



max planck institut
informatik

Universität
des
Saarlandes
FR Informatik



Uwe Waldmann

January 19, 2012

Tutorials for “Automated Reasoning II”
Exercise sheet 8

Exercise 8.1:

Show that Lemma 3.10 holds only for term-generated interpretations. Give a signature Σ , a set of clauses N and a non-term-generated interpretation \mathcal{A} , such that \mathcal{A} is a model of $G_\Sigma(N)$, but not a model of N .

Exercise 8.2:

How would you redefine the fairness of a run if saturation is defined using redundant inferences? Try to find the easiest possible definition. Reprove Lemma 3.16 for the new definitions of saturation and fairness.

Exercise 8.3:

Use the theorem prover SPASS to show that

$$\forall x f(f(x)) \approx x \vee f(x) \approx a$$

implies

$$\exists x f(f(f(x))) \approx a$$

You can either download the prover from <http://www.spass-prover.org/> or use the web interface on that site.

Notes: A unary function f and a constant a are declared in the following way:

```
list_of_symbols.  
  functions[(f,1),(a,0)].  
end_of_list.
```

The equality predicate is `equal(_,_)`, the connective \vee is `or(_,_)`. To get a proof, rather than just a yes/no answer, use the `-DocProof` flag.

Bring your solution (or solution attempt) to the tutorial on Jan. 30.