys \implies xs = ys \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *insort-upt*: $\langle \text{insort } k \ [a..<b] =$
(if $k < a$ *then* $k \# [a..<b]$
else if $k < b$ *then* $[a..<k] @ k \# [k ..<b]$
else $[a..<b] @ [k] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *removeAll-insort-removeAll*: $\langle \text{removeAll } k \ (\text{insort } k \ xs) = \text{removeAll } k \ xs \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-sorted*: $\langle \text{sorted } xs \implies \text{sorted } (\text{filter } P \ xs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *removeAll-insort*:
 $\langle \text{sorted } xs \implies k \neq k' \implies \text{removeAll } k' \ (\text{insort } k \ xs) = \text{insort } k \ (\text{removeAll } k' \ xs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3.9 Distinct Multisets

lemma *distinct-mset-remdups-mset-id*: $\langle \text{distinct-mset } C \implies \text{remdups-mset } C = C \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *notin-add-mset-remdups-mset*:
 $\langle a \notin \# A \implies \text{add-mset } a \ (\text{remdups-mset } A) = \text{remdups-mset } (\text{add-mset } a \ A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-image-mset*:
 $\langle \text{distinct-mset } (\text{image-mset } f \ (\text{mset } xs)) \longleftrightarrow \text{distinct } (\text{map } f \ xs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-image-mset-not-equal*:
assumes
 $LL': \langle L \neq L' \rangle$ **and**
 $dist: \langle \text{distinct-mset } (\text{image-mset } f \ M) \rangle$ **and**
 $L: \langle L \in \# M \rangle$ **and**
 $L': \langle L' \in \# M \rangle$ **and**
 $fLL'[simp]: \langle f \ L = f \ L' \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{False} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-remdups-mset[simp]*: $\langle \text{distinct-mset } (\text{remdups-mset } S) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remdups-mset-idem*: $\langle \text{remdups-mset} (\text{remdups-mset } a) = \text{remdups-mset } a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3.10 Set of Distinct Multisets

definition *distinct-mset-set* :: $\langle 'a \text{ multiset set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{distinct-mset-set } \Sigma \longleftrightarrow (\forall S \in \Sigma. \text{distinct-mset } S) \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-set-empty[simp]*: $\langle \text{distinct-mset-set } \{\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-set-singleton[iff]*: $\langle \text{distinct-mset-set } \{A\} \longleftrightarrow \text{distinct-mset } A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-set-insert[iff]*:
 $\langle \text{distinct-mset-set} (\text{insert } S \Sigma) \longleftrightarrow (\text{distinct-mset } S \wedge \text{distinct-mset-set } \Sigma) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-set-union[iff]*:
 $\langle \text{distinct-mset-set} (\Sigma \cup \Sigma') \longleftrightarrow (\text{distinct-mset-set } \Sigma \wedge \text{distinct-mset-set } \Sigma') \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-distinct-mset-set-distinct-mset*:
 $\langle a \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \text{distinct-mset-set } \Sigma \Longrightarrow \text{distinct-mset } a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-mset-set*: $\langle \text{distinct-mset} (\text{mset-set } A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-filter-mset-set[simp]*: $\langle \text{distinct-mset} \{\#a \in \# \text{mset-set } A. P a\# \} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-set-distinct*: $\langle \text{distinct-mset-set} (\text{mset } ' \text{set } Cs) \longleftrightarrow (\forall c \in \text{set } Cs. \text{distinct } c) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3.11 Sublists

lemma *nths-single-if*: $\langle \text{nths } l \{n\} = (\text{if } n < \text{length } l \text{ then } [!n] \text{ else } []) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atLeastLessThan-Collect*: $\langle \{a..<b\} = \{j. j \geq a \wedge j < b\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-nths-subset-mset*: $\langle \text{mset} (\text{nths } xs \ A) \subseteq \# \text{mset } xs \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nths-id-iff*:
 $\langle \text{nths } xs \ A = xs \longleftrightarrow \{0..<\text{length } xs\} \subseteq A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nts-upt-length[simp]*: $\langle \text{nths } xs \ \{0..<\text{length } xs\} = xs \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nths-shift-lemma'*:
 $\langle \text{map fst } [p \leftarrow \text{zip } xs \ [i..<i + n]. \text{snd } p + b \in A] = \text{map fst } [p \leftarrow \text{zip } xs \ [0..<n]. \text{snd } p + b + i \in A] \rangle$

<proof>

lemma *nths-Cons-upt-Suc*: $\langle nths (a \# xs) \{0..<Suc\ n\} = a \# nths\ xs \{0..<n\} \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *nths-empty-iff*: $\langle nths\ xs\ A = [] \longleftrightarrow \{..<length\ xs\} \cap A = \{\} \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *nths-upt-Suc*:
assumes $\langle i < length\ xs \rangle$
shows $\langle nths\ xs \{i..<length\ xs\} = xs!i \# nths\ xs \{Suc\ i..<length\ xs\} \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *nths-upt-Suc'*:
assumes $\langle i < b \rangle$ **and** $\langle b \leq length\ xs \rangle$
shows $\langle nths\ xs \{i..<b\} = xs!i \# nths\ xs \{Suc\ i..<b\} \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *Ball-set-nths*: $\langle (\forall L \in set (nths\ xs\ A). P\ L) \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in A \cap \{0..<length\ xs\}. P (xs\ !\ i)) \rangle$
<proof>

1.3.12 Product Case

The splitting of tuples is done for sizes strictly less than 8. As we want to manipulate tuples of size 8, here is some more setup for larger sizes.

lemma *prod-cases8* [*cases type*]:
obtains (*fields*) $a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h$ **where** $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)$
<proof>

lemma *prod-induct8* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:
 $(\bigwedge a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)) \implies P\ x$
<proof>

lemma *prod-cases9* [*cases type*]:
obtains (*fields*) $a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h\ i$ **where** $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)$
<proof>

lemma *prod-induct9* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:
 $(\bigwedge a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h\ i. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)) \implies P\ x$
<proof>

lemma *prod-cases10* [*cases type*]:
obtains (*fields*) $a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h\ i\ j$ **where** $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j)$
<proof>

lemma *prod-induct10* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:
 $(\bigwedge a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h\ i\ j. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j)) \implies P\ x$
<proof>

lemma *prod-cases11* [*cases type*]:
obtains (*fields*) $a\ b\ c\ d\ e\ f\ g\ h\ i\ j\ k$ **where** $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k)$
<proof>

lemma *prod-induct11* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-cases12* [*cases type*]:

obtains (*fields*) $a b c d e f g h i j k l$ **where** $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-induct12* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-cases13* [*cases type*]:

obtains (*fields*) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m$ **where** $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-induct13* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-cases14* [*cases type*]:

obtains (*fields*) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n$ **where** $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-induct14* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-cases15* [*cases type*]:

obtains (*fields*) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-induct15* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-cases16* [*cases type*]:

obtains (*fields*) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-induct16* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-cases17* [*cases type*]:

obtains (*fields*) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-induct17* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-cases18* [*cases type*]:

obtains (*fields*) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s$ **where**

$y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-induct18* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s. P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-cases19* [*cases type*]:

obtains (*fields*) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-induct19* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t.$
 $P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-cases20* [*cases type*]:

obtains (*fields*) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-induct20* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u.$
 $P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-cases21* [*cases type*]:

obtains (*fields*) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-induct21* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v.$
 $P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-cases22* [*cases type*]:

obtains (*fields*) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v w$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-induct22* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v w.$
 $P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-cases23* [*cases type*]:

obtains (*fields*) $a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v w x$ **where**
 $y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-induct23* [*case-names fields, induct type*]:

$(\bigwedge a b c d e f g h i j k l m n p q r s t u v w y.$
 $P (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u, v, w, y)) \implies P x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3.13 More about *list-all2* and *map*

More properties on the relator *list-all2* and *map*. These theorems are mostly used during the refinement and especially the lifting from a deterministic relator to its list version.

lemma *list-all2-op-eq-map-right-iff*: $\langle \text{list-all2 } (\lambda L. (=) (f L)) a aa \longleftrightarrow aa = \text{map } f a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-all2-op-eq-map-right-iff'*: $\langle \text{list-all2 } (\lambda L L'. L' = f L) a aa \longleftrightarrow aa = \text{map } f a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-all2-op-eq-map-left-iff*: $\langle \text{list-all2 } (\lambda L' L. L' = (f L)) a aa \longleftrightarrow a = \text{map } f aa \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-all2-op-eq-map-map-right-iff*:
 $\langle \text{list-all2 } (\text{list-all2 } (\lambda L. (=) (f L))) xs' x \longleftrightarrow x = \text{map } (\text{map } f) xs' \rangle$ **for** x
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-all2-op-eq-map-map-left-iff*:
 $\langle \text{list-all2 } (\text{list-all2 } (\lambda L' L. L' = f L)) xs' x \longleftrightarrow xs' = \text{map } (\text{map } f) x \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-all2-conj*:
 $\langle \text{list-all2 } (\lambda x y. P x y \wedge Q x y) xs ys \longleftrightarrow \text{list-all2 } P xs ys \wedge \text{list-all2 } Q xs ys \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-all2-replicate*:
 $\langle (bi, b) \in R' \implies \text{list-all2 } (\lambda x x'. (x, x') \in R') (\text{replicate } n bi) (\text{replicate } n b) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3.14 Multisets

We have a lit of lemmas about multisets. Some of them have already moved to *Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Multisets* but others are too specific (especially the *distinct-mset* property, which roughly corresponds to finite sets).

notation *image-mset* (**infixr** ‘# 90)

lemma *in-multiset-empty*: $\langle L \in \# D \implies D \neq \{\#\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The definition and the correctness theorem are from the multiset theory `~/src/HOL/Library/Multiset.thy`, but a name is necessary to refer to them:

definition *union-mset-list where*

$\langle \text{union-mset-list } xs ys \equiv \text{case-prod } \text{append } (\text{fold } (\lambda x (ys, zs). (\text{remove1 } x ys, x \# zs)) xs (ys, [])) \rangle$

lemma *union-mset-list*:
 $\langle \text{mset } xs \cup \# \text{ mset } ys = \text{mset } (\text{union-mset-list } xs ys) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *union-mset-list-Nil[simp]*: $\langle \text{union-mset-list } [] bi = bi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size-le-Suc-0-iff*: $\langle \text{size } M \leq \text{Suc } 0 \longleftrightarrow ((\exists a b. M = \{\#a\#\}) \vee M = \{\#\}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size-2-iff*: $\langle \text{size } M = 2 \longleftrightarrow (\exists a b. M = \{\#a, b\#}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-eq-mset-single-iff*: $\langle x2 \subseteq\# \{\#L\#} \longleftrightarrow x2 = \{\#\} \vee x2 = \{\#L\#} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-eq-size-2*:
 $\langle \text{mset } xs = \{\#a, b\#} \longleftrightarrow xs = [a, b] \vee xs = [b, a] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *butlast-list-update*:
 $\langle w < \text{length } xs \implies \text{butlast } (xs[w := \text{last } xs]) = \text{take } w \text{ } xs @ \text{butlast } (\text{last } xs \# \text{drop } (\text{Suc } w) \text{ } xs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-butlast-remove1-mset*: $\langle xs \neq [] \implies \text{mset } (\text{butlast } xs) = \text{remove1-mset } (\text{last } xs) (\text{mset } xs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-mono*: $\langle D' \subseteq\# D \implies \text{distinct-mset } D \implies \text{distinct-mset } D' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-mono-strict*: $\langle D' \subset\# D \implies \text{distinct-mset } D \implies \text{distinct-mset } D' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-mset-trans-add-mset*:
 $\langle D \subseteq\# D' \implies D \subseteq\# \text{add-mset } L \text{ } D' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-add-mset-notin-subset*: $\langle L \notin\# E \implies E \subseteq\# \text{add-mset } L \text{ } D \longleftrightarrow E \subseteq\# D \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove1-mset-empty-iff*: $\langle \text{remove1-mset } L \text{ } N = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow N = \{\#L\#} \vee N = \{\#\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-set-subset-iff*:
 $\langle \text{mset-set } A \subseteq\# I \longleftrightarrow \text{infinite } A \vee A \subseteq \text{set-mset } I \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-subseteq-iff*:
assumes *dist*: $\langle \text{distinct-mset } M \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{set-mset } M \subseteq \text{set-mset } N \longleftrightarrow M \subseteq\# N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-set-mset-eq-iff*:
assumes $\langle \text{distinct-mset } M \rangle \langle \text{distinct-mset } N \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{set-mset } M = \text{set-mset } N \longleftrightarrow M = N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in* $-$) *distinct-mset-union2*:
 $\langle \text{distinct-mset } (A + B) \implies \text{distinct-mset } B \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-remove1-msetI*: $\langle x \neq a \implies x \in\# M \implies x \in\# \text{remove1-mset } a \text{ } M \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *count-multi-member-split*:

$\langle \text{count } M \ a \geq n \implies \exists M'. M = \text{replicate-mset } n \ a + M' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *count-image-mset-multi-member-split*:

$\langle \text{count } (\text{image-mset } f \ M) \ L \geq \text{Suc } 0 \implies \exists K. f \ K = L \wedge K \in\# \ M \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *count-image-mset-multi-member-split-2*:

assumes *count*: $\langle \text{count } (\text{image-mset } f \ M) \ L \geq 2 \rangle$

shows $\langle \exists K \ K' \ M'. f \ K = L \wedge K \in\# \ M \wedge f \ K' = L \wedge K' \in\# \ \text{remove1-mset } K \ M \wedge$
 $M = \{\#K, K'\# \} + M' \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minus-notin-trivial*: $L \notin\# \ A \implies A - \text{add-mset } L \ B = A - B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minus-notin-trivial2*: $\langle b \notin\# \ A \implies A - \text{add-mset } e \ (\text{add-mset } b \ B) = A - \text{add-mset } e \ B \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-union-single-conv3*: $\langle a \notin\# \ I \implies \text{remove1-mset } a \ (I + J) = I + \text{remove1-mset } a \ J \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-union-or-split*:

$\langle \{\#L \in\# \ C. P \ L \vee Q \ L\# \} = \{\#L \in\# \ C. P \ L\# \} + \{\#L \in\# \ C. \neg P \ L \wedge Q \ L\# \} \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-mset-minus-eq-add-mset-noteq*: $\langle A \subset\# \ C \implies A - B \neq C \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minus-eq-id-forall-notin-mset*:

$\langle A - B = A \iff (\forall L \in\# \ B. L \notin\# \ A) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-multiset-minus-notin-snd[simp]*: $\langle a \notin\# \ B \implies a \in\# \ A - B \iff a \in\# \ A \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-in-diff*:

$\langle \text{distinct-mset } C \implies a \in\# \ C - D \iff a \in\# \ C \wedge a \notin\# \ D \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-le-mono2-mset*: $\langle A \subseteq\# \ B \implies C - B \subseteq\# \ C - A \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subsetq-remove1[simp]*: $\langle C \subseteq\# \ C' \implies \text{remove1-mset } L \ C \subseteq\# \ C' \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-mset-cong2*:

$\langle (\bigwedge x. x \in\# \ M \implies f \ x = g \ x) \implies M = N \implies \text{filter-mset } f \ M = \text{filter-mset } g \ N \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-mset-cong-inner-outer*:

assumes

M-eq: $\langle (\bigwedge x. x \in\# \ M \implies f \ x = g \ x) \rangle$ **and**

notin: $\langle (\bigwedge x. x \in\# \ N - M \implies \neg g \ x) \rangle$ **and**

MN: $\langle M \subseteq\# \ N \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{filter-mset } f \ M = \text{filter-mset } g \ N \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *notin-filter-mset*:

⟨ $K \notin\# C \implies \text{filter-mset } P C = \text{filter-mset } (\lambda L. P L \wedge L \neq K) C$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct-mset-add-mset-filter*:

assumes ⟨*distinct-mset* C ⟩ **and** ⟨ $L \in\# C$ ⟩ **and** ⟨ $\neg P L$ ⟩

shows ⟨ $\text{add-mset } L (\text{filter-mset } P C) = \text{filter-mset } (\lambda x. P x \vee x = L) C$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *set-mset-set-mset-eq-iff*: ⟨ $\text{set-mset } A = \text{set-mset } B \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in\# A. a \in\# B) \wedge (\forall a \in\# B. a \in\# A)$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *remove1-mset-union-distrib*:

⟨ $\text{remove1-mset } a (M \cup\# N) = \text{remove1-mset } a M \cup\# \text{remove1-mset } a N$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *member-add-mset*: ⟨ $a \in\# \text{add-mset } x xs \longleftrightarrow a = x \vee a \in\# xs$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup-union-right-if*:

⟨ $N \cup\# \text{add-mset } x M =$

⟨if $x \notin\# N$ then $\text{add-mset } x (N \cup\# M)$ else $\text{add-mset } x (\text{remove1-mset } x N \cup\# M)$ ⟩⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *same-mset-distinct-iff*:

⟨ $\text{mset } M = \text{mset } M' \implies \text{distinct } M \longleftrightarrow \text{distinct } M'$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *inj-on-image-mset-eq-iff*:

assumes *inj*: ⟨*inj-on* $f (\text{set-mset } (M + M'))$ ⟩

shows ⟨ $\text{image-mset } f M' = \text{image-mset } f M \longleftrightarrow M' = M$ ⟩ (**is** ⟨ $?A = ?B$ ⟩)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *image-msetI*: ⟨ $x \in\# A \implies f x \in\# f \# A$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *inj-image-mset-eq-iff*:

assumes *inj*: ⟨*inj* f ⟩

shows ⟨ $\text{image-mset } f M' = \text{image-mset } f M \longleftrightarrow M' = M$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *singleton-eq-image-mset-iff*: ⟨ $\{\#a\# \} = f \# NE' \longleftrightarrow (\exists b. NE' = \{\#b\# \} \wedge f b = a)$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *image-mset-If-eq-notin*:

⟨ $C \notin\# A \implies \{\#f (\text{if } x = C \text{ then } a x \text{ else } b x). x \in\# A\# \} = \{\#f(b x). x \in\# A\# \}$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *finite-mset-set-inter*:

⟨ $\text{finite } A \implies \text{finite } B \implies \text{mset-set } (A \cap B) = \text{mset-set } A \cap\# \text{mset-set } B$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct-mset-inter-remdups-mset*:
assumes *dist*: $\langle \text{distinct-mset } A \rangle$
shows $\langle A \cap\# \text{remdups-mset } B = A \cap\# B \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-butlast-update-last[simp]*:
 $\langle w < \text{length } xs \implies \text{mset } (\text{butlast } (xs[w := \text{last } (xs)])) = \text{remove1-mset } (xs ! w) (\text{mset } xs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-multiset-ge-Max*: $\langle a \in\# N \implies a > \text{Max } (\text{insert } 0 (\text{set-mset } N)) \implies \text{False} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-mset-set-mset-remove1-mset*:
 $\langle \text{distinct-mset } M \implies \text{set-mset } (\text{remove1-mset } c M) = \text{set-mset } M - \{c\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-count-msetD*:
 $\langle \text{distinct } xs \implies \text{count } (\text{mset } xs) a = (\text{if } a \in \text{set } xs \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-mset-and-implied*:
 $\langle (\bigwedge ia. ia \in\# xs \implies Q ia \implies P ia) \implies \{\#ia \in\# xs. P ia \wedge Q ia\} = \{\#ia \in\# xs. Q ia\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-mset-eq-add-msetD*: $\langle \text{filter-mset } P xs = \text{add-mset } a A \implies a \in\# xs \wedge P a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-mset-eq-add-msetD'*: $\langle \text{add-mset } a A = \text{filter-mset } P xs \implies a \in\# xs \wedge P a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-filter-replicate-mset*:
 $\langle \{\#Ca \in\# \text{replicate-mset } m C. P Ca\} = (\text{if } P C \text{ then } \text{replicate-mset } m C \text{ else } \{\#\}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size-Union-mset-image-mset*:
 $\langle \text{size } (\sum \# (A :: 'a \text{ multiset multiset})) = (\sum i \in\# A. \text{size } i) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-mset-minus-inj-on*:
 $\langle \text{inj-on } f (\text{set-mset } A \cup \text{set-mset } B) \implies f \# (A - B) = f \# A - f \# B \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-mset-mono-subset*:
 $\langle A \subseteq\# B \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in\# A \implies P x \implies Q x) \implies \text{filter-mset } P A \subseteq\# \text{filter-mset } Q B \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-inter-empty-set-mset*: $\langle M \cap\# xc = \{\#\} \iff \text{set-mset } M \cap \text{set-mset } xc = \{\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-mset-cong*:
 $\langle (\bigwedge A. A \in\# M \implies f A = g A) \implies (\sum A \in\# M. f A) = (\sum A \in\# M. g A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-mset-mset-set-sum-set*:
 $\langle (\sum A \in\# \text{mset-set } As. f A) = (\sum A \in As. f A) \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum-mset-sum-count*:

$$\langle (\sum A \in\# As. f A) = (\sum A \in \text{set-mset } As. \text{count } As A * f A) \rangle$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum-mset-inter-restrict*:

$$\langle (\sum x \in\# \text{filter-mset } P M. f x) = (\sum x \in\# M. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x \text{ else } 0) \rangle$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *sumset-diff-constant-left*:

$$\text{assumes } \langle \bigwedge x. x \in\# A \implies f x \leq n \rangle$$

$$\text{shows } \langle (\sum x \in\# A . n - f x) = \text{size } A * n - (\sum x \in\# A . f x) \rangle$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset-set-eq-mset-iff*: ⟨finite $x \implies \text{mset-set } x = \text{mset } xs \iff \text{distinct } xs \wedge x = \text{set } xs$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct-mset-iff*:

$$\langle \neg \text{distinct-mset } C \iff (\exists a C'. C = \text{add-mset } a (\text{add-mset } a C') \rangle$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *diff-add-mset-remove1*: ⟨NO-MATCH $\{\#\} N \implies M - \text{add-mset } a N = \text{remove1-mset } a (M - N)$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *remdups-mset-sum-subset*: ⟨ $C \subseteq\# C' \implies \text{remdups-mset } (C + C') = \text{remdups-mset } C'$ ⟩

$$\langle C \subseteq\# C' \implies \text{remdups-mset } (C' + C) = \text{remdups-mset } C' \rangle$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct-mset-subset-iff-remdups*:

$$\langle \text{distinct-mset } a \implies a \subseteq\# b \iff a \subseteq\# \text{remdups-mset } b \rangle$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *remdups-mset-subset-add-mset*: ⟨ $\text{remdups-mset } C' \subseteq\# \text{add-mset } L C'$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *subset-mset-removeAll-iff*:

$$\langle M \subseteq\# \text{removeAll-mset } a M' \iff a \notin\# M \wedge M \subseteq\# M' \rangle$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *remdups-mset-removeAll*: ⟨ $\text{remdups-mset } (\text{removeAll-mset } a A) = \text{removeAll-mset } a (\text{remdups-mset } A)$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

This is an alternative to *remdups-mset-singleton-sum*.

lemma *remdups-mset-singleton-removeAll*:

$$\text{remdups-mset } (\text{add-mset } a A) = \text{add-mset } a (\text{removeAll-mset } a (\text{remdups-mset } A))$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset-remove-filtered*: ⟨ $C - \{\#x \in\# C. P x\} = \{\#x \in\# C. \neg P x\}$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

1.4 Finite maps and multisets

Finite sets and multisets

abbreviation $mset\text{-}fset :: \langle 'a\ fset \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle mset\text{-}fset\ N \equiv mset\text{-}set\ (fset\ N) \rangle$

definition $fset\text{-}mset :: \langle 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow 'a\ fset \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle fset\text{-}mset\ N \equiv Abs\text{-}fset\ (set\text{-}mset\ N) \rangle$

lemma $fset\text{-}mset\text{-}mset\text{-}fset$: $\langle fset\text{-}mset\ (mset\text{-}fset\ N) = N \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mset\text{-}fset\text{-}fset\text{-}mset[simp]$:
 $\langle mset\text{-}fset\ (fset\text{-}mset\ N) = remdups\text{-}mset\ N \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $in\text{-}mset\text{-}fset\text{-}fmember[simp]$: $\langle x \in\# mset\text{-}fset\ N \longleftrightarrow x \in| N \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $in\text{-}fset\text{-}mset\text{-}mset[simp]$: $\langle x \in| fset\text{-}mset\ N \longleftrightarrow x \in\# N \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Finite map and multisets

Roughly the same as ran and dom , but with duplication in the content (unlike their finite sets counterpart) while still working on finite domains (unlike a function mapping). Remark that $dom\text{-}m$ (the keys) does not contain duplicates, but we keep for symmetry (and for easier use of multiset operators as in the definition of $ran\text{-}m$).

definition $dom\text{-}m$ **where**
 $\langle dom\text{-}m\ N = mset\text{-}fset\ (fmdom\ N) \rangle$

definition $ran\text{-}m$ **where**
 $\langle ran\text{-}m\ N = the\ \#\ fmlookup\ N\ \#\ dom\text{-}m\ N \rangle$

lemma $dom\text{-}m\text{-}fmdrop[simp]$: $\langle dom\text{-}m\ (fmdrop\ C\ N) = remove1\text{-}mset\ C\ (dom\text{-}m\ N) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dom\text{-}m\text{-}fmdrop\text{-}All$: $\langle dom\text{-}m\ (fmdrop\ C\ N) = removeAll\text{-}mset\ C\ (dom\text{-}m\ N) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dom\text{-}m\text{-}fmupd[simp]$: $\langle dom\text{-}m\ (fmupd\ k\ C\ N) = add\text{-}mset\ k\ (remove1\text{-}mset\ k\ (dom\text{-}m\ N)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $distinct\text{-}mset\text{-}dom$: $\langle distinct\text{-}mset\ (dom\text{-}m\ N) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $in\text{-}dom\text{-}m\text{-}lookup\text{-}iff$: $\langle C \in\# dom\text{-}m\ N' \longleftrightarrow fmlookup\ N'\ C \neq None \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $in\text{-}dom\text{-}in\text{-}ran\text{-}m[simp]$: $\langle i \in\# dom\text{-}m\ N \implies the\ (fmlookup\ N\ i) \in\# ran\text{-}m\ N \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fmupd\text{-}same[simp]$:
 $\langle x1 \in\# dom\text{-}m\ x1aa \implies fmupd\ x1\ (the\ (fmlookup\ x1aa\ x1))\ x1aa = x1aa \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *ran-m-fmempty[simp]*: ⟨ $\text{ran-}m \text{ fmempty} = \{\#\}$ ⟩ **and**
dom-m-fmempty[simp]: ⟨ $\text{dom-}m \text{ fmempty} = \{\#\}$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *fmrestrict-set-fmupd*:

⟨ $a \in xs \implies \text{fmrestrict-set } xs \text{ (fmupd } a \ C \ N) = \text{fmupd } a \ C \ (\text{fmrestrict-set } xs \ N)$ ⟩

⟨ $a \notin xs \implies \text{fmrestrict-set } xs \text{ (fmupd } a \ C \ N) = \text{fmrestrict-set } xs \ N$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *fset-fmdom-fmrestrict-set*:

⟨ $\text{fset } (\text{fmdom } (\text{fmrestrict-set } xs \ N)) = \text{fset } (\text{fmdom } N) \cap xs$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *dom-m-fmrestrict-set*: ⟨ $\text{dom-}m \text{ (fmrestrict-set } (\text{set } xs) \ N) = \text{mset } xs \cap \# \text{ dom-}m \ N$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *dom-m-fmrestrict-set'*: ⟨ $\text{dom-}m \text{ (fmrestrict-set } xs \ N) = \text{mset-set } (xs \cap \text{set-mset } (\text{dom-}m \ N))$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *indom-mI*: ⟨ $\text{fmlookup } m \ x = \text{Some } y \implies x \in \# \text{ dom-}m \ m$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *fmupd-fmdrop-id*:

assumes ⟨ $k \in | \text{fmdom } N'$ ⟩

shows ⟨ $\text{fmupd } k \text{ (the (fmlookup } N' \ k)) \text{ (fmdrop } k \ N') = N'$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *fm-member-split*: ⟨ $k \in | \text{fmdom } N' \implies \exists N'' \ v. N' = \text{fmupd } k \ v \ N'' \wedge \text{the (fmlookup } N' \ k) = v$

\wedge

⟨ $k \notin | \text{fmdom } N''$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma ⟨ $\text{fmdrop } k \text{ (fmupd } k \ va \ N'') = \text{fmdrop } k \ N''$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *fmap-ext-fmdom*:

⟨ $(\text{fmdom } N = \text{fmdom } N') \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in | \text{fmdom } N \implies \text{fmlookup } N \ x = \text{fmlookup } N' \ x) \implies N = N'$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *fmrestrict-set-insert-in*:

⟨ $xa \in \text{fset } (\text{fmdom } N) \implies$

$\text{fmrestrict-set } (\text{insert } xa \ l1) \ N = \text{fmupd } xa \ \text{(the (fmlookup } N \ xa)) \text{ (fmrestrict-set } l1 \ N)$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *fmrestrict-set-insert-notin*:

⟨ $xa \notin \text{fset } (\text{fmdom } N) \implies$

$\text{fmrestrict-set } (\text{insert } xa \ l1) \ N = \text{fmrestrict-set } l1 \ N$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *fmrestrict-set-insert-in-dom-m[simp]*:

⟨ $xa \in \# \text{ dom-}m \ N \implies$

$\text{fmrestrict-set } (\text{insert } xa \ l1) \ N = \text{fmupd } xa \ \text{(the (fmlookup } N \ xa)) \text{ (fmrestrict-set } l1 \ N)$ ⟩

⟨proof⟩

lemma *fmrestrict-set-insert-notin-dom-m[simp]*:

$\langle xa \notin \# \text{ dom-}m \ N \implies$
 $\text{fmrestrict-set (insert xa l1) } N = \text{fmrestrict-set l1 } N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fmlookup-restrict-set-id*: $\langle \text{fset (fmdom } N) \subseteq A \implies \text{fmrestrict-set } A \ N = N \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fmlookup-restrict-set-id'*: $\langle \text{set-mset (dom-}m \ N) \subseteq A \implies \text{fmrestrict-set } A \ N = N \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ran-m-mapsto-upd*:

assumes

$NC: \langle C \in \# \text{ dom-}m \ N \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{ran-}m \ (\text{fmupd } C \ C' \ N) =$

$\text{add-mset } C' \ (\text{remove1-mset (the (fmlookup } N \ C)) \ (\text{ran-}m \ N)) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ran-m-mapsto-upd-notin*:

assumes $NC: \langle C \notin \# \text{ dom-}m \ N \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{ran-}m \ (\text{fmupd } C \ C' \ N) = \text{add-mset } C' \ (\text{ran-}m \ N) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ran-m-fmdrop*:

$\langle C \in \# \text{ dom-}m \ N \implies \text{ran-}m \ (\text{fmdrop } C \ N) = \text{remove1-mset (the (fmlookup } N \ C)) \ (\text{ran-}m \ N) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ran-m-fmdrop-notin*:

$\langle C \notin \# \text{ dom-}m \ N \implies \text{ran-}m \ (\text{fmdrop } C \ N) = \text{ran-}m \ N \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ran-m-fmdrop-If*:

$\langle \text{ran-}m \ (\text{fmdrop } C \ N) = (\text{if } C \in \# \text{ dom-}m \ N \text{ then } \text{remove1-mset (the (fmlookup } N \ C)) \ (\text{ran-}m \ N) \text{ else } \text{ran-}m \ N) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Compact domain for finite maps

packed is a predicate to indicate that the domain of finite mapping starts at *1* and does not contain holes. We used it in the SAT solver for the mapping from indexes to clauses, to ensure that there not holes and therefore giving an upper bound on the highest key.

TODO KILL!

definition *Max-dom* **where**

$\langle \text{Max-dom } N = \text{Max (set-mset (add-mset 0 (dom-}m \ N))) \rangle$

definition *packed* **where**

$\langle \text{packed } N \iff \text{dom-}m \ N = \text{mset [1..<Suc (Max-dom } N)] \rangle$

Marking this rule as *simp* is not compatible with unfolding the definition of *packed* when marked as:

lemma *Max-dom-empty*: $\langle \text{dom-}m \ b = \{\#\} \implies \text{Max-dom } b = 0 \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Max-dom-fmempty*: $\langle \text{Max-dom fmempty} = 0 \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *packed-empty[simp]*: $\langle \text{packed fmempty} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *packed-Max-dom-size*:
assumes p : $\langle \text{packed } N \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{Max-dom } N = \text{size } (\text{dom-m } N) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Max-dom-le*:
 $\langle L \in \# \text{ dom-m } N \implies L \leq \text{Max-dom } N \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove1-mset-ge-Max-some*: $\langle a > \text{Max-dom } b \implies \text{remove1-mset } a (\text{dom-m } b) = \text{dom-m } b \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Max-dom-fmupd-irrel*:
assumes
 $\langle a :: 'a :: \{\text{zero, linorder}\} > \text{Max-dom } M \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{Max-dom } (\text{fmupd } a \ C \ M) = \text{max } a (\text{Max-dom } M) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Max-dom-alt-def*: $\langle \text{Max-dom } b = \text{Max } (\text{insert } 0 (\text{set-mset } (\text{dom-m } b))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Max-insert-Suc-Max-dim-dom[simp]*:
 $\langle \text{Max } (\text{insert } (\text{Suc } (\text{Max-dom } b)) (\text{set-mset } (\text{dom-m } b))) = \text{Suc } (\text{Max-dom } b) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size-dom-m-Max-dom*:
 $\langle \text{size } (\text{dom-m } N) \leq \text{Suc } (\text{Max-dom } N) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Max-atLeastLessThan-plus*: $\langle \text{Max } \{(a::\text{nat}) ..< a+n\} = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } \text{Max } \{\} \text{ else } a+n - 1) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Max-atLeastLessThan*: $\langle \text{Max } \{(a::\text{nat}) ..< b\} = (\text{if } b \leq a \text{ then } \text{Max } \{\} \text{ else } b - 1) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Max-insert-Max-dom-into-packed*:
 $\langle \text{Max } (\text{insert } (\text{Max-dom } bc) \{\text{Suc } 0 ..< \text{Max-dom } bc\}) = \text{Max-dom } bc \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *packed0-fmud-Suc-Max-dom*: $\langle \text{packed } b \implies \text{packed } (\text{fmupd } (\text{Suc } (\text{Max-dom } b)) \ C \ b) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ge-Max-dom-notin-dom-m*: $\langle a > \text{Max-dom } ao \implies a \notin \# \text{ dom-m } ao \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *packed-in-dom-mI*: $\langle \text{packed } bc \implies j \leq \text{Max-dom } bc \implies 0 < j \implies j \in \# \text{ dom-m } bc \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-fset-empty-iff*: $\langle \text{mset-fset } a = \{\#\} \iff a = \text{fempty} \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *dom-m-empty-iff*[iff]:
⟨dom-m NU = {#} ⟷ NU = fmempty⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *nat-power-div-base*:
fixes k :: nat
assumes 0 < m 0 < k
shows k ^ m div k = (k::nat) ^ (m - Suc 0)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *eq-insertD*: ⟨A = insert a B ⟹ a ∈ A ∧ B ⊆ A⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *length-list-ge2*: ⟨length S ≥ 2 ⟷ (∃ a b S'. S = [a, b] @ S')⟩
⟨proof⟩

1.4.1 Multiset version of Pow

This development was never useful in my own formalisation, but some people saw an interest in this or in things related to this (even if they discarded it eventually). Therefore, I finally decided to save the definition from my mailbox.

If anyone ever uses that and adds the concept to the AFP, please tell me such that I can delete it.

definition *Pow-mset where*
⟨Pow-mset A = fold-mset (λa A. (A + (add-mset a) '# A)) {#{#}#} A⟩

interpretation *pow-mset-commute*: comp-fun-commute ⟨(λa A. (A + (add-mset a) '# A))⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Pow-mset-alt-def*:
Pow-mset (mset A) = mset '# mset (subseqs A)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Pow-mset-empty*[simp]:
⟨Pow-mset {#} = {#{#}#}⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Pow-mset-add-mset*[simp]:
⟨Pow-mset (add-mset a A) = Pow-mset A + (add-mset a) '# Pow-mset A⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-Pow-mset-iff*:
⟨A ∈# Pow-mset B ⟷ A ⊆# B⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *size-Pow-mset*[simp]: ⟨size (Pow-mset A) = 2^{size A}⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *set-Pow-mset*:

$\langle \text{set-mset } (\text{Pow-mset } A) = \{B. B \subseteq\# A\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Proof by Manuel Eberl on Zulip <https://isabelle.zulipchat.com/#narrow/stream/238552-Beginner-Questions/topic/Cardinality.20of.20powerset.20of.20a.20multiset/near/220827959>.

lemma *bij-betw-submultisets*:

$\text{card } \{B. B \subseteq\# A\} = (\prod_{x \in \text{set-mset } A. \text{count } A \ x + 1})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *empty-in-Pow-mset*[iff]: $\langle \{\#\} \in\# \text{Pow-mset } B \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *full-in-Pow-mset*[iff]: $\langle B \in\# \text{Pow-mset } B \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pow-mset-nempty*[iff]: $\langle \text{Pow-mset } B \neq \{\#\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pow-mset-single-empty*[iff]: $\langle \text{Pow-mset } B = \{\#\{\#\}\#\} \longleftrightarrow B = \{\#\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pow-mset-mono*: $\langle A \subseteq\# B \implies \text{Pow-mset } A \subseteq\# \text{Pow-mset } B \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Variants around head and last

definition *option-hd* :: $\langle 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{option-hd } xs = (\text{if } xs = [] \text{ then None else Some } (\text{hd } xs)) \rangle$

lemma *option-hd-None-iff*[iff]: $\langle \text{option-hd } zs = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow zs = [] \rangle$ $\langle \text{None} = \text{option-hd } zs \longleftrightarrow zs = [] \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *option-hd-Some-iff*[iff]: $\langle \text{option-hd } zs = \text{Some } y \longleftrightarrow (zs \neq [] \wedge y = \text{hd } zs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{Some } y = \text{option-hd } zs \longleftrightarrow (zs \neq [] \wedge y = \text{hd } zs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *option-hd-Some-hd*[simp]: $\langle zs \neq [] \implies \text{option-hd } zs = \text{Some } (\text{hd } zs) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *option-hd-Nil*[simp]: $\langle \text{option-hd } [] = \text{None} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *option-last* **where**

$\langle \text{option-last } l = (\text{if } l = [] \text{ then None else Some } (\text{last } l)) \rangle$

lemma

option-last-None-iff[iff]: $\langle \text{option-last } l = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow l = [] \rangle$ $\langle \text{None} = \text{option-last } l \longleftrightarrow l = [] \rangle$ **and**
option-last-Some-iff[iff]:

$\langle \text{option-last } l = \text{Some } a \longleftrightarrow l \neq [] \wedge a = \text{last } l \rangle$

$\langle \text{Some } a = \text{option-last } l \longleftrightarrow l \neq [] \wedge a = \text{last } l \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *option-last-Some*[simp]: $\langle l \neq [] \implies \text{option-last } l = \text{Some } (\text{last } l) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *option-last-Nil*[simp]: $\langle \text{option-last } [] = \text{None} \rangle$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *option-last-remove1-not-last*:

⟨ $x \neq \text{last } xs \implies \text{option-last } xs = \text{option-last } (\text{remove1 } x \ xs)$ ⟩

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *option-hd-rev*: ⟨ $\text{option-hd } (\text{rev } xs) = \text{option-last } xs$ ⟩

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-option-option-last*:

⟨ $\text{map-option } f \ (\text{option-last } xs) = \text{option-last } (\text{map } f \ xs)$ ⟩

⟨*proof*⟩

end